#### Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: JEK-D-14-00299R1

Title: A comparison of muscle stiffness and musculoarticular stiffness of the knee joint in young athletic males and females

Article Type: Research Paper (max. 5,000 words)

Keywords: Knee joint [MeSH]; Quadriceps muscle [MeSH]; Neuromuscular monitoring [MeSH]; Athletic injuries [MeSH]

Corresponding Author: Ms. Dan Wang, Master

Corresponding Author's Institution:

First Author: Dan Wang, Master

Order of Authors: Dan Wang, Master; Giuseppe De Vito; Massimiliano Ditroilo; Daniel Fong; Eamonn Delahunt

Abstract: The objective of this study was to investigate the gender-specific differences in peak torque (PT), muscle stiffness (MS) and musculoarticular stiffness (MAS) of the knee joints in a young active population. Twenty-two male and twenty-two female recreational athletes participated. Peak torque of the knee joint extensor musculature was assessed on an isokinetic dynamometer, MS of the vastus lateralis (VL) muscle was measured in both relaxed and contracted conditions, and knee joint MAS was quantified using the free oscillation technique. Significant gender differences were observed for all dependent variables. Females demonstrated less normalized peak torque (mean difference (MD) = 0.4 Nm/kg, p = 0.005,  $\eta$ 2 = 0.17), relaxed MS (MD = 94.2 N/m, p < .001,  $\eta$ 2 = 0.53), contracted MS (MD = 162.7 N/m, p < .001,  $\eta$ 2 = 0.53) and MAS (MD = 422.1 N/m, p < .001,  $\eta$ 2 = 0.23) than males. MAS increased linearly with the external load in both genders with males demonstrating a significantly higher slope (p = 0.019) than females. The observed differences outlined above may contribute to the higher knee joint injury incidence and prevalence in females when compared to males.

**Title:** A comparison of muscle stiffness and musculoarticular stiffness of the knee joint in young athletic males and females

## Authors:

- 1. Dan Wang<sup>a, b</sup>
- 2. Giuseppe De Vito<sup>a,c</sup>
- 3. Massimiliano Ditroilo<sup>d</sup>
- 4. Daniel T.P. Fong<sup>e</sup>
- 5. Eamonn Delahunt<sup>a,c</sup>

# Author Affiliations:

<sup>a</sup> School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Population Science, University College Dublin,

Health Sciences Centre, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

<sup>b</sup> The NO. 2 Clinical Medicine School, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, Jiang

Su Province, China

<sup>c</sup> Institute for Sport and Health, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

<sup>d</sup>Department of Sport, Health & Exercise Science, Faculty of Science & Engineering, University

of Hull, England

<sup>e</sup>School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough,

Leicestershire, United Kingdom, LE11 3TU

Corresponding Author:

Dan Wang

School of Public Health, Physiotherapy and Population Science, University College Dublin,

Health Sciences Centre, Belfield, Dublin 4, Ireland

EMAIL: <u>dan.wang@ucdconnect.ie</u>

TEL: 00 353 1 716 6671 (c/o Dr Eamonn Delahunt)

# Abstract:

The objective of this study was to investigate the gender-specific differences in peak torque (PT), muscle stiffness (MS) and musculoarticular stiffness (MAS) of the knee joints in a young active population. Twenty-two male and twenty-two female recreational athletes participated. Peak torque of the knee joint extensor musculature was assessed on an isokinetic dynamometer, MS of the vastus lateralis (VL) muscle was measured in both relaxed and contracted conditions, and knee joint MAS was quantified using the free oscillation technique. Significant gender differences were observed for all dependent variables. Females demonstrated less normalized peak torque (mean difference (MD) = 0.4 Nm/kg, p = 0.005,  $\eta^2$  = 0.17), relaxed MS (MD = 94.2 N/m, p < .001,  $\eta^2$  = 0.53), contracted MS (MD = 162.7 N/m, p < .001,  $\eta^2$  = 0.53) and MAS (MD = 422.1 N/m, p < .001,  $\eta^2$  = 0.23) than males. MAS increased linearly with the external load in both genders with males demonstrating a significantly higher slope (p = 0.019) than females. It is hypothesized that tThe observed differences outlined above may contribute to the higher knee joint injury incidence and prevalence in females when compared to males.

#### 1 Introduction

2	Epidemiological research has reported that female athletes have an increased risk of
3	lower limb musculoskeletal sports related injuries when compared to their male
4	counterparts (Jones et al., 1993, Messina et al., 1999). This observation is particularly
5	relevant in relation to anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries and patellofemoral
6	pain (PFP). Female soccer players have been reported to have a 2-3 times higher risk
7	of ACL injuries when compared to males (Walden et al., 2011); <u></u> <u>+</u> <u>t</u> his is also seen in
8	female athletes-in other high velocity, intermittent sports such as basketball and
9	volleyball (Hewett, 2000). PFP is a prevalent lower limb musculoskeletal disorder,
10	observed in young, physically active female athletes (Heintjes et al., 2003, Natri et al.,
11	1998), and is associated with reduced participation in field and court based sports.
12	Furthermore, it may precipitate the onset of patellofemoral osteoarthritis (Utting et al.,
13	2005), as well as being potentially linked to non-contact ACL injury risk (Myer et al.,
14	2014).
15	
16	Factors that are thought to contribute to gender differences in the incidence and
17	prevalence of knee joint injuries include; differences in the mechanical properties of
18	the knee joint ligaments, knee joint kinematics during landing, cutting and pivoting,
19	as well as skeletal alignment (Bonci, 1999, Harner et al., 1994, Rosene and Fogarty,
20	1999). During sport related activities, joint loads increase and knee joint stability is
21	dependent upon activation of the dynamic muscular constraint system, aimed at
22	protecting joints against injury. Kim et al. (Kim et al., 2011) summarized from

23	previous studies that co-contraction of agonist and antagonist muscles is important for
24	joint stabilization during dynamic movement; the amount of co-contraction could
25	significantly influence the resultant torque at the knee joint. Billot et al. indicated that
26	agonist-antagonist muscles have a common descending drive control (Billot et al.,
27	2014). Imbalance of quadriceps and hamstring strength (hamstring/quadriceps ratio <
28	0.6) has been reported as a contributing factor to non-contact knee injuries (Kim et al.,
29	2011). Furthermore, neuromuscular imbalance of decreased hamstring activation
30	relative to quadriceps activation is also well documented as a risk factor for ACL
31	injury (Alentorn-Geli et al., 2009). The role of hamstring muscles during landing or
32	cutting is to provide a counterbalancing force to resist the relatively higher quadriceps
33	force; hHigher quadriceps muscle activity and altered co-activation patterns in
34	females have been inferred to change the knee joint loads and thereby increase their
35	risk for knee injury (Krishnan et al., 2009). In this context, strength is only one
36	component of injury mechanism; neuromuscular function is actually the primary
37	contributor to the higher risk of non-contact lower limbs injuries in females when
38	compared to males. In contrast, stiffness is a more comprehensive variable which
39	represents the shock absorption characteristics of an individual muscle-tendon unit,
40	joint, or system (Watsford et al., 2010) Indeed, muscle stiffness is a primary control
41	variable related to kKnee joint stability is mainly determined by muscle stiffness
42	(Needle et al., 2014). Additionally, stiffness is a primary determinant of the shock-
43	absorption characteristics of an individual muscle-tendon unit, joint, or system
44	(Watsford et al., 2010). A recent consensus paper published by Shultz and colleagues

45	(Shultz et al., 2012) advocated that further insight into the dynamic-restraint systems
46	of the knee joint beyond absolute strength is-are required to understand more
47	comprehensively the potential mechanisms associated with the observed gender
48	disparity in knee joint injuries amongst athletes, with the authors recommending that
49	further research regarding knee joint stiffness is warranted.
50	
51	Musculoarticular stiffness (MAS), assessed with the free-oscillation technique, is a
52	comprehensive measurement incorporating the stiffness of the muscle-tendon unit,
53	surrounding articular surfaces, ligaments, and skin. The same technique can be
54	applied to a single muscle using a specific device, thus obtaining a more localized
55	measurement of muscle stiffness (MS) than MAS evaluation in joint. It has been
56	advocated that some level of stiffness is beneficial to enhance athletic performance,
57	however too much or too little stiffness may increase the risk of injury (Butler et al,
58	2003). Further, whilst an elevated level of stiffness appears to be beneficial for rapid
59	stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) movements, during relatively slow SSC movements a
60	more compliant structure can better utilize the eccentric pre-stretch and cushion the
61	impact (Pruyn et al, 2014). That's why MS and MAS have the potential to play
62	crucial roles in neuromuscular control of joint stability, injury prevention and athletic
63	performance (Ditroilo et al., 2012, Ditroilo et al., 2011b). The level of stiffness-
64	contributes to the ability to attenuate excessive external forces, which is why MS and
65	MAS have the potential to play crucial roles in neuromuscular control of joint-

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

68

67

69	To the present authors knowledge, no studies to date have concomitantly measured
70	and compared knee joint MAS and quadriceps MS in male and female recreational
71	athletes. In the present study, vV astus lateralis (VL) was utilized as representative of
72	the quadriceps muscle in accordance with previous research by Cafarelli (Cafarelli,
73	1977). Thus, the aim of the present study was to concurrently investigate MAS of
74	knee joints and MS of VL in young male and female athletes. It was hypothesized that
75	females would be characterized by lower knee joint MAS and MS of the VL when
76	compared to males, which could help to explain an important mechanism linked to
77	gender disparities in knee joint musculoskeletal injuries.
78	
78 79	Methods
	Methods Participants
79	
79 80	Participants
79 80 81	<b>Participants</b> Twenty-two male (age = $26.7 \pm 2.6$ years, <u>height stature = 1.77.2 ± 0.06.67</u> em, body
79 80 81 82	<b>Participants</b> Twenty-two male (age = $26.7 \pm 2.6$ years, <u>height-stature</u> = $1.77.2 \pm 0.06.67$ em, body emass = $72.6 \pm 9.1$ kg, BMI = $23.1 \pm 2.4$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) and twenty-two female recreational
79 80 81 82 83	Participants Twenty-two male (age = $26.7 \pm 2.6$ years, <u>height-stature</u> = $1.77.2 \pm 0.06.67$ em, body emass = $72.6 \pm 9.1$ kg, BMI = $23.1 \pm 2.4$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) and twenty-two female recreational athletes (age = $23.8 \pm 4.1$ years, <u>stature height</u> = $1.654.8 \pm 07.087$ em, body mass =
79 80 81 82 83 84	Participants Twenty-two male (age = $26.7 \pm 2.6$ years, <u>height_stature</u> = $1.77.2 \pm 0.06.67$ em, body <u>e</u> mass = $72.6 \pm 9.1$ kg, BMI = $23.1 \pm 2.4$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) and twenty-two female recreational athletes (age = $23.8 \pm 4.1$ years, <u>stature_height</u> = $1.654.8 \pm 07.087$ em, body mass = $63.0 \pm 12.0$ kg, BMI = $23.1 \pm 3.5$ kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) volunteered to participate. The study

88	BMI $\leq$ 25 (if a participant's BMI was > 25, body fat $\leq$ 25% (males) or 35% (females)
89	(assessed via skinfold thickness ) were deemed acceptable (Ho-Pham et al., 2011));
90	(4) no recent significant soft-tissue injury to the lower limbs in the last 6 months; (5)
91	no reported medical condition that could influence performance. Furthermore,
92	participants were also screened using a medical history questionnaire (Ditroilo et al.,
93	2011a) and the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire form.
94	
95	Study design
96	Each participant was required to visit the laboratory on one occasion and undergo the
97	following evaluations: (1) peak torque (PT) testing of their right knee joint extensor
98	musculature; (2) relaxed MS testing of their right VL; (3) contracted MS testing of
99	their right VL; (4) contracted MAS testing of their right knee joint.
100	
101	Peak Torque (PT)
102	Each participant underwent PT testing of their right knee joint extensor musculature
103	on a dynamometer (Bodymax Fitness, Clydebank, UK). The participant was seated on
104	the dynamometer with their; hip flexed at $105^\circ$ and their right knee flexed at $80^\circ$
105	(where full extension represents $0^{\circ}$ ) (Ditroilo et al., 2012), with the lateral femoral

106 condyle aligned with the axis of the dynamometer. The force transmission point was a

bar that was positioned anteriorly to the participant's lateral malleolus. The machine

108 was equipped with a load cell (Leane International, Parma, Italy, measurement range:

109 0-500 kg, output: 2.00 mV/V) applied in series with the plane of force application.

110	The load cell was secured to the leg-extension machine with a chain. This prevented
111	movements of the bar and therefore allowed an isometric contraction when the
112	participant attempted to extend their leg. Participants were stabilized with straps at the
113	pelvis to avoid movements towards hip extension during the test. Furthermore, to
114	minimize any contribution from the upper body, participants were required to cross
115	their hands across their body throughout. After familiarization with the procedures,
116	participants were instructed to produce a maximum voluntary isometric contraction
117	(MVIC) of their knee joint extensor musculature, as quickly as possible for
118	approximately 3 seconds. Each participant was required to perform three MVICs,
119	with the highest value recorded being used to determine the load with which MAS
120	was assessed. During performance of each MVIC, strong verbal encouragement and
121	visual target stimulation were provided to motivate maximal contraction. The force
122	signal was sampled at 1000 Hz and stored on a PC using a 16 bit A/D converter data
123	acquisition system (Biopac Systems, Inc. Goleta, CA, USA). Prior to data analysis,
124	the signal was filtered using a 5-ms moving average. The force signal was then
125	multiplied by the individual lever arm length to convert it into torque (Nm). The
126	highest torque value was identified as PT, which was normalized to body mass of
127	each individual (Pincivero et al., 2003) for further analysis.
128	
129	Muscle stiffness (MS)

# MS of the VL muscle was measured using a device incorporating a probe and an accelerometer (Myometer, Myoton-3, Müomeetria AS, Tallinn, Estonia) sampled at

132	3200 Hz. During MS recordings, the subjects were seated in the same position used
133	for MVIC measurements. The probe was manually positioned perpendicular to the
134	muscle belly with the recording site being $2/3$ the distance along a line measured from
135	the anterior superior iliac spine to the midpoint on the lateral side of the patella. The
136	probe was gently lowered onto the muscle belly of the VL with a resultant automatic
137	mechanical impact being delivered to the muscle (duration of 15 ms, a force of
138	0.3-0.4 N and a local deformation in the order of a few millimeters) (Ditroilo et al.,
139	2012). The damped natural oscillations were recorded by the accelerometer within the
140	probe giving an instantaneous digital output of the MS. Five consecutive
141	measurements were taken during relaxed (no external load) and contacted (external
142	load = $30\%$ MVIC) (Fig. 1.) conditions. The average of the five measurements was
143	used for later analysis.
143 144	used for later analysis.
	used for later analysis. Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS)
144	
144 145	Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS)
144 145 146	Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS) MAS of each participant's right knee joint was measured using a technique previously
144 145 146 147	Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS) MAS of each participant's right knee joint was measured using a technique previously published by Ditroilo et al., 2012 (Fig. 2.). Participants sat in the same position used
144 145 146 147 148	Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS) MAS of each participant's right knee joint was measured using a technique previously published by Ditroilo et al., 2012 (Fig. 2.). Participants sat in the same position used previously for MVIC assessments. To quantify submaximal MAS stiffness, the
144 145 146 147 148 149	Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS) MAS of each participant's right knee joint was measured using a technique previously published by Ditroilo et al., 2012 (Fig. 2.). Participants sat in the same position used previously for MVIC assessments. To quantify submaximal MAS stiffness, the participants were required to support a load corresponding to 30% of MVIC on the
144 145 146 147 148 149 150	Musculo-articular stiffness (MAS) MAS of each participant's right knee joint was measured using a technique previously published by Ditroilo et al., 2012 (Fig. 2.). Participants sat in the same position used previously for MVIC assessments. To quantify submaximal MAS stiffness, the participants were required to support a load corresponding to 30% of MVIC on the anterior distal portion of their lower leg. An external perturbation of 100-150N was

154	1000 Hz and recorded on a personal computer using a 16-bit A/D converter. A
155	Butterworth low-pass filter (third order) with a cutoff frequency of 4 Hz was used to
156	filter the signal. Each participant completed five MAS trials separated by a 1-min rest
157	period, with the average of the three trials being used for analysis. Considering the
158	positive relationship between the active joint stiffness and the applied load, stiffness
159	gradient, defined as the ratio of the two parameters, was subsequently calculated
160	afterwards and utilized as an independent variable in the statistical analysis
161	(Gardner-Morse et al., 1995).
162	
163	Statistical Analysis
164	Independent samples <i>t</i> -tests (two tailed) were undertaken to investigate differences
164 165	Independent samples <i>t</i> -tests (two tailed) were undertaken to investigate differences between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak</u> -
165	between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak</u>
165 166	between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak-</u> torque; (2) relaxed MS; (3) contracted MS; (4) MAS. Statistical analyses were
165 166 167	between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak-</u> torque; (2) relaxed MS; (3) contracted MS; (4) MAS. Statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Ireland Ltd, Dublin, Ireland). To account
165 166 167 168	between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak-</u> torque; (2) relaxed MS; (3) contracted MS; (4) MAS. Statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Ireland Ltd, Dublin, Ireland). To account for the number of analyses undertaken, statistical significance was set a priori at $p \le$
165 166 167 168 169	between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak-</u> torque; (2) relaxed MS; (3) contracted MS; (4) MAS. Statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Ireland Ltd, Dublin, Ireland). To account for the number of analyses undertaken, statistical significance was set a priori at $p \le$ 0.0125 (Bonferroni adjustment). Furthermore, a one-way between-groups analysis of
<ol> <li>165</li> <li>166</li> <li>167</li> <li>168</li> <li>169</li> <li>170</li> </ol>	between males and females on the following four dependent variables: (1) <u>PTpeak-</u> torque; (2) relaxed MS; (3) contracted MS; (4) MAS. Statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS Statistics 20 (IBM Ireland Ltd, Dublin, Ireland). To account for the number of analyses undertaken, statistical significance was set a priori at p $\leq$ 0.0125 (Bonferroni adjustment). Furthermore, a one-way between-groups analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was conducted to investigate differences in stiffness gradient

**Results** 

175 A significant difference was observed between males and females in; normalize	d
---	---

176	<u>PTpeak torque</u> ( <u>PTpeak torque</u> / body mass) (males $2.8 \pm 0.4$ Nm/kg, females $2.4 \pm 0.4$
177	Nm/kg (Fig. 3.); t (42) = 2.96, p = 0.005), relaxed MS (males $364.4 \pm 52.0$ N/m,
178	females 270.3 $\pm$ 33.3 N/m (Fig. 4.); t (42) = 6.90, p < .001), contracted MS (males
179	495.1 $\pm$ 71.0 N/m, females 332.3 $\pm$ 85.4 N/m (Fig. 5.); t (42) = 6.9, p < .001) and
180	MAS (males 1450.1 $\pm$ 508.0 N/m, females 1028.0 $\pm$ 227.3 N/m (Fig. 6.); t (42) =
181	3.55, p < .001).
182	

183	The magnitude of the difference in means was also large for; normalized peak torque-
184	<u>PT</u> (mean difference (MD) = 2.3 Nm/kg, 95% CI: 0.1 to 0.6, $\eta^2$ = 0.17), relaxed MS
185	(MD = 94.2 N/m, 95% CI: 66.6 to 121.7 $\eta^2$ = 0.53), contracted MS (MD= 162.7 N/m,
186	95% CI: 114.9 to 210.5, $\eta^2 = 0.53$ ) and MAS (MD = 422.1 N/m, 95% CI: 179.5 to
187	$664.8 \ \eta^2 = 0.23)$

189	The one-way ANCOVA-Ppreliminary checks were conducted to ensure that there was
190	no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity, homogeneity of variances and
191	regression slopes, and reliable measurement of the covariate before one-way
192	ANCOVA was -processed. After adjusting for external load, there was significant
193	difference for MAS between the two groups, F $(1, 42) = 6.02$ , p = 0.019, with males
194	having a steeper stiffness gradient slope than females (Males, Y= $36.92X-786.51$ , $r^2 =$
195	0.80; Females, Y= 18.32X+224.49, $r^2 = 0.33$ ). (Fig. 7.).

#### 197 Discussion

198	This investigation aimed to identify whether differences in the stiffness characteristics			
199	of the knee joint exist between young recreationally athletic males and females. To-			
200	the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first study to concurrently measure MS-			
201	of the VL and MAS of the knee joint (extensor) in young recreational athletes. The			
202	primary findings were that females have lower relaxed and contracted MS of the VL			
203	and were characterized by lower knee joint MAS, which are important mechanisms			
204	underlying gender disparity. It is possible that these observed stiffness discrepancies-			
205	across genders may contribute to higher rates of knee injury incidence and prevalence-			
206	observed in female athletes.			
207				
208	MS is a localized evaluation of the muscle's ability to resist external load. It is			
209	influenced by geometry (physiological cross-sectional area, PCSA) (Foure et al.,			
210	2012) and hence muscle mass (muscle mass= PCSA*fiber length* $\rho$ ) (Narici et al.,			
211	1992), as well as intrinsic properties (actin-myosin cross-bridge, and protein titin)			
212	(Proske and Morgan, 1999 <del>, Wu et al., 2000</del> ). Therefore, gender differences in relaxed			
213	MS could be attributable to the fact that males have a larger PCSA, greatermore	Fc	ormatted: Not Highlig	ţht
214	muscle mass and therebythus a greater amountmore of muscle fiber cross-bridges	$\sim$	ormatted: Not Highlig ormatted: Not Highlig	_
215	(Blackburn et al., 2004) and titin <u>than females</u> . Gajdosik et al. (Gajdosik et al., 1990)	<u> </u>	ormatted: Not Highlig	
216	for instance suggested that higher hamstring stiffness values in males were ascribed to			
217	greater muscle mass compared to their female, whilst Blackburn counterparts.		ormatted: Not Highlig	_
218	Blackburn et al., 2004, also postulated that greater thigh segment mass in males could	<u> </u>	ormatted: Not Highlig	

219	be responsible for observed gender differences in passive knee flexor stiffness $_{\underline{x}}$
220	<u>f</u> -urthermore, increased muscle mass <u>in males</u> implies more passive connective tissue,
221	and hence a greater number of collagen fibers for lengthening resistance when
222	compared to those in-females, leading to increased passive stiffness (Blackburn et al.,
223	2004). In addition, in contracted muscles, the amount of cross-bridges formed should
224	also be considered, as contracted MS has been found to be proportional to contractile
225	forces in muscle (Needle et al., 2014). Previous studyies has we shown that males are
226	stronger than females (Hannah et al., 2012, Wojtys et al., 2002a), a finding also
227	confirmed by the present study, whereby males produced significantly higher
228	normalized <u>PTpeak torques</u> values compared to females $(2.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ Nm/kg vs } 2.4 \pm 0.4  Nm/kg v$
	Nm/ka)
229	Nm/kg).
229 230	Nii/Kg).
	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent
230	
230 231	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent
230 231 232	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent with conclusions of a previous study (Blackburn et al., 2009). Sinkjaer et al. (Sinkjaer
230 231 232 233	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent with conclusions of a previous study (Blackburn et al., 2009). Sinkjaer et al. (Sinkjaer et al., 1988) divided MAS into two parts: the intrinsic component (deformation and
230 231 232 233 233	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent with conclusions of a previous study (Blackburn et al., 2009). Sinkjaer et al. (Sinkjaer et al., 1988) divided MAS into two parts: the intrinsic component (deformation and breakdown of actin-myosin filament cross-bridges) and the reflexive component
230 231 232 233 234 235	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent with conclusions of a previous study (Blackburn et al., 2009). Sinkjaer et al. (Sinkjaer et al., 1988) divided MAS into two parts: the intrinsic component (deformation and breakdown of actin-myosin filament cross-bridges) and the reflexive component (occurs after the establishment of intrinsic portions during rapid muscle stretches).
230 231 232 233 234 235 236	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent with conclusions of a previous study (Blackburn et al., 2009). Sinkjaer et al. (Sinkjaer et al., 1988) divided MAS into two parts: the intrinsic component (deformation and breakdown of actin-myosin filament cross-bridges) and the reflexive component (occurs after the establishment of intrinsic portions during rapid muscle stretches). The intrinsic component increases linearly with background torque (pre-activation)
230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237	Males were also found to have greater MAS compared to females, which is consistent with conclusions of a previous study (Blackburn et al., 2009). Sinkjaer et al. (Sinkjaer et al., 1988) divided MAS into two parts: the intrinsic component (deformation and breakdown of actin-myosin filament cross-bridges) and the reflexive component (occurs after the establishment of intrinsic portions during rapid muscle stretches). The intrinsic component increases linearly with background torque (pre-activation) (Mrachacz-Kersting and Sinkjaer, 2003) which is the external stretch on quadriceps;

241	2-4 times increase in knee joint stability (Markolf et al., 1976). Furthermore, studies
242	have reported that active joint stiffness is proportional to the force generated by
243	muscles (Morgan, 1977 <del>, Morgan et al., 1978</del> ). Thus, factors related to muscle force
244	production, such as geometric mechanisms (Granata et al., 2002b), cross-bridge
245	mechanics and material qualities (Hinsey, 2011) are promising explanations for the
246	gender differences in joint stiffness found in the current investigation.
247	
248	In addition to the aforementioned mechanisms, knee joint stiffness properties can also
249	be influenced by hormones, specifically free testosterone (FT) (Bell et al., 2012,
250	Granataet al., 2002b). An early study showed that when compared to females, male
251	adults possess approximately 7-8 times more FT (Southren et al., 1965). It has been
252	observed that an inverse relationship exists between FT and time to 50% peak torque;
253	with shorter time to 50% peak torquePT being more advantageous to overall joint
254	stability (Bell et al., 2012, Blackburn et al., 2009). Bell et al., 2012, have reported that
255	a negative relationship exists between estrogen and MAS, offering some explanation
256	for the lower MAS observed in females. We hypothesize that this is the case for the
257	present study although no experimental measurements were carried out.
258	
259	Stiffness gradient is an essential tool to describe active stiffness characteristics. The
260	results of the current study demonstrated a significantly higher stiffness gradient in
261	males in comparison to females, indicating that when an applied moment increases,

262 joint stiffness subsequently increases, and males manifest a higher degree of increased

263	stiffness. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that males are characterized by greater
264	ability to resist external loads which has implications for injury risk in females. The
265	observed difference in stiffness gradient between males and females is also supported
266	by the findings of Granata et al., 2002b which reported that stiffness increased with
267	the external load, and there was a significant difference in slope of linear regressions
268	between stiffness and applied load with females demonstrating a reduced regression
269	<u>slope</u> .
270	
271	Joint stiffness parameters are integrated by the CNS internally and exhibit mechanical
272	characteristics externally. As a consequence, it is an important variable capable of
273	comprehensively representing joint stability and muscle performance. A higher degree
274	of stiffness may provide more resistance to external load during functional
275	performance and hence protect joints from musculoskeletal injury (Granata et al.,
276	2002a). A decrease in joint stiffness or MS reduces structures' capacity to resist
277	external applied loads, and hence the gender differences in stiffness observed in the
278	present study could help explain the higher risk of lower-limb injuries in females. It
279	could also point out one possible solution for preventing injuries in females and
280	males. Training; such as weight (Kubo et al., 2007) <del>, isometric (Burgess et al., 2007),</del>
281	eccentric (Pousson et al., 1990), and plyometric training (Spurrs et al., 2003) have all
282	been suggested to be beneficial for stiffness augmentation. In the future, it is
283	important to investigate what kind of training is best for stiffness enhancement.
284	

285	Limitations of this study include; not measuring the participants' testosterone and
286	estrogen levels, and also not controlling females' menstrual cycle due to time and
287	financial limits. The effect of menstrual cycle hormone fluctuations on stiffness
288	properties and the injury occurrence is still controversial. The study of Eiling et al.
289	(Eiling et al., 2007) indicated significant effect of estrogen levels on
290	musculotendinous stiffness at the time of ovulation when compared to the menstrual
291	and follicular phase; and more acute ACL tears were reported in females during
292	mid-cycle by Wojtys et al. (Wojtys et al., 2002b). However, Bryant et al. (Bryant et
293	al., 2011) attested no significant leg stiffness difference between non-MOCP
294	(monophasic oral contraceptive pill) and MOCP users.
295	
296	Conclusions
296 297	<b>Conclusions</b> Gender differences exist in the knee joint stiffness properties of young active
297	Gender differences exist in the knee joint stiffness properties of young active
297 298	Gender differences exist in the knee joint stiffness properties of young active populations. Females exhibit a lower level of MS and MAS when compared to males.
297 298 299	Gender differences exist in the knee joint stiffness properties of young active populations. Females exhibit a lower level of MS and MAS when compared to males. The mechanism explaining this difference is still unknown, but neuromuscular control
297 298 299 300	Gender differences exist in the knee joint stiffness properties of young active populations. Females exhibit a lower level of MS and MAS when compared to males. The mechanism explaining this difference is still unknown, but neuromuscular control and muscle volume differences may affect MS and MAS. This study's results may
297 298 299 300 301	Gender differences exist in the knee joint stiffness properties of young active populations. Females exhibit a lower level of MS and MAS when compared to males. The mechanism explaining this difference is still unknown, but neuromuscular control and muscle volume differences may affect MS and MAS. This study's results may provide some interpretation as to why females incur more knee injuries than their

305 Acknowledgements

- 306 Dan Wang was supported by a research studentship from the China Scholarship
- 307 Council (CSC).
- 308

309	References	

- 310 <u>1. Alentorn-Geli E, Myer GD, Silvers HJ, Samitier G, Romero D, Lazaro-Haro C,</u>
- 311 Cugat R. Preention of non-contact anterior cruciate ligament injuries in soccer
- 312 players. Part 1: Mechanisms of injury and underlying risk factors. Knee surgery,
- 313 sports traumjatology, arthroscopy. 2009;17(7):705-29.
- 314 <u>4-2.</u> Bell DR, Blackburn JT, Norcorss MF, Ondrak KS, Hudson JD, Hackney AC,
- 315 Padua DA. Estrogen and muscle stiffness have a negative relationship in females.
- 316 Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy. 2012;20(2):361-7.
- 317 <u>3. Billot M, Duclay J, Simoneau-Buessinger EM, Ballay Y, Martin A. Is</u>
- 318 <u>co-contraction responsible for the decline in maximal knee joint torque in older</u>
- 319 <u>males? AGE. 2014;36(2):899-910.</u>
- 320 2.4. Blackburn JT, Bell DR, Norcross MF, Hudson JD, Engstrom LA. Comparison of
- 321 hamstring neuromechanical properties between healthy males and females and
- 322 the influence of musculotendinous stiffness. Journal of electromyography and
- 323 kinesiology. 2009;19(5):e362-9.
- 324 3.5. Blackburn JT, Riemann BL, Padua DA, Guskiewicz KM. Sex comparison of
- 325 extensibility, passive, and active stiffness of the knee flexors. Clinical
- biomechanics. 2004;19(1):36-43.

327	4.6. Bonci CM. Assessment and evaluation of predisposing factors to anterior cruciate

- ligament injury. Journal of athletic training. 1999;34(2):155-64.
- 329 7. Bryant AL, Crossley KM, Bartold S, Hohmann E, Clark RA. Estrogen-induced
- effects on the neuro-mechanics of hopping in humans. European journal of
- applied physiology. 2011;111(2):245-52.
- 332 5-8. Butler RJ, Crowell HP, Davis IM. Lower extremity sitffness: implications for
- 333 performance and injury. Clinical biomechanics. 2003; 8(6):511-7.
- 334 6. Burgess KE, Connick MJ, Graham-Smith P, Pearson SJ. Plyometric vs. isometric
- 335 training influences on tendon properties and muscle output. Journal of strength and-
- 336 conditioning research. 2007;21(3):986 9.
- 337 7.9. Cafarelli E. Peripheral and central inputs to the effort sense during cycling
- exercise. European journal of applied physiology and occupational physiology.

I

- 340 8.10. Ditroilo M, Cully L, Boreham CA, De Vito G. Assessment of
- 341 musculo-articular and muscle stiffness in young and older men. Muscle & nerve.
- 342 2012;46(4):559-65.
- 343 9:11. Ditroilo M, Watsford M, Fernandez-Pena E, D'Amen G, Lucertini F, De Vito
- 344 G. Effects of fatigue on muscle stiffness and intermittent sprinting during cycling.
- 345 Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 2011a;43(5):837-45.
- 346 <u>10.12</u> Ditroilo M, Watsford M, Murphy A, De Vito G. Assessing
- 347 Musculo-Articular Stiffness Using Free Oscillations Theory, Measurement and
- Analysis. Sports medicine. 2011b;41(12):1019-32.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Formatted: Normal, No bullets or numbering

**<sup>339</sup> 1977;37(3):181-9**.

349	44.13. Eiling E, Bryant AL, Petersen W, Murphy A, Hohmann E. Effects of
350	menstrual-cycle hormone fluctuations on musculotendinous stiffness and knee
351	joint laxity. Knee surgery, sports traumatology, arthroscopy. 2007;15(2):126-32.
352	12.14. Foure A, Cornu C, McNair PJ, Nordez A. Gender differences in both active
353	and passive parts of the plantar flexors series elastic component stiffness and
354	geometrical parameters of the muscle-tendon complex. Journal of orthopaedic
355	research. 2012;30(5):707-12.
356	13.15. Gajdosik RL, Giuliani CA, Bohannon RW. Passive compliance and length of
357	the hamstring muscles of healthy men anc women. Clinical biomechanics.
358	1990;5(1):23-9.
359	14.16. Gardner-Morse M, Stokes IA, Laible JP. Role of muscles in lumbar spine
360	stability in maximum extension efforts. Journal of orthopaedic research.
	1995;13(5):802-8.
362	15.17. Granata KP, Padua DA, Wilson SE. Gender differences in active
363	musculoskeletal stiffness. Part II. Quantification of leg stiffness during functional
364	hopping tasks. Journal of electromyography and kinesiology.
365	2002a;12(2):127-35.
366	16.18. Granata KP, Wilson SE, Padua DA. Gender differences in active
367	musculoskeletal stiffness. Part I. Quantification in controlled measurements of
368	knee joint dynamics. Journal of electromyography and kinesiology.
369	2002b;12(2):119-26.

370	17. Hannah R, Minshull C, Buckthorpe MW, Folland JP. Explosive neuromuscular

371 performance of males versus females. Experimental physiology.

372 <del>2012;97(5):618-29.</del>

- 373 18.19. Harner CD, Paulos LE, Greenwald AE, Rosenberg TD, Cooley VC. Detailed
- 374 Analysis of Patients with Bilateral Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries. American
- 375 journal of sports medicine. 1994;22(1):37-43.
- 376 19:20. Heintjes E, Berger MY, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Bernsen RM, Verhaar JA,
- 377 Koes BW. Exercise therapy for patellofemoral pain syndrome. The Cochrane
- database of systematic reviews. 2003(4):CD003472.
- 379 20.21. Hewett TE. Neuromuscular and hormonal factors associated with knee
- 380 injuries in female athletes Strategies for intervention. Sports medicine.
- 381 2000;29(5):313-27.
- 382 21.–22. Hinsey ML. Comparison of reactive knee stiffening strategies between sexes.
- 383 2011. Masters Thesis, University of Delaware.
- 23. Ho-Pham LT, Campbell LV, Nguyen TV. More on body fat cutoff points.
- 385 Mayo Clinic proceedings. 2011;86(6):584; author reply -5.
- 386 <u>24.</u> Jones BH, Bovee MW, Harris JM, Cowan DN. Intrinsic Risk-Factors for
- 387 Exercise-Related Injuries among Male and Female Army Trainees. American
- 388 journal of sports medicine. 1993;21(5):705-10.
- 389 25. Kim D, Hong JG. Hamstring to quadriceps strength ratio and noncontact leg
- 390 <u>injuries: A prospective study during one season. Isokinetics and exercise</u>
- 391 <u>science. 2011; 19(1):1-6.</u>

392	24.26. Krishnan C, Williams GN. Sex difference in quadriceps and hamstrings
393	EMG-Moment relationships. Medical & science in sports & exercise. 2009;
394	<u>41(8):1652-60.</u>
395	25.27. Kubo K, Morimoto M, Komuro T, Yata H, Tsunoda N, Kanehisa H,
396	Fukunaga T. Effects of plyometric and weight training on muscle-tendon
397	complex and jump performance. Medicine and science in sports and exercise.
398	2007;39(10):1801-10.
399	26.28. Markolf KL, Mensch JS, Amstutz HC. Stiffness and laxity of the
400	kneethe contributions of the supporting structures. A quantitative in vitro
401	study. The Journal of bone and joint surgery American volume.
402	1976;58(5):583-94.
403	27.29. Messina F, Farney WC, DeLee JC. The incidence of injury in Texas high
404	school basketball. A prospective study among male and female athletes.
405	American journal of sports medicine. 1999;27(3):294-9.
406	28.30. Morgan DL. Separation of active and passive components of short-range
407	stiffness of muscle. The American journal of physiology. 1977;232(1):C45-9.
408	29. Morgan DL, Proske U, Warren D. Measurements of muscle stiffness and the
409	mechanism of elastic storage of energy in hopping kangaroos. The Journal of
410	<del>physiology. 1978;282:253-61.</del>
411	<u>30.31.</u> Mrachacz-Kersting N, Sinkjaer T. Reflex and non-reflex torque
412	responses to stretch of the human knee extensors. Experimental brain research.
413	2003;151(1):72-81.

414	31.32. Myer GD, Ford KR, Di Stasi SL, Barber Foss KD, Micheli LJ, Hewett
415	TE. High knee abduction moments are common risk factors for patellofemoral
416	pain (PFP) and anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury in girls: Is PFP itself a
417	predictor for subsequent ACL injury? British journal of sports medicine. 2014.
418	(epub ahead of print)
419	32.33. Narici MV, Landoni L, Minetti AE. Assessment of human knee extensor
420	muscles stress from in vivo physiological cross-sectional area and strength
421	measurements. European journal of applied physiology and occupational
422	physiology. 1992;65(5):438-44.
423	33.34. Natri A, Kannus P, Jarvinen M. Which factors predict the long-term
424	outcome in chronic patellofemoral pain syndrome? A 7-yr prospective
425	follow-up study. Medicine and science in sports and exercise. 1998;30
426	(11):1527-77.
427	34.35. Needle AR, Baumeister J, Kaminski TW, Higginson JS, Farquhar WB,
428	Swanik CB. Neuromechanical coupling in the regulation of muscle tone and
429	joint stiffness. Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports. 2014.
430	(epub ahead of print)
431	35.36. Pincivero DM, Gandaio CM, Ito Y. Gender-specific knee extensor
432	torque, flexor torque, and muscle fatigue responses during maximal effort
433	contractions. European journal of applied physiology. 2003;89(2):134-41.

434	36. Pousson M, Van Hoecke J, Goubel F. Changes in elastic characteristics of
435	human muscle induced by eccentric exercise. Journal of biomechanics.
436	<del>1990;23(4):343-8.</del>
437	<u>37.</u> Proske U, Morgan DL. Do cross-bridges contribute to the tension during
438	stretch of passive muscle? Journal of muscle research and cell motility.
439	1999;20(5-6):433-42.
440	37.38. Pruyn EC, Watsford M, Murphy A. The relationship between
441	lower-body stiffness and dynamic performance. Applied physiology, nutrition
442	and metabolism. 2014; 39(109): 1144-50.
443	<u>38.39.</u> Rosene JM, Fogarty TD. Anterior tibial translation in collegiate athletes
444	with normal anterior cruciate ligament integrity. Journal of athletic training.
445	1999;34(2):93-8.
446	<u>39.40.</u> Shultz SJ, Schmitz RJ, Benjaminse A, Chaudhari AM, Collins M, Padua
447	DA. ACL Research Retreat VI: An Update on ACL Injury Risk and
448	Prevention. Journal of athletic training. 2012;47(5):591-603.
449	40.41. Sinkjaer T, Toft E, Andreassen S, Hornemann BC. Muscle stiffness in
450	human ankle dorsiflexors: intrinsic and reflex components. Journal of
451	neurophysiology. 1988;60(3):1110-21.
452	41.42. Southren AL, Tochimoto S, Carmody NC, Isurugi K. Plasma production
453	rates of testosterone in normal adult men and women and in patients with the
454	syndrome of feminizing testes. Journal of clinical endocrinology &
455	metabolism 1965;25(11):1441-50.

456	42.43. Spurrs RW, Murphy AJ, Watsford ML. The effect of plyometric training
457	on distance running performance. European journal of applied physiology.
458	2003;89(1):1-7.
459	43.44. Utting MR, Davies G, Newman JH. Is anterior knee pain a predisposing
460	factor to patellofemoral osteoarthritis? The Knee. 2005;12(5):362-5.
461	44.45. Walden M, Hagglund M, Werner J, Ekstrand J. The epidemiology of
462	anterior cruciate ligament injury in football (soccer): a review of the literature
463	from a gender-related perspective. Knee surgery, sports traumatology,
464	arthroscopy. 2011;19(1):3-10.
465	45.46. Watsford ML, Murphy AJ, McLachlan KA, Bryant AL, Cameron ML,
466	Crossley KM, Makdissi M. A prospective study of the relationship between
467	lower body stiffness and hamstring injury in professional Australian rules
468	footballers. American journal of sports medicine. 2010;38(10):2058-64.
469	46.47. Wojtys EM, Ashton-Miller JA, Huston LJ. A gender-related difference
470	in the contribution of the knee musculature to sagittal-plane shear stiffness in
471	subjects with similar knee laxity. The Journal of bone and joint surgery
472	American volume. 2002a;84-A(1):10-6.
473	47.48. Wojtys EM, Huston LJ, Boynton MD, Spindler KP, Lindenfeld TN. The
474	effect of the menstrual cycle on anterior cruciate ligament injuries in women
475	as determined by hormone levels. American journal of sports medicine.
476	2002b;30(2):182-8.

477	48. Wu-Y, Cazorla O, Labeit D, Labeit S, Granzier H. Changes in titin and-
478	collagen underlie diastolic stiffness diversity of cardiac muscle. Journal of
479	molecular and cellular cardiology. 2000;32(12):2151-62.

Captions to illustrations

Fig. 1. <u>Myometer was utilized to evaluate cC ontracted MS-measurement technique</u>. MS = muscle

stiffness

Fig. 2. MAS measurement with free oscillation technique. MAS = musculoarticular stiffness

Fig. 3. Comparison of nNormalized peak torque (peak torque/body mass) between males and

<u>females (Mean  $\pm$  SD (Standard Deviation))</u>.

indicates statistically significant difference compared to males.

Fig. 4. <u>Comparison of rRelaxed MS between males and females (Mean  $\pm$  SD)</u>. MS = muscle

stiffness

\* indicates statistically significant difference compared to males.

Fig. 5. <u>Comparison of c</u>Contracted MS <u>between males and females (Mean  $\pm$  SD)</u>. MS = muscle

stiffness

<sup>\*</sup> indicates statistically significant difference compared to males.

Fig. 6. <u>Comparison of MAS between males and females (Mean  $\pm$  SD)</u>. MAS = musculoarticular

stiffness

\* indicates statistically significant difference compared to males.

Fig. 7. Relationship between MAS of the knee joint and applied load. MAS = musculoarticular stiffness

MAS increased with applied load in both genders. Linear regressions between stiffness and

applied load for the male and female populations are significantly different in slope (Males, Y=

36.92X-786.51,  $r^2 = 0.80$ ; Females, Y= 18.32X+224.49,  $r^2 = 0.33$ ).









