Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/



Xuezhang Xiao,^{a,b} Zhe Liu,^a Sina Saremi Yarahmadi,^a Duncan H. Gregory^{a*}

A magnesium hydride composite with enhanced hydrogen desorption kinetics can be synthesized *via* a simple wet chemical route by ball milling MgH₂ with LiCl as an additive at room temperature followed by tetrahydrofuran (THF) treatment under an Ar atmosphere. The as-synthesized composite comprises *ca*. 18 mass% orthorhombic γ -MgH₂ and 80 mass% tetragonal β -MgH₂ as submicron-sized particles. The β -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite exhibits a dehydrogenation capacity of 6.6 wt.% and starts to release hydrogen at ~260 °C; *ca*. 140 °C lower than that of commercial MgH₂. The apparent activation energy for dehydrogenation is 115±3 kJ mol⁻¹, which is *ca*. 46 % lower than that of commercial MgH₂. Analysis suggests that the meta-stable γ -MgH₂ component either directly dehydrogenates exothermically or first transforms into stable β -MgH₂ very close to the dehydrogenation onset. The improved hydrogen release performance can be attributed both to the existence of the MgH₂ nanostructure and to the presence of γ -MgH₂.

1. Introduction

Hydrogen is a promising alternative energy carrier, which can facilitate the transition from fossil fuels to clean energy. Among several tangible advantages are a high energy density, its availability from multiple sources (potentially sustainably) and its utilisation with zero carbon emissions. Implementing a safe, low cost, and fully reversible solid hydrogen storage material with a gravimetric capacity in excess of 7.5 wt.% is major challenge for developing next generation energy storage systems.^{1, 2} Magnesium is a strong candidate material for solid state hydrogen storage because of its excellent reversibility, earth abundance, low cost and high hydrogen storage capacity (7.6 wt.%). It has thus been the topic of extensive research over the last 50 years.^{3, 4} However, the stable phase of magnesium hydride (β -MgH₂) possesses a rutile structure with strong Mg-H bond. The ensuing large decomposition enthalpy of 75 kJ mol⁻¹ H₂ results in relatively high desorption temperatures (>300 °C) coupled with a slow hydrogen diffusion rate, limiting its practical applications.⁵ Different approaches such as catalysis,6,7 alloying,8,9 composite formation^{10, 11} and nanostructuring¹²⁻¹⁴ have been employed to improve the hydrogen uptake/release kinetics and thermodynamics of MgH_2 . One of the most widely used strategies is to reduce the MgH₂ particle size by mechanical ball milling, hence lowering the activation energy of

desorption. Moreover, the use of transition metals (such as Ti, V, Co, Ni, Pd, Al), intermetallic compounds and transition metal oxides, halides and hydrides as additives/catalysts can improve the (de)hydriding kinetics of the Mg-H system.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ However, since such additives add to the mass of the material, the hydrogen storage capacity is reduced, sometimes considerably.

Recent theoretical calculations and experimental results show that the high-pressure meta-stable γ -MgH₂ phase exhibits improved dehydrogenation kinetics compared to that of the room temperature/pressure phase, β -MgH₂.²⁰⁻²³ However, it should be noted that full transformation of β -MgH₂ to γ -MgH₂ has not been successfully achieved previously. γ -MgH₂ is usually produced using extreme processing conditions requiring high pressure (2.5–8 GPa) and high temperature (250–900 °C) or *via* ultra-high energy reactive ball milling under *ca*. 80-150 bar H₂ with a Ti-based catalyst.^{21, 22, 24, ²⁵ Moreover, a fundamental understanding of the formation and decomposition mechanisms of meta-stable γ -MgH₂ is still lacking.}

In the present work, we combined a mechanical ball milling process with THF treatment to prepare a β -/ γ -MgH₂ "nanocomposite" without the need for high (hydrogen) pressure or high temperature. Subsequent studies reveal the likely role of the γ -phase and the γ - β phase transition in the dehydrogenation of nanostructured β -/ γ -MgH₂ as compared with β -MgH₂ itself.

2. Experimental Section

All manipulations were carried out under inert atmosphere in an Argon-filled Saffron Scientific recirculating glovebox (< 10 ppm O₂ and H₂O). MgH₂ (Sigma-Aldrich, 98 %) and LiCl (Sigma-Aldrich, \geq 99.9 %) were used as received. Approximately 0.5 g



a WestCHEM, School of Chemistry, Joseph Black Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ Scotland, UK. E-mail address: Duncan.Gregory@glasgow.ac.uk (D.H.Gregory). Tel.: +44 (0)141 330 6438; Fax: +44 (0)141 330 4888

b School of Materials Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, China. E-mail address: xzxiao@zju.edu.cn (X.Z. Xiao). Tel./fax: +86 (0)571 8795 1876.

ARTICLE

of MgH₂/LiCl (1:1 molar ratio) was ball milled for 4 h at 400 rpm with a ball-to-powder ratio of 40:1 under 1 bar Ar atmosphere using a Retsch PM100 planetary ball mill. Then, the black ball-milled MgH₂/LiCl mixture was washed with tetrahydrofuran (THF) to remove LiCl using centrifugation. This process was repeated several times until a dark brown precipitate was observed. In addition, the precipitate was dried at room temperature under dynamic vacuum using a Schlenk line. For comparison, the as received MgH₂ was first pre-ball milled and then washed with THF and dried subsequently under the same conditions. Hereafter, the as received MgH₂ is denoted as MgH₂-A, the ball milled MgH₂ as MgH₂-B, the ball milled MgH₂/LiCl mixture as MgH₂-D, and the ball milled MgH₂/LiCl mixture after treatment with THF as MgH₂-E.

The phase and structural characterisation of samples was performed by X-ray diffraction in glass capillaries (PXD; Bruker D8 Advance) over a 2θ range of $10-85^\circ$ with a step size of 0.016° using Cu K α radiation. Full profile structure refinement was performed for the MgH2-E sample via the Rietveld method using the GSAS and EXPGUI software packages.^{26, 27} Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy was conducted on ~1 mg solid samples using a Shimadzu 8400S spectrometer equipped with the IR-solution software package, scanning from ca. 500-4000 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹. The solid state samples were measured by Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) by pressing the samples on to the diamond crystal of a MIRacle (PIKE) sample holder. Particle size and morphology were probed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, H Carl-Zeiss Sigma V_p) with an accelerating potential of 10 kV. The samples for SEM were mounted on carbon tabs under an inert atmosphere and sputter coated with gold.

The dehydrogenation behaviour of all the samples was measured by simultaneous thermogravimetric-differential thermal analysis (TG-DTA; Netzsch STA 409 instrument contained within an Ar-filled MBraun UniLab recirculating glovebox with <0.1 ppm H₂O, 0.1 ppm O₂) coupled to a Hiden HPR20 mass spectrometer. Heating rates of 2, 5, 8 and 10 °C min⁻¹ respectively were employed from room temperature to 450 °C under flowing Ar gas (\geq 99.999 % purity, 60 mL min⁻¹).

3. Results and discussion

PXD was performed in order to investigate the effects of ball milling and THF treatment on the structure and composition of the MgH₂ samples. Patterns of MgH₂-A (as-received MgH₂), MgH₂-B (ball-milled MgH₂) and MgH₂/LiCl mixtures before and after THF treatment (MgH₂-C, -D, -E) are shown in Fig. 1. As shown, the as-received hydride is composed mainly of θ -MgH₂ along with a small amount of Mg (Fig. 1(a)). θ -MgH₂ is still present as the main phase after ball milling in MgH₂-B, although possible minor traces of γ -MgH₂ can be detected in this sample and Mg reflections become subsumed into the broader θ -MgH₂ peaks and increased background (Fig. 1(b)). Interestingly, the PXD peaks of γ -MgH₂ become slightly more prominent after THF treatment (MgH₂-D) as shown in Fig. 1(d). However, it should be noted that the intensities of the γ -MgH₂

diffraction peaks in MgH_2 -B and MgH_2 -D are weak compared to the β -MgH₂ reflections, suggesting that the conversion to the γ -phase is very limited in samples milled without additive. This is perhaps not surprising since γ -MgH₂ is a nonequilibrium, meta-stable phase. Its presence as a minor phase might be explained from two competing processes during ball milling: one promotes the formation of γ -MgH₂ via the mechanically (pressure) driven $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$ transformation, whereas the other favours the reverse, thermally driven $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$ transformation.²⁸

The diffraction peaks of β -MgH₂ become broader and relatively weaker for MgH2-C. Cl is the strongest X-ray scatterer in the sample and so LiCl dominates the powder pattern, but nevertheless the data indicate that ball-milling using LiCl can further decrease the particle size and potentially increase the concentration of crystal defects in MgH₂. LiCl has a high solubility in THF (1.14 mmol g⁻¹)²⁹ and as expected, LiCl is completely removed after treating the MgH₂/LiCl mixture with the solvent. The MgH₂-E sample is composed of MgH₂ with Mg (presumably remaining from the original as-received material) present as a minor phase (Fig. 1(e)). Notably, the relative intensity of the γ -MgH₂ diffraction peaks increases after ball milling and treatment with THF. Other variations of the preparative process in which milled samples were mixed with LiCl without washing, washed with THF without adding LiCl or treated with both LiCl and THF, but milled for different times, all resulted in lower (or negligible) γ -MgH₂ content compared to MgH₂-E (ESI; Figure S1).



Fig. 1 PXD patterns of (a) MgH₂-A, (b) MgH₂-B, (c) MgH₂-C, (d) MgH₂-D, (e) MgH₂-E samples. For the definition of the sample labels, please see the accompanying text.

Rietveld refinement against PXD data was performed for MgH_2 -E in order to obtain further information on the phase abundance and cell parameters of the ball-milled MgH_2 /LiCl sample after THF treatment. The results are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1. The quantitative analysis confirms that the MgH_2 -

E sample is composed of two different polymorphs of MgH₂: 18 wt.% orthorhombic γ -MgH₂ (space group: *Pbcn*) and 80 wt.% tetragonal θ -MgH₂ (space group: *P4*₂/mnm) (in addition to 2 wt.% Mg as minor phase). It is well known that the γ -MgH₂ is a high pressure non-equilibrium phase, which is commonly formed by the transformation of θ -MgH₂ at high pressure (typically 2.5–8 GPa) and high temperature (250–900 °C) or by ultra-high energy reactive ball milling under H₂ pressure with a Ti-based catalyst for long durations.^{22, 24, 25}

FTIR spectra were collected for MgH_2 -E to provide further insight in our evaluation of the effect of THF treatment on the formation of the β -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposites (Fig. 3). By means of comparison, the FTIR spectrum of MgH_2 -A (commercial MgH₂) displays two broad Mg-H bands in the range of 1400-800 and 800-500 cm^{-1.30}



Fig. 2 Rietveld profile plot for MgH_2 -E. Observed (crosses), calculated (solid line) and difference curves (bottom line) are shown. Vertical bars (1) correspond to Bragg positions for γ -MgH₂, β -MgH₂ and Mg phases respectively (from top to bottom).

Table 1 Selected crystallographic data obtained from the Rietveld refinement for MgH₂-E.

Radiation, wavelength / Å		X-ray, 1.5406	
Component phase	γ-MgH₂	β -MgH ₂	Mg
Space group	Pbcn	P4₂/mnm	P6₃/mmc
Cell parameters / Å	a=4.440(1)	<i>a</i> =4.5111(3)	a=3.2073(4)
	b=5.409(1)	<i>c</i> =3.0162(2)	<i>c</i> =5.201(2)
	c=4.8804(5)		
Density, ρ_x / g cm ⁻³	1.492	1.424	1.742
Phase fraction / wt.%	18.0(5)	79.6(1)	2.4(1)
Observations, parameters		4831, 56	
R_{wp}, R_{P}		0.046, 0.034	

After 1 min of drying, most of the THF is still present in MgH_2 -E as evidenced in the FTIR spectrum by the strong C-H stretching bands at 2853 and 2978 cm⁻¹ and the very strong ring stretching and breathing bands (C-O and C-C) at *ca*. 909 and 1070 cm⁻¹ (Fig 3(b)).³¹ With the possible exception of the Mg-H bending band centred at ca. 650 cm⁻¹, bands from the hydride are not discernible in this sample given the presence of THF. After 10 min of drying (Fig 3(c)), the weakening of the THF bands observed above suggests that the solvent is almost completely removed from MgH₂-E while the broad Mg-H bands at 1400-800 and 800-500 cm⁻¹ are clearly visible. It should be noted that the colour of the MgH₂-E sample turns

from dark grey to dark brown after treating with THF. This colour remains after drying for 10 min indicating that some polymeric MgH_2 ·THF is still present.^{32, 33} In the case of the 60 min drying, only broad MgH_2 signals remain (Fig 3(d)), suggesting the THF can be completely removed from the MgH_2 -E sample after 60 min drying under dynamic vacuum. (We used the latter conditions as standard for the studies of MgH_2 -E.) Combined with the PXD results in Fig. 1 and 2, it is suggested that the phase transformation process of MgH_2 nanocomposites takes place following reaction (1):

$$\beta$$
-MgH₂·THF $\rightarrow \beta$ -/ γ -MgH₂ + THF (1)

Furthermore, as the drying process was performed, it was observed that MgH₂ powder was almost uniformly deposited on the inner wall of the Schlenk flask while water condensed and ice formed on the outer surface of the flask. These observations would be consistent with the endothermic vapourisation of THF under dynamic vacuum.



Fig. 3 The FTIR spectra of (a) MgH₂-A sample, (b) MgH₂-E sample dried for 1 min, (c) MgH₂-E sample dried for 10 min, and (d) MgH₂-E sample dried for 60 min.

While there are several reports of the synthesis of y-MgH₂ under relatively high pressure and high temperature,²¹⁻²³ to the best of our knowledge, the meta-stable y-phase has not been synthesized previously under such mild conditions as we observe here (ball milling with LiCl for 4 h under 1 bar Ar atmosphere and treating with THF at room temperature). Previously, the room temperature synthesis of 11 wt.% γ -MgH₂ (with the remainder of the hydride present as β -MgH₂) via hydrogenation of Mg in THF solution has been reported but this required a hydrogen gas pressure of 8 MPa for 1-2 days.²¹ From this previous evidence and our own, we propose that the THF solution (and likely formation of the polymeric hydride THF adduct) plays a vital role in the synthesis of the metastable γ -MgH₂ phase. The formation of γ -MgH₂ under mild conditions therefore must be attributable to a combination of ball mill-induced nanostructuring using LiCl together with the THF treatment of the milled hydride material.

Particle size, distribution and the morphology of MgH₂-A, MgH₂-B, and MgH₂-E samples before dehydrogenation were

ARTICLE

characterized using SEM as shown in Fig. 4. Commercial MgH₂ (MgH₂-A) is composed of some laminar structures ranging from 20-150 µm across. In the MgH₂-B sample, the particle size is significantly reduced and ranges from 80-800 nm with an average particle size of ~300 nm due to the agglomeration of the irregular particles that occurs following milling. Interestingly, after ball milling with LiCl as an additive and THF treatment (MgH₂-E), a lamellar microstructure predominates with particle lengths/widths ranging from 50-400 nm and particle thicknesses of the order of 10 nm (Fig 4(c,d)). Moreover, it is apparent that the surfaces of the layer structures in the MgH2-E sample have a high asperity, which would be expected to lead to an increase in specific surface area compared to the smooth particle surfaces in the MgH2-B sample (i.e. ball milled without LiCl). Although agglomeration seems more severe after THF treatment, such a surface morphology in MgH2-E is anticipated to lead to a high concentration of surface defects and coupled with the nanoscaling effects of milling, could enhance diffusion and hence enhance the hydrogen absorption/desorption kinetics.³³

Fig. 5 shows the TG-DTA curves of the MgH₂-A-E samples. The evolved gas mass spectrum from MgH₂-E is presented in Fig. 6. The desorption temperature of commercial MgH₂ peaks above 400 °C, which is in agreement with previous reports.²¹ The weight loss for MgH₂-A (ca. 7.0 wt.%) is slightly lower than the theoretical value, which is due to the Mg impurity present in the commercial sample. Compared with commercial MgH₂, both the desorption temperatures and kinetics of MgH2-B and MgH₂-C are improved because of the reduction in particle size following ball milling. MgH2-C exhibited the lowest desorption peak temperature of 306.5 °C. This stems from the fact that the fine MgH₂-C particles were prepared using LiCl as an additive, which should act as a milling aid, further reducing the particle size.³⁴ It is widely accepted that the smaller the MgH₂ particles, the faster the desorption kinetics and the lower the desorption temperature.¹³ However, the experimental gravimetric hydrogen capacity on desorption is reduced from 6.89 wt.% for MgH_2 -B to 2.57 wt.% for MgH_2 -C, due to the additional mass of "inactive" LiCl in the MgH₂-C sample.

With respect to the DTA curve of MgH2-D, a ca. 20 °C increase in dehydriding peak temperature was observed compared to that of MgH2-B. A comparable increase in peak temperature occurs between MgH2-C and MgH2-E. In both cases the differences probably originate from the increased particle agglomeration following THF treatment. It should be emphasized, however, that the hydrogen desorption onset temperature of MgH₂-D is ca. 25 °C lower than that of MgH₂-B, as is clear from the TG curves. Even more remarkably, the onset of hydrogen desorption for MgH₂-E decreases to ca. 260 °C, which is almost 150 °C lower than that for commercial MgH₂ (MgH₂-A). Moreover, MgH₂-E exhibits a mass loss of 6.6 wt.%. Although this is slightly lower than the theoretical value for dehydrogenation of MgH₂, importantly no other impurity gases (such as THF, HCl, CO or CO_2) were observed in the mass spectrum (Fig. 6). Of particular note, is a weak exothermic peak at ~290 °C that occurs in the DTA profile of MgH2-E before the stronger endothermic signal at ~337 °C (Fig. 5(e)). One possible explanation for this exothermic peak is the phase transformation from meta-stable γ -MgH₂ to the more stable β phase.³⁵ A similar phase transformation phenomenon was also reported in the AlH₃ system, where γ -AlH₃ transforms into the α -phase as an exothermic process.³⁶ Careful comparison of the DTA profiles additionally suggests that a weaker endothermic event overlaps with the exothermic peak at ca. 300 °C and merges with the stronger endothermic peak at ca. 337 °C. This coincides with a weight loss of ca. 1.16 wt.% in the TG profile for MgH₂-E (Fig 5). This weight loss at lower temperature presumably corresponds to the decomposition of a fraction of smaller size β -MgH₂ particles²² that either originate from milling with LiCl (as seen for MgH₂-C) or are generated in situ from γ -MgH₂ (following the exothermic phase transition). The second, strong endothermic peak at ~337 °C in the DTA profile of MgH₂-E thus results from the dehydrogenation of β -MgH₂. Therefore, the improved dehydrogenation characteristics of MgH₂-E could be attributed to the formation of a nanocomposite containing meta-stable $\gamma\text{-MgH}_2$ as engineered by combining ball milling and THF treatments.



Fig. 4 SEM images of (a) MgH₂-A, (b) MgH₂-B, (c) and (d) MgH₂-E samples before dehydrogenation.





Fig. 5 TG-DTA curves of (a) MgH₂-A, (b) MgH₂-B, (c) MgH₂-C, (d) MgH₂-D, and (e) MgH₂-E samples. The heating rate was 2 °C min⁻¹ from room temperature to 450 °C in each case. The inset shows the detail of the mass loss profile for MgH₂-



Fig. 6 Evolved gas mass spectrum from MgH₂-E. The inset compares the signal from H_2 to those for other potential evolved gases. (The heating rate was 2 °C min from room temperature to 450 °C.)

In a bid to understand the dehydrogenation pathway of the β -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite, we performed a series of *ex-situ* PXD experiments sampled from the system at different temperatures during dehydrogenation. Fig. 7 presents the exsitu PXD patterns from the dehydrogenation of MgH₂-E at five selected temperature points. Prior to the heating process, MgH₂-E is composed of β -MgH₂, γ -MgH₂ and Mg. On heating to 200 °C (Fig. 7(b)), the intensity of the γ -MgH₂ diffraction peaks increases coupled with a reduction in peak width due to the improved crystallinity of the phase. As the temperature is increased to 310 °C (Fig. 7(c)), the diffraction peaks of the meta-stable γ -MgH₂ phase disappear completely and the β -MgH₂ diffraction peaks dominate the pattern. Moreover, upon further increase of the temperature to 350 °C, the intensity of the β -MgH₂ reflections gradually diminish, while the Mg peaks intensify. Additional heating to 450 °C leads to the formation of the Mg as the major phase and the complete absence of β -MgH₂. A small amount of MgO is also detected in the patterns,

possibly originating from a surface layer of oxide on particles of the as-supplied MgH₂,²⁸ or arising from handling of the samples during the diffraction experiments. Taking the TG-DTA data (Fig. 5) into account, the weight loss (1.16 wt.% H₂) at 300 °C is ~17.6 % of the total hydrogen gravimetric desorption capacity measured for **MgH₂-E** (6.6 wt.% H₂). This figure (17.6 %) is very close to the γ -MgH₂ phase fraction of 18.0(5) wt.% derived from Rietveld analysis of the θ -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite. Although from the data available it is not possible to determine definitively whether the γ -MgH₂ phase dehydrogenates directly to Mg (equation 2) or first transforms to θ -MgH₂ prior to hydrogen release (equation 3), there is little doubt that the lower temperature hydrogen release is elicited by the presence of the γ -MgH₂ in the hydride mixture.

$$x (\gamma - MgH_2) + (1-x)(\beta - MgH_2) \rightarrow x Mg + (1-x)\beta - MgH_2 + xH_2$$

$$\rightarrow Mg + H_2$$
(2)

$$x (\gamma - MgH_2) + (1-x)(\beta - MgH_2) \rightarrow \beta - MgH_2 \rightarrow Mg + H_2$$
(3)

A similar $\gamma \rightarrow \theta$ phase transformation event has already been proposed from *in situ* PXD experiments in the MgH₂ system.³⁵ We suggest that the release of heat from an exothermic $\gamma \rightarrow \theta$ transition could provide the means by which dehydrogenation occurs more easily in the θ -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite. Ultimately, a detailed dehydrogenation pathway for γ -MgH₂ is not yet known and thermodynamic data for the γ -phase is also not available. Simultaneous *in situ* powder diffraction combined with TGA could provide the best means for elucidating the dehydrogenation mechanism of this θ -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite in the future.



Fig. 7 Ex-situ PXD patterns of MgH₂-E sample at different dehydrogenation stages. (a) before dehydrogenation, (b) dehydrogenated at 200 °C, (c) dehydrogenated at 310 °C, (b) dehydrogenated at 350 °C, (c) dehydrogenated at 450 °C

In order to gain a better insight into how the MgH_2 dehydrogenation kinetics might have been improved as a result of nanocomposite formation, the apparent activation energies (E_a) for dehydrogenation for MgH_2 -A, MgH_2 -B and

 MgH_2 -E were quantitatively determined *via* the Kissinger method, *viz*.³⁷

$$\ln(\delta/T_p^2) = -E_a/RT_p + \ln(AR/E_a)$$
(5)

Where δ is the heating rate, T_{ρ} is the absolute temperature at the maximum desorption rate (desorption peak temperature), A is the pre-exponential factor and R is the gas constant. In this work, T_p was obtained using DTA with heating rates of 2, 5, 8, and 10 °C min⁻¹ respectively. The salient details of the DTA profiles for samples MgH₂-A, MgH₂-B and MgH₂-E are displayed in Fig. 8 and the dependence of $\ln(\delta/T_p^2)$ vs. $1/T_p$ is shown in Fig. 9. The intrinsic linearity of the curves indicates that the hydrogen desorption kinetics of MgH₂ follows the non-isothermal Kissinger equation and comprises a first order decomposition reaction.³⁷ The E_a was calculated from the slope $(-E_a/R)$ of the fitted line, as shown in Fig. 9. The E_a for MgH₂-E is calculated to be 115±3 kJ mol⁻¹, which is ~46 % lower than that of commercial MgH₂ (213±6 kJ mol⁻¹) and ~17 % lower than MgH₂ that is ball milled only (138±6 kJ mol⁻¹). This result suggests that the nanostructuring of the β -/y-MgH₂ composite may lower the driving force for Mg nucleation, resulting in enhanced kinetics and a reduced dehydrogenation temperature without the need for catalysts or additives.³⁸

The as-synthesized θ -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite offers a capacity of 6.6 wt.% H₂ and superior hydrogen desorption kinetics without the requirement of a catalyst. However, from our preliminary experiments, the re-/dehydrogenation properties of the nanocomposite on cycling are not clear. Given the presence of the exothermic step in the initial hydrogen release, one might expect that the advantages of the θ -/ γ -phase behaviour are lost in subsequent cycles unless the material undergoes further processing. Additional experiments are underway to investigate the reversibility of the hydrogen absorption and desorption in the θ -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite system and the feasibility of a catalytic strategy to improve performance and cyclability still further.



Fig. 8 DTA curves of (a) $\rm MgH_2\text{-}A$, (b) $\rm MgH_2\text{-}B$ and (c) $\rm MgH_2\text{-}E$ samples at different heating rates.



Fig. 9 Kissinger plots for the dehydrogenation of (a) MgH_2 -A (commercial MgH_2); (b) MgH_2 -B and (c) MgH_2 -E.

4. Conclusions

In summary, an MgH₂ nanocomposite composed of tetragonal β -MgH₂ and 18 % orthorhombic γ -MgH₂ has been prepared for the first time without recourse to high pressure or temperature. By optimizing the ball milling conditions, addition of LiCl and use of THF solvent, the β -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite so-produced is capable of releasing 6.6 wt.% H₂ with rapid kinetics, from ca. 260 °C without the use of a catalyst. The apparent activation energy for dehydrogenation of the β -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite is calculated to be 115±3 kJ mol⁻¹, which is almost half that of commercial MgH₂ (213±6 kJ mol⁻¹). PXD, FTIR and SEM analyses demonstrate that the formation of meta-stable y-MgH2 under relatively mild conditions is achieved from a combination of ball-milling with LiCl and subsequent treatment with THF. The former treatment is extremely effective in reducing the hydride particle size while the latter appears to encourage y-MgH₂ formation from the hydride THF adduct. Only by combining these two treatments does it appear possible to produce appreciable (>15 wt.%) γ -MgH₂ in the MgH₂ material. It is possible that during the hydrogen desorption process, γ -MgH₂ is first transformed into stable β -MgH₂ followed by dehydrogenation to Mg. The significant improvement in desorption performance of the β -/ γ -MgH₂ nanocomposite over β -MgH₂ itself could be ascribed to two main factors: 1) a reactive, defective nanostructure which could lead to enhanced hydrogen diffusion and 2) an exothermic process associated with the γ - to β -MgH₂ transformation, resulting in improved hydrogen desorption kinetics.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge financial support from the University of Glasgow and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51571179). DHG also thanks the China Scholarship Council for the award of a scholarship to ZL.

Journal Name

References:

- 1 L. Schlapbach and A. Züttel, *Nature*, 2001, **414**, 353–358.
- H. Reardon, J. M. Hanlon, R. W. Hughes, A. Godula-Jopek, T. K. Mandal and D. H. Gregory, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2012, 5, 5951–5979.
- 3 H. Shao, G. Xin, J. Zheng, X. Li and E. Akiba, *Nano Energy*, 2012, **1**, 590–601.
- 4 R. Bardhan, A. M. Ruminski, A. Brand and J. J. Urban, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 4882–4895.
- 5 W. Li, C. Li, H. Ma and J. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 6710–6711.
- 6 Z. Dehouche, J. Goyette, T. Bose, J. Huot and R. Schulz, *Nano Letters*, 2001, **1**, 175–178.
- 7 C. An, G. Liu, L. Li, Y. Wang, C. Chen, Y. Wang, L. Jiao and H. Yuan, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 3223–3230.
- 8 C. S. Zhou, Z. G. Z. Fang, J. Lu and X. Y. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10982–10985.
- 9 S. Er, G. A. de Wijs and G. Brocks, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 1982–1986.
- K. J. Jeon, H. R. Moon, A. M. Ruminski, B. Jiang, C. Kisielowski, R. Bardhan and J. J. Urban, *Nature Mater.*, 2011, **10**, 286–290.
- 11 H. Imamura, M. Kusuhara, S. Minami, M. Matsumoto, K. Masanari, Y. Sakata, K. Itoh and T. Fukunaga, *Acta Mater.*, 2003, **51**, 6407–6414.
- 12 Y. S. Au, M. K. Obbink, S. Srinivasan, P. C. Magusin, K. P. de Jong and P. E. de Jongh, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 3604– 3611.
- 13 M. Paskevicius, D. A. Sheppard and C. E. Buckley, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 5077–5083.
- 14 A. Zaluska, L. Zaluski and J. O. Strom-Olsen, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1999, **288**, 217–225.
- 15 G. Liang, J. Huot, S. Boily, A. Van Neste and R. Schulz, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1999, **292**, 247–252.
- 16 M. Song, J.-L. Bobet and B. Darriet, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2002, **340**, 256–262.
- 17 L. P. Ma, P. Wang and H. M. Cheng, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2010, 35, 3046–3050.
- 18 J. Lu, Y. J. Choi, Z. Z. Fang, H. Y. Sohn and E. Rönnebro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15843–15852.
- 19 T. Liu, C. Qin, T. Zhang, Y. Cao, M. Zhu and X. Li, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2012, 22, 19831–19838.
- 20 P. Vajeeston, P. Ravindran, M. Fichtner and H. Fjellvag, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2012, **116**, 18965–18972.
- 21 H. Shao, M. Felderhoff, F. Schuth and C. Weidenthaler, *Nanotechnology*, 2011, **22**.
- 22 R. Varin, T. Czujko and Z. Wronski, *Nanotechnology*, 2006, **17**, 3856.
- 23 M. Bortz, B. Bertheville, G. Böttger and K. Yvon, J. Alloys Compd., 1999, 287, L4–L6.
- 24 M. Ponthieu, F. Cuevas, J. F. Fernández, L. Laversenne, F. Porcher and M. Latroche, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2013, 117, 18851–18862.
- 25 S. Cui, W. Feng, H. Hu, Z. Feng and Y. Wang, *Solid State Commun.*, 2008, **148**, 403–405.
- 26 A.C. Larson and R.B. vonDreele, General Structure Analysis System (GSAS), Los Alamos National Laboratory Report LAUR, pp. 86–748, Los Alamos, NM, USA, 1995.
- 27 B. H. Toby, Journal of Applied Crystallography, 2001, 34, 210–213.
- 28 J. Huot, G. Liang, S. Boily, A. Van Neste and R. Schulz, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 1999, **293**, 495–500.
- 29 U. Wietelmann, A. Murso and S. Lang in *Lewis acid solutions* in an oxygen donor-containing solvent or solvent mixture, Google Patents, **2013**.
- 30 X. Wang and L. Andrews, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2004, 108, 11511– 11520.

- 31 J. M. Eyster and E. W. Prohofsky, Spectrochim. Acta A 1974, 30, 2041-2046.
- 32 M. J. Michalczyk, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 2307-2309.
- 33 M. Au, J. Mater. Sci, 2006, 41, 5976–5980.
- 34 D. A. Sheppard, M. Paskevicius and C. E. Buckley, J. Alloys Compd., 2010, **492**, L72–L74.
- 35 C. Ren, Z. Z. Fang, C. S. Zhou, J. Lu, Y. Ren, X. Y. Zhang and X.
 Y. Luo, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014, **39**, 5868–5873.
- 36 J. Graetz and J. J. Reilly, J. Alloys Compd., 2006, 424, 262–265.
- 37 H. E. Kissinger, Analytical Chemistry, 1957, 29, 1702–1706.
- 38 L. Zhang, L. Chen, X. Xiao, X. Fan, J. Shao, S. Li, H. Ge and Q. Wang, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2014, **39**, 12715–12726.