Manuscript Number: MECHMT-D-14-00258R1

Title: A network approach to mechanisms and machines: some lessons learned

Article Type: SI: 100th Birthday of Prof. Crossley

Keywords: circuit; constraint; cutset; freedom; graph; screw

Corresponding Author: Mr. Trevor Davies,

Corresponding Author's Institution: Loughborough University

First Author: Trevor Davies

Order of Authors: Trevor Davies

Abstract: This is essentially a review paper describing progress made in treating mechanisms and machines as networks. Some of the terminology that is helpful to this approach is explained. Relevant elements of graph theory are mentioned. The original aim was to find a robust procedure for finding the instantaneous relative motion of all pairs of bodies within a kinematic chain. The manner in which this was achieved produced several other results that have found unanticipated applications. These are mentioned and publications are cited. Lessons have been learned and these are discussed in Section 11.

1 A network approach to mechanisms 2 and machines: some lessons learned

3 Paper MECHMT-D-14-00258

4 T. H. Davies, (mcthd@lboro.ac.uk)

5 Highlights

6

- 7 • Graph theory is used to assemble matrices in adaptations of Kirchhoff's
8 equations.
- 9 • Those matrices, when transposed, are used again in virtual power
10 equations.
- 11 • 25 publications are cited that make use of these equations.
- 12 • 10 lessons learned are explained in a discussion section.
- 13 • One lesson introduces dual laws: the zeroth laws of mechanics.

A network approach to mechanisms and machines: some lessons learned

(An abbreviated title of fewer than 40 characters, including spaces: A network approach to MMT)

T.H.Davies¹, Wolfson School of Manufacturing and Mechanical Engineering,
Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK, LE11 3TU

Abstract

This is essentially a review paper describing progress made in treating mechanisms and machines as networks. Some of the terminology that is helpful to this approach is explained. Relevant elements of graph theory are mentioned. The original aim was to find a robust procedure for finding the instantaneous relative motion of all pairs of bodies within a kinematic chain. The manner in which this was achieved produced several other results that have found unanticipated applications. These are mentioned and publications are cited. Lessons have been learned and these are discussed in Section 11.

Keywords:

circuit; constraint; cutset; freedom; graph; screw

1. Introduction

The author is glad of this opportunity to thank Erskine Crossley for his many acts of kindness and generosity and to join with others to pay tribute to the work he has done for IFToMM and as editor of the *Journal of Mechanisms*, the forerunner of this journal. In particular, the author can bear witness to the many contributions Erskine Crossley made to good international relations. But this is a technical paper and so it is appropriate to explain the stimulus Erskine Crossley provided that led to research interests of the author.

Erskine Crossley was the first to mention graph theory in the author's presence. Graph theory [1] [2] is a branch of topology concerned with the interconnections within a network of objects. Graph theory has found many applications; most relevant to this paper are applications in electrical network theory, more frequently called electrical circuit theory.

¹ mcthd@lboro.ac.uk (Trevor Davies),

Mechanism and machines can be thought of as coupling networks. Waldron [3] provides rules that apply to couplings arranged in series and in parallel. Like electrical networks, indirect couplings containing cross bracing pose special problems [4]. Baker [5] proposed a simple example that has subsequently proved well-suited as a demonstration for theories that have followed. One solution [6] required the adaptation of Kirchhoff's voltage law. Subsequent work [7] [8]² [9] [10] [11] [12] has led to the adaptation of Kirchhoff's current law as well, and two virtual power equations that use matrices that are identical to those needed for the adaptations of Kirchhoff's laws except for being transposed. All four equations are reproduced in this paper; the adaptations of Kirchhoff's laws equations (1,2) in section 7.2 and the virtual power equations (3,4) in section 8.2. Several applications have been found for the equations [13] [14] [15] [16] [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30] [31]; further details are provided in section 10.

Nomenclature

a	the rank of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$
b_{ij}	the element in row i , column j , of circuit matrix $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{l,F}$
c	degree of constraint of a direct coupling
c_{ij}	degree of constraint of bodies i and j of a coupling network
C	gross degree of constraint of a coupling network, Σc
C_N	nett degree of constraint of a coupling network
d	minimum order of the screw system, $1 \leq d \leq 6$
e	number of couplings in a coupling network and edges of coupling graph G_C
f	gross degree of freedom of a direct coupling
f_{ij}	degree of freedom of bodies i and j of a coupling network
F	gross degree of freedom of a coupling network, Σf
F_N	nett degree of freedom of a coupling network
k	number of independent cutsets of a graph
l	number of independent circuits (loops) of a graph
m	the rank of the network unit motion matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$
n	number of bodies in a coupling network and nodes of coupling graph G_C
q_{ij}	the element in row i , column j , of cutset matrix $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$
$\{r, s, t; u, v, w\}$	motion screw components in ray-coordinates
$\{R, S, T; U, V, W\}$	action screw components in axis-coordinates

Vectors

$[\mathbf{A}]_{dl}$	dl action components for all l circuits
$[\mathbf{M}]_{dk}$	dk motion components for all k cutsets
$[\varphi]_C$	magnitudes of C action screws
$[\psi]_F$	magnitudes of F motion screws

² Online versions of papers [8, 10-12, 17, 32] can be found from the website of Loughborough University, UK: search for Library, Institutional Repository, Author, Davies, T.H.

74	Matrices	
75	$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}$	unit action matrix of the direct couplings of a coupling network
76	$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$	network unit action matrix of a coupling network N
77	$[\mathbf{B}_i]_{F,F}$	diagonal matrices with diagonal elements corresponding to row i of
78		$[\mathbf{B}_M]_{I,F}$; in practice identification is by the circuit label, e. g. $[\mathbf{B}_b]_{F,F}$ for
79		circuit b .
80	$[\mathbf{B}_M]_{I,F}$	circuit matrix of motion graph G_M
81	$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}$	unit motion matrix of the direct couplings of a coupling network
82	$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$	network unit motion matrix of a coupling network N
83	$[\mathbf{Q}_i]_{C,C}$	diagonal matrices with diagonal elements corresponding to row i of
84		$[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$; in practice, identification is by the cutset label, e. g. $[\mathbf{Q}_a]_{C,C}$ for
85		cutset a .
86	$[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$	cutset matrix of action graph G_A

2. Couplings

Central to the network approach described in this paper is the *coupling*. This term is applied to any means by which an *action* can be transmitted between two bodies that are sufficiently stiff to be regarded as rigid. Furthermore, a coupling must be capable of being disassembled without resort to cutting. This means that welded and riveted joints are not regarded as couplings, nor are joints formed by adhesion. Action is a term that is sometimes used [11] [12] [32] as shorthand for a wrench on a screw of any pitch, including a pitch that is zero, namely a force, and a pitch that is infinite, namely a torque. The coupling could be either direct, indirect or a hybrid comprising direct and indirect couplings in parallel. Except where it is necessary to make a distinction, all couplings mentioned are direct couplings. The term coupling is chosen as the name of a superset comprising passive and active couplings, the latter providing sinks or sources of power. Examples of couplings of both kinds have been listed [10]. Important subclasses of passive couplings mentioned in this paper are contact couplings, often referred to as kinematic pairs, and elastic couplings.

As well as the capability of transmitting an action, many couplings also permit relative motion of the bodies they couple. Motion is a term sometimes used [11] [12] [32] as shorthand for the first time derivative of displacement, geometrically described as a twist rate on the screw of any pitch, including a pitch that is zero, namely an angular velocity, and the pitch that is infinite namely translational velocity. A coupling is characterised by two screw systems [33], a c -system of actions that can be transmitted and an f -system of motions that can be allowed, and:

$$c + f = d,$$

where c and f are often referred to as the degrees of constraint and freedom of the coupling. The sum d could be said to be the dimension of the problem, having normally a maximum value of six. Simplification results from disregarding some of the actions couplings are capable of transmitting and then d will be less than six. Examples are to be found in section 10.

The action and motion screws systems of couplings are said to be reciprocal to one another because a screw of one system cannot expend power in conjunction with any of the screws of the other system. Note the use of the term power rather than work. The term work would be appropriate if motion is interpreted as infinitesimal displacements, as Ball [34] does. Here, and elsewhere [11] [12] [33] [35], the choice is made to divide all infinitesimal displacements by an infinitesimal time interval. Both approaches are equally valid.

3. Coupling networks

The following definition of the coupling network is expressed in terms that have similarities with the definition of a graph that appears later. A *coupling network* N consists of a non-empty finite set of bodies and a finite set of couplings linking pairs of those bodies. At least one path exists from each body of N to every other body of N , through couplings and other bodies of N . In other words, to borrow a term from graph theory, a coupling network is *connected*, that is to say, in one piece, rather than *disconnected*, in two or more parts.

Figure. 1 A spatial kinematic chain

A coupling network has a characteristic gross degree of freedom $F = \sum f$ and a characteristic gross degree of constraint $C = \sum c$, where the summations are over all couplings. Coupling networks have another pair of characteristics of greater importance: these are the nett degree of freedom F_N and the nett degree of constraint C_N where, $0 \leq F_N \leq F$ and $0 \leq C_N \leq C$. The nett degree of freedom F_N has been called M , the degree of mobility, but mobility has another meaning [36]. It is also the “complex velocity response at a point in a linear system to a unit force excitation applied at the same point or another point in the system (inverse of mechanical impedance)”. Coupling networks for which $F_N = 0$ are immobile structures that will not concern us here. Most structures are welded, riveted or made integral by adhesive so, owing to the restrictions placed on the meaning of a coupling, relatively few structures are coupling networks.

In the 1960s formulae were available for finding F_N , but they did not always work. One associated difficulty lead to a breakthrough. It had been identified [4] that finding the degree of freedom f_{ij} of two indirectly coupled bodies i and j is difficult if cross bracing exists. The task was to devise a general robust procedure that determines f_{ij} for any pair of bodies. Fig. 1 shows coupling network N that is a spatial kinematic chain, devised by Baker [5], and used since [6] [12] [32] as a test bed for some of the research cited in this paper.

Note for the publishers.

For the on-line version a supplementary video based on Figure 1 is submitted with this manuscript. The title is "Davies video". This is a suitable point in the manuscript to draw the reader's attention to it.

The kinematic chain is artificially contrived so that the elements of all matrices associated with it are 0, -1 or +1. Note that, for bodies two and three, the planar (ebene) coupling labelled C provides cross coupling. This is more evident in the coupling graph Fig. 2. One solution requires an adaptation of Kirchhoff's circulation law for mechanical problems. This approach resulted in a formula for F_N . Later, the problem of finding a formula for C_N was also achieved. Progress towards those two goals is explained in tandem wherever appropriate.

4. Kinematic chains, mechanisms and machines

The term *kinematic chain* is often applied to coupling networks for which $F_N > 0$. In introductory texts on Mechanisms and Machines it is frequently found that a mechanism is described as a kinematic chain for which a "fixed member" has been selected. Once a fixed member has been chosen, all other choices of fixed member are often referred to as inversions of that mechanism.

This approach places an unnecessary emphasis on the identification of a "fixed member", yet says nothing about connections that must be made from the kinematic chain to active couplings in order that useful power can flow.

Arguments have been given [10] in favour of a definition of mechanism in terms of content, rather than usage. The approach involving content requires the identification of bodies of the kinematic chain as *terminal bodies* [37], pairs of which are called *ports*. The terminals of a port are a pair of bodies of the kinematic chain that are intended to be made integral with terminal bodies of another coupling or network. If only one port is identified the kinematic chain is an example of a 1-port device, in other words the kinematic chain creates an indirect coupling between the two terminal bodies of the port.

A *mechanism* is a kinematic chain with two or more *ports*. In this context a port could be defined as a pair of terminal bodies through which power can be transmitted to or from a port of another network. The following are two examples of definitions of a port. "A pair of terminals at which a signal may enter or leave a network is called a port." [38]; "A terminal pair to which an input is applied or from which an output is extracted is called a port." [39]. For a mechanism, the term "signal" is inappropriate and "an input ... an output" is unnecessarily vague.

Many mechanisms have only one input port and only one output port; mechanisms with several input ports are likely to be classified as manipulators; mechanisms with more than one output port are rare, the crank-driven needle and awl mechanism of a shoe welt sewing machine is one example [40]. Two or more ports may have one terminal body in common. This is often so when the common body is the one that is called the fixed member or frame.

A *machine* is a mechanism with all ports connected to active couplings or to the ports of indirect couplings that contain active couplings. Such indirect couplings may also contain passive couplings; for example an electrical motor has its own bearings. If the active coupling is a source of power these indirect couplings are often called *actuators*.

In order to adapt Kirchhoff's laws to coupling networks it is necessary to involve graph theory, the subject of the next section.

5. Directed graphs

A simple description of a graph is that it is a set of nodes (points or vertices), some or all pairs of which are connected by lines called edges. We will be concerned only with directed graphs, also called digraphs, within which all edges have an arrowhead thereby making the two nodes incident with each edge an ordered pair. A formal definition now follows.

A directed *graph* G consists of a non-empty finite set $V(G)$ of elements called *nodes* (or vertices) and a finite family $E(G)$ of ordered pairs of elements of $V(G)$ called directed *edges*. The term "family" is used here, as in [2], to accommodate graphs within which multiple edges terminate in the same pair of nodes. We will not be concerned with graphs containing edges that terminate in the same node; such an edge is called a *loop*. The definition of coupling networks provided earlier is modelled on this definition of graphs. This is made possible by incorporating jointed structures for which $F_N = 0$ within coupling networks.

There are several useful terms used in graph theory. Within a graph, a *walk* is a finite sequence of edges. If all edges are distinct the walk is called a *trail*. If, in addition, the vertices are distinct, except possibly for the first and last, then the trail is a *path*. A trail is said to be *closed* if the first and last vertices are the same. A closed path is a cycle or *circuit*.

A graph is *connected* if and only if there is a path between each pair of vertices. A disconnecting set in a connected graph G is a set of edges whose removal disconnects G . A *cutset* is a disconnecting set, no proper subset of which is a disconnecting set. The removal of the edges in a cutset always leaves a graph with exactly two components. A connected graph with no circuits is a *tree* each edge of which is called a *branch* the only member of a cutset. A *spanning tree* is a connected subgraph that contains all the nodes of a graph, but no circuit. The edges not included in the spanning tree are called *chords* and the addition of any chord creates a circuit. Associated with each chord is a fundamental circuit, associated with each branch is a fundamental cutset.

6. Coupling graphs, motion graphs and action graphs

A coupling graph G_C is a graph within which each of the n nodes represents a body of a coupling network N and each of the e edges represents a coupling of N . These couplings are direct couplings but some indirect couplings such as rolling contact bearings and Hooke's coupling can be regarded as direct provided that the investigation does not concern their interior actions and motions.

Figure. 2 The coupling graph G_C of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1

6.1 The coupling graph: its chords, branches, circuits and cutsets

A coupling graph will be said to have l chords and l fundamental circuits; it also has k branches and k fundamental cutsets. Fig. 2 shows the coupling graph G_C of the kinematic chain N shown in Fig. 1, with the arbitrarily selected spanning tree drawn with thick lines. Features of Fig.2 are now described. Here, and elsewhere in this paper, the presentation is provided in tandem where appropriate to emphasise the dual nature of the subject.

The edges **b** and **e** of G_C drawn with thin lines are the chords of the spanning tree. Each independent circuit contains one chord; all other edges are branches. Within these circuits there are arcs labelled **b** and **e** with arrowheads that assign a positive sense that can be arbitrarily chosen but, in this example, the choice corresponds with the positive sense assigned to the associated chords.

The edges **a**, **c** and **d** of G_C drawn with thick lines are the branches of the spanning tree. Each independent cutset contains one branch; all other edges are chords. Dashed lines are drawn through each cutset of edges. Arrows labelled **a**, **c** and **d** cutting these dashed lines assign a positive sense that can be arbitrarily chosen but, in this example, the choice corresponds with the positive sense assigned to the associated branches.

Figure. 3 Graphs of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1:
a) motion graph G_M ; b) action graph G_A

6.2 Motion and action graphs

From the coupling graph G_C it can be helpful to create a motion graph G_M and an action graph G_A . For the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1 these graphs are described below.

The motions allowed by a coupling having f degrees of freedom can be spanned by f independent motion screws. Each of these f screws can be represented in a motion graph G_M . The motion graph G_M is created by replacing each edge of G_C that represents an f degree of freedom coupling by f edges in series. Fig. 3a shows the motion graph for the kinematic chain of Fig. 1.

The actions transmitted by a coupling having c degrees of constraint can be spanned by c independent action screws. Each of these c screws can be represented in an action graph G_A . The action graph G_A is created by replacing every edge of G_C that represents a c degree of constraint coupling by c edges in parallel. Fig. 3b shows the action graph for the kinematic chain of Fig. 1.

The minimum number of parameters (independent motion magnitudes) necessary to provide the magnitudes of all motions within a coupling network is the nett degree of freedom F_N . Alternatively, F_N can be said to be the degree of overfreedom or excess freedom.

For a coupling network that is a tree,

$$F_N = F.$$

For coupling networks that contain one or more circuits comprised of two or more couplings,

$$0 \leq F_N \leq F.$$

Circuits can *reduce* freedoms.

The minimum number of parameters (independent action magnitudes) necessary to provide the magnitudes of all actions within a coupling network is the nett degree of constraint C_N . Alternatively, C_N can be said to be the degree of overconstraint or excess constraint.

For a coupling network that is a tree,

$$C_N = 0.$$

For coupling networks that contain one or more circuits comprised of two or more couplings,

$$C \geq C_N \geq 0.$$

Circuits can *increase* constraints.

7. Adaptations of Kirchhoff's laws

In this section matrices are needed that contain components of screws. Subscripts outside the square brackets around matrices signify the number of rows and columns respectively. A cap on a matrix signifies that the screws are normalised. The task of assembling equations is explained with the aid of the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1 and, in particular, the cylindrical coupling D having an axis through (1, 0, 0) parallel with the y-axis.

A notation is used that may be unfamiliar to the reader. This notation has been used before [11,12,17,32]; it is listed in the Introduction and explained in greater detail in section 11.3. The adaptations of the laws are now presented in tandem.

Kirchhoff's voltage law, when adapted for coupling networks, states that for each of the l independent circuits, the d components of screws spanning the motion screws of couplings of a circuit sum to zero when measured by reference to the same global frame. Thereby, dl equations can be written that impose conditions on the F unknowns. Some of these equations may prove to be redundant however. The circuit law equation can be written

Kirchhoff's current law, when adapted for coupling networks, states that for each of the k independent cutsets, the d components of screws spanning the action screws of couplings of a cutset sum to zero when measured by reference to the same global frame. Thereby, dk equations can be written that impose conditions on the C unknowns. Some of these equations may prove to be redundant however. The cutset law equation can be written

as:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}[\psi]_F = [\mathbf{0}]_{dl} \quad (1)$$

as:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}[\varphi]_C = [\mathbf{0}]_{dk} \quad (2)$$

7.1 The vectors of unknown magnitudes

The vector $[\psi]_F = [r_a, s_a, t_a, r_b, s_b, t_b, t_c, u_c, v_c, s_d, v_d, s_e, v_e]^T$ contains F unknown magnitudes of motions spanning the motion screw systems of the couplings listed in the same order as they appear in the columns of $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$. For example, in the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1, coupling D allows motions that belong to a fifth special 2-system of motion screws [33]. This system is spanned by any two screws of unequal pitch with ISA sharing the cylinder axis. Most conveniently the screws selected are those with zero and infinite pitch, namely angular velocity of magnitude s_d about the cylinder axis, the (local) y_d -axis, and translational velocity of magnitude v_d in the direction of the y -axis.

The vector $[\varphi]_C = [U_a, V_a, W_a, U_b, V_b, W_b, R_c, S_c, W_c, R_d, T_d, U_d, W_d, R_e, T_e, U_e, W_e]^T$ contains C unknown magnitudes of actions spanning the action screw systems of the couplings listed in the same order as they appear in the columns of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$. For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1, coupling D transmits actions that belong to a fifth special 4-system of action screws [33]. This system is spanned by any four screws reciprocal with the motion screws. A convenient set comprises torques (couples) parallel to the x - and z -axes of magnitudes R_d and T_d respectively, together with forces along the x - and (local) z_d -axes of magnitudes U_d and W_d respectively.

7.2 The network unit motion and unit action matrices

The network unit motion matrix

$$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F} = \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}[\mathbf{B}_1]_{F,F} \\ [\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}[\mathbf{B}_2]_{F,F} \\ \vdots \\ [\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}[\mathbf{B}_l]_{F,F} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}$, the direct coupling unit motion matrix, is determined by the geometry and $[\mathbf{B}_i]_{F,F}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ by the topology as represented by the motion graph.

The network unit action matrix

$$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C} = \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}[\mathbf{Q}_1]_{C,C} \\ [\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}[\mathbf{Q}_2]_{C,C} \\ \vdots \\ [\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}[\mathbf{Q}_k]_{C,C} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}$, the direct coupling unit action matrix, is determined by the geometry and $[\mathbf{Q}_i]_{C,C}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ by the topology as represented by the action graph.

7.3 Direct coupling unit motion and unit action matrices

The direct coupling unit motion matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}$ contains the d components of each of the F unit motion screws with respect to the global frame of reference with its origin at the centre of the spherical coupling A.

For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the 10th and 11th columns of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{6,13}$, shown as a submatrix below, are the motion components for the $f = 2$ cylindrical coupling located at D.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When these normalised screws are multiplied by the 10th and 11th elements of $[y']_{13}$, s_d and v_d respectively, the two motion screws are obtained of body two relative to body one. Note that the sixth element of the 10th column, when multiplied by s_d , is a velocity along the z-axis of a point on an imaginary extension of body two located at the global origin. This velocity results from the angular velocity s_d about the (local) y_d -axis recorded in the second element of the 10th column.

The direct coupling unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}$ contains the d components of each of the C unit action screws with respect to the global frame of reference with its origin at the centre of the spherical coupling A.

For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the 10th to the 13th columns of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{6,17}$, shown as a submatrix below, are the action components for the $c = 4$ cylindrical coupling located at D.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When these normalised screws are multiplied by the 10th to the 13th elements of $[\varphi]_{17}$, R_d , T_d , U_d and W_d respectively, the four action screws are obtained that are exerted by body one on body two. Note that the second element of the 13th column, when multiplied by W_d , is the (negative) moment about the y-axis. This moment results from the force W_d along the (local) z_d -axis recorded in the sixth element of the 13th column.

284

285

286

287

7.4 The circuit matrix of G_M , the cutset matrix of G_A , and diagonal matrices derived from them

The matrices $[\mathbf{B}_i]_{F,F}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ are diagonal matrices in which the diagonal elements of the i^{th} matrix are those of the i^{th} row of the circuit matrix $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{l,F}$ of the motion graph G_M .

Each element b_{ij} of $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{l,F}$ is 0, +1, or -1: b_{ij} is zero if circuit i does not include edge j ; +1 if the positive sense of circuit i is in the same direction as the positive sense of the edge j that it includes; and -1 if those positive senses are opposed. For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the columns 10 and 11 of $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{2,13}$ are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first row confirms that edge d is a member of circuit b and the positive direction assigned to the circuit corresponds with that of the edge. The second row confirms that edge d does not belong to circuit e . Subsequently, in the diagonal matrix $[\mathbf{B}_b]_{13,13}$, the 10th and 11th diagonal elements are both one whereas, in $[\mathbf{B}_e]_{13,13}$, these elements are zero.

A consequence is that, for the kinematic chain of Fig.1, in columns 10 and 11 of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{12,13}$ the first six rows are identical to those of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{6,13}$ and all elements of the last six rows are zero.

The matrices $[\mathbf{Q}_i]_{C,C}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are diagonal matrices in which the diagonal elements of the i^{th} matrix are those of the i^{th} row of the cutset matrix $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$ of the action graph G_A .

Each element q_{ij} of $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$ is 0, +1, or -1: q_{ij} is zero if cutset i does not include edge j ; +1 if the positive sense of cutset i is in the same direction as the positive sense of the edge j that it includes; and -1 if those positive senses are opposed. For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the columns 10 - 13 of $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{3,17}$ are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The last row confirms that edge d is a member of cutset d and the positive direction assigned to the cutset corresponds with that of the edge. The other two rows confirm that edge d does not belong to cutsets a and c . Subsequently, in the diagonal matrix $[\mathbf{Q}_d]_{17,17}$, the 10th - 13th diagonal elements are all one whereas, in $[\mathbf{Q}_a]_{17,17}$ and $[\mathbf{Q}_c]_{17,17}$, these elements are zero.

A consequence is that, for the kinematic chain of Fig.1, in columns 10 - 13 of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{18,17}$ the last six rows are identical to those of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{6,17}$ and all elements of the first 12 rows are zero.

288

289

290

7.5 Results

If there is overconstraint, the rank m of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$ is less than dl , the number of rows, and so

$$C_N = dl - m$$

rows are redundant. The remaining m independent equations impose m constraints on the F unknown

If there is overfreedom, the rank a of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$ is less than dk , the number of rows, and so

$$F_N = dk - a$$

rows are redundant. The remaining a independent equations impose a constraints on the C unknown

magnitudes. Thereby, these F unknowns can be expressed in terms of F_N primary variables, where

$$F_N = F - m.$$

For the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, m is 10, C_N is two and F_N is three.

For every pair of bodies $\{i, j\}$ of a coupling network, equation (1) makes it possible to identify a set of f_{ij} independent motion screws that span the screw system of all motions of which bodies i and j are capable. Furthermore, equation (1) also expresses the magnitudes of each of these motion screws in terms of the magnitudes of F_N of them. Subject to some restrictions, there is freedom to choose which F_N motion screw magnitudes shall belong to this set.

magnitudes. Thereby, these C unknowns can be expressed in terms of C_N primary variables, where

$$C_N = C - a.$$

For the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, a is 15, F_N is three and C_N is two.

For every pair of bodies $\{i, j\}$ of a coupling network, equation (2) makes it possible to identify a set of c_{ij} independent action screws that span the screw system of all actions that can be transmitted between bodies i and j . Furthermore, equation (2) also expresses the magnitudes of each of these action screws in terms of the magnitudes of C_N of them. Subject to some restrictions, there is freedom to choose which C_N action screw magnitudes shall belong to this set.

Because the foregoing is a brief summary of the full investigation [12], tables 1 and 2 below give the results in detail.

Table 1: Results obtained from the solution of equation (1) for the kinematic chain shown in Figure 1.

Pairs of bodies	Label of direct coupling	Motion components			
		f	Direct couplings with F unknowns	f_{ij}	After assembly, using $\{s_a, t_a, v_c\}$ as primary variables
1, 2	d	2	$\{0, s_d, 0, 0, v_d, 0\}$	1	$\{0, 0, 0, 0, v_c, 0\}$
1, 3	e	2	$\{0, s_e, 0, 0, v_e, 0\}$	2	$\{0, -s_a, 0, 0, v_c, 0\}$
1, 4	c	3	$\{0, 0, t_c, u_c, v_c, 0\}$	2	$\{0, 0, t_a, 0, v_c, 0\}$
2, 3	Absent	N/A		1	$\{0, s_a, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$
2, 4	b	3	$\{r_b, s_b, t_b, 0, 0, 0\}$	2	$\{0, 0, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$
3, 4	a	3	$\{r_a, s_a, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$	2	$\{0, s_a, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$

297

298 Table 2: Results obtained from the solution of equation (2) for the kinematic
299 chain shown in Figure 1.

Pairs of bodies	Label of direct coupling	Action components			
		c	Direct couplings with C unknowns	c_{ij}	After assembly, using $\{U_b, W_e\}$ as primary variables
1, 2	d	4	$\{R_d, 0, T_d, U_d, 0, W_d\}$	1	$\{0, U_b, 0, U_b, 0, -U_b\}$
1, 3	e	4	$\{R_e, 0, T_e, U_e, 0, W_e\}$	2	$\{0, 0, 0, -U_b, 0, W_e\}$
1, 4	c	3	$\{R_c, S_c, 0, 0, 0, W_c\}$	2	$\{0, -U_b, 0, 0, 0, (U_b - W_e)\}$
2, 3	Absent		N/A		N/A
2, 4	b	3	$\{0, 0, 0, U_b, V_b, W_b\}$	2	$\{0, U_b, 0, U_b, 0, -U_b\}$
3, 4	a	3	$\{0, 0, 0, U_a, V_a, W_a\}$	2	$\{0, 0, 0, -U_b, 0, W_e\}$

300

301 One further matter is included here that is not mentioned in [12]. Suppose that
302 the kinematic chain were to be used as a 1-port coupling network with bodies two
303 and three, the pair of original interest, as the terminals of the port. Suppose also
304 that those bodies are now grasped by someone, one body gripped in each hand.
305 The person who is gripping the two bodies is behaving as another 1-port
306 coupling network but one that is a six dof serial manipulator with built-in active
307 couplings called muscles. The appearance of s_a in column six, row four, of table
308 1 indicates that bodies two and three are capable of relative rotation about the y -
309 axis. Note that s_b , s_d or s_e could have been chosen as primary variables instead.
310 The actions that can be transmitted from body two to body three are thereby
311 restricted to the 5-system of action screws that are all reciprocal to that rotation.
312 These actions are spanned by $\{R_f, T_f, U_f, V_f, W_f\}$, because $s_f S_f = 0$. Whereas c_{23}
313 was previously zero, now that the human coupling has been added thereby
314 internalising these actions, it is now five.

315 8. Virtual power equations

316

317 There is an alternative way of finding the number of primary variables F_N and C_N
318 and, in addition, an alternative way of expressing the magnitudes of all motions
319 and actions in terms of those primary variables.

320

321 8.1 The cutset motion and circuit action vectors

322

Instead of starting with F unknown coupling motion components, dk unknown cutset motion components can be used instead. These dk motion

Instead of starting with C unknown coupling action components, dI unknown circuit action components can be used instead. These dI action

components are subject to C conditions, some of which may prove to be redundant. The C action components cannot expend or generate power in conjunction with the dk motions and so the C actions must be regarded as virtual actions.

The dk unknowns must be assembled in a cutset motion vector $[\mathbf{M}_k]_{dk}$. Using Fig. 3b as an example wherein $d = 6$ and $k = 3$, the first six elements of $[\mathbf{M}_k]_{18}$ are the six unknown components for cutset a , namely:

$$[r_a, s_a, t_a; u_a, v_a, w_a]^T.$$

There follows six components that are identical except that the subscript a is replaced by c , and six more subscripted by d .

components are subject to F conditions, some of which may prove to be redundant. The F motion components cannot expend or generate power in conjunction with the dl actions and so the F motions must be regarded as virtual motions.

The dl unknowns must be assembled in a circuit action vector $[\mathbf{A}_l]_{dl}$. Using Fig. 3a as an example wherein $d = 6$ and $l = 2$, the first six elements of $[\mathbf{A}_l]_{12}$ are the six unknown components for circuit b namely:

$$[R_b, S_b, T_b; U_b, V_b, W_b]^T.$$

There follows six components that are identical except that the subscript b is replaced by e .

8.2 The transposed network unit action and unit motion matrices

To apply the C conditions vector $[\mathbf{M}_k]_{dk}$ must be pre-multiplied by the transpose of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$ used in equation (2). Thus:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^T]_{C,dk} [\mathbf{M}_k]_{dk} = [\mathbf{0}]_C. \quad (3)$$

The C rows of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^T]_{C,dk}$ can be reduced to m rows by eliminating the C_N redundant ones.

For a coupling represented by a chord of G_C , the coupling motion components are those of the corresponding circuit of G_C . For a coupling represented by a branch of G_C , the motion components are the sum of the motion components of the circuits of G_C to which the branch belongs.

To apply the F conditions vector $[\mathbf{A}_l]_{dl}$ must be pre-multiplied by the transpose of the network unit motion matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$ used in equation (1). Thus:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^T]_{F,dl} [\mathbf{A}_l]_{dl} = [\mathbf{0}]_F. \quad (4)$$

The F rows of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^T]_{F,dl}$ can be reduced to m rows by eliminating the F_N redundant ones.

For a coupling represented by a branch of G_C , the coupling action components are those of the corresponding cutset of G_C . For a coupling represented by a chord of G_C , the action components are the sum of the action components of the cutsets of G_C to which the chord belongs.

The kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1 has no utility except as a geometrically and topologically simple example to demonstrate principles involved. Useful examples are described in the next two sections.

9. Dual coupling networks

The work described so far raises the question as to whether, for a coupling network N with network matrices $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ there exists a dual coupling network N^* with network matrices $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^*$ such that $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^*$ are identical to $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ and $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ respectively? Dual coupling networks have been created and the procedure for creating them has been explained in detail [32], the chosen example is the coupling network N shown in Fig. 1 and its dual. The procedure requires the identification of dual couplings and dual coupling graphs. The duals of some simple planar kinematic chains have also been described [8] [17]; the latter is mentioned again in the next section.

Such studies are an aid to an understanding screw theory and graph theory. Furthermore, whereas actions are difficult to imagine in a coupling network N , it is relatively easy to imagine the geometrically identical screws that describe the motions that can take place within the dual network N^* .

10. Applications

The first two subsections involve coupling networks for which the geometry can be greatly simplified by ignoring some of the constraints. A consequence is that the dimension d can be less than six thereby making the matrices considerably smaller.

10.1 Planar kinematic chains

Studies [17] have been made of the duals of planar kinematic chains that are in critical configurations. By confining attention to motion screws belonging to the fifth special 3-system of screws, a dimension d of three can be used in assembling equation (1) with the consequence that matrix $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ is much smaller than it would otherwise be. A complete kinematic analysis of a Stephenson kinematic chain is provided using equation (1) and this is shown to be identical to the results of a static analysis of the dual of the kinematic chain using equation (2).

10.2 Gear trains, friction and efficiency

Equations (2, 4) have limited utility when applied to a kinematic chain for reasons that are discussed later in section 11. These equations do have value however for studies of the statics of machines operating at a constant speed. The two-stage epicyclic gear train shown in Fig. 4 provides an example of the use of all four equations [11].

Figure. 4 A two-stage epicyclic gear train and a schematic diagram of it

In order to use equations 1 and 3 for kinematic analysis no modification is needed. In order to use equations 2 and 4 for the statics problem however, the gear train must be supplemented by two 1-port coupling networks that provide a source and sink for power, an electric motor and a fan for example. Both of these 1-port coupling networks contain an active coupling that transmits torque about the z -axis; they will also have bearings with the centre lines on the z -axis, but these duplicate the role played by bearings that exist within the gear train and can be ignored.

A major problem remains. The two extra actions supplement the many actions that could exist attributable to overconstraint. Because equations 2 and 4 can only analyse internal actions those actions attributable to overconstraint cannot be avoided. The problem is thereby far more complex than it needs to be. The extended coupling network can be greatly simplified however without impairment to the basic statics problem by taking the following steps.

- ❖ All but one planet in each stage is ignored.
- ❖ All moving parts are assumed to exist in the $z = 0$ plane.
- ❖ Both kinds of coupling, meshing gears and bearings, are assumed to be ($c = f = 1$) couplings by ignoring all other freedom and constraint.

Both the motion screws and the remaining action screws both belong to second special 2-systems of screws. These special screw systems differ geometrically however. Angular velocities have ISA parallel with the z -axis in the $x = 0$ plane, whereas forces have ISA parallel with the x -axis in the $z = 0$ plane. As Shai and Pennock [41] have observed of a similar gear train, the system is now identical to a sequence of levers.

Figure. 5 The coupling graph G_C of the gear train shown in Fig. 4 when it is augmented by two active couplings represented by edges $h2$ and $i2$

For equation 2 two additional active couplings are needed and so, in Fig. 5, there are two edges from node 0 to node 1, and two edges from node 0 to node 4. The two additional edges $h2$ and $i2$ representing active couplings are shown as dashed lines. Fig. 5 is also the action graph G_A because $c = 1$ for all couplings. The five independent cutsets are identified in Fig. 5 by chain-dotted lines. Because $f = 1$ for all couplings, again Fig. 5 is also the motion graph except that edges $h2$ and $i2$ can be omitted. The four independent internal circuits are then obvious.

Cazangi and Martins [13] employ equation (1) for the analysis of two gear trains; one has two degrees of freedom, two forward ratios and one backward; the second has three degrees of freedom, three forward ratios and one backward.

Laus *et al* [14] employ equations 1 and 2 for studies of the efficiency of an epicyclic gear train and a Humpage gear train. For both, account is taken of friction, including gear tooth friction.

Tischler *et al* [15] uses equation (4) for a study of friction in multi-loop linkages. This may be the only occasion that equation (4) has been used for an application except for the epicyclic gear train described above.

10.3 Kinematic chains in critical configurations

Tischler [16] uses equation (1) in a study of critical configurations of a RCCC kinematic chain; Davies and Laus [17] do likewise for a planar 6-Link Stephenson kinematic chain.

10.4 The use of symbolic screw components

In a study to predict the slop that results from clearances in couplings of the Melbourne dextrous finger, Tischler *et al* [18] use symbolic screw components so that the analysis is valid throughout the cycle of configurations instead of only at one instantaneous configuration.

10.5 The use of virtual couplings (Assur groups)

An Assur group does not introduce additional constraints. For example, for a planar manipulator it can comprise PPR couplings in series; for a spatial manipulator PPPRRR or PPPS couplings in series. Equation (1) proves to be very useful; the primary variables can be either those of couplings of the manipulator or, for inverse kinematics, couplings of the Assur group.

Several workers have used Assur groups in combination with equation (1). Erthal *et al* [19] use them for a study of vehicle suspension; Campos *et al* [20] for the inverse kinematics of serial manipulators and [21] for the inverse kinematics of parallel manipulators. Inverse kinematics also gets attention from Simas *et al* [22].

There is work reported by Guenther *et al* [23] and Santos *et al* [24] [25] on the study of underwater manipulators. Simas *et al* [26] [27] and Rocha *et al* [28] report on work to avoid collisions and for carrying out tasks such as remote repair. Ribeiro *et al* [29] [30] describe the use of virtual chains in studies of cooperating robots. Recently, Ponce Saldias *et al* [31] [42] have extended the application of equation 1 and Assur groups to the modelling of the human knee to aid pre-operative planning.

11. Discussion

In this section some lessons learned from the foregoing are discussed.

457

458

11.1 If there is a “fixed” member in a mechanism, does it matter which it is?

459

460

461 In his lengthy notes that he includes in his English translation of Reuleaux [43],
462 Kennedy [44] argues that a *machine* is defined by many in terms of what it does
463 whereas, ideally, it should be defined in terms of what it comprises. In [10] this
464 criticism is extended to some definitions provided by IFToMM [36]. In section 4
465 some extracts from [10] are repeated in order to draw attention to the fact that
466 there is not necessity to identify an element (body/link/member) that is fixed. Of
467 course, there are mechanisms, such as some handheld tools, wherein the term
468 “fixed” is irrelevant.

469 For studies of kinematics and statics, the significance of a fixed member is
470 unimportant. It is accepted of course that if acceleration, the second derivative of
471 displacement, is a feature then it is essential to identify an inertial member, most
472 frequently the earth.

473

474

11.2 A directed graph provides a concise and easily accessible record of a user-selected sign convention.

475

476

477 Anyone who has learned, or taught, elementary mechanics using free body
478 diagrams may remember the tedium involved in using arrows twice, once on
479 each of two directly coupled bodies. Likewise, for kinematics, it is necessary to
480 distinguish the motion of body A relative to body B and body B relative to body A.

481 A directed graph has merits. A positive sense assigned to an edge by using an
482 arrowhead indicates which, of two possibilities, will be regarded as the positive
483 sense in any analysis. The choice of direction is an arbitrary decision. The
484 coupling graph G_C in Fig. 5 of the gear train shown in Fig. 4 has nine edges so
485 there are 512 possible different sets of directed edges. Fig. 3 provides evidence
486 that it is the author’s practice to assign the positive direction away from the node
487 labelled with the lower number. It is suggested here that the directed graph
488 provides a concise store of a sign convention of the user’s choice that can be
489 read at a glance.

490

491

11.3 In order to write the reciprocity condition it is sufficient to remember rR

492

493

494 In recent publications [11] [12] [17] [32] the author has chosen to represent the
 495 reciprocity condition for motion and action screws as follows:

496
$$rR + sS + tT + uU + vV + wW = 0.$$

497 Where $\{r, s, t\}$ are the $\{x, y, z\}$ components of angular velocity; $\{u, v, w\}$ are
 498 components of the velocity of a point located at the origin; $\{R, S, T\}$ are the
 499 components of moments measured at the origin; and $\{U, V, W\}$ are the
 500 components of forces. The simple layout in the equation above is easily
 501 remembered and easily keyboarded. Others may prefer asterisks and exotic
 502 curly fonts. Note that $R - W$ is sequential whereas $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{R}$ is not; T is the moment
 503 about the z -axis, often the moment of Torque, and u and v are easily
 504 remembered velocity components of the origin along the x - and y -axes
 505 respectively. Furthermore, p is available for the pitch of a screw.

506

507 **11.4 Mechanical network theory can be much more complex**
 508 **than electrical DC network theory.**
 509

510 Suppose that a coupling graph G_C , such as the one shown in Fig. 2, is also the
 511 graph of an electrical network. To keep matters simple suppose also that every
 512 one of the e edges corresponds either to a battery, or a resistor.

A coupling graph has l independent circuits and chords. For the equivalent electrical network there are therefore le elements in the voltage law equation matrix. For the equivalent mechanical matrix $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$, the number of elements is Fdl . The ratio is: $Fdl/le = Fd/e$.

A coupling graph has k independent cutsets and branches. For the equivalent electrical network there are therefore ke elements in the current law equation matrix. For the equivalent mechanical matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$, the number of elements is Cdk . The ratio is: $Cdk/ke = Cd/e$.

513

514 Summary of results drawn from examples mentioned in this paper are provided
 515 in Table 3 below.

516 Table 3: The size of matrices relative to those of a topologically identical DC
 517 electrical network

Coupling network	d	e	Circuit law		Cutset law	
			F	Fd/e	C	Cd/e
Fig. 1	6	5	13	78/5	17	102/5
Stephenson III, a 6-link planar kinematic chain [17]	6	7	6	36/7	20	180/7
	3	7	6	18/7	N/A	
Simplified epicyclic gear train, Fig. 4	2	11	N/A		11	2
	2	9	9	2	N/A	

518

519 Judging by the ratio of the number of elements in matrices, Fd/e and Cd/e , the
520 complexity of the coupling network problems are generally much greater than
521 those of a simple DC network having the same topology.

522

523 **11.5 Which equations are best?**

524

525 For kinematic chains it has been observed that C , C_N , and matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ are larger,
526 sometimes much larger, than F , F_N and matrix $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ respectively. This suggests
527 that, for statics of machines, equation 4 is superior to equation 2 and, for
528 kinematics, equation 1 is superior to equation 3 which may explain why Jean
529 Bernoulli never wrote about virtual actions.

530

531 **11.6 Actions attributable to overconstraint cannot be** 532 **measured by geometry and topology**

533

534 Overconstraint is potentially dangerous, so awareness of its existence is
535 important. This topic is also discussed in section 11.8. For kinematic chains
536 equations 2 and 4 are incapable of providing the magnitudes of actions. These
537 equations can enable all C actions that can exist within a kinematic chain that
538 are attributable to overconstraint to be expressed in terms of a set of C_N actions
539 that are chosen as primary variables. The magnitudes of these C_N actions remain
540 unknown however; they are dependent on tolerances, shape, manufacturing
541 errors, temperature and material properties.

542

543 **11.7 The dual zeroth laws of mechanics**

544

545 The zeroth law of thermodynamics is fundamental, very simple, and too obvious
546 for much notice to be taken of it. The decision to number the law as the zeroth
547 law is attributed to Fowler and Guggenheim [48]. The law can be stated in several
548 ways, Fowler and Guggenheim write:

549 *If two thermal assemblies are each in thermal equilibrium with a third assembly,*
550 *then all three are in thermal equilibrium with each other.*

551 The following dual laws for actions and motions within coupling networks can be
552 expressed in tandem.

The action law
An action can be transmitted around a circuit comprising bodies and couplings provided that all those couplings are capable of transmitting that action.

The motion law
Two bodies separated by a cutset of couplings can have relative motion provided that all those couplings are capable of allowing that motion.

Because the dual laws above, like the zeroth law of thermodynamics, are fundamental, very simple, and too obvious for much notice to be taken of them, maybe it is appropriate that they be called the dual zeroth laws of mechanics.

In this paper, with its focus on coupling networks, it is appropriate to write the law in its dual form; the symmetry of duality is also appealing. If duality is ignored the action law can be stated in a simpler way as:

An action cannot exist without a circuit capable of transmitting it.

This simple law becomes apparent when actions are internalised as they must be to employ equations (2, 4). It may have been overlooked because Isaac Newton was a free body diagram man: he never internalised actions.

Turning to the motion law, it is obvious that two bodies can be in relative motion without being members of a coupling network. In these circumstances it could be said that the only coupling is a *null coupling* that allows any motion.

11.8 Does elastic design get sufficient attention?

The existence of overconstraint can result in fatigue failure. Attempts to limit the dangerous consequences of overconstraint are of two kinds. One is kinematic design whereby additional freedom is introduced thereby increasing F_N and, by doing so, reducing C_N . This is certainly the preferred route for precision instruments. The second kind is to employ elastic design whereby, by changes in certain dimensions or a change of materials, some parts are made sufficiently compliant to allow limited elastic deformation.

Most writers concentrate attention on their speciality, either the kinematic approach or the elastic approach. Professor Michael French, an academic and a writer on the subject of engineering design, is an exception. He is an unrepentant generalist exemplified by his statement: "Never ask a specialist; they always give the wrong answer." Ouch! In his book [45], there is a chapter titled Kinematic and Elastic Design. It is a very good balanced account of the two approaches with several examples from gear trains that were in production at the time of publication.

586 **11.9 Screw theory is addictive. All papers and books that**
587 **mention screw theory should be required to print a**
588 **warning: screw theory can damage your career.**
589

590 The reader will understand the author's reluctance to provide evidence for this
591 assertion but two addicts are mentioned if only because they are long since
592 dead. In *A History of Mathematics*, Cajori [46] writes about Julius Plücker (1801-
593 1868) [47], one of the founding fathers of screw theory; the following is an
594 extract.

595 "In Germany J. Plücker's researches met with no favour. His method was
596 declared to be unproductive as compared with the synthetic method of J. Steiner
597 and J. V. Poncelet! His relations with C. G. J. Jacobi were not altogether friendly.
598 Steiner once declared that he would stop writing for *Crelle's Journal* if Plücker
599 continued to contribute to it. The result was that many of Plücker's researches
600 were published in foreign journals, and that his work came to be better known in
601 France and England than in his native country. The charge was also brought
602 against Plücker that, although occupying the chair of physics, he was no
603 physicist. This induced him to relinquish mathematics, and for nearly 20 years to
604 devote his energy to physics. Important discoveries on Fresnel's wave-surface,
605 magnetism and spectrum-analysis were made by him. But towards the close of
606 his life he returned to his first love, mathematics, and enriched it with new
607 discoveries. By considering space as made up of lines he created a "new
608 geometry of space."

609 Another major contributor to screw theory was Sir Robert Stawell Ball (1840-
610 1913) [34]. He also had a day job. In 1892 he was appointed as Lowndean
611 Professor of Astronomy and Geometry at Cambridge University at the same time
612 becoming director of the Cambridge Observatory. He was in great demand as a
613 popular speaker on astronomy. His important contributions to screw theory
614 however were ignored for around 70 years.

615 So, perhaps the best way of defeating drug traffickers is to ignore them.

617 **11.10 Actions and motions rarely appear in the same**
618 **textbook**
619

620 Mention of Robert Ball brings back memories of something written [11] on the
621 occasion of symposium held in 2000 to celebrate the hundredth anniversary of
622 the publication of his book, *A Treatise on the Theory of screws* [34]. It is worth
623 mentioning again.

Can you imagine a University's Department of Electrical Engineering advertising for two posts; one for a teacher of Electrical Circuit Theory (electrical currents) and another for a teacher of Electrical Circuit Theory (potential differences)? Electrical currents and potential differences are "through" and "across" variables respectively, as are actions and motions. Yet, despite being geometrically identical, actions and motions (first order time derivative of displacements) are often taught using separate textbooks and very often by different teachers. There is, of course, much more to kinematics than motion defined in this way.

12. Conclusions

Graph theory has an important role to play in assembling dI simultaneous equations for kinematic analysis and dK simultaneous equations for statics analysis. The matrices assembled for those equations can be used again, when transposed, in two virtual power equations that also provide kinematics and statics analysis. Graph theory also contributes concepts and terminology to these virtual power equations; notably the concepts of cutset motions and circuit actions. One further outcome is a pair of dual topological laws, called here the zeroth laws of mechanics.

It was Erskine Crossley who sowed the seed.

13. Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dr Craig Tischler formerly of the University of Melbourne, Australia and his co-workers, and Professor Daniel Martins and fellow researchers at the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, SC, Brazil for their enthusiastic adoption of methods mentioned in this paper. Particular thanks go to one of them, Professor Luís Paulo Laus, now of the Federal University of Technology — Paraná (UTFPR), Curitiba, PR, Brazil, for his collaboration in joint endeavours and for help and advice in the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] W.-K. Chen, Graph theory and its engineering applications, Vol. 5 of Advanced Series in Electrical and Computer Engineering, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.
- [2] R. J. Wilson, Introduction to graph theory, 4th Edition, Addison Wesley, Harlow, 1996.

- [3] K. J. Waldron, The constraint analysis of mechanisms, *Journal of Mechanisms* 1 (2) (1966) 101–114.
- [4] T. H. Davies, E. J. F. Primrose, An algebra for the screw systems of pairs of bodies in a kinematic chain, in: *Proceedings of the Third World Congress Theory Mach. and Mech*, Kupari, Yugoslavia, 1971, pp. 199–212, paper D-14.
- [5] J. E. Baker, On relative freedom between links in kinematic chains with cross-jointing, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 15 (5) (1980) 397–413.
- [6] T. H. Davies, Kirchhoff's circulation law applied to multi-loop kinematic chains, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 16 (3) (1981) 171–183.
- [7] T. H. Davies, Circuit actions attributable to active couplings, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 30 (7) (1995) 1001–1012, *Graphs and Mechanics First International Conference*, Zakopane, Poland, 1993..
- [8] T. H. Davies, Simple examples of dual coupling networks, in: J.-P. Merlet, M. Dahan (Eds.), *Proceedings of Twelfth World Congress in Mechanism and Machine Science*, IFToMM, Besançon, France, 2007.
- [9] T. H. Davies, Mechanical networks – III : wrenches on circuit screws, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 18 (2) (1983) 107–112.
- [10] T. H. Davies, Couplings, coupling networks and their graphs, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 30 (7) (1995) 991–1000, *Graphs and Mechanics First International Conference*, Zakopane, Poland, 1993.
- [11] T. H. Davies, The 1887 committee meets again. Subject: freedom and constraint, in: *Ball 2000 Conference*, University of Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, Trinity College *Proceedings of a Symposium commemorating the Legacy, Works, and Life of Sir Robert Stawell Ball upon the 100th Anniversary of A Treatise on the Theory of Screws*, University of Cambridge, Trinity College, 2000, 1–56.
- [12] T. H. Davies, Freedom and constraint in coupling networks, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* 220 (7) (2006) 989–1010.
- [13] H. R. Cazangi, D. Martins, Kinematic analysis of automotive gearbox mechanisms using Davies' method, in: *Proceedings 19th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering - COBEM*, Brasilia - DF, 2007.
- [14] L. P. Laus, H. Simas, D. Martins, Efficiency of gear trains determined using graph and screw theories, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 52 (0) (2012) 296–325.
- [15] C. R. Tischler, S. R. Lucas, A. E. Samuel, Modelling friction in multi-loop linkages, in: *Experimental Robotics VI*, Vol. 250 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, 2000, 465–474.
- [16] C. R. Tischler, Alternative structures for robot hands, Ph.d. thesis, The University of Melbourne, Australia (November 1995).
- [17] T. H. Davies, L. P. Laus, Planar revolute-coupled kinematic chains in critical configurations and their duals, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics* (2) (2014) 126–137.
- [18] C. R. Tischler, A. E. Samuel, Prediction of the slop in general spatial linkages, *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 18 (8) (1999) 845–858.
- [19] J. L. Erthal, L. C. Nicolazzi, D. Martins, Kinematic analysis of automotive suspensions using Davies' method, in: *Proceedings 19th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering - COBEM*, Brasilia - DF, 2007.

- [20] A. A. Campos Bonilla, R. Guenther, D. Martins, Differential kinematics of serial manipulators using virtual chains, *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 27 (4) (2005) 345–356.
- [21] A. A. Campos Bonilla, R. Guenther, D. Martins, Differential kinematics of parallel manipulators using Assur virtual chains, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* 223 (7) (2009) 1697–1711.
- [22] H. Simas, R. Guenther, D. F. M. da Cruz, D. Martins, A new method to solve robot inverse kinematics using assur virtual chains, *Robotica* 27 (7) (2009) 1017–1026.
- [23] R. Guenther, C. H. F. dos Santos, D. Martins, E. R. de Pieri, A new approach to the underwater vehicle-manipulator systems kinematics, in: *Proceedings of the XI DINAME, 28th February - 4th March, Ouro Preto - MG, 2005*.
- [24] C. H. F. dos Santos, R. Guenther, D. Martins, E. R. de Pieri, Comparative analysis of methods for redundancy solution of underwater vehicle-manipulator systems, in: *Proceedings of the COBEM 2005: 18th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, ABCM, Ouro Preto - MG, 2005*.
- [25] C. H. F. dos Santos, R. Guenther, D. Martins, E. R. de Pieri, Virtual kinematic chains to solve the underwater vehicle-manipulator systems redundancy, *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 28 (2006) 354–361.
- [26] H. Simas, D. F. M. da Cruz, R. Guenther, D. Martins, A collision avoidance method using Assur virtual chains, in: *Proceedings 19th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering - COBEM, Braslia - DF, 2007*.
- [27] H. Simas, J. F. Golin, E. R. de Pieri, D. Martins, Development of an automated system for cavitation repairing in rotors of large hydroelectric plants, in: *Applied Robotics for the Power Industry (CARPI), 2012 2nd International Conference on, 2012, 39–44*.
- [28] C. R. Rocha, H. Simas, D. Martins, A. Dias, A new approach for collision avoidance of manipulators operating in unstructured and time-varying environments, in: *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics - Vol. 4, Vol. 4, ABCM, 2010, 609–617*.
- [29] L. P. Ribeiro, R. Guenther, D. Martins, Screw-based relative jacobian for manipulators cooperating in a task, in: *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics, Vol. 3, ABCM, 2008, 276–285*.
- [30] L. P. Ribeiro, D. Martins, Screw-based relative jacobian for manipulators cooperating in a task using Assur virtual chains, in: *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics, Vol. 4, 2010, 729–738*.
- [31] D. A. Ponce Saldias, C. R. de Mello Roesler, D. Martins, A human knee joint model based on screw theory and its relevance for preoperative planning, in: *Mecánica Computacional 11/2012; In: Proceeding of X Congreso Argentino de Mecánica Computacional (MEMCOM 2012), Vol. XXXI, 2012, 3847–3871*.
- [32] T. H. Davies, Dual coupling networks, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* 220 (8) (2006) 1237–1247.

- [33] K. H. Hunt, Kinematic geometry of mechanisms, Vol. 7 of The Oxford engineering science series, Clarendon, Oxford, 1990, reprinted with corrections [from the 1978 edition].
- [34] R. S. Ball, A treatise on the theory of screws, Cambridge, Cambridge, 1998, reprinted [from the 1900 edition].
- [35] J. Phillips, Freedom in Machinery, Cambridge, 2007, volume 1 (1984) and volume 2 (1990) combined.
- [36] Terminology for the theory of machines and mechanisms, Mechanism and Machine Theory, Vol. 26(5), (1991), pp. 435–539. An online version of the terminology database can be found in the official IFToMM website, where it is constantly being updated.
- [37] E. A. Guillemin, Introductory circuit theory, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [38] W. H. Hayt, Jr., J. E. Kemmerly, S. M. Durbin, Engineering circuit analysis, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2012.
- [39] T. H. Glisson, Jr., Introduction to circuit analysis and design, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [40] T. A. Kestell, Evolution and design of machinery primarily used in the manufacture of boots and shoes, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers 178 (1) (1963) 625–660.
- [41] O. Shai, G. R. Pennock, Extension of graph theory to the duality between static systems and mechanisms, Journal of Mechanical Design 128 (1) (2006) 179–191.
- [42] D. A. Ponce Saldias, D. Martins, F. da Silva Rosa, A. D. O. Moré, Modeling of human knee joint in sagittal plane considering elastic behavior of cruciate ligaments, in: Proceedings 22nd International Congress of Mechanical Engineering (COBEM 2013), Vol. XX, Ribeirão Preto - SP, 2013.
- [43] F. Reuleaux, Theoretische Kinematik: Grundzüge einer Theorie des Maschinenwesens (1875), Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig, 1875.
- [44] A. B. W. Kennedy, The kinematics of machinery: outlines of a theory of machines, Macmillan, London, 1876, English translation of Theoretische Kinematik: Grundzüge einer Theorie des Maschinenwesens by Franz Reuleaux, 1875.
- [45] M. J. French, Conceptual design for engineers, 3rd Edition, Springer, London, 1999.
- [46] F. Cajori, A History of mathematics, Project Gutenberg, 2010, e-book: #31061. Originally published, Macmillan, New York, 1919.
- [47] J. Plücker, Neue Geometrie des Raumes gegründet auf die Betrachtung der geraden Linie als Raumelement, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1868.
- [48] R. Fowler, E. A. Guggenheim, Statistical Thermodynamics: a version of Statistical Mechanics for Students of Physics and Chemistry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1956, reprinted with corrections [from the 1939 edition].

801

802 Figure captions

Figure	Caption
1	A spatial kinematic chain
2	The coupling graph G_C of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1
3	Graphs of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1: a) motion graph G_M ; b) action graph G_A
4	A two-stage epicyclic gear train and a schematic diagram of it
5	The coupling graph G_C of the gear train shown in Fig. 4 when it is augmented by two active couplings represented by edges h_2 and i_2

803

A network approach to mechanisms and machines: some lessons learned

(An abbreviated title of fewer than 40 characters, including spaces: A network approach to MMT)

T.H.Davies¹, Wolfson School of Manufacturing and Mechanical Engineering,
Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK, LE11 3TU

Abstract

This is essentially a review paper describing progress made in treating mechanisms and machines as networks. Some of the terminology that is helpful to this approach is explained. Relevant elements of graph theory are mentioned. The original aim was to find a robust procedure for finding the instantaneous relative motion of all pairs of bodies within a kinematic chain. The manner in which this was achieved produced several other results that have found unanticipated applications. These are mentioned and publications are cited. Lessons have been learned and these are discussed in Section 11.

Keywords:

circuit; constraint; cutset; freedom; graph; screw

1. Introduction

The author is glad of this opportunity to thank Erskine Crossley for his many acts of kindness and generosity and to join with others to pay tribute to the work he has done for IFToMM and as editor of the *Journal of Mechanisms*, the forerunner of this journal. In particular, the author can bear witness to the many contributions Erskine Crossley made to good international relations. But this is a technical paper and so it is appropriate to explain the stimulus Erskine Crossley provided that led to research interests of the author.

Erskine Crossley was the first to mention graph theory in the author's presence. Graph theory [1] [2] is a branch of topology concerned with the interconnections within a network of objects. Graph theory has found many applications; most relevant to this paper are applications in electrical network theory, more frequently called electrical circuit theory.

¹ mcthd@lboro.ac.uk (Trevor Davies),

32 Mechanism and machines can be thought of as coupling networks. Waldron [3]
33 provides rules that apply to couplings arranged in series and in parallel. Like
34 electrical networks, indirect couplings containing cross bracing pose special
35 problems [4]. Baker [5] proposed a simple example that has subsequently proved
36 well-suited as a demonstration for theories that have followed. One solution [6]
37 required the adaptation of Kirchhoff's voltage law. Subsequent work [7] [8]² [9]
38 [10] [11] [12] has led to the adaptation of Kirchhoff's current law as well, and two
39 virtual power equations that use matrices that are identical to those needed for
40 the adaptations of Kirchhoff's laws except for being transposed. All four
41 equations are reproduced in this paper; the adaptations of Kirchhoff's laws
42 equations (1,2) in section 7.2 and the virtual power equations (3,4) in section 8.2.
43 Several applications have been found for the equations [13] [14] [15] [16] [17]
44 [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [24] [25] [26] [27] [28] [29] [30] [31]; further details are
45 provided in section 10.

46 Nomenclature

47 a	the rank of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$
48 b_{ij}	the element in row i , column j , of circuit matrix $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{l,F}$
49 c	degree of constraint of a direct coupling
50 c_{ij}	degree of constraint of bodies i and j of a coupling network
51 C	gross degree of constraint of a coupling network, $\sum c$
52 C_N	nett degree of constraint of a coupling network
53 d	minimum order of the screw system, $1 \leq d \leq 6$
54 e	number of couplings in a coupling network and edges of coupling graph G_C
55 f	gross degree of freedom of a direct coupling
56 f_{ij}	degree of freedom of bodies i and j of a coupling network
57 F	gross degree of freedom of a coupling network, $\sum f$
58 F_N	nett degree of freedom of a coupling network
59 k	number of independent cutsets of a graph
60 l	number of independent circuits (loops) of a graph
61 m	the rank of the network unit motion matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$
62 n	number of bodies in a coupling network and nodes of coupling graph G_C
63 q_{ij}	the element in row i , column j , of cutset matrix $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$
64 $\{r, s, t; u, v, w\}$	motion screw components in ray-coordinates
65 $\{R, S, T; U, V, W\}$	action screw components in axis-coordinates

67 Vectors

68 $[\mathbf{A}]_{dl}$	dl action components for all l circuits
69 $[\mathbf{M}]_{dk}$	dk motion components for all k cutsets
70 $[\varphi]^C$	magnitudes of C action screws
71 $[\psi]^F$	magnitudes of F motion screws

72
73

² Online versions of papers [8, 10-12, 17, 32] can be found from the website of Loughborough University, UK: search for Library, Institutional Repository, Author, Davies, T.H.

74	Matrices	
75	$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}$	unit action matrix of the direct couplings of a coupling network
76	$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$	network unit action matrix of a coupling network N
77	$[\mathbf{B}_i]_{F,F}$	diagonal matrices with diagonal elements corresponding to row i of
78		$[\mathbf{B}_M]_{I,F}$; in practice identification is by the circuit label, e. g. $[\mathbf{B}_b]_{F,F}$ for
79		circuit b .
80	$[\mathbf{B}_M]_{I,F}$	circuit matrix of motion graph G_M
81	$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}$	unit motion matrix of the direct couplings of a coupling network
82	$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$	network unit motion matrix of a coupling network N
83	$[\mathbf{Q}_i]_{C,C}$	diagonal matrices with diagonal elements corresponding to row i of
84		$[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$; in practice, identification is by the cutset label, e. g. $[\mathbf{Q}_a]_{C,C}$ for
85		cutset a .
86	$[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$	cutset matrix of action graph G_A

87 2. Couplings

88

89 Central to the network approach described in this paper is the *coupling*. This
90 term is applied to any means by which an *action* can be transmitted between two
91 bodies that are sufficiently stiff to be regarded as rigid. Furthermore, a coupling
92 must be capable of being disassembled without resort to cutting. This means that
93 welded and riveted joints are not regarded as couplings, nor are joints formed by
94 adhesion. Action is a term that is sometimes used [11] [12] [32] as shorthand for
95 a wrench on a screw of any pitch, including a pitch that is zero, namely a force,
96 and a pitch that is infinite, namely a torque. The coupling could be either direct,
97 indirect or a hybrid comprising direct and indirect couplings in parallel. Except
98 where it is necessary to make a distinction, all couplings mentioned are direct
99 couplings. The term coupling is chosen as the name of a superset comprising
100 passive and active couplings, the latter providing sinks or sources of power.
101 Examples of couplings of both kinds have been listed [10]. Important subclasses
102 of passive couplings mentioned in this paper are contact couplings, often
103 referred to as kinematic pairs, and elastic couplings.

104 As well as the capability of transmitting an action, many couplings also permit
105 relative motion of the bodies they couple. Motion is a term sometimes used [11]
106 [12] [32] as shorthand for the first time derivative of displacement, geometrically
107 described as a twist rate on the screw of any pitch, including a pitch that is zero,
108 namely an angular velocity, and the pitch that is infinite namely translational
109 velocity. A coupling is characterised by two screw systems [33], a c -system of
110 actions that can be transmitted and an f -system of motions that can be allowed,
111 and:

$$112 \quad c + f = d,$$

where c and f are often referred to as the degrees of constraint and freedom of the coupling. The sum d could be said to be the dimension of the problem, having normally a maximum value of six. Simplification results from disregarding some of the actions couplings are capable of transmitting and then d will be less than six. Examples are to be found in section 10.

The action and motion screws systems of couplings are said to be reciprocal to one another because a screw of one system cannot expend power in conjunction with any of the screws of the other system. Note the use of the term power rather than work. The term work would be appropriate if motion is interpreted as infinitesimal displacements, as Ball [34] does. Here, and elsewhere [11] [12] [33] [35], the choice is made to divide all infinitesimal displacements by an infinitesimal time interval. Both approaches are equally valid.

3. Coupling networks

The following definition of the coupling network is expressed in terms that have similarities with the definition of a graph that appears later. A *coupling network* N consists of a non-empty finite set of bodies and a finite set of couplings linking pairs of those bodies. At least one path exists from each body of N to every other body of N , through couplings and other bodies of N . In other words, to borrow a term from graph theory, a coupling network is *connected*, that is to say, in one piece, rather than *disconnected*, in two or more parts.

Figure. 1 A spatial kinematic chain

A coupling network has a characteristic gross degree of freedom $F = \sum f$ and a characteristic gross degree of constraint $C = \sum c$, where the summations are over all couplings. Coupling networks have another pair of characteristics of greater importance: these are the nett degree of freedom F_N and the nett degree of constraint C_N where, $0 \leq F_N \leq F$ and $0 \leq C_N \leq C$. The nett degree of freedom F_N has been called M , the degree of mobility, but mobility has another meaning [36]. It is also the “complex velocity response at a point in a linear system to a unit force excitation applied at the same point or another point in the system (inverse of mechanical impedance)”. Coupling networks for which $F_N = 0$ are immobile structures that will not concern us here. Most structures are welded, riveted or made integral by adhesive so, owing to the restrictions placed on the meaning of a coupling, relatively few structures are coupling networks.

In the 1960s formulae were available for finding F_N , but they did not always work. One associated difficulty led to a breakthrough. It had been identified [4] that finding the degree of freedom f_{ij} of two indirectly coupled bodies i and j is difficult if cross bracing exists. The task was to devise a general robust procedure that determines f_{ij} for any pair of bodies. Fig. 1 shows coupling network N that is a spatial kinematic chain, devised by Baker [5], and used since [6] [12] [32] as a test bed for some of the research cited in this paper.

Note for the publishers.

For the on-line version a supplementary video based on Figure 1 is submitted with this manuscript. The title is "Davies video". This is a suitable point in the manuscript to draw the reader's attention to it.

The kinematic chain is artificially contrived so that the elements of all matrices associated with it are 0, -1 or +1. Note that, for bodies two and three, the planar (ebene) coupling labelled C provides cross coupling. This is more evident in the coupling graph Fig. 2. One solution requires an adaptation of Kirchhoff's circulation law for mechanical problems. This approach resulted in a formula for F_N . Later, the problem of finding a formula for C_N was also achieved. Progress towards those two goals is explained in tandem wherever appropriate.

4. Kinematic chains, mechanisms and machines

The term *kinematic chain* is often applied to coupling networks for which $F_N \geq 0$. In introductory texts on Mechanisms and Machines it is frequently found that a mechanism is described as a kinematic chain for which a "fixed member" has been selected. Once a fixed member has been chosen, all other choices of fixed member are often referred to as inversions of that mechanism.

This approach places an unnecessary emphasis on the identification of a "fixed member", yet says nothing about connections that must be made from the kinematic chain to active couplings in order that useful power can flow.

Arguments have been given [10] in favour of a definition of mechanism in terms of content, rather than usage. The approach involving content requires the identification of bodies of the kinematic chain as *terminal bodies* [37], pairs of which are called *ports*. The terminals of a port are a pair of bodies of the kinematic chain that are intended to be made integral with terminal bodies of another coupling or network. If only one port is identified the kinematic chain is an example of a 1-port device, in other words the kinematic chain creates an indirect coupling between the two terminal bodies of the port.

A *mechanism* is a kinematic chain with two or more *ports*. In this context a port could be defined as a pair of terminal bodies through which power can be transmitted to or from a port of another network. The following are two examples of definitions of a port. "A pair of terminals at which a signal may enter or leave a network is called a port." [38]; "A terminal pair to which an input is applied or from which an output is extracted is called a port." [39]. For a mechanism, the term "signal" is inappropriate and "an input ... an output" is unnecessarily vague.

Many mechanisms have only one input port and only one output port; mechanisms with several input ports are likely to be classified as manipulators; mechanisms with more than one output port are rare, the crank-driven needle and awl mechanism of a shoe welt sewing machine is one example [40]. Two or more ports may have one terminal body in common. This is often so when the common body is the one that is called the fixed member or frame.

A *machine* is a mechanism with all ports connected to active couplings or to the ports of indirect couplings that contain active couplings. Such indirect couplings may also contain passive couplings; for example an electrical motor has its own bearings. If the active coupling is a source of power these indirect couplings are often called *actuators*.

In order to adapt Kirchhoff's laws to coupling networks it is necessary to involve graph theory, the subject of the next section.

5. Directed graphs

A simple description of a graph is that it is a set of nodes (points or vertices), some or all pairs of which are connected by lines called edges. We will be concerned only with directed graphs, also called digraphs, within which all edges have an arrowhead thereby making the two nodes incident with each edge an ordered pair. A formal definition now follows.

A directed *graph* G consists of a non-empty finite set $V(G)$ of elements called *nodes* (or *vertices*) and a finite family $E(G)$ of ordered pairs of elements of $V(G)$ called directed *edges*. The term "family" is used here, as in [2], to accommodate graphs within which multiple edges terminate in the same pair of nodes. We will not be concerned with graphs containing edges that terminate in the same node; such an edge is called a *loop*. The definition of coupling networks provided earlier is modelled on this definition of graphs. This is made possible by incorporating jointed structures for which $F_N = 0$ within coupling networks.

There are several useful terms used in graph theory. Within a graph, a *walk* is a finite sequence of edges. If all edges are distinct the walk is called a *trail*. If, in addition, the vertices are distinct, except possibly for the first and last, then the trail is a *path*. A trail is said to be *closed* if the first and last vertices are the same. A closed path is a cycle or *circuit*.

A graph is *connected* if and only if there is a path between each pair of vertices. A disconnecting set in a connected graph G is a set of edges whose removal disconnects G . A *cutset* is a disconnecting set, no proper subset of which is a disconnecting set. The removal of the edges in a cutset always leaves a graph with exactly two components. A connected graph with no circuits is a *tree* each edge of which is called a *branch* the only member of a cutset. A *spanning tree* is a connected subgraph that contains all the nodes of a graph, but no circuit. The edges not included in the spanning tree are called *chords* and the addition of any chord creates a circuit. Associated with each chord is a fundamental circuit, associated with each branch is a fundamental cutset.

6. Coupling graphs, motion graphs and action graphs

A coupling graph G_C is a graph within which each of the n nodes represents a body of a coupling network N and each of the e edges represents a coupling of N . These couplings are direct couplings but some indirect couplings such as rolling contact bearings and Hooke's coupling can be regarded as direct provided that the investigation does not concern their interior actions and motions.

Figure. 2 The coupling graph G_C of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1

6.1 The coupling graph: its chords, branches, circuits and cutsets

A coupling graph will be said to have l chords and l fundamental circuits; it also has k branches and k fundamental cutsets. Fig. 2 shows the coupling graph G_C of the kinematic chain N shown in Fig. 1, with the arbitrarily selected spanning tree drawn with thick lines. Features of Fig.2 are now described. Here, and elsewhere in this paper, the presentation is provided in tandem where appropriate to emphasise the dual nature of the subject.

The edges **b** and **e** of G_C drawn with thin lines are the chords of the spanning tree. Each independent circuit contains one chord; all other edges are branches. Within these circuits there are arcs labelled **b** and **e** with arrowheads that assign a positive sense that can be arbitrarily chosen but, in this example, the choice corresponds with the positive sense assigned to the associated chords.

The edges **a**, **c** and **d** of G_C drawn with thick lines are the branches of the spanning tree. Each independent cutset contains one branch; all other edges are chords. Dashed lines are drawn through each cutset of edges. Arrows labelled **a**, **c** and **d** cutting these dashed lines assign a positive sense that can be arbitrarily chosen but, in this example, the choice corresponds with the positive sense assigned to the associated branches.

Figure. 3 Graphs of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1:
a) motion graph G_M ; b) action graph G_A

6.2 Motion and action graphs

From the coupling graph G_C it can be helpful to create a motion graph G_M and an action graph G_A . For the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1 these graphs are described below.

The motions allowed by a coupling having f degrees of freedom can be spanned by f independent motion screws. Each of these f screws can be represented in a motion graph G_M . The motion graph G_M is created by replacing each edge of G_C that represents an f degree of freedom coupling by f edges in series. Fig. 3a shows the motion graph for the kinematic chain of Fig. 1.

The actions transmitted by a coupling having c degrees of constraint can be spanned by c independent action screws. Each of these c screws can be represented in an action graph G_A . The action graph G_A is created by replacing every edge of G_C that represents a c degree of constraint coupling by c edges in parallel. Fig. 3b shows the action graph for the kinematic chain of Fig. 1.

The minimum number of parameters (independent motion magnitudes) necessary to provide the magnitudes of all motions within a coupling network is the nett degree of freedom F_N . Alternatively, F_N can be said to be the degree of overfreedom or excess freedom.

For a coupling network that is a tree,

$$F_N = F.$$

For coupling networks that contain one or more circuits comprised of two or more couplings,

$$0 \leq F_N \leq F.$$

Circuits can *reduce* freedoms.

The minimum number of parameters (independent action magnitudes) necessary to provide the magnitudes of all actions within a coupling network is the nett degree of constraint C_N . Alternatively, C_N can be said to be the degree of overconstraint or excess constraint.

For a coupling network that is a tree,

$$C_N = 0.$$

For coupling networks that contain one or more circuits comprised of two or more couplings,

$$C \geq C_N \geq 0.$$

Circuits can *increase* constraints.

7. Adaptations of Kirchhoff's laws

In this section matrices are needed that contain components of screws.

Subscripts outside the square brackets around matrices signify the number of rows and columns respectively. A cap on a matrix signifies that the screws are normalised. The task of assembling equations is explained with the aid of the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1 and, in particular, the cylindrical coupling D having an axis through (1, 0, 0) parallel with the y-axis.

A notation is used that may be unfamiliar to the reader. This notation has been used before [11,12,17,32]; it is listed in the Introduction and explained in greater detail in section 11.3. The adaptations of the laws are now presented in tandem.

Kirchhoff's voltage law, when adapted for coupling networks, states that for each of the l independent circuits, the d components of screws spanning the motion screws of couplings of a circuit sum to zero when measured by reference to the same global frame. Thereby, dl equations can be written that impose conditions on the F unknowns. Some of these equations may prove to be redundant however. The circuit law equation can be written

Kirchhoff's current law, when adapted for coupling networks, states that for each of the k independent cutsets, the d components of screws spanning the action screws of couplings of a cutset sum to zero when measured by reference to the same global frame. Thereby, dk equations can be written that impose conditions on the C unknowns. Some of these equations may prove to be redundant however. The cutset law equation can be written

as:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}[\psi]_F = [\mathbf{0}]_{dl}. \quad (1)$$

as:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}[\varphi]_C = [\mathbf{0}]_{dk}. \quad (2)$$

7.1 The vectors of unknown magnitudes

The vector $[\psi]_F = [r_a, s_a, t_a, r_b, s_b, t_b, t_c, u_c, v_c, s_d, v_d, s_e, v_e]^T$ contains F unknown magnitudes of motions spanning the motion screw systems of the couplings listed in the same order as they appear in the columns of $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$. For example, in the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1, coupling D allows motions that belong to a fifth special 2-system of motion screws [33]. This system is spanned by any two screws of unequal pitch with ISA sharing the cylinder axis. Most conveniently the screws selected are those with zero and infinite pitch, namely angular velocity of magnitude s_d about the cylinder axis, the (local) y_d -axis, and translational velocity of magnitude v_d in the direction of the y -axis.

The vector $[\varphi]_C = [U_a, V_a, W_a, U_b, V_b, W_b, R_c, S_c, W_c, R_d, T_d, U_d, W_d, R_e, T_e, U_e, W_e]^T$ contains C unknown magnitudes of actions spanning the action screw systems of the couplings listed in the same order as they appear in the columns of $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$. For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1, coupling D transmits actions that belong to a fifth special 4-system of action screws [33]. This system is spanned by any four screws reciprocal with the motion screws. A convenient set comprises torques (couples) parallel to the x - and z -axes of magnitudes R_d and T_d respectively, together with forces along the x - and (local) z_d -axes of magnitudes U_d and W_d respectively.

7.2 The network unit motion and unit action matrices

The network unit motion matrix

$$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F} = \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}[\mathbf{B}_1]_{F,F} \\ [\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}[\mathbf{B}_2]_{F,F} \\ \vdots \\ [\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}[\mathbf{B}_l]_{F,F} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}$, the direct coupling unit motion matrix, is determined by the geometry and $[\mathbf{B}_i]_{F,F}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ by the topology as represented by the motion graph.

The network unit action matrix

$$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C} = \begin{bmatrix} [\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}[\mathbf{Q}_1]_{C,C} \\ [\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}[\mathbf{Q}_2]_{C,C} \\ \vdots \\ [\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}[\mathbf{Q}_k]_{C,C} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}$, the direct coupling unit action matrix, is determined by the geometry and $[\mathbf{Q}_i]_{C,C}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ by the topology as represented by the action graph.

7.3 Direct coupling unit motion and unit action matrices

The direct coupling unit motion matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{d,F}$ contains the d components of each of the F unit motion screws with respect to the global frame of reference with its origin at the centre of the spherical coupling A.

For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the 10th and 11th columns of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{6,13}$, shown as a submatrix below, are the motion components for the $f = 2$ cylindrical coupling located at D.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When these normalised screws are multiplied by the 10th and 11th elements of $[\psi]^{13}$, s_d and v_d respectively, the two motion screws are obtained of body two relative to body one. Note that the sixth element of the 10th column, when multiplied by s_d , is a velocity along the z-axis of a point on an imaginary extension of body two located at the global origin. This velocity results from the angular velocity s_d about the (local) y_d -axis recorded in the second element of the 10th column.

The direct coupling unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{d,C}$ contains the d components of each of the C unit action screws with respect to the global frame of reference with its origin at the centre of the spherical coupling A.

For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the 10th to the 13th columns of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{6,17}$, shown as a submatrix below, are the action components for the $c = 4$ cylindrical coupling located at D.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

When these normalised screws are multiplied by the 10th to the 13th elements of $[\varphi]^{17}$, R_d , T_d , U_d and W_d respectively, the four action screws are obtained that are exerted by body one on body two. Note that the second element of the 13th column, when multiplied by W_d , is the (negative) moment about the y -axis. This moment results from the force W_d along the (local) z_d -axis recorded in the sixth element of the 13th column.

284

285

7.4 The circuit matrix of G_M , the cutset matrix of G_A , and diagonal matrices derived from them

286

287

The matrices $[\mathbf{B}_i]_{F,F}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$ are diagonal matrices in which the diagonal elements of the i^{th} matrix are those of the i^{th} row of the circuit matrix $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{l,F}$ of the motion graph G_M .

Each element b_{ij} of $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{l,F}$ is 0, +1, or -1: b_{ij} is zero if circuit i does not include edge j ; +1 if the positive sense of circuit i is in the same direction as the positive sense of the edge j that it includes; and -1 if those positive senses are opposed. For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the columns 10 and 11 of $[\mathbf{B}_M]_{2,13}$ are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The first row confirms that edge d is a member of circuit b and the positive direction assigned to the circuit corresponds with that of the edge. The second row confirms that edge d does not belong to circuit e . Subsequently, in the diagonal matrix $[\mathbf{B}_b]_{13,13}$, the 10th and 11th diagonal elements are both one whereas, in $[\mathbf{B}_e]_{13,13}$, these elements are zero.

A consequence is that, for the kinematic chain of Fig.1, in columns 10 and 11 of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{12,13}$ the first six rows are identical to those of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_D]_{6,13}$ and all elements of the last six rows are zero.

The matrices $[\mathbf{Q}_i]_{C,C}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are diagonal matrices in which the diagonal elements of the i^{th} matrix are those of the i^{th} row of the cutset matrix $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$ of the action graph G_A .

Each element q_{ij} of $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{k,C}$ is 0, +1, or -1: q_{ij} is zero if cutset i does not include edge j ; +1 if the positive sense of cutset i is in the same direction as the positive sense of the edge j that it includes; and -1 if those positive senses are opposed. For example, for the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, the columns 10 - 13 of $[\mathbf{Q}_A]_{3,17}$ are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The last row confirms that edge d is a member of cutset d and the positive direction assigned to the cutset corresponds with that of the edge. The other two rows confirm that edge d does not belong to cutsets a and c . Subsequently, in the diagonal matrix $[\mathbf{Q}_d]_{17,17}$, the 10th - 13th diagonal elements are all one whereas, in $[\mathbf{Q}_a]_{17,17}$ and $[\mathbf{Q}_c]_{17,17}$, these elements are zero.

A consequence is that, for the kinematic chain of Fig.1, in columns 10 - 13 of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{18,17}$ the last six rows are identical to those of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_D]_{6,17}$ and all elements of the first 12 rows are zero.

288

289

7.5 Results

290

If there is overconstraint, the rank m of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$ is less than dl , the number of rows, and so

$$C_N = dl - m$$

rows are redundant. The remaining m independent equations impose m constraints on the F unknown

If there is overfreedom, the rank a of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{dk,C}$ is less than dk , the number of rows, and so

$$F_N = dk - a$$

rows are redundant. The remaining a independent equations impose a constraints on the C unknown

magnitudes. Thereby, these F unknowns can be expressed in terms of F_N primary variables, where

$$F_N = F - m.$$

For the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, m is 10, C_N is two and F_N is three.

For every pair of bodies $\{i, j\}$ of a coupling network, equation (1) makes it possible to identify a set of f_{ij} independent motion screws that span the screw system of all motions of which bodies i and j are capable. Furthermore, equation (1) also expresses the magnitudes of each of these motion screws in terms of the magnitudes of F_N of them. Subject to some restrictions, there is freedom to choose which F_N motion screw magnitudes shall belong to this set.

magnitudes. Thereby, these C unknowns can be expressed in terms of C_N primary variables, where

$$C_N = C - a.$$

For the kinematic chain shown in Fig.1, a is 15, F_N is three and C_N is two.

For every pair of bodies $\{i, j\}$ of a coupling network, equation (2) makes it possible to identify a set of c_{ij} independent action screws that span the screw system of all actions that can be transmitted between bodies i and j . Furthermore, equation (2) also expresses the magnitudes of each of these action screws in terms of the magnitudes of C_N of them. Subject to some restrictions, there is freedom to choose which C_N action screw magnitudes shall belong to this set.

291 Because the foregoing is a brief summary of the full investigation [12], tables 1
 292 and 2 below give the results in detail.

293 Table 1: Results obtained from the solution of equation (1) for the kinematic
 294 chain shown in Figure 1.

Pairs of bodies	Label of direct coupling	Motion components			
		f	Direct couplings with F unknowns	f_{ij}	After assembly, using $\{s_a, t_a, v_c\}$ as primary variables
1, 2	d	2	$\{0, s_d, 0, 0, v_d, 0\}$	1	$\{0, 0, 0, 0, v_c, 0\}$
1, 3	e	2	$\{0, s_e, 0, 0, v_e, 0\}$	2	$\{0, -s_a, 0, 0, v_c, 0\}$
1, 4	c	3	$\{0, 0, t_c, u_c, v_c, 0\}$	2	$\{0, 0, t_a, 0, v_c, 0\}$
2, 3	Absent	N/A		1	$\{0, s_a, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$
2, 4	b	3	$\{r_b, s_b, t_b, 0, 0, 0\}$	2	$\{0, 0, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$
3, 4	a	3	$\{r_a, s_a, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$	2	$\{0, s_a, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$

295

296

297

298 Table 2: Results obtained from the solution of equation (2) for the kinematic
299 chain shown in Figure 1.

Pairs of bodies	Label of direct coupling	Action components		
		c	Direct couplings with C unknowns	c_{ij} After assembly, using $\{U_b, W_e\}$ as primary variables
1, 2	d	4	$\{R_d, 0, T_d, U_d, 0, W_d\}$	1 $\{0, U_b, 0, U_b, 0, -U_b\}$
1, 3	e	4	$\{R_e, 0, T_e, U_e, 0, W_e\}$	2 $\{0, 0, 0, -U_b, 0, W_e\}$
1, 4	c	3	$\{R_c, S_c, 0, 0, 0, W_c\}$	2 $\{0, -U_b, 0, 0, 0, (U_b - W_e)\}$
2, 3	Absent		N/A	N/A
2, 4	b	3	$\{0, 0, 0, U_b, V_b, W_b\}$	2 $\{0, U_b, 0, U_b, 0, -U_b\}$
3, 4	a	3	$\{0, 0, 0, U_a, V_a, W_a\}$	2 $\{0, 0, 0, -U_b, 0, W_e\}$

300

301 One further matter is included here that is not mentioned in [12]. Suppose that
302 the kinematic chain were to be used as a 1-port coupling network with bodies two
303 and three, the pair of original interest, as the terminals of the port. Suppose also
304 that those bodies are now grasped by someone, one body gripped in each hand.
305 The person who is gripping the two bodies is behaving as another 1-port
306 coupling network but one that is a six dof serial manipulator with built-in active
307 couplings called muscles. The appearance of s_a in column six, row four, of table
308 1 indicates that bodies two and three are capable of relative rotation about the y -
309 axis. Note that s_b , s_d or s_e could have been chosen as primary variables instead.
310 The actions that can be transmitted from body two to body three are thereby
311 restricted to the 5-system of action screws that are all reciprocal to that rotation.
312 These actions are spanned by $\{R_f, T_f, U_f, V_f, W_f\}$, because $s_f S_f = 0$. Whereas c_{23}
313 was previously zero, now that the human coupling has been added thereby
314 internalising these actions, it is now five.

315 8. Virtual power equations

316

317 There is an alternative way of finding the number of primary variables F_N and C_N
318 and, in addition, an alternative way of expressing the magnitudes of all motions
319 and actions in terms of those primary variables.

320

321 8.1 The cutset motion and circuit action vectors

322

Instead of starting with F unknown coupling motion components, dk unknown cutset motion components can be used instead. These dk motion

Instead of starting with C unknown coupling action components, dI unknown circuit action components can be used instead. These dI action

components are subject to C conditions, some of which may prove to be redundant. The C action components cannot expend or generate power in conjunction with the dk motions and so the C actions must be regarded as virtual actions.

The dk unknowns must be assembled in a cutset motion vector $[\mathbf{M}_k]_{dk}$. Using Fig. 3b as an example wherein $d = 6$ and $k = 3$, the first six elements of $[\mathbf{M}_k]_{18}$ are the six unknown components for cutset a , namely:

$$[r_a, s_a, t_a; u_a, v_a, w_a]^T.$$

There follows six components that are identical except that the subscript a is replaced by c , and six more subscripted by d .

components are subject to F conditions, some of which may prove to be redundant. The F motion components cannot expend or generate power in conjunction with the dl actions and so the F motions must be regarded as virtual motions.

The dl unknowns must be assembled in a circuit action vector $[\mathbf{A}_l]_{dl}$. Using Fig. 3a as an example wherein $d = 6$ and $l = 2$, the first six elements of $[\mathbf{A}_l]_{12}$ are the six unknown components for circuit b namely:

$$[R_b, S_b, T_b; U_b, V_b, W_b]^T.$$

There follows six components that are identical except that the subscript b is replaced by e .

8.2 The transposed network unit action and unit motion matrices

To apply the C conditions vector $[\mathbf{M}_k]_{dk}$ must be pre-multiplied by the transpose of the network unit action matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N]_{C,dk}$ used in equation (2). Thus:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^T]_{C,dk} [\mathbf{M}_k]_{dk} = [\mathbf{0}]_C. \quad (3)$$

The C rows of $[\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^T]_{C,dk}$ can be reduced to m rows by eliminating the C_N redundant ones.

For a coupling represented by a chord of G_C , the coupling motion components are those of the corresponding circuit of G_C . For a coupling represented by a branch of G_C , the motion components are the sum of the motion components of the circuits of G_C to which the branch belongs.

To apply the F conditions vector $[\mathbf{A}_l]_{dl}$ must be pre-multiplied by the transpose of the network unit motion matrix $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N]_{dl,F}$ used in equation (1). Thus:

$$[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^T]_{F,dl} [\mathbf{A}_l]_{dl} = [\mathbf{0}]_F. \quad (4)$$

The F rows of $[\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^T]_{F,dl}$ can be reduced to m rows by eliminating the F_N redundant ones.

For a coupling represented by a branch of G_C , the coupling action components are those of the corresponding cutset of G_C . For a coupling represented by a chord of G_C , the action components are the sum of the action components of the cutsets of G_C to which the chord belongs.

The kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1 has no utility except as a geometrically and topologically simple example to demonstrate principles involved. Useful examples are described in the next two sections.

9. Dual coupling networks

The work described so far raises the question as to whether, for a coupling network N with network matrices $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ there exists a dual coupling network N^* with network matrices $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^*$ such that $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N^*$ and $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N^*$ are identical to $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ and $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ respectively? Dual coupling networks have been created and the procedure for creating them has been explained in detail [32], the chosen example is the coupling network N shown in Fig. 1 and its dual. The procedure requires the identification of dual couplings and dual coupling graphs. The duals of some simple planar kinematic chains have also been described [8] [17]; the latter is mentioned again in the next section.

Such studies are an aid to an understanding screw theory and graph theory. Furthermore, whereas actions are difficult to imagine in a coupling network N , it is relatively easy to imagine the geometrically identical screws that describe the motions that can take place within the dual network N^* .

10. Applications

The first two subsections involve coupling networks for which the geometry can be greatly simplified by ignoring some of the constraints. A consequence is that the dimension d can be less than six thereby making the matrices considerably smaller.

10.1 Planar kinematic chains

Studies [17] have been made of the duals of planar kinematic chains that are in critical configurations. By confining attention to motion screws belonging to the fifth special 3-system of screws, a dimension d of three can be used in assembling equation (1) with the consequence that matrix $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ is much smaller than it would otherwise be. A complete kinematic analysis of a Stephenson kinematic chain is provided using equation (1) and this is shown to be identical to the results of a static analysis of the dual of the kinematic chain using equation (2).

10.2 Gear trains, friction and efficiency

Equations (2, 4) have limited utility when applied to a kinematic chain for reasons that are discussed later in section 11. These equations do have value however for studies of the statics of machines operating at a constant speed. The two-stage epicyclic gear train shown in Fig. 4 provides an example of the use of all four equations [11].

Figure. 4 A two-stage epicyclic gear train and a schematic diagram of it

In order to use equations 1 and 3 for kinematic analysis no modification is needed. In order to use equations 2 and 4 for the statics problem however, the gear train must be supplemented by two 1-port coupling networks that provide a source and sink for power, an electric motor and a fan for example. Both of these 1-port coupling networks contain an active coupling that transmits torque about the z -axis; they will also have bearings with the centre lines on the z -axis, but these duplicate the role played by bearings that exist within the gear train and can be ignored.

A major problem remains. The two extra actions supplement the many actions that could exist attributable to overconstraint. Because equations 2 and 4 can only analyse internal actions those actions attributable to overconstraint cannot be avoided. The problem is thereby far more complex than it needs to be. The extended coupling network can be greatly simplified however without impairment to the basic statics problem by taking the following steps.

- ❖ All but one planet in each stage is ignored.
- ❖ All moving parts are assumed to exist in the $z = 0$ plane.
- ❖ Both kinds of coupling, meshing gears and bearings, are assumed to be ($c = f = 1$) couplings by ignoring all other freedom and constraint.

Both the motion screws and the remaining action screws both belong to second special 2-systems of screws. These special screw systems differ geometrically however. Angular velocities have ISA parallel with the z -axis in the $x = 0$ plane, whereas forces have ISA parallel with the x -axis in the $z = 0$ plane. As Shai and Pennock [41] have observed of a similar gear train, the system is now identical to a sequence of levers.

•

Figure. 5 The coupling graph G_C of the gear train shown in Fig. 4 when it is augmented by two active couplings represented by edges h_2 and i_2

For equation 2 two additional active couplings are needed and so, in Fig. 5, there are two edges from node 0 to node 1, and two edges from node 0 to node 4. The two additional edges h_2 and i_2 representing active couplings are shown as dashed lines. Fig. 5 is also the action graph G_A because $c = 1$ for all couplings. The five independent cutsets are identified in Fig. 5 by chain-dotted lines. Because $f = 1$ for all couplings, again Fig. 5 is also the motion graph except that edges h_2 and i_2 can be omitted. The four independent internal circuits are then obvious.

Cazangi and Martins [13] employ equation (1) for the analysis of two gear trains; one has two degrees of freedom, two forward ratios and one backward; the second has three degrees of freedom, three forward ratios and one backward.

Laus *et al* [14] employ equations 1 and 2 for studies of the efficiency of an epicyclic gear train and a Humpage gear train. For both, account is taken of friction, including gear tooth friction.

Tischler *et al* [15] uses equation (4) for a study of friction in multi-loop linkages. This may be the only occasion that equation (4) has been used for an application except for the epicyclic gear train described above.

10.3 Kinematic chains in critical configurations

Tischler [16] uses equation (1) in a study of critical configurations of a RCCC kinematic chain; Davies and Laus [17] do likewise for a planar 6-Link Stephenson kinematic chain.

10.4 The use of symbolic screw components

In a study to predict the slop that results from clearances in couplings of the Melbourne dextrous finger, Tischler *et al* [18] use symbolic screw components so that the analysis is valid throughout the cycle of configurations instead of only at one instantaneous configuration.

10.5 The use of virtual couplings (Assur groups)

An Assur group does not introduce additional constraints. For example, for a planar manipulator it can comprise PPR couplings in series; for a spatial manipulator PPPRRR or PPPS couplings in series. Equation (1) proves to be very useful; the primary variables can be either those of couplings of the manipulator or, for inverse kinematics, couplings of the Assur group.

Several workers have used Assur groups in combination with equation (1). Erthal *et al* [19] use them for a study of vehicle suspension; Campos *et al* [20] for the inverse kinematics of serial manipulators and [21] for the inverse kinematics of parallel manipulators. Inverse kinematics also gets attention from Simas *et al* [22].

There is work reported by Guenther *et al* [23] and Santos *et al* [24] [25] on the study of underwater manipulators. Simas *et al* [26] [27] and Rocha *et al* [28] report on work to avoid collisions and for carrying out tasks such as remote repair. Ribeiro *et al* [29] [30] describe the use of virtual chains in studies of cooperating robots. Recently, Ponce Saldias *et al* [31] [42] have extended the application of equation 1 and Assur groups to the modelling of the human knee to aid pre-operative planning.

11. Discussion

In this section some lessons learned from the foregoing are discussed.

457

458

11.1 If there is a “fixed” member in a mechanism, does it matter which it is?

459

460

461 In his lengthy notes that he includes in his English translation of Reuleaux [43],
462 Kennedy [44] argues that a *machine* is defined by many in terms of what it does
463 whereas, ideally, it should be defined in terms of what it comprises. In [10] this
464 criticism is extended to some definitions provided by IFToMM [36]. In section 4
465 some extracts from [10] are repeated in order to draw attention to the fact that
466 there is not necessity to identify an element (body/link/member) that is fixed. Of
467 course, there are mechanisms, such as some handheld tools, wherein the term
468 "fixed" is irrelevant.

469 For studies of kinematics and statics, the significance of a fixed member is
470 unimportant. It is accepted of course that if acceleration, the second derivative of
471 displacement, is a feature then it is essential to identify an inertial member, most
472 frequently the earth.

473

474

11.2 A directed graph provides a concise and easily accessible record of a user-selected sign convention.

475

476

477 Anyone who has learned, or taught, elementary mechanics using free body
478 diagrams may remember the tedium involved in using arrows twice, once on
479 each of two directly coupled bodies. Likewise, for kinematics, it is necessary to
480 distinguish the motion of body A relative to body B and body B relative to body A.

481 A directed graph has merits. A positive sense assigned to an edge by using an
482 arrowhead indicates which, of two possibilities, will be regarded as the positive
483 sense in any analysis. The choice of direction is an arbitrary decision. The
484 coupling graph G_C in Fig. 5 of the gear train shown in Fig. 4 has nine edges so
485 there are 512 possible different sets of directed edges. Fig. 3 provides evidence
486 that it is the author's practice to assign the positive direction away from the node
487 labelled with the lower number. It is suggested here that the directed graph
488 provides a concise store of a sign convention of the user's choice that can be
489 read at a glance.

490

491

11.3 In order to write the reciprocity condition it is sufficient to remember rR

492

493

494 In recent publications [11] [12] [17] [32] the author has chosen to represent the
495 reciprocity condition for motion and action screws as follows:

496
$$rR + sS + tT + uU + vV + wW = 0.$$

497 Where $\{r, s, t\}$ are the $\{x, y, z\}$ components of angular velocity; $\{u, v, w\}$ are
498 components of the velocity of a point located at the origin; $\{R, S, T\}$ are the
499 components of moments measured at the origin; and $\{U, V, W\}$ are the
500 components of forces. The simple layout in the equation above is easily
501 remembered and easily keyboarded. Others may prefer asterisks and exotic
502 curly fonts. Note that $R - W$ is sequential whereas $\mathcal{L} - \mathcal{R}$ is not; T is the moment
503 about the z-axis, often the moment of Torque, and u and v are easily
504 remembered velocity components of the origin along the x- and y-axes
505 respectively. Furthermore, p is available for the pitch of a screw.

506

507 **11.4 Mechanical network theory can be much more complex**
508 **than electrical DC network theory.**
509

510 Suppose that a coupling graph G_C , such as the one shown in Fig. 2, is also the
511 graph of an electrical network. To keep matters simple suppose also that every
512 one of the e edges corresponds either to a battery, or a resistor.

A coupling graph has l independent circuits and chords. For the equivalent electrical network there are therefore le elements in the voltage law equation matrix. For the equivalent mechanical matrix $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$, the number of elements is Fdl . The ratio is: $Fdl/le = Fd/e$.

A coupling graph has k independent cutsets and branches. For the equivalent electrical network there are therefore ke elements in the current law equation matrix. For the equivalent mechanical matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$, the number of elements is Cdk . The ratio is: $Cdk/ke = Cd/e$.

513

514 Summary of results drawn from examples mentioned in this paper are provided
515 in Table 3 below.

516 Table 3: The size of matrices relative to those of a topologically identical DC
517 electrical network

Coupling network	d	e	Circuit law		Cutset law	
			F	Fd/e	C	Cd/e
Fig. 1	6	5	13	78/5	17	102/5
Stephenson III, a 6-link planar kinematic chain [17]	6	7	6	36/7	20	180/7
	3	7	6	18/7	N/A	
Simplified epicyclic gear train, Fig. 4	2	11	N/A		11	2
	2	9	9	2	N/A	

518

519 Judging by the ratio of the number of elements in matrices, Fd/e and Cd/e , the
520 complexity of the coupling network problems are generally much greater than
521 those of a simple DC network having the same topology.

522

523 **11.5 Which equations are best?**

524

525 For kinematic chains it has been observed that C , C_N , and matrix $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_N$ are larger,
526 sometimes much larger, than F , F_N and matrix $\hat{\mathbf{M}}_N$ respectively. This suggests
527 that, for statics of machines, equation 4 is superior to equation 2 and, for
528 kinematics, equation 1 is superior to equation 3 which may explain why Jean
529 Bernoulli never wrote about virtual actions.

530

531 **11.6 Actions attributable to overconstraint cannot be** 532 **measured by geometry and topology**

533

534 Overconstraint is potentially dangerous, so awareness of its existence is
535 important. This topic is also discussed in section 11.8. For kinematic chains
536 equations 2 and 4 are incapable of providing the magnitudes of actions. These
537 equations can enable all C actions that can exist within a kinematic chain that
538 are attributable to overconstraint to be expressed in terms of a set of C_N actions
539 that are chosen as primary variables. The magnitudes of these C_N actions remain
540 unknown however; they are dependent on tolerances, shape, manufacturing
541 errors, temperature and material properties.

542

543 **11.7 The dual zeroth laws of mechanics**

544

545 The zeroth law of thermodynamics is fundamental, very simple, and too obvious
546 for much notice to be taken of it. The decision to number the law as the zeroth
547 law is attributed to Fowler and Guggenheim [48]. The law can be stated in several
548 ways, Fowler and Guggenheim write:

549 *If two thermal assemblies are each in thermal equilibrium with a third assembly,*
550 *then all three are in thermal equilibrium with each other.*

551 The following dual laws for actions and motions within coupling networks can be
552 expressed in tandem.

The action law
An action can be transmitted around a circuit comprising bodies and couplings provided that all those couplings are capable of transmitting that action.

The motion law
Two bodies separated by a cutset of couplings can have relative motion provided that all those couplings are capable of allowing that motion.

553

554 Because the dual laws above, like the zeroth law of thermodynamics, are
555 fundamental, very simple, and too obvious for much notice to be taken of them,
556 maybe it is appropriate that they be called the dual zeroth laws of mechanics.

557 In this paper, with its focus on coupling networks, it is appropriate to write the law
558 in its dual form; the symmetry of duality is also appealing. If duality is ignored the
559 action law can be stated in a simpler way as:

560 *An action cannot exist without a circuit capable of transmitting it.*

561 This simple law becomes apparent when actions are internalised as they must be
562 to employ equations (2, 4). It may have been overlooked because Isaac Newton
563 was a free body diagram man: he never internalised actions.

564 Turning to the motion law, it is obvious that two bodies can be in relative motion
565 without being members of a coupling network. In these circumstances it could be
566 said that the only coupling is a *null coupling* that allows any motion.

567

11.8 Does elastic design get sufficient attention?

568
569

570 The existence of overconstraint can result in fatigue failure. Attempts to limit the
571 dangerous consequences of overconstraint are of two kinds. One is kinematic
572 design whereby additional freedom is introduced thereby increasing F_N and, by
573 doing so, reducing C_N . This is certainly the preferred route for precision
574 instruments. The second kind is to employ elastic design whereby, by changes in
575 certain dimensions or a change of materials, some parts are made sufficiently
576 compliant to allow limited elastic deformation.

577 Most writers concentrate attention on their speciality, either the kinematic
578 approach or the elastic approach. Professor Michael French, an academic and a
579 writer on the subject of engineering design, is an exception. He is an unrepentant
580 generalist exemplified by his statement: "Never ask a specialist; they always give
581 the wrong answer." Ouch! In his book [45], there is a chapter titled Kinematic and
582 Elastic Design. It is a very good balanced account of the two approaches with
583 several examples from gear trains that were in production at the time of
584 publication.

585

586 **11.9 Screw theory is addictive. All papers and books that**
587 **mention screw theory should be required to print a**
588 **warning: screw theory can damage your career.**
589

590 The reader will understand the author's reluctance to provide evidence for this
591 assertion but two addicts are mentioned if only because they are long since
592 dead. In *A History of Mathematics*, Cajori [46] writes about Julius Plücker (1801-
593 1868) [47], one of the founding fathers of screw theory; the following is an
594 extract.

595 "In Germany J. Plücker's researches met with no favour. His method was
596 declared to be unproductive as compared with the synthetic method of J. Steiner
597 and J. V. Poncelet! His relations with C. G. J. Jacobi were not altogether friendly.
598 Steiner once declared that he would stop writing for *Crelle's Journal* if Plücker
599 continued to contribute to it. The result was that many of Plücker's researches
600 were published in foreign journals, and that his work came to be better known in
601 France and England than in his native country. The charge was also brought
602 against Plücker that, although occupying the chair of physics, he was no
603 physicist. This induced him to relinquish mathematics, and for nearly 20 years to
604 devote his energy to physics. Important discoveries on Fresnel's wave-surface,
605 magnetism and spectrum-analysis were made by him. But towards the close of
606 his life he returned to his first love, mathematics, and enriched it with new
607 discoveries. By considering space as made up of lines he created a "new
608 geometry of space."

609 Another major contributor to screw theory was Sir Robert Stawell Ball (1840-
610 1913) [34]. He also had a day job. In 1892 he was appointed as Lowndean
611 Professor of Astronomy and Geometry at Cambridge University at the same time
612 becoming director of the Cambridge Observatory. He was in great demand as a
613 popular speaker on astronomy. His important contributions to screw theory
614 however were ignored for around 70 years.

615 So, perhaps the best way of defeating drug traffickers is to ignore them.

617 **11.10 Actions and motions rarely appear in the same**
618 **textbook**
619

620 Mention of Robert Ball brings back memories of something written [11] on the
621 occasion of symposium held in 2000 to celebrate the hundredth anniversary of
622 the publication of his book, *A Treatise on the Theory of screws* [34]. It is worth
623 mentioning again.

Can you imagine a University's Department of Electrical Engineering advertising for two posts; one for a teacher of Electrical Circuit Theory (electrical currents) and another for a teacher of Electrical Circuit Theory (potential differences)? Electrical currents and potential differences are "through" and "across" variables respectively, as are actions and motions. Yet, despite being geometrically identical, actions and motions (first order time derivative of displacements) are often taught using separate textbooks and very often by different teachers. There is, of course, much more to kinematics than motion defined in this way.

12. Conclusions

Graph theory has an important role to play in assembling dI simultaneous equations for kinematic analysis and dK simultaneous equations for statics analysis. The matrices assembled for those equations can be used again, when transposed, in two virtual power equations that also provide kinematics and statics analysis. Graph theory also contributes concepts and terminology to these virtual power equations; notably the concepts of cutset motions and circuit actions. One further outcome is a pair of dual topological laws, called here the zeroth laws of mechanics.

It was Erskine Crossley who sowed the seed.

13. Acknowledgements

The author thanks Dr Craig Tischler formerly of the University of Melbourne, Australia and his co-workers, and Professor Daniel Martins and fellow researchers at the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, SC, Brazil for their enthusiastic adoption of methods mentioned in this paper. Particular thanks go to one of them, Professor Luís Paulo Laus, now of the Federal University of Technology — Paraná (UTFPR), Curitiba, PR, Brazil, for his collaboration in joint endeavours and for help and advice in the preparation of this paper.

References

- [1] W.-K. Chen, Graph theory and its engineering applications, Vol. 5 of Advanced Series in Electrical and Computer Engineering, World Scientific, Singapore, 1997.
- [2] R. J. Wilson, Introduction to graph theory, 4th Edition, Addison Wesley, Harlow, 1996.

- [3] K. J. Waldron, The constraint analysis of mechanisms, *Journal of Mechanisms* 1 (2) (1966) 101–114.
- [4] T. H. Davies, E. J. F. Primrose, An algebra for the screw systems of pairs of bodies in a kinematic chain, in: *Proceedings of the Third World Congress Theory Mach. and Mech*, Kupari, Yugoslavia, 1971, pp. 199–212, paper D-14.
- [5] J. E. Baker, On relative freedom between links in kinematic chains with cross-jointing, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 15 (5) (1980) 397–413.
- [6] T. H. Davies, Kirchhoff's circulation law applied to multi-loop kinematic chains, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 16 (3) (1981) 171–183.
- [7] T. H. Davies, Circuit actions attributable to active couplings, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 30 (7) (1995) 1001–1012, *Graphs and Mechanics First International Conference*, Zakopane, Poland, 1993..
- [8] T. H. Davies, Simple examples of dual coupling networks, in: J.-P. Merlet, M. Dahan (Eds.), *Proceedings of Twelfth World Congress in Mechanism and Machine Science*, IFToMM, Besançon, France, 2007.
- [9] T. H. Davies, Mechanical networks – III : wrenches on circuit screws, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 18 (2) (1983) 107–112.
- [10] T. H. Davies, Couplings, coupling networks and their graphs, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 30 (7) (1995) 991–1000, *Graphs and Mechanics First International Conference*, Zakopane, Poland, 1993.
- [11] T. H. Davies, The 1887 committee meets again. Subject: freedom and constraint, in: *Ball 2000 Conference*, University of Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, Trinity College *Proceedings of a Symposium commemorating the Legacy, Works, and Life of Sir Robert Stawell Ball upon the 100th Anniversary of A Treatise on the Theory of Screws*, University of Cambridge, Trinity College, 2000, 1–56.
- [12] T. H. Davies, Freedom and constraint in coupling networks, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* 220 (7) (2006) 989–1010.
- [13] H. R. Cazangi, D. Martins, Kinematic analysis of automotive gearbox mechanisms using Davies' method, in: *Proceedings 19th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering - COBEM*, Brasilia - DF, 2007.
- [14] L. P. Laus, H. Simas, D. Martins, Efficiency of gear trains determined using graph and screw theories, *Mechanism and Machine Theory* 52 (0) (2012) 296–325.
- [15] C. R. Tischler, S. R. Lucas, A. E. Samuel, Modelling friction in multi-loop linkages, in: *Experimental Robotics VI*, Vol. 250 of *Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences*, Springer, Berlin / Heidelberg, 2000, 465–474.
- [16] C. R. Tischler, Alternative structures for robot hands, Ph.d. thesis, The University of Melbourne, Australia (November 1995).
- [17] T. H. Davies, L. P. Laus, Planar revolute-coupled kinematic chains in critical configurations and their duals, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part K: Journal of Multi-body Dynamics* (2) (2014) 126–137.
- [18] C. R. Tischler, A. E. Samuel, Prediction of the slop in general spatial linkages, *The International Journal of Robotics Research* 18 (8) (1999) 845–858.
- [19] J. L. Erthal, L. C. Nicolazzi, D. Martins, Kinematic analysis of automotive suspensions using Davies' method, in: *Proceedings 19th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering - COBEM*, Brasilia - DF, 2007.

- [20] A. A. Campos Bonilla, R. Guenther, D. Martins, Differential kinematics of serial manipulators using virtual chains, *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 27 (4) (2005) 345–356.
- [21] A. A. Campos Bonilla, R. Guenther, D. Martins, Differential kinematics of parallel manipulators using Assur virtual chains, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* 223 (7) (2009) 1697–1711.
- [22] H. Simas, R. Guenther, D. F. M. da Cruz, D. Martins, A new method to solve robot inverse kinematics using assur virtual chains, *Robotica* 27 (7) (2009) 1017–1026.
- [23] R. Guenther, C. H. F. dos Santos, D. Martins, E. R. de Pieri, A new approach to the underwater vehicle-manipulator systems kinematics, in: *Proceedings of the XI DINAME, 28th February - 4th March, Ouro Preto - MG, 2005*.
- [24] C. H. F. dos Santos, R. Guenther, D. Martins, E. R. de Pieri, Comparative analysis of methods for redundancy solution of underwater vehicle-manipulator systems, in: *Proceedings of the COBEM 2005: 18th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, ABCM, Ouro Preto - MG, 2005*.
- [25] C. H. F. dos Santos, R. Guenther, D. Martins, E. R. de Pieri, Virtual kinematic chains to solve the underwater vehicle-manipulator systems redundancy, *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 28 (2006) 354–361.
- [26] H. Simas, D. F. M. da Cruz, R. Guenther, D. Martins, A collision avoidance method using Assur virtual chains, in: *Proceedings 19th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering - COBEM, Braslia - DF, 2007*.
- [27] H. Simas, J. F. Golin, E. R. de Pieri, D. Martins, Development of an automated system for cavitation repairing in rotors of large hydroelectric plants, in: *Applied Robotics for the Power Industry (CARPI), 2012 2nd International Conference on, 2012, 39–44*.
- [28] C. R. Rocha, H. Simas, D. Martins, A. Dias, A new approach for collision avoidance of manipulators operating in unstructured and time-varying environments, in: *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics - Vol. 4, Vol. 4, ABCM, 2010, 609–617*.
- [29] L. P. Ribeiro, R. Guenther, D. Martins, Screw-based relative jacobian for manipulators cooperating in a task, in: *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics, Vol. 3, ABCM, 2008, 276–285*.
- [30] L. P. Ribeiro, D. Martins, Screw-based relative jacobian for manipulators cooperating in a task using Assur virtual chains, in: *ABCM Symposium Series in Mechatronics, Vol. 4, 2010, 729–738*.
- [31] D. A. Ponce Saldias, C. R. de Mello Roesler, D. Martins, A human knee joint model based on screw theory and its relevance for preoperative planning, in: *Mecánica Computacional 11/2012; In: Proceeding of X Congreso Argentino de Mecánica Computacional (MEMCOM 2012), Vol. XXXI, 2012, 3847–3871*.
- [32] T. H. Davies, Dual coupling networks, *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part C: Journal of Mechanical Engineering Science* 220 (8) (2006) 1237–1247.

- [33] K. H. Hunt, Kinematic geometry of mechanisms, Vol. 7 of The Oxford engineering science series, Clarendon, Oxford, 1990, reprinted with corrections [from the 1978 edition].
- [34] R. S. Ball, A treatise on the theory of screws, Cambridge, Cambridge, 1998, reprinted [from the 1900 edition].
- [35] J. Phillips, Freedom in Machinery, Cambridge, 2007, volume 1 (1984) and volume 2 (1990) combined.
- [36] Terminology for the theory of machines and mechanisms, Mechanism and Machine Theory, Vol. 26(5), (1991), pp. 435–539. An online version of the terminology database can be found in the official IFToMM website, where it is constantly being updated.
- [37] E. A. Guillemin, Introductory circuit theory, Wiley, New York, 1953.
- [38] W. H. Hayt, Jr., J. E. Kemmerly, S. M. Durbin, Engineering circuit analysis, 8th Edition, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2012.
- [39] T. H. Glisson, Jr., Introduction to circuit analysis and design, Springer, New York, 2011.
- [40] T. A. Kestell, Evolution and design of machinery primarily used in the manufacture of boots and shoes, Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers 178 (1) (1963) 625–660.
- [41] O. Shai, G. R. Pennock, Extension of graph theory to the duality between static systems and mechanisms, Journal of Mechanical Design 128 (1) (2006) 179–191.
- [42] D. A. Ponce Saldias, D. Martins, F. da Silva Rosa, A. D. O. Moré, Modeling of human knee joint in sagittal plane considering elastic behavior of cruciate ligaments, in: Proceedings 22nd International Congress of Mechanical Engineering (COBEM 2013), Vol. XX, Ribeirão Preto - SP, 2013.
- [43] F. Reuleaux, Theoretische Kinematik: Grundzüge einer Theorie des Maschinenwesens (1875), Vieweg und Sohn, Braunschweig, 1875.
- [44] A. B. W. Kennedy, The kinematics of machinery: outlines of a theory of machines, Macmillan, London, 1876, English translation of Theoretische Kinematik: Grundzüge einer Theorie des Maschinenwesens by Franz Reuleaux, 1875.
- [45] M. J. French, Conceptual design for engineers, 3rd Edition, Springer, London, 1999.
- [46] F. Cajori, A History of mathematics, Project Gutenberg, 2010, e-book: #31061. Originally published, Macmillan, New York, 1919.
- [47] J. Plücker, Neue Geometrie des Raumes gegründet auf die Betrachtung der geraden Linie als Raumelement, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1868.
- [48] R. Fowler, E. A. Guggenheim, Statistical Thermodynamics: a version of Statistical Mechanics for Students of Physics and Chemistry, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1956, reprinted with corrections [from the 1939 edition].

801

802 Figure captions

Figure	Caption
1	A spatial kinematic chain
2	The coupling graph G_C of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1
3	Graphs of the kinematic chain shown in Fig. 1: a) motion graph G_M ; b) action graph G_A
4	A two-stage epicyclic gear train and a schematic diagram of it
5	The coupling graph G_C of the gear train shown in Fig. 4 when it is augmented by two active couplings represented by edges h_2 and i_2

803

Ms. Ref. No.: MECHMT-D-14-00258

Title: A network approach to mechanisms and machines: some lessons learned

Mechanism and Machine Theory

mcthd@lboro.ac.uk (Trevor Davies)

Table 1: Results obtained from the solution of equation (1) for the kinematic chain shown in Figure 1.

Pairs of bodies	Label of direct coupling	Motion components			
		f	Direct couplings with F unknowns	f_{ij}	After assembly, using $\{s_a, t_a, v_c\}$ as primary variables
1, 2	d	2	$\{0, s_d, 0, 0, v_d, 0\}$	1	$\{0, 0, 0, 0, v_c, 0\}$
1, 3	e	2	$\{0, s_e, 0, 0, v_e, 0\}$	2	$\{0, -s_a, 0, 0, v_c, 0\}$
1, 4	c	3	$\{0, 0, t_c, u_c, v_c, 0\}$	2	$\{0, 0, t_a, 0, v_c, 0\}$
2, 3	Absent	N/A	N/A	1	$\{0, s_a, 0, 0, 0, 0\}$
2, 4	b	3	$\{r_b, s_b, t_b, 0, 0, 0\}$	2	$\{0, 0, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$
3, 4	a	3	$\{r_a, s_a, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$	2	$\{0, s_a, t_a, 0, 0, 0\}$

Table 2: Results obtained from the solution of equation (2) for the kinematic chain shown in Figure 1.

Pairs of bodies	Label of direct coupling	Action components			
		c	Direct couplings with C unknowns	c_{ij}	After assembly, using $\{U_b, W_e\}$ as primary variables
1, 2	d	4	$\{R_d, 0, T_d, U_d, 0, W_d\}$	1	$\{0, U_b, 0, U_b, 0, -U_b\}$
1, 3	e	4	$\{R_e, 0, T_e, U_e, 0, W_e\}$	2	$\{0, 0, 0, -U_b, 0, W_e\}$
1, 4	c	3	$\{R_c, S_c, 0, 0, 0, W_c\}$	2	$\{0, -U_b, 0, 0, 0, (U_b - W_e)\}$
2, 3	Absent	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2, 4	b	3	$\{0, 0, 0, U_b, V_b, W_b\}$	2	$\{0, U_b, 0, U_b, 0, -U_b\}$
3, 4	a	3	$\{0, 0, 0, U_a, V_a, W_a\}$	2	$\{0, 0, 0, -U_b, 0, W_e\}$