

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Institutional Repository (<https://dspace.lboro.ac.uk/>) by the author and is made available under the following Creative Commons Licence conditions.



CC creative commons
COMMONS DEED

Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 2.5

You are free:

- to copy, distribute, display, and perform the work

Under the following conditions:

BY: **Attribution.** You must attribute the work in the manner specified by the author or licensor.

Noncommercial. You may not use this work for commercial purposes.

No Derivative Works. You may not alter, transform, or build upon this work.

- For any reuse or distribution, you must make clear to others the license terms of this work.
- Any of these conditions can be waived if you get permission from the copyright holder.

Your fair use and other rights are in no way affected by the above.

This is a human-readable summary of the [Legal Code \(the full license\)](#).

[Disclaimer](#) 

For the full text of this licence, please go to:
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

**Male and Female Upper Body Sweat Distribution during Running
Measured With Technical Absorbents**

*George Havenith¹⁾, Alison Fogarty¹⁾, Rebecca Bartlett¹⁾, Caroline J. Smith¹⁾, and Vincent
Ventenat²⁾.*

¹⁾Dept. Human Sciences, Loughborough University, UK

²⁾Centre de Recherche DECATHLON, Villeneuve d'Asq - France

Contact person: G.Havenith@lboro.ac.uk

Dept Human Sciences
Loughborough University
Ashby Road
Loughborough LE11 3TU
United Kingdom

Abstract

Body sweat distribution over the upper body in nine clothed male and female runners of equal fitness while running at 65% $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ and subsequent 15 minute rest in a moderate climate (25°C, 53% rh) was investigated using technical absorbent materials to collect the sweat produced. No significant difference in whole body mass loss (male 474 SD 80; female 420 SD 114 g.m⁻².h⁻¹) nor surface weighted average of all tested zones for exercise (male 636 SD 165; female 565 SD 222 g.m⁻².h⁻¹) nor rest (male 159 SD 46; female 212 SD 75 g.m⁻².h⁻¹) were observed. Local sweat rate (LSR) ranges were large and overlapped substantially in most areas. Males showed higher LSR for the mid-front (p<0.05), sides (p<0.05), and mid lateral back (p<0.01) compare to females. Both sexes showed similar sweat distribution patterns over the upper body with some exceptions. Males showed higher relative (local to overall) sweat rates than females for the mid lateral back (p<0.001), while it was lower for the upper arm (p<0.001), lateral lower back (p<0.05), and upper central back (p<0.05). Sweating in both sexes was highest along the spine, and higher on the back as a whole than the chest as a whole. Upper arm sweat rate was lowest. Males showed a higher ratio of highest to lowest LSR (4.4 versus 2.8; p<0.05). The present study has provided more detailed information, based on more subjects, on upper body sweat distribution than previously available, which can be used in clothing design, thermo-physiological modelling, and thermal manikin design.

Abstract word count 250

21

Keywords: sweating, sex, gender, exercise, regional, clothing

1 **Introduction**

2 The study of regional sweat rates has gained renewed interest for developments in sportswear
3 and outdoor clothing, where with advancing textile technology a more regionalised design is
4 now possible. Also the development of more sophisticated sweating thermal manikins, which
5 are now able to simulate sweat production in different body zones, require such data, as do
6 developers of mathematical models of human thermoregulation, where they want to include
7 regional differences. The present study was initiated with these applications in mind, and will
8 attempt to chart regional sweat rate on the upper body, covering the whole torso skin area and
9 the upper arms. In addition, attention will be given on how these sweat rates are different
10 between a group of males and females and how the sex of the participants affects the sweat
11 distribution.

12
13 Differences in whole body sweat rates between sexes are well investigated, with most research
14 indicating lower overall sweat rates in females linked to a higher core and skin temperature
15 setpoint for sweating in the females (Bar-Or 1998, Bittel and Henane 1975, Cunningham et al.
16 1978, Fox et al. 1969, Haslag and Hertzman 1965, Wyndham 1965). Others, studying males and
17 females at equal relative workloads, or of equal fitness levels, observed similar sweat rates in
18 absolute values or when expressed as % of the maximal sweat rate for the individual (Davies
19 1979, Havenith et al. 1990, 1995) showing the importance of fitness above that of sex for
20 thermoregulatory responses (Havenith et al. 2001).

21 Regional sweat rates in males versus females received less attention, with most studies on
22 regional sweat distribution focussing on males, studying sweat regulation (Nadel et al. 1971),
23 effects of fitness (Inoue et al. 1999), and ageing (Inoue et al. 1991). Only one study reported
24 actual data for regional female and male sweat rates (Inoue et al. 2005), be it in passive
25 heating without exercise. Several studies looked at heat activated sweat gland (HASG)
26 distribution over the body. Kondo et al. (1998) and Inoue et al. (1991) observed similar
27 HASG densities for back, forearm and thigh, though sweat production was lower on the
28 extremities. Comparing males versus females it was observed that females had a higher
29 density of HASG than males (Bar-Or et al. 1968, Kenney 1985, Kawahata 1960), though as
30 mentioned earlier sweat output was less indicating the production of more but smaller sweat
31 drops in females. The latter may affect evaporative efficiency (Bar-Or 1998). Total numbers
32 of HASG for males are supposedly higher than for females (Kenney et al. 1985) though Knip

1 (1969) calculated equal numbers on the basis of the lower surface area for females combined
2 with the higher HASG density.

3 Most of the mentioned studies of regional sweat rate distribution used 3 to 5 ventilated sweat
4 capsules per experiment with typically a single capsule per body part. As these capsules each
5 cover only around 2 to 9 cm², this implies that only a small sample of the whole body is taken
6 and it remains unclear how representative these samples are for the whole area on which they
7 are placed (typically chest, back, arm, thigh) or for overall body sweat rate (Cotter, 1995).
8 Fewer studies have attempted measuring the whole skin in the area studied, rather than just a
9 small zone. Very recently several attempts were made to get data with more extensive skin
10 coverage of body segments: Studies with increased numbers of capsules were performed on
11 the feet (5 capsules, Taylor et al. 2006) and head (10 capsules, Machado-Moreira et al, 2007^b),
12 while Fogarty et al. (2007) studied regional foot sweat rates of people carrying a back-pack,
13 using absorbents applied for short periods to the whole foot skin (8 separate zones) while the
14 participants were walking and Smith et al. (2007) did the same for squash players, covering
15 their whole arm and hand skin (11 zones). To our knowledge, few such detailed data were
16 available on the upper body, and only recently this has become a topic of detailed study
17 (Havenith et al. 2007 using absorbents, Machado-Moreira et al, 2007^a using 12 capsules on
18 torso). The torso and upper arm will therefore be the focus of the present study.

19

20 With the application of the data in sports clothing design in mind, the situation of fit runners
21 performing a one hour run in normal running gear in a moderate environment was chosen as
22 test protocol. The goal of the experiment was to measure a large number of locations in one
23 test, sampling the whole skin in these areas. Most available techniques for quantitative sweat
24 sampling would be too complex and time consuming, making simultaneous measurement of
25 many zones impossible. Based on experience of the authors in earlier studies (Inoue et al.
26 1999), the ventilated capsule method was believed to be too difficult to apply reliably in large
27 numbers covering sufficient upper body areas simultaneously during running; would be
28 difficult to apply airtight in the concave area of the spine; and would interfere with arm
29 movement at the side. Hence it was decided to use an absorbent based method for use in this
30 study.

31

32

1 **Methods**

2 Nine females and nine males volunteered to participate in this study. All were fit and regular
3 runners. After explanation of the study methods and goals they all signed an informed
4 consent. The study was approved by the Loughborough University ethics committee.
5 Participants visited the laboratory twice. Once for familiarisation with the equipment,
6 determination of fitness and running speed and to have their anthropometric torso measures
7 taken, and a second time for the actual test. A number of torso and arm dimensions were
8 taken, which allowed the sweat absorbent patches to be individually sized, and ensured that
9 the same areas were covered on each participant, scaled to their body size (see electronic
10 supplementary material, ESM 1). Sampling areas were selected based on discussion with
11 clothing designers. Aerobic fitness levels, expressed as $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ were deduced from the relation
12 between measured heart rate and workload (calculated from treadmill speed and angle;
13 Epstein et al. 1987) on the treadmill taken at submaximal levels in the absence of any heat
14 stress using the Åstrand-Ryhming methodology (American College of Sports Medicine,
15 1995).

16 Before each trial, 3 sets of absorbent material patches (Technical Absorbents Ltd, Grimsby,
17 UK) were cut to size, individually placed in an airtight zip-lock bag and weighed. After the
18 trial these were weighed again and based on the weight change and the surface area of the
19 patch sweat rate was calculated.

20

21 Running trial protocol:

22 All trials were completed in a climate controlled laboratory where the average temperature
23 and relative humidity were 25.5°C (SD 0.6) and 53% (SD 5) respectively. Participants were
24 instructed against the use of alcohol the day before the test, and ingestion of food and caffeine
25 2 hours before the trial. They also received instructions on control of their hydration level with
26 the goal of maintaining euhydration. Upon arrival, participants donned a pair of testing shorts,
27 and their weight was taken. They then donned their own shoes and socks, females their own
28 sports bra, and a T-shirt (Quechua Novadry; Decathlon, France) provided by the laboratory.
29 Subsequently, their resting heart rate (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland) and an auditory
30 canal temperature (Thermoscan, Braun GmbH, Kronberg, Germany) and oral temperatures
31 were recorded. Throughout the trial, the participant's heart rate was recorded at 15-second
32 intervals. The running was completed on a treadmill (h/p/cosmos mercury 4.0, h/p/cosmos
33 sports & medical gmbh, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany), with three 50 cm diameter fans (JS

1 Humidifiers plc, Littlehampton, UK), arranged in a vertical line to provide an equal
2 distribution over the height of the body, set at a wind speed of $2.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$ to simulate wind
3 cooling. Wind speed relative to the participant was arbitrarily set lower than running speed to
4 accommodate for situations where actual wind is present but does not come from the front
5 (for detailed consideration see ISO 9920, 2007). Participants were required to run for 60
6 minutes (Fig. 1). The first 5 minutes of the trial were used to warm-up and to determine the
7 running pace. The treadmill speed was determined through consultation with the participant to
8 ensure they could maintain the speed throughout the 60-min trial and have an average heart
9 rate between 150 and 160 $\text{beats}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, aiming for a relative work rate of 65% of $\dot{V}O_{2\text{max}}$.
10 Following the 60-minute run, data collection continued for another 15 minutes. During this
11 time, the participant sat on a stool placed on the treadmill in the $2.0 \text{ m}\cdot\text{sec}^{-1}$ wind. Throughout
12 the trial, participants were able to drink water at ambient temperature freely and were
13 encouraged to remain euhydrated. The water consumed was recorded.

14

15 Sweat sampling periods

16 There were three sweat sampling periods during the trial. During the first two sampling
17 periods, the participant stepped off the treadmill; their T-shirt (and sports bra) was removed;
18 and sweat was wiped off with a towel. For determination of regional skin temperatures,
19 Infra-red thermal image photographs (Thermacam B2, FLIR Systems Ltd., West Malling,
20 Kent, United Kingdom) were taken of their dried upper body (front and back). Pictures were
21 later analysed (Thermacam Reporter Pro, FLIR Systems Ltd., West Malling, Kent, United
22 Kingdom) for local and mean upper body skin temperatures (of the sweat collection areas), of
23 which an example is given in ESM 2. Next, sweat patches, which were pre-configured on
24 plastic sheeting matching the participant's upper body dimensions were applied to the skin. In
25 the females a fresh sports bra with patches inside was put on before applying the other
26 patches. A timer was started at this point to record application time. Participants then put on a
27 stretchy T-shirt to ensure the patches were intimately touching the skin with a low, uniform
28 pressure. This whole process was generally completed in less than 3 minutes. The participant
29 then continued running on the treadmill until the end of the 5-minute sampling period. As the
30 sampling period ended, the participant stepped off the treadmill; the T-shirt was removed,
31 followed by the plastic sheeting, sports bra and all patches, at which time the timer was
32 stopped. They then put the original sports bra and T-shirt back on and started running again.
33 The final sampling period was during the cool down period at the end of the trial. Preparation

1 for the sampling was started after 30 minutes running, after 45 minutes running and 8 minutes
2 after the end of the run, which due to the preparation time means that actual sampling took
3 place at minutes 33-38, 48-53 and 70-75.

4

5 In total 18 different areas were defined for which sweating was collected. Their location is
6 best shown in Fig. 2. Following each sample period, the absorbent patches were immediately
7 removed from the plastic sheeting and sports bra and placed back in their respective sealed
8 plastic bags. Once the trial had finished the patches from all three sampling periods were then
9 weighed (Sartorius 1213MP, resolution 0.01g; Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) and sweat
10 production was calculated as: $SR \text{ (in } g \cdot m^{-2} \cdot h^{-1}) = (60 \text{ [minutes} \cdot \text{hour}^{-1}] \times \text{weight change}$
11 $[\text{grams}]) \cdot (\text{application time [minutes]} \times \text{surface area of patch [m}^2])^{-1}$. At start and end of the
12 test, participants were weighed (Sartorius KCC150/ID7, resolution 1g, Sartorius AG,
13 Goettingen, Germany). Whole body sweat loss was then calculated from the before and after
14 test body weights, corrected for metabolic and respiratory mass losses, and the water
15 consumed. A final auditory canal and oral temperature were also recorded.

16 In the investigated region, all skin was covered by absorbent during the test period, to assure
17 collection of all sweat and to avoid sweat migrating between areas. Additional patches were
18 placed in the neck region to collect any sweat running down from the head and neck which
19 could contaminate the measurement. These were then discarded.

20 Apart from calculating sweat production for each patch, also a normalised sweat rate was
21 calculated for each patch for each individual. For this purpose, all the individual zones'
22 absolute sweat rates were divided by the surface area weighted average of all tested zones
23 (mean upper body sweat rate) for the specific person.

24

25 Statistics

26 Statistical analysis was performed using 'SYSTAT' (SYSTAT Inc, Version 11). The
27 experiment was treated as a repeated measures design (the different zones on the same person)
28 with sex as a between subjects factor, allowing the use of repeated measures ANOVA with
29 SEX, ZONE, and the SEX-ZONE interaction as factors. Strictly speaking, the different zones
30 are not repeated measures as such, as it is not the exact same variable that is measured.
31 However, they are also not independent from each other as measured on the same subjects and
32 on balance it was decided that the repeated measures design would best reflect the situation.

1 Post hoc testing related to comparisons of zones within the person, and comparisons of zones
2 between sexes.

3 With 18 zones being compared between sexes, and over 50 comparisons between zones
4 within subjects, multiple post-hoc comparisons are made with the risk of inflating type I error.
5 Based on literature discussions on this issue (Perneger 1998, Bender and Lange 1998) it was
6 decided that a Bonferroni or Holm-Bonferroni correction would be overly conservative
7 (pushing the limit p-value for significance to 0.003 for SEX alone and below 0.001 for within
8 subject comparisons) for the present type of exploratory study, especially given the low
9 number of subjects, and would dramatically inflate type II error. As suggested by Perneger
10 (1998) and Bender and Lange (1998), it was decided to provide uncorrected p-values and
11 bring to the reader's attention that these should be interpreted with multiple comparisons in
12 mind. Significance of comparisons which include the Bonferroni correction will also be
13 reported.

14 As many publications have shown that sweat production data for a population can be skewed,
15 often with outliers present, medians were used for graphical presentations in this study.

16

17 **Results**

18 The characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. Females were smaller and
19 lighter ($p < 0.05$), but their fitness levels did not differ significantly from the male group
20 ($p > 0.05$). Heart rates, treadmill speed and total body sweat loss also did not differ between the
21 sexes ($p > 0.05$), though on average males sweated 13% more.

22 Male and female sweating did not differ between the first and second sample ($p > 0.05$) but ten
23 minutes after exercise stopped (time = 70 minutes), sweat rates had come down substantially
24 from averages of 636 and 565 $\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ during exercise to 159 and 212 $\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ respectively
25 for males and females ($p < 0.001$). This represented a reduction to 25% of the exercise sweat
26 rate for males, but only to 41% in the females. Body core temperatures increased by 1.3°C for
27 the males and 1.2°C for the females (male-female $p > 0.05$). Male mean skin temperature of
28 the sampled area remained rather constant around 34.1°C, while female skin temperature
29 started higher at 35.0°C and then dropped to 33.4°C during the run (male-female $p > 0.05$).
30 Dehydration during the test averaged to 0.9% of body weight indicating that hydration levels
31 were well maintained.

32

1 Data on the sweat rates recorded by the sweat patches averaged over the two exercise sample
2 periods are presented in Table 2, and the medians graphically shown in Fig. 2 (see also ESM-
3 3). The resting data are presented in ESM-4. For the graphical presentation left and right
4 symmetrical zones were averaged (as there was no effect of left versus right or of
5 handedness), and numbers were rounded to the nearest 10 grams. The absolute sweat rates
6 showed a large variation for the different zones within each sex group, and different zone
7 sweat rate ranges overlapped substantially. Nevertheless, significant differences in sweating
8 were observed. Overall, the effect of ZONE (within subjects) was highly significant
9 ($p < 0.0005$), while the overall effect of SEX was not significant. There was a significant
10 interaction of ZONE and SEX ($p < 0.005$), indicating that certain zones sweated more in males
11 while others sweated more in females. The results for post hoc tests on this are presented in
12 Table 2.

13

14 Between zone comparisons indicated the relatively high sweat rate on the central back (spine),
15 being significantly higher than all other zones, while the lower front, arm, side and shoulder
16 were significantly lower than most other zones. Comparing males and females in terms of
17 absolute sweat rate values, higher zone sweat rates were observed for males for the mid-front
18 ($p < 0.05$), the sides ($p < 0.05$), and the mid lateral back ($p < 0.01$).

19

20 In order to get an even clearer picture of differences in distribution between males and females
21 of upper body sweat rate, sweat rates of both males and females were normalised using the
22 surface area weighted average of all tested zones of the individual as reference. The results are
23 shown in Fig. 3, where numbers lower than 1 indicate sweat rates below average, while those
24 above 1 indicate above average sweat rates. From Fig. 3 it is immediately evident that for both
25 sexes the mid central back shows the highest sweat rate; that the back as a whole sweats
26 substantially more than the chest as a whole; and the peripheral parts, the upper arms, show
27 the lowest sweating. Statistical results for the comparison of different zones are presented in
28 Table 3, where a number of the back zones were lumped to reduce the number of required
29 comparisons.

30 In terms of male-female comparison, males showed higher relative sweat rates than females
31 for the mid lateral back ($p < 0.001$) and sides ($p < 0.05$), while it was lower for the upper arm
32 ($p < 0.001$), the lateral lower back ($p < 0.05$), and the upper central back ($p < 0.05$). To look at the
33 range of sweating values in terms of distribution, the ratio of the highest sweating areas

1 (central back) to the lowest area (upper arm) was calculated. This ratio was higher in males
2 than in females ($p < 0.05$) showing a bigger sweat ratio between central and peripheral zones in
3 the males. Mean sample area sweat rate for the upper body correlated significantly with
4 overall body sweat loss: for males $r = 0.83$, $p < 0.01$, for females $r = 0.88$, $p < 0.01$ and combined
5 $r = 0.87$, $p < 0.001$.

6 7 **Discussion**

8 In the current experiment an attempt was made to gather upper body sweating data specifically
9 for the situation of a one hour (approximately 10 km) run in clothed, equally fit male and
10 female runners in a moderate climate. Sweating was stable over the two exercise sampling
11 periods, but dropped quickly after the exercise stopped, with the male's sweat rates dropping
12 faster than the female's. Results showed very large variation in individual results, consistent
13 with literature data (Kuno 1956; Weiner 1945; Sodeman and Burch 1943; Cotter et al. 1995).
14 As shown in table 2, sweat rate ranges for individual zones were as large as $688 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ for
15 the females and $536 \text{ g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ for the males. This was caused by some 'outliers' especially to
16 the high side in the females as indicated by the high means compared to medians. Despite the
17 large variation the experiment nevertheless produced a clear picture of sweat distribution as
18 shown in Fig. 3, with significant differences in sweat production for different zones within
19 subjects: the back as a whole sweated most with peak values along the spine, followed by the
20 chest as a whole, and upper arm the lowest. While overall males and females did not show a
21 significant difference, there was a clear interaction of sex with sweat distribution over the
22 different zones. The lower sweat rate for females compared to males in the mid lateral back
23 together with the higher relative sweat rate in the upper chest is perhaps the most striking. The
24 upper chest area in the females was covered by a bra, which may have pushed up sweat rate,
25 though skin temperatures were not significantly higher in this area apart from the small area
26 between the breasts. The lower sweat in the mid lateral back was the area just below the bra-
27 strap, where more pressure is present, though it is unclear whether this could have had an
28 effect. Here too no significant temperature deviation from other sampled regions was
29 observed. Another important difference between sexes was the significant difference in ratio
30 high-to-low sweat rates, i.e. central back to upper arm ratio. This is significantly higher in
31 males, showing the larger range between sweat zones in males. For both sexes, zones along
32 the central line (sternal and spinal) show higher sweat rates than more lateral zones, which
33 agrees with findings by Hertzman (1957) though not observed by Cotter et al. (1995).

1

2 Due to differences in heat and exercise protocol, it is difficult to compare the present absolute
3 data with literature. Cotter et al. (1995) exercised their male only subjects at a lower rate (40%
4 $\dot{V}O_{2max}$) but a higher temperature (37°C), and observed a very high mean steady state sweat
5 rate (1194 g.m⁻².h⁻¹) by their capsules (not surface area weighted), while the observed whole
6 body sweat loss of 816 g.m⁻².h⁻¹ is much closer to that observed here. Their local sweat to
7 mean sweat ratios show a similar relative distribution as the present data, with similar range,
8 though arm and scapula seem to be shifted to higher ratios [front torso (0.90 v 0.93 in present
9 test), scapula (1.4 v 1.2), medial lower back (0.96 v 1.0) and upper arm (0.8 v 0.4)]. Weiner
10 (1945), for the trunk, found a ratio of upper chest, lower chest, abdomen, scapula and lumbar
11 of 1.1, 1.1, .87, 0.6 and 0.86 (n=3). These ratios are lower for the back than the front, which is
12 different from both the present as well as Cotter et al.'s (1995) data. Finally Nadel et al.
13 (1971), using ventilated capsules, found ratios for chest, abdomen, scapula, and upper arm of
14 1.2, 0.83, 1.27, and 0.56, which follows a similar pattern to the present data, but higher
15 sweating ratios on the chest. The only quantitative data (10 cm² capsules) published on
16 females compared to males (passive heating, Inoue et al. 2005) with slightly higher (non-
17 significant) fitness levels in the males shows higher sweating in males for chest, back and
18 forearm and equal rates on the thigh compared to females. Chest and back rates were very
19 similar however, with chest rates marginally higher than back in males and reverse in females.

20

21 The observed distributions, with a higher sweat rate on the back versus the chest do not match
22 the evaporative heat transfer potential of front versus back. Due to airflow patterns across the
23 chest while running, with the back being the lee-side, the evaporative (and dry) heat transfer
24 coefficient will be higher at the front than at the back making it easier for sweat to evaporate
25 from the front. It therefore seems to be inefficient to produce more sweat at the back than at
26 the front as this is bound to lead to more waste by drippage. A possible explanation would
27 have been that due to the wind chest temperatures were lower than the back's and the local
28 skin temperature effect on sweating would cause the chest to sweat less. However, skin
29 temperatures on chest and back were very close (male difference <0.4°C, female <0.3°C) and
30 not significantly different, so this explanation is unlikely. It may be speculated that this
31 observation is a remnant of evolutionary developments before man became bipedal (B. Bogin,
32 personal communication). In a quadruped creature, the chest is more protected from air
33 movement by arms and legs while the back is more exposed and parallel to air movement.

1 Thus in quadrupeds, evaporative heat transfer coefficients of the back will be relatively higher
2 compared to bipeds, with the reverse for the chest. Hence higher back sweating would be
3 more effective and give a greater evaporative cooling potential in quadrupeds. As it is
4 generally assumed that eccrine glands increased in number and importance during the
5 transition from quadruped to biped (Jablonski 2006, Folk et al. 1991) the question would
6 remain why the distribution of sweating would not have adapted in the same context.

7
8 It is difficult to find a physiological explanation for the strong regional variation of sweat
9 rates, especially the torso versus periphery difference that is observed here and in the
10 literature. When active, arms and legs move and thus will have higher evaporative heat
11 transfer coefficients. This should make it more effective to sweat there as more sweat would
12 evaporate. On the other hand, when slightly cool, the body cuts blood flow to extremities'
13 skin dramatically, which reduces skin temperature and thus also the wet skin's saturated
14 vapour pressure. This reduces evaporative potential on the extremities. However while active
15 and while requiring cooling it is unlikely that this takes place, except perhaps for the transition
16 area between being warm and cool where sweating and reduction in vasodilation may
17 temporarily go together. The authors have observed situations of exercise in cool
18 environments with sweating present, where skin temperatures in extremities are substantially
19 reduced (Havenith, unpublished data).

20
21 A number of studies are available in the literature on regional sweat distribution. Some have
22 looked at sweat gland distribution (Kuno 1956; Randall 1946; Kenney et al. 1988), while
23 others studied actual sweat production. Most of the latter studies, given the labour intensive
24 nature of the data collection, have worked with few subjects. Weiner (1945), Hertzman
25 (1957), Cotter et al. (1995) had 3, 5 and 6 men respectively, while the only study measuring
26 regional sweating in males and females in a large number of areas by Kuno (1956) produced
27 data on just four males and four females, all Japanese. Unfortunately the latter study only
28 presents the data of both sexes lumped together. Only Inoue et al. (2005) have data on 4
29 capsule locations in both sexes, however that was with passive heating. To get a more
30 representative comparison for exercise, the number of participants for the present study was
31 raised to 9 in each sex group.

32
33 Technique comparison

1 The technique used in the present study, absorbents, had been used before, but to our
2 knowledge this was the first study to use new Technical Absorbents and also the first using
3 these over larger body areas simultaneously. Most studies in literature followed different
4 methodologies for sweat collection with most of the quantitative studies using various types
5 of capsules to collect sweat. This implies that only a small fraction of the upper body surface
6 was included in the sampling. Sodeman and Burch (1943) tested resting subjects collecting
7 sweat from 17 areas (4 simultaneously), but only from 10 cm² per segment, and only 30cm²
8 total from the torso, equivalent to about 0.5% of the torso skin area. Weiner (1945) recognised
9 this as an issue and increased the samples per area, bringing the sampled area of the torso up
10 to 6%. Hertzman (1957) sampled 20 locations on the front of the body only, of which 9 were
11 at the chest, covering less than 4%. Even extensive work by Cotter et al (1995) using repeated
12 trials to measure a total of 11 locations over the body, covered only 0.2% of the torso surface
13 with 5 capsules of 2.19 cm². With such small coverage percentages, the question remains
14 open whether the capsule data are representative for the whole body part studied or for whole
15 body sweat rate. For example, Cotter et al. (1995) did not observe a correlation of his local
16 sweat rates with overall body sweat rate. In order to get higher skin coverage of the
17 measurement and thus being able to represent all the skin areas studied, the present study used
18 absorbent patches that covered the whole torso and upper arm area simultaneously during the
19 sample periods. In the present study a highly significant correlation ($p < 0.001$) was found
20 between the data from the absorbent samples and overall body sweat loss calculated from
21 drinking corrected mass loss, even though the latter also included a 15 minute resting period.

22

23 In comparing the present methodology to ventilated capsules it is important to note the aspect
24 of continuity of the measurement. Where the capsules can be left on the skin and provide a
25 continuous trace of local sweating, the absorbents require a period between application to
26 avoid an impact of the lack of evaporation from the local area in the sampling period on local
27 sweating. Hence while absorbents provide information on large surface areas per sample, they
28 can only provide a limited number of data points per zone per experiment.

29

30 Any measurement technique described so far in literature will affect the amount of sweat
31 produced, though not all effects are immediately evident. For ventilated capsules, skin
32 remains dry, which avoids hydromeiosis and thus may lead to higher sweat rates (Candas et al.
33 1980, 1983, Nadel and Stolwijk 1973). Also, the increased air speed over the skin was shown

1 to increase sweat production at equal core temperature (Nadel and Stolwijk, 1973). On the
2 other hand the increased evaporation may cool local skin and thus reduce sweat rate (Van
3 Beaumont and Bullard 1965; Ogawa et al. 1986). For some absorbents techniques, the
4 expectation is that the increasing wettedness of the absorbent patch may reduce sweating if
5 not replaced regularly (Inoue et al. 1999), while the lack of evaporation will increase the skin
6 temperature and thereby increases sweat production (Havenith 1991). For the present study a
7 technical absorbent was chosen that could absorb without dripping a multiple (>40 times) of
8 the amount actually absorbed in the testing, so relative moisture content remained low. Verde
9 et al. (1982) ,using normal absorbents, have demonstrated that this method does not reduce
10 the sweat rate of the covered area. The other effect, the increase in skin temperature, cannot be
11 avoided however. In the current study this effect was alleviated by having short sample
12 periods (5 minutes) and it was assumed that with all relevant skin areas covered at the same
13 time, that though absolute sweating may increase slightly, the regional distribution should
14 remain the same. Further, Cotter et al. (1995) discuss how their observed sweat distribution
15 was only for 2.5% explained by the local skin temperature distribution, while Park and
16 Tamura (1992) and Bothorel et al. (1991) observe dissociation between local sweat rate and
17 temperature for rest and exercise respectively, indicating that the local skin temperature effect
18 may be less at the higher skin temperature observed here, especially as the exercise intensity
19 may be a bigger driver in the present experiment than the climate (Kondo et al. 2000). The
20 effect of the coverage on total body temperature was kept small by covering less than 35% of
21 the body, by keeping the coverage short, and by using a climate that allowed ample heat loss
22 from uncovered areas.

23

24 With the present technique, absorbent patches are pressed against the skin using a stretch
25 garment worn on top, causing light pressure on the skin. This may result in pressure-related
26 changes in sweat rate, similar to the effect of hemi-hidrosis described by Kuno (1956). Ferres
27 (1960) however demonstrated that the sweating in the pressure area was not reduced, but
28 rather increased in the non pressure area. Further she showed no effect at all to occur with
29 pressures up to 0.13 N/cm^2 (estimated from method details) caused by a 5 kg weight pressing
30 against the side of the chest, which is magnitudes higher than that caused by the stretch textile
31 in the present test which produces a rather uniform, low pressure.

32

1 Summarising, the regional sweat rate data give a consistent picture, matching overall sweat
2 rates well. The regional distribution differs slightly between sexes for this group of equally fit
3 male and female runners, though overall sweat rates do not. Sweating in both sexes is highest
4 on the spine and lowest towards the periphery. The back as a whole sweats substantially more
5 than the chest as a whole. Males had greater difference between the highest and lowest sweat
6 areas than the females. Given the larger subject sample compared to other studies and the
7 apparent general consistency with literature data the results are deemed to give a
8 representative picture for this participant group in a 60 minute run. The present paper,
9 together with other recent studies providing detailed data on the body's regional sweat
10 distribution (Fogarty et al. 2007, feet; Machado-Moreira et al. 2007^{a,b}, head and torso; Smith
11 et al. 2007, hands and arms; Taylor et al. 2006, feet), provides strong evidence for the
12 dramatic variation of sweat rates over the body over short distances. This has important
13 implications for the choice of location of sweat sampling equipment for thermoregulatory
14 studies, for the representativeness of thermal models when regional effects are studied, for the
15 development of sweating thermal manikins, and finally for the design of clothing.

16

1 **References**

- 2 American College of Sports Medicine (2005) ACSM's guidelines for exercise testing and
3 prescription. Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore.
- 4 Bar-or O (1998) Effects of age and gender on sweating pattern during exercise. *Int J Sports*
5 *Med* 19 (Suppl) pS106-S107.
- 6 Bender R, Lange S (1998) What's wrong with arguments against multiplicity adjustments
7 <http://www.bmj.com/cgi/eletters/316/7139/1236>.
- 8 Bittel J, Henane R (1975) Comparison of thermal exchanges in men and women under neutral
9 and hot conditions. *J. Physiol. (London)*, 250, 475-489.
- 10 Bothorel B, Dewasmes G, Hoeft A, Candas V (1991) Temperature and sweating responses in
11 one-legged and two-legged exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 63:157–164
- 12 Boysen TC, Yanagawa S, Sato F and Sato K. (1984) A modified anaerobic method of sweat
13 collection. *J Appl Physiol*. 56(5) 1302-1307.
- 14 Candas V, Libert JP and Vogt JJ (1980) Effect of hidromeiosis on sweat drippage during
15 acclimation to humid heat. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 44 123-33
- 16 Candas V, Libert JP, Vogt JJ (1983) Sweating and sweat decline of resting men in hot humid
17 environments. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 50:223–234
- 18 Cotter JD, Patterson MJ, Taylor NAS (1995) The Topography Of Eccrine Sweating In
19 Humans During Exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 71 (6): 549-554.
- 20 Cunningham DJ, Stolwijk JAJ, Wenger CB (1978) Comparative thermoregulatory responses
21 of resting men and women. *J Appl Physiol* 45(6), 908-915.
- 22 Davies CTM (1979) Thermoregulation during exercise in relation to sex and age. *Eur J Appl*
23 *Physiol*, 42, 71-79.
- 24 Epstein Y, Stroschein AL, Pandolf KB (1987) Predicting Metabolic Cost Of Running With
25 And Without Backpack Loads. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 56:495-500
- 26 Ferres HM (1960) The effect of pressure on sweating. *J Physiol* 151:591–597
- 27 Fogarty AL, Bartlett R, Ventenat V, Havenith G (2007) regional foot sweat rates during a 65-
28 minute uphill walk with a backpack. In: Mekjavic, I.B., Kounalakis, S.N., and Taylor,
29 N.A.S. (Editors). *Environmental Ergonomics XII*. Biomed d.o.o., Ljubljana, Slovenia.
30 ISBN 978-961-90545-1-2.
- 31 Folk GE, Semken A (1991) The evolution of sweat glands. *Int J of Biomet*, 35(3).
- 32 Fox RH, Löfstedt BE, Woodward PM, Eriksson E and Werkstrom B (1969) Comparison of
33 thermoregulatory function in men and women. *J Appl Physiol* 1969 26 (4) 444-53.

- 1 Haslag WM, Hertzman AB (1965) Temperature regulation of young women. *J. Appl.*
2 *Physiol.*, 20(6), 1283-1288.
- 3 Havenith G, van Middendorp H (1990) The relative influence of physical fitness, acclimation
4 state, anthropometric measures and sex on individual reactions to heat stress. *Eur J Appl*
5 *Physiol* 61:419-427
- 6 Havenith G, Luttikholt VGM, Vrijkotte TGM (1995) The relative influence of body
7 characteristics on humid heat stress response. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 70:270-279
- 8 Havenith G (2001) An individual model of human thermoregulation for the simulation of heat
9 stress response. *J Appl Physiol* 90: 1943-1954.
- 10 Havenith, G., Fogarty, A., Bartlett, R., Smith, C., and Ventenat, V. (2007). Upper body sweat
11 distribution during and after a 60 minute training run in male and female runners. In:
12 Mekjavic, I.B., Kounalakis, S.N., and Taylor, N.A.S. (Editors). *Environmental Ergonomics*
13 *XII*. Biomed d.o.o., Ljubljana, Slovenia. ISBN 978-961-90545-1-2. Pp. 270-271.
- 14 Hertzman AB (1957) Individual differences in regional sweating. *J Appl Physiol* 10:242–248
- 15 Inoue Y, Nakao M, Araki T, Murakami H (1991) Regional differences in the sweating
16 responses of older and younger men. *J Appl Physiol* 71:2453–2459
- 17 Inoue Y, Havenith G, Kenney WL, Loomis JL, Buskirk ER (1999) Exercise- and
18 methylcholine-induced sweating responses in older and younger men: effect of heat
19 acclimation and aerobic fitness. *Int J Biomet* 42(4) 210-216.
- 20 Inoue Y, Tanaka Y, Omori K, Kuwahara T, Ogura Y, Ueda H (2005) Sex- and menstrual
21 cycle-related differences in sweating and cutaneous blood flow in response to passive heat
22 exposure. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 94:323 -332.
- 23 ISO 9920 (2007) Ergonomics of the thermal environment. Estimation of the thermal
24 insulation and evaporative resistance of a clothing ensemble, International Standardisation
25 Organisation, Geneva, 2003.
- 26 Jablonski NG (2006) *Skin, A Natural History*. Univ Press California, Columbia And
27 Princeton (United States), ISBN: 9780520242814.
- 28 Kawahata A (1960) Sex differences in sweating. *Essential Problems in climatic physiology*
29 (Ito, S., et al. (eds)). Nankodo Publisher, Kyoto, pp169-184.
- 30 Kenney WL (1985) A review of comparative responses of men and women to heat stress
31 *Environmental research* 37:1-11.
- 32 Knip A (1969) Measurement and regional distribution of functioning eccrine sweat glands in
33 male and female Caucasians. *Human Biology* 41 (3) 380-387.

- 1 Kenney WL, Fowler SR (1988) Methylcholine-activated eccrine sweat gland density and
2 output as a function of age. *J Appl Physiol* 65 (3) 1082-1086.
- 3 Kondo N, Takano S, Aoki K, Shibasaki M, Tominaga H and Inoue Y (1998) Regional
4 differences in the effect of exercise intensity on thermoregulatory sweating and cutaneous
5 vasodilation. *Acta Physiol Scand* 164 71-78.
- 6 Kondo N, Tominaga H, Shibasaki M (2000) Effects of exercise intensity on the sweating
7 response to a sustained static exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 88 (5): 1590-1596
- 8 Kuno Y (1956) *Human Perspiration*. Charles C Thomas Publ. Springfield, Ill.
- 9 Machado-Moreira, C.A., Smith, F.M., van den Heuvel, A.M.J., Mekjavic, I.B., and Taylor,
10 N.A.S. (2007^a). Sweat secretion from the torso during passively-induced and exercise-
11 related hyperthermia. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* (This issue)
- 12 Machado-Moreira, C.A., Wilkink, F., Meijer, A., Mekjavic, I.B., and Taylor, N.A.S. (2007^b).
13 Local differences in sweat secretion from the head during rest and exercise in the heat. *Eur.*
14 *J. Appl. Physiol.* (This issue)
- 15 Nadel ER, Bullard RW, Stolwijk JAJ (1971) Importance of skin temperature in the regulation
16 of sweating. *J Appl Physiol* 31(1):80-87
- 17 Nadel ER, and Stolwijk JAJ (1973) Effect of skin wettedness on sweat gland response. *J Appl*
18 *Physiol* 35 (5): 689-694
- 19 Ogawa T, Asayama M (1986) Quantitative analysis of the local effect of skin temperature on
20 sweating. *Jpn J Physiol* 36:417-422
- 21 Park SA, Tamura T (1992) Distribution of evaporation rate on human body surface. *Ann*
22 *Physiol Anthropol* 11:593-609
- 23 Perneger TV (1998) What's wrong with Bonferroni adjustments, *BMJ* 316:1236-1238.
- 24 Randall WC (1946) Quantitation and regional distribution of sweat glands in man. *J Clin*
25 *Invest* 25:761-767
- 26 Smith C, Ventenat V, Havenith G. (2007) Regional sweat rates of the arms and hands in male
27 squash players. In: Mekjavic, I.B., Kounalakis, S.N., and Taylor, N.A.S. (Editors).
28 *Environmental Ergonomics XII*. Biomed d.o.o., Ljubljana, Slovenia. ISBN 978-961-90545-
29 1-2.
- 30 Sodeman WA, Burch GE (1944) Regional variations in water loss from the skin of diseased
31 subjects living in a subtropical climate. *J Clin Invest* 23(1): 37-43

- 1 Taylor NAS, Caldwell JN, Mekjavic IB (2006) The sweating foot: Local differences in sweat
2 secretion during exercise-induced hyperthermia. *Aviat Space Environm Med* 77 (10):
3 1020-1027
- 4 Van Beaumont W, Bullard RW (1965) Sweating: direct influence of skin temperature.
5 *Science* 147:1465–1467
- 6 Verde T, Shephard RJ, Corey P, Moore R (1982) Sweat composition in exercise and in heat.
7 *J Appl Physiol.* 53(6):1540-1545
- 8 Weiner JS (1945) The regional distribution of sweating. *J Physiol* 104:32–40.
- 9 Wyndham CH, Morrison JF and Williams CG (1965) Heat reactions of male and female
10 Caucasians. *J Appl Physiol*, 20(3), 357-364.
- 11

1

2 **Table 1, participant characteristics (\pm SD), overall sweat data and heart rates (HR) during the run. * denotes significant difference males**
 3 **versus females at $p < 0.05$.**

	age (years)	height (m)	weight (kg)	surface area (m ²)	$\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ (ml.kg ¹ .min ⁻¹)	sweat loss based on whole body mass loss (g)	sweat loss based on whole body mass loss (g.m ⁻² .h ⁻¹)	HR at 25 min	HR at 55 min	treadmill speed (km.h ⁻¹)
Female	27.6 \pm 5.6	1.69 \pm 0.04*	64.3 \pm 5.9*	1.74 \pm 0.09*	55.3 \pm 6.2	975 \pm 300	420 \pm 114	167 \pm 13	156 \pm 11	10.8 \pm 1.2
Male	28.4 \pm 7.7	1.75 \pm 0.1	72.9 \pm 6.5	1.88 \pm 0.1	52.3 \pm 4.4	1171 \pm 103	474 \pm 80	155 \pm 9	157 \pm 10	10.2 \pm 1.3

4

5

- 1 **Table 2, Regional exercise period sweat rate data over all sampled areas. For conversion to other units: divide by 600 to get $\text{mg}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$, or by**
 2 **10000 to get $\text{ml}\cdot\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ Significance levels: numbers are given for $0.1 < p \leq 0.5$; *: $p < 0.05$; **: $p < 0.01$; ***: $p < 0.001$; #: $p < 0.05$ after Bonferroni**
 3 **correction; \$: $0.1 < p \leq 0.05$ after Bonferroni correction).**

sex:	absolute data ($\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$)										significance level of male-female comparison (post-hoc analysis of zone-sex interaction)	
	female					male					absolute data (fig 2)	normalised ratio data (fig 3)
	min	max	median	mean	sd	min	max	median	mean	sd		
left scapula	240	1195	520	606	305	361	1110	783	764	213	-	-
right scapula	349	1221	459	651	298	442	1023	706	725	174	-	-
scapulas	295	1208	485	629	298	402	1067	744	745	190	-	-
top central back	411	1852	843	953	485	478	1208	800	796	224	-	*
mid central back	356	1800	762	882	445	540	1491	1053	1024	287	-	-
mean central back	383	1556	888	917	402	509	1246	934	910	211	-	-
left mid back	243	584	463	449	96	413	2039	803	920	459	**	*** #
right mid back	211	521	427	405	94	362	1080	890	770	269	*** #	** \$
mean mid lateral back	306	535	445	427	77	387	1517	797	845	326	**	*** #
left lower back	389	1068	549	628	223	318	1822	516	691	453	-	-
right lower back	393	1058	573	663	217	236	1240	584	618	304	-	*
mean lower lateral back	411	952	604	645	182	308	1531	594	654	357	-	-
lower back	240	1158	420	537	286	281	1307	720	732	326	-	-
top front	279	1686	573	745	428	228	799	588	564	178	-	**
mid front	157	1129	397	475	287	391	1140	618	715	248	*	.09
lower front	240	727	346	424	169	311	682	472	499	120	-	-
sides	131	523	300	318	109	255	802	428	449	160	*	*
arms	215	622	258	333	134	111	411	213	245	112	-	*** #
shoulders	291	820	347	471	214	231	795	583	540	187	-	-
overall area weighted mean of sampled zones during exercise	288	976	507	565	222	339	875	603	636	165	-	-
Whole body sweat rate over whole experimental period	288	615	372	420	114	377	598	460	474	80	-	-

1

2 **Table 3, Significance levels of comparison of sweat rate ratios (Fig. 3) for different regions within same subject (analysed as repeated measures). *:**3 **p<0.05; **: p<0.01; ***: p≤0.001; #:p<0.05 after Bonferroni correction; \$: 0.1<p≤0.05 after Bonferroni correction).**

	Scapula	Central back	Side mid back	Side lower back	Lower back	Top front	Mid front	Lower front	side	arm
Central back	*** #									
Side mid back	-	*** #								
Side lower back	-	*** #	-							
Lower back	-	*** #	-	-						
Top front	-	*** #	-	-	-					
Mid front	-	*** #	-	-	-	-				
Lower front	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** \$	*	*** #	*			
Side	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #		
Arm	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	*** #	**	
shoulder	*** #	*** #	*** \$	*** \$	*	**	-	-	*** \$	*** #

4

5

1

2

3 **Fig. 1, schematic drawing of the test protocol. Before and after test participants were**
4 **weighed. First 5 minutes used to bring heart rate in target range by changing treadmill**
5 **speed. Sweat sampled from minute 33-38, 48-53 and 70-75. Minutes 60-75 were rest.**

6

7

8 **Fig. 2, Median regional sweat rate values for male and female runners, rounded to**
9 **nearest 10g and averaged over left and right symmetrical zones.**

10

11 **Fig. 3, Mean regional sweat rate values as ratios to surface weighted mean sweat rate of**
12 **all measured zones for male and female runners, averaged over left and right**
13 **symmetrical zones.**

14

1 Added references:

2

3 DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-971970

4

5

6

7

8

9 O. Bar-Or (1968) Effects of Age and Gender on Sweating Pattern During Exercise

10 Int J Sports Med 1998; 19: S106-S107

11

12

13

14

15