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#### Abstract

The Electro-Hydro-Dynamic (EHD) interaction induced in atmospheric-pressure air by a surface Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD) actuator has been experimentally investigated. Plasma Synthetic Jets Actuators (PSJAs) are DBD actuators able to induce an air stream, perpendicular to the actuator surface. These devices can be used in the aerodynamics field to prevent or induce flow separation, modify the laminar to turbulent transition inside the boundary layer, and stabilize or mix air flows. They can also be used to enhance indirect plasma treatment effects, increasing the reactive species delivery rate onto surfaces and liquids. This can play a major role in plasma processing and chemical kinetics modelling, where only diffusive mechanisms are often considered.

This paper reports on the importance that different electrode geometries can have on the performance of different PSJAs. A series of DBD aerodynamic actuators designed to produce perpendicular jets have been fabricated on 2-layer printed circuit boards (PCBs). Linear and annular geometries have been considered, testing different upper electrode distances in the linear case and different diameters in the annular one. AC voltage supplied at 11.5 kV peak and 5 kHz frequency has been used. Lower electrodes were connected to ground and buried in epoxy resin to avoid undesired plasma generation on the lower actuator surface.

Voltage and current measurements have been carried out to evaluate the active power delivered to the discharges. Schlieren imaging allowed to visualize the induced jets and gave an estimate of their evolution and geometry. Pitot tube measurements were performed to obtain the PSJAs' velocity profiles and to estimate the mechanical power delivered to the fluid.

Optimal values of the inter-electrode distance and diameter have been found in order to maximize jet velocity, mechanical power or efficiency. Annular geometries are found to achieve the best performances.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the last twenty years, the field of active flow control has been growing considerably [1]. An efficient active flow control system can prevent or induce flow separation, modify the laminar to turbulent transition inside the boundary layer, and stabilize or mix air flows in order to avoid unwanted vibrations, noise, and energy losses. Moreover, it can reduce the drag and enhance the lift of a wing [2].

EHD interaction is produced by a non-thermal plasma layer generated by a Dielectric Barrier Discharge (DBD); this effect is also called ionic wind. This ionic wind can significantly modify the properties of the
boundary layer developing on body surfaces [3]. Interest for this phenomenon is growing in aeronautics, astronautics [4-20], and for turbine blade applications [21-25]. Plasma aerodynamic actuators are more attractive than other active actuator technologies because they feature high dynamic responses due to the absence of moving parts and low weight, they are easy to build, backward compatible with existing aerodynamic surfaces, and generate negligible aerodynamics interferences when not in use.

The EHD effect can also be used to generate convective flows. Such a feature can be exploited to enhance indirect plasma treatments, increasing the delivery of plasma reactive species onto surfaces or liquids, thereby increasing their efficacy (sterilization, ozonisation, surface treatments, etc.) [26, 27].

A DBD aerodynamic plasma actuator can be constituted by a dissymmetric electrode pair separated by a dielectric slab (Fig. 1). When high voltages (typically $10-50 \mathrm{kV}$ at $1-100 \mathrm{kHz}$ ) are applied to the electrodes, a surface discharge is produced. Due to the asymmetric electrode arrangement, a suction region is generated above the exposed electrode [28]. Air enters this depression region and experiences a unidirectional thrust tangentially to the actuator surface. The discharge appears to be macroscopically homogeneous to the unaided eye, but it is constituted by a sequence of micro-discharges lasting typically for tens of nanoseconds, with a repetition rate of several hundreds of MHz [3]. The discharge dynamics can be inferred by voltage-current time behaviour reported in Fig. 2.


Fig. 1-A DBD aerodynamic plasma actuator constituted by a dissymmetric electrode pair separated by a dielectric layer.


Fig. 2 - Voltage and current time behaviours. Plasma is ignited during the highlighted time intervals.

Plasma is ignited during the time intervals in which the absolute value of the voltage increases (time intervals $A$ and $B$ highlighted in Fig. 2). Each current pulse is formed by assembly sequence of streamers that are thin filaments, with a diameter of $10-100 \mu \mathrm{~m}[29,30]$.

The presence of plasma and the particular electrode configuration induce a jet tangential to the actuator wall [3]. A so-called vectorized jet, perpendicular to the actuator surface, can be produced by two tangential jets acting in opposite directions, colliding with each other (Fig. 3). The easiest system achieving such effect provides two linear couples of electrodes, in a symmetrical layout [31-34].


Fig. 3 - A perpendicular vectorized jet produced by two DBD actuators acting in opposite directions.

Previous works have established that DBD plasma actuators can influence the fluid dynamics of an airfoil for traveling speeds up to $20 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ [34-36]. Such relatively low speed limit is a typical restriction characterizing such plasma actuators.

Part of the reason behind this restricting factor is the top speed of the ionic wind. The wind speed is limited by the highest intensity of the electric field generated in the actuator; higher fields can damage the dielectric layer and/or progressively change the plasma morphology. With higher voltages and higher electric fields, a less diffused morphology of the discharge, with stronger and longer streamers, may be originated. Such inhomogeneous plasma is less efficient from the EHD effect point of view [2]. Other known parameters are able to increase the ionic wind speed which include the dielectric layer properties and the waveform of the voltage feeding the electrodes [37-46].

Another factor influencing the EHD effect is geometry [39,41]. This study will focus on the importance that different actuator geometries can have on the EHD effect. Linear and annular electrodes (Fig. 4) with different electrode distances and diameters have been studied. Electrodynamic and fluid dynamic measurements have been performed to characterize the plasma and the EHD effect intensity. Optimal values of the inter-electrode distance in linear devices and the diameter in annular devices have been found in order to maximise the jet's velocity, mechanical power and efficiency.


Fig. 4 - Tested geometries: linear (left) and annular (right).

## 2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A series of DBD aerodynamic actuators, producing jets perpendicularly to the actuator surface, have been fabricated on 2-layer PCBs, with $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ thick copper electrodes and 1.5 mm thick glass epoxy (FR-4) as dielectric. Linear and annular geometries have been used. In the linear case two linear high voltage upper electrodes parallel to each other were used in order to generate a perpendicular jet. The annular ones are axisymmetric. In this case one high voltage upper electrode produces the perpendicular jet. Annular and linear layouts share the same cross section. Those two configurations layouts are shown in Fig. 4. Electrode width has been fixed to 5 mm . In the linear case the length of the electrodes along the $z$-axis is 50 mm . This work studies actuators with upper electrode gaps in the linear case (Lin Fig. 4) and electrode diameters in the circular case ( $D$ in Fig. 4) of 10, 14, 18, 22, 26, 30, 34, 38, 42, 46, and 50 mm .

Experiments were performed in still air at atmospheric pressure. The power supply feeding the actuator was constituted by a signal generator, an amplifier, and a transformer, as shown in [47]. An HP-Agilent 33120-A signal generator produced a low voltage ( $2-4 \mathrm{~V}$ ) AC signal with a frequency of 5 kHz that was delivered to an Elgar Model 3001 AC amplifier. A high voltage ferrite transformer was used to increase the voltage to the desired value of $11.5 \mathrm{kV}_{\mathrm{p}}$.

Voltage and current applied to the electrodes have been measured by means of two probes connected to a Yokogawa DL1740 4-channel, 500 MHz bandwidth, $1 \mathrm{GS} / \mathrm{s}$ oscilloscope. A capacitively compensated high voltage probe with a bandwidth up to 75 MHz Tektronix P6015 was used to measure the voltage. An AC/DC Hall current probe with a bandwidth up to 100 MHz Tektronix TCP312 is utilized to measure the current. Instantaneous active power measurements have been evaluated by means of the following expression:

$$
p(t)=v(t) \cdot i(t)
$$

where $v(t)$ and $i(t)$ are the measured voltage and current, $t$ is time. The mean power values reported in this work are obtained by averaging over 25 AC periods.

Schlieren images have been taken using a Z-type configuration setup as described in [47]. The light beam was along the z-direction of Fig. 4. The knife edge was parallel to the actuator surface (x-direction in Fig. 4) so that the Schlieren diagnostic could record density gradients perpendicular to it (y-direction in Fig. 4).

Velocity profiles along the x-direction have been measured by means of a glass Pitot tube moved by a step motor with a linear resolution of 0.03 mm . The Pitot tube was connected to a DCAL401 Sursense ultra-low pressure sensor with a sensitivity of $32 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{Pa}$ and the measurements have been averaged over 5 different tests [47]. All the measurements have been filtered by using a first order RC low pass filter with a cut off frequency of 100 Hz . Negative pressures detected from the sensor have been set to zero, since only positive pressures can be correlated to air velocities when using a Pitot tube.

ICCD images have been taken using a 4-Picos-dig S20 UV camera equipped with a Nikkor 35-80 f1/4-f1/5.6 lens and an $80 \mu$ s exposure time interval. Separate images of streamers coming from the upper electrode supplied with positive and negative voltages have been taken. In order to do that, the camera has been triggered when the AC voltage crosses the zero with a rising edge (positive voltage streamers) or a falling edge (negative voltage streamers). An $80 \mu$ s exposure time interval has been used. This value ensures only positive or negative voltage streamers are imaged, since the time period of a semi-cycle for the applied 5 kHz AC voltage is $100 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ (Fig.2).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Electric measurements

The voltage amplitude and frequency were kept constant at $11.5 \mathrm{kV}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and 5 kHz for all the actuator geometries. In Fig. 5 the average electrical power absorbed by the linear and the annular actuators is plotted. The continuous blue line plot shows the linear actuators, the dashed red one shows the annular actuators. Each of these values refer to the average over 25 time periods of the AC supplied voltage. The standard deviation value observed is limited to $3 \%$.


Fig. 5 - Electric power as a function of distances and diameters. The continuous blue line plot shows the linear actuators, the dashed red one shows the annular actuators.

In linear actuators, the electrical power consumption goes from 9 W with an inter-electrode distance of 10 mm up to 17 W at a distance of 26 mm . With larger distances the electrical power remains almost constant at this last value. With annular actuators the electric power increases by increasing the electrode diameter. In fact, the plasma surface linearly grows with increasing diameters. This is due to the geometry of the actuator.

In order to further investigate the nature of those power trends, separate images of streamers coming from the upper electrode supplied with positive and negative voltages have been taken. Figure 6 shows the plasma produced on annular and linear actuators, with an inter-electrode gap of 10 and 26 mm . The upper part of the images show plasma streamers generated from a positive voltage ( A in Fig.2). The lower part of the images show streamers generated from a negative voltage (B in Fig.2). It is well known from the literature [49] that AC driven DBD actuators streamers are longer, brighter and more spatially separated during positive voltage slopes, while more diffused and limited during the negative ones.


Fig. 6 - ICCD imaging of annular (left column) and linear (right column) actuators, with gaps between the upper electrodes of 10 mm (first row) and 26 mm (second row). Each image shows both positive (+ sign at the figure top) and negative (- sign at the figure bottom) streamers.

For the 10 mm gaps, in both the annular and the linear case, it appears that streamers are completely covering the inter-electrode area. With a gap of 26 mm , streamers are covering only a part of the interelectrode surface in both cases.

The average length in x-direction crossed by a streamer and the dielectric surface covered by plasma has been then determined from the ICCD plasma images. In Fig. 7 these quantities are plotted as functions of the actuator gap (distance of the upper electrodes in the linear actuator and inner diameter in the annular actuator).


Fig. 7 - (A) Streamer length in annular actuators (blue, continuous line) and in linear actuators (red, dashed line) as a function of the inter-electrode gap and (B) dielectric surface covered by plasma in annular actuators (blue, continuous line) and in linear actuators (red, dashed line) as a function of the interelectrode gap.

Fig. 7 shows how in linear actuators the streamer length is increasing for growing gaps from 10 to 22 mm . With gaps larger than 22 mm , the streamer length remains about equal to 7.4 mm . Correspondingly, the plasma surface goes from $500 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ to about $740 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. With larger gaps (corresponding to a constant streamer length), plasma surface remains about equal to $740 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$.

We can deduce that when the streamer is free to completely develop from an upper electrode along the $x$ direction, it crosses a distance of about 7.4 mm ; this is what happens for gaps larger than 22 mm . For shorter gaps, the length travelled is smaller, as another streamer is coming from the opposite upper
electrode. Streamers heads are characterized by a high charged particle density with the same polarity. Two streamers coming from opposite electrodes will therefore tend to repel each other, limiting their development and reducing the distance they can cover.

Such behaviour explains the linear actuators electric power plot we've described in Fig.5. The electrical power increases when the streamer length and the plasma surface increase. For electrode gaps larger than 26 mm , the streamers length and therefore the plasma surface and electrical power, remain constant.

For the annular actuators, when the streamer length is considered, a behaviour similar to the one seen with the linear actuators is observed. However, the plasma surface has an almost linear dependence to the electrode inner diameter, due the azimuthal symmetry of the electrode. We can again correlate the plasma surface area to the electrical power feeding the annular actuators, completely justifying the results described in Fig.5.

## Jet Velocity and mechanical efficiency

Normal component ( $y$-direction) of the induced velocity has been measured by using a Pitot tube positioned at a distance of 5 mm from actuator surface and moved parallel to it (x-direction). All velocity measurements reported in this work were characterized by relative standard deviations within $10 \%$. This will provide the flow velocities map in the jet's cross-section, 5 mm above the actuator.

In linear actuators, the jet develops with an elongated shape on the $z-y$ plane. For a long enough actuator, the velocity measured at the same $x$ coordinate and at different $z$ coordinates will result to be the same. In annular actuators, the jet is axisymmetric along the $y$-axis. Given a constant $x$ coordinate, the velocity will be constant for different values of the azimuthal coordinate $\varphi$. Scanning each actuator along the $x$ direction will therefore give us a complete picture of the velocities found in the entire jets.

Figure 8 shows the velocity profiles of linear actuators for different inter-electrode gaps. By increasing the inter-electrode distances, a larger width of the profile in x-direction is observed. For gaps larger than 26 mm the profile dimension remains nearly unchanged. However, with bigger gaps, the maximum value of the velocity decreases.


Fig. 8 - Linear actuators velocity profiles at a 5 mm height above the dielectric surface.

Fig. 9 shows the velocity profiles of the annular actuators at a 5 mm height above the dielectric surface. In this case, broader profiles have always been measured for increasing diameters.


Fig. 9 - Annular actuators velocity profiles at a 5 mm height above the dielectric surface.

Maximum velocities achieved at a height of 5 mm above the actuators in the linear and the annular cases are shown in Fig. 10. In the annular actuator the maximum velocity is observed at the centre of the annular actuator, where the $x=0$ coordinate has been imposed. The maximum velocity increases with larger inner diameters of the upper electrode, until a diameter of 30 mm is reached. With this diameter the maximum velocity measured at 5 mm above the actuator surface is $6.1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. For diameters larger than 30 mm , maximum velocities are decreasing. In the linear actuator the maximum velocity is reached at the centre of the inter-electrode gap, where the coordinate $x=0$ has been imposed. Their plot confirms the same trend discussed in the annular case. With this geometry, the highest value of the maximum velocity is $3.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$, and it is reached by an electrode gap between 14 and 18 mm .


Fig. 10 - Maximum velocity measured at a distance of 5 mm upon the dielectric surface for different electrode distances and diameters.

The actuators efficiency is defined as the ratio between mechanical and electrical power. The mechanical power for the linear actuator $P_{m, L}$ is derived from the kinetic energy of the jet. It was calculated from the measured velocity profiles, by means of the following expression [50]:

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~L}}=\frac{1}{2} \rho \mathrm{~L} \int_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}^{3}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx}
$$

Similarly, mechanical power for the annular actuators, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{A}}$, is defined by:

$$
P_{m, A}=\frac{1}{2} \rho \pi \int_{a}^{b} x u^{3}(x) d x
$$

In both expressions, $\rho$ is atmospheric pressure air density, L is the electrode length in the z -direction (50 $\mathrm{mm})$, a and b correspond to the edges of the gap on the x -direction, and $u(x)$ is the velocity profile.


Fig. 11 - Efficiency of the actuator with linear and annular electrodes for different electrode gaps and diameters. The continuous blue plot refers to the linear actuator. The dashed red plot refers to the annular one.

The actuator efficiency is plotted in Fig. 11. The continuous blue plot refers to the linear actuator. The dashed red plot refers to the annular one. Fig. 11 shows that the most efficient annular actuator is the one with a 42 mm diameter. On the other hand, linear actuators don't show a clear trending and we cannot define a single, most efficient geometry. It must be noticed that annular actuators can achieve better efficiencies than the linear ones.

## Schlieren Imaging

In order to visualize the jet during its development, Schlieren images were taken. The CCD camera was triggered with increasing delay times after the discharge ignition, obtaining a complete picture of the jets' development. The exposure time of the camera was set to 1 ms . The validity of this procedure has been checked acquiring several pictures with the same delay and condition, obtaining the same results [47].

Schlieren images of linear and annular jets after 30 ms from discharge ignition are shown in Fig.12. The jet images confirm the velocity profiles already discussed. After 30 ms from discharge ignition, the height of the jet of the best performing annular actuator (Fig.12-E) is about 60 mm and the height of the linear best one is about 42 mm .

Linear jets laminar flow regions are much more limited than the annular counterparts. This effect is supposedly coming from an additional pinching effect annular electrodes produce. While linear actuators are forming a jet on the $y$-z plane using two streams of ionized air, pushing towards each other on the $x$ axis, the annular ones are producing the same effect on a cylindrical geometry. This in turn generates a
pinching effect along the $y$-axis that might be the key to the better performances observed with annular electrodes.


Fig. 12 - Schlieren images of linear jets with a $10 \mathrm{~mm}(A), 30 \mathrm{~mm}(B)$ and $50 \mathrm{~mm}(C)$ electrode gap, and annular jets with a $10 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{D}), 30 \mathrm{~mm}(E)$ and $50 \mathrm{~mm}(F)$ diameter, after 30 ms from the discharge ignition.

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Power has been considered the major player when trying to relate plasma parameters with EHD effect. For example, it is well-known that for a given EHD plasma actuator, the velocity of the air jet induced by the DBD discharge increases with the average power until a plateau is reached, and further increases of the average power usually decrease the observed speed[2]. This study shows that geometrical factors are equally important and that at constant input power density different PSJA geometries achieve different performance.

In the case of annular PSJAs, there is an enhancement given by an axisymmetric pinching effect and their performance in terms of both velocity and conversion efficiency from electrical to kinetic energy can be up to two times in comparison with that of linear actuators.

Therefore, it is concluded that PSJAs geometry is a crucial variable of an EHD plasma actuator. Electrode pattern and inter-electrode distance should be carefully considered in DBD plasma flow control applications. It is not only a simple design choice, but also an effective parameter to be tuned for optimal performance. For the materials and power input levels used in this study, annular electrodes with a 30 mm diameter outperform other designs. Different boundary conditions are likely to influence the optimal diameter, but annular electrodes seem to be fundamentally better than other geometries.

Mathematical models simulating the chemical yield of reactive species from a surface DBD plasma sometimes do not take into account the convective mechanisms [52-55], but this work shows how important they can actually be. The convective mechanism induced by the air jet is also generating a region with a negative pressure gradient above the exposed electrode. This region will constantly introduce new air into the plasma volume, significantly influencing its chemistry. Another interesting topic would be the influence that an enhanced jet might have for a reactive species delivery to a distant target. This might be of first importance on applications for surface treatments and sterilizations.
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