

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Research Repository by the author. Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

# Development and initial evaluation of the athlete eating psychopathology observation questionnaire for sport professionals

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2021-0108

**PUBLISHER** 

**Human Kinetics** 

**VERSION** 

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

**PUBLISHER STATEMENT** 

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 2023, 17 (3): 271-289, https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2021-0108. © Human Kinetics, Inc.

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Sandgren, Sebastian, Emma Haycraft, and Carolyn Plateau. 2022. "Development and Initial Evaluation of the Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation Questionnaire for Sport Professionals". Loughborough University. https://hdl.handle.net/2134/19102373.v1.

| Development and Initial Evaluation of the Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation                    |
|---|
| Questionnaire for Sport Professionals   |
| Sebastian S. Sandgren <sup>1,2</sup> , Emma Haycraft <sup>1</sup> and Carolyn R. Plateau <sup>1</sup> * |
|   |
| <sup>1</sup> School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough       |
| LE11 3TU, United Kingdom.   |
| <sup>2</sup> Department of Education and Sports Science, University of Stavanger, Stavanger 4021,       |
| Norway.   |
| *Corresponding author: Dr Carolyn R. Plateau, School of Sport, Exercise and Health                      |
| Sciences, Loughborough University, Loughborough LE11 3TU, United Kingdom. Email:                        |
| C.R.Plateau@lboro.ac.uk.  |
|   |
| <b>Author ORCiD IDs:</b> Sandgren: 0000-0002-8782-8454; Haycraft: 0000-0002-7359-1759;                  |
| Plateau: 0000-0002-1256-1353  |
| Running Head: ATHLETE EATING PSYCHOPATHOLOGY OBSERVATIONS   |
| Funding Statement: Dr Sebastian S. Sandgren was supported by a PhD studentship from the                 |
| School of Sport, Exercise and Health Sciences, Loughborough University. There was no                    |
| other funding for this work.  |
| <b>Declaration of Interest Statement:</b> The authors have no financial or non-financial                |
| competing interests to declare.   |
| Data Availability Statement: Participants of this study did not agree for their data to be              |
| shared publicly, so supporting data is not available.   |
|   |
|   |

- 25 Development and Initial Evaluation of the Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation
- **Questionnaire for Sport Professionals**

27 Abstract

Eating psychopathology symptoms are common in athletes, however, it is unknown which symptoms are detected, and to what extent, by sport professionals. This study aimed to develop and evaluate a self-report questionnaire to explore which features of eating psychopathology in athletes are detected by sport professionals, and how observation of these symptoms might vary. Thirty-one questions were developed, and 232 sport professionals (56% male) participated in the study. Exploratory Factor Analysis revealed a 20-item, 5-factor solution (Negative Affect, Dieting Practices, Fear of Eating in Social Contexts, Bingeing and Purging, and Compulsive Exercise). Participants most frequently reported observing athlete dieting practices, while symptoms of a fear of eating in social contexts were observed least frequently. This study has developed and preliminarily tested the Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation Questionnaire (AEPOQ) which now requires further validation. The findings provide important directions for education initiatives with sport professionals regarding identification of eating psychopathology symptoms.

*Keywords:* disordered eating; eating disorders; sport; Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation Questionnaire.

# Development and Initial Evaluation of the Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation

# **Questionnaire for Sport Professionals**

Athletes are at a heightened risk for the development of eating psychopathology, including disordered eating and exercise (e.g., food restriction, occasional bingeing/purging, compulsive exercise) and clinical eating disorders (e.g., bulimia nervosa; Joy et al., 2016). Controlled studies have indicated that disordered eating occurs in 20–45% of female athletes (Anderson & Petrie, 2012; Martinsen et al., 2010) and in 10–19% of male athletes (Greenleaf et al., 2009; Martinsen et al., 2010). Additionally, athletes are suggested to be at more than double the risk of developing an eating disorder compared to non-athletes (e.g., Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004). Risk factors for eating psychopathology among athletes include weight, body image and exercise pressures (e.g., sport-body stereotypes), traumatic events (e.g., injury, poor performance), personality (e.g., perfectionism), and early exposure to these attitudes, behaviours and pressures (Bratland-Sanda & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013). Untreated symptoms of eating psychopathology can lead to serious psychological and physiological health and performance consequences in athletes (Mountjoy et al., 2014). It is therefore important to identify and address symptoms of eating psychopathology in athletes early-on to prevent the escalation of these symptoms (Giel et al., 2016).

Sport coaches and practitioners (e.g., sport nutritionists, sport psychologists, strength and conditioning coaches, athletic trainers, sport physicians) have an important role in detecting eating psychopathology symptoms in athletes and in signposting athletes to sources of support (e.g., Biggin et al., 2017; Bratland-Sanda & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013; Quatromoni, 2008). Indeed, these sport professionals may be well placed to detect changes in athlete wellbeing and symptoms of eating problems due to the closeness of their relationships and the amount of time they spend with athletes (e.g., Nattiv et al., 2007; Neal et al., 2013). However, many sport professionals lack knowledge and confidence in identifying and

supporting athletes with eating problems (e.g., Biggin et al., 2017; Nowicka et al., 2013; Plateau et al., 2015), while denial and/or concealment of symptoms by athletes can make the identification of at-risk individuals particularly difficult (e.g., McArdle et al., 2016). Additionally, while some coaches report being aware of eating problems among their athletes, some hold the view that eating disorders are not problematic or prevalent in their sport (e.g., Nowicka et al., 2013), or that it is not part of their role to identify and support athletes with eating-related concerns (e.g., Plateau et al., 2014a). Where coaches do report an awareness of eating problems, more severe symptoms (e.g., vomiting) are viewed to be the most concerning for athlete health and performance (Sherman et al., 2005). However, further evidence for sport professionals' observations of, and concern for, the different patterns of eating psychopathology symptoms seen among athletes is limited and requires further investigation.

To date, only a small body of research has attempted to understand the signs and symptoms of eating psychopathology that sport professionals observe among their athletes. Qualitative research indicates that the symptoms identified the most by sport professionals are those which are physically visible (e.g., weight change, physical fatigue; McArdle et al., 2016; Plateau et al., 2014a). In contrast, psycho-behavioural and covert eating disorder symptoms (e.g., bulimic-like behaviours, negative affect) are less likely to be detected by sport professionals (Nowicka et al., 2013; Plateau et al., 2014a). Beyond one existing study (Sherman et al., 2005), research has yet to adopt larger-scale quantitative approaches to investigate the nature and frequency of eating psychopathology symptoms that sport professionals observe in athletes. This information could help to inform the promotion of educational interventions with sport professionals more widely.

Demographic factors may impact sport professionals' detection of eating psychopathology symptoms. For example, evidence suggests that more experienced sport

professionals may be more likely to detect disordered eating symptoms among athletes (e.g., Kroshus et al., 2018). Moreover, male coaches report finding it difficult to detect symptoms, with empirical evidence suggesting that female sport professionals detect more symptoms (Kroshus et al., 2018; Nowicka et al., 2013). Notably, female sport professionals have been found to regard certain symptoms (e.g., menstrual cycle irregularity) as more concerning in comparison to their male colleagues (Sherman et al., 2005). Female sport professionals have also been suggested to be better able to accurately identify symptoms (Kroshus et al., 2018). Nevertheless, the literature is scarce and not sufficiently diverse. For instance, existing studies are skewed towards coaches who work in the same sporting organisation and/or association (Kroshus et al., 2018; Sherman et al., 2005), while female coaches, and those in aesthetic, endurance and weight-class sports are largely under-represented (e.g., Nowicka et al., 2013). Similarly, the tools used for measuring professionals' awareness of eating psychopathology symptoms in these studies are variable and have yet to be psychometrically tested, which makes drawing comparisons between studies difficult.

The lack of an existing assessment tool is potentially one key reason for the currently limited evidence on sport professionals' observation of, and concern for, athlete eating psychopathology symptoms. For example, Sherman and colleagues (2005) developed a survey to assess the attitudes, beliefs, behaviours and concerns of coaches in relation to symptoms of athlete eating psychopathology. However, the survey did not assess which symptoms coaches had observed in athletes or the frequency with which they were observed. In the broader eating disorder literature, tools have been developed and subsequently validated for observers (e.g., parents, doctors, clinicians) to assess which symptoms they observe in someone with an eating disorder. For example, the Anorectic Behaviour Observation Scale (Vandereycken & Meerman, 1984) asks parents of an individual with an eating disorder to indicate which of a range of symptoms they have or have not observed in

that person. Such information has been useful for clinicians, practitioners and relatives in the evaluation of eating disorder symptoms and their severity, and also for researchers in the identification of patterns of eating psychopathology observed by stakeholders which can inform signposting procedures and treatment initiatives (e.g., Gísladóttir & Svavarsdóttir, 2011; Martin et al., 2014). It is critical to understand which symptoms and patterns of eating psychopathology sport professionals recognise in athletes to facilitate the development of targeted and relevant educational programmes and to promote early intervention more effectively for athletes at risk.

In summary, athletes are at a heightened risk of eating psychopathology and sport professionals (coaches and sport practitioners) hold an important role in identifying symptoms and directing athletes to appropriate sources of support. However, the nature and patterns of eating psychopathology symptoms frequently observed by sport professionals, and their perspectives towards such symptoms, are poorly understood. This is likely in part due to the absence of an effective tool to capture such information. Therefore, the aim of this study is to develop and explore the psychometric properties of a self-report measure to assess which symptomatic patterns of eating psychopathology are detected by sport professionals, and how observation of these symptoms might vary across sport professionals.

135 Method

#### **Measure development**

# Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation Questionnaire (AEPOQ)

The AEPOQ was designed to assess which eating psychopathology symptoms sport professionals observe among their athletes. Items were generated from reviewing the athlete eating psychopathology literature, through discussions within the research team, and by consulting eating psychopathology tools in the general and athlete populations. Items included a wide range of eating psychopathology behaviours (ranging from food restriction,

dieting, compulsive exercise to self-induced vomiting) and symptomatic comorbidities (e.g., negative affect) which have been evidenced to be present in athletes with eating psychopathology (e.g., Bratland-Sanda & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013; Mountjoy et al., 2014; Plateau et al., 2014b). From this, 31 items were identified as theoretically important and these were administered and subsequently subjected to analysis. Twenty-four items were devised from reviewing the literature and included symptoms specific to athletes (e.g., 'Avoids social activities with team members'; 'Takes supplements to promote muscle gain') and general symptoms (e.g., 'Cuts out major food groups'). Seven items were included from the Anorectic Behavior Observation Scale (Vandereycken & Meerman, 1984), to reflect broader eating disorder symptoms (e.g., 'Vomits after meals').

The AEPOQ was constructed to include two distinct sections: *Section A* (symptom observation) and *Section B* (concern over symptoms). In Section A, sport professionals respond with either 'No' (0 points), 'Not sure' (0 points) or 'Yes' (1 point) to each of the AEPOQ items to indicate whether they have ever observed that symptom or behaviour among any of their current or previous athletes. In Section B, participants are asked to rate their perceived level of concern for (i) athlete health and (ii) athlete performance for each AEPOQ item on a Likert scale from 1 (*not at all concerned*) to 5 (*extremely concerned*), irrespective of whether or not they have ever observed it. A copy of the AEPOQ is available from the authors on request.

#### Participants and procedure

Participants had to be aged 18 years or older and currently working with athletes in a sport practitioner or coaching role. Following institutional ethical approval, participants were recruited in 2019 from UK sport clubs and organisations, universities, or via social media (Twitter, Facebook, Reddit) and were invited to provide some background information (e.g., sex, age, job role, experience in role, details of their athletes) and complete the AEPOQ

online. In total, 232 sport professionals (male: n = 130; female: n = 102) provided informed consent and participated in the study ( $M_{\rm age} = 30.3$ , SD = 11.8, range: 18-83 years). Participants were sport coaches (n = 132; 57%) or sport practitioners (n = 100; 43%; i.e., in strength and conditioning/fitness [n = 27], sport psychology [n = 21], physical therapy [n = 20; e.g., physiotherapy, sports massage therapy], and sport nutrition [n = 16], with the remainder working in sport science, sport management, performance lifestyle or as a sport performance lead [n = 13]). Participants were either employed (70%) or volunteers (30%) and had worked with athletes across a wide range of sports for an average of 7.2 years (SD = 8.2, range 0.3–43 years). Most participants worked with both male and female athletes of all ages at club (35%), university (25%) or national and international levels (15%), with the remainder working at regional, county and school levels (25%).

#### **Data analysis**

All statistical analyses were conducted in IBM SPSS version 25.0. Missing data were minimal (< 2%). Where data were missing, Little's MCAR test revealed that this was completely at random (p > .05) and missing values were therefore replaced using the Expectation-Maximization algorithm (Schafer & Olsen, 1998). A Shapiro-Wilk test revealed that the data were not normally distributed (p < .05). To investigate the factor structure of the AEPOQ, and the patterns of eating psychopathology symptoms observed (Section A), Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was performed. EFA is suitable when evaluating ordinal data (e.g., Baglin, 2014; Hurley et al., 1997) and a minimum of 200 participants is typically required for EFA (e.g., Jung & Lee, 2011; Kyriazos, 2018). The KMO test for sampling adequacy and Bartlett's test of sphericity were measured to assess the suitability of data reduction.

EFA was performed using a Pearson correlation matrix with the Principal Axis

Factoring extraction method, which has been recommended with non-normally distributed

data (e.g., Costello & Osborne, 2005; Fabrigar et al., 1999). The number of factors to extract was determined using the Eigenvalue method (i.e., Eigenvalues greater than 1) in conjunction with scree plot analysis (Yong & Pearce, 2013). The Oblique Promax rotation was used on the assumption that factors would correlate (Floyd & Widaman, 1995). A minimum item loading of .32 was employed (Tabachnick & Fidell, 2001) and items loading at  $\geq$  .32 onto two or more factors, or within .15 of each other, were considered to significantly cross-load and so were removed (Costello & Osborne, 2005). Factors with just one or two items were considered unstable and consequently removed (e.g., Yong & Pearce, 2013). The EFA procedure was re-run until an appropriate factor solution was achieved. Given the exploratory nature of this study, the internal consistency of retained factors was assessed using Cronbach's  $\alpha$  and was considered acceptable if  $\alpha = 0.5$ –0.7 (Hinton et al., 2004; Ursachi et al., 2015) together with inspecting the face value of factor items (e.g., Taber, 2018).

To investigate relationships between AEPOQ factor scores (Sections A and B) and participants' years of experience working with athletes, two-tailed Spearman's rho ( $r_s$ ) correlations were run. To examine any differences between AEPOQ factor scores for levels of concern over health and performance (Section B), a paired-samples Wilcoxon signed-rank test was performed. To examine any differences between male and female participants on the AEPOQ factor scores (Sections A and B), independent samples Mann-Whitney U analysis was performed. The level of statistical significance was set to p < .05 for all analysis.

212 Results

#### **Psychometric properties of the AEPOQ**

#### Factor structure

The KMO test for the AEPOQ was 0.87 (very good) and Bartlett's test was significant (p < .001). Eleven items were removed from the initial pool of 31 items: nine due to low factor loadings (< .32) and a further two due to cross-loading and forming an unstable factor

(fewer than 3 items; Yong & Pearce, 2013). A subsequent EFA revealed a 5-factor structure with 20 items retained. The scree plot confirmed the final 5-factor solution. All five factors extracted had Eigenvalues above 1, accounting for 52.6% of the total variance in the items' variance-covariance matrix (see Table 1).

## [Table 1 near here]

## AEPOQ factor labels

A review of the items within each factor was conducted to determine appropriate factor labels. Factor 1 was labelled *Negative Affect*, as items within this factor (n = 5) refer to low psychological wellbeing, physical fatigue, lack of motivation and concentration, and social withdrawal. Factor 2 was labelled *Dieting Practices*, as its items (n = 5) refer to food restriction, low-calorie dieting and dieting for muscle gain. Factor 3 was labelled *Fear of Eating in Social Contexts*, as items within this factor (n = 3) refer to tension and lack of hunger around mealtimes. Factor 4 was labelled *Bingeing and Purging*, as its items (n = 4) refer to serious overeating and purging behaviours. Factor 5 was labelled *Compulsive Exercise*, as items within this factor (n = 3) refer to rigid and excessive exercise practices and avoidance of medical care.

# Internal consistency and factor inter-correlations

The internal consistency for all factors were acceptable ( $\alpha$  = .52–.73; Table 1), and very good for the AEPOQ overall ( $\alpha$  = .86). All five factors were moderately to strongly significantly positively correlated (Table 2).

#### **AEPOO** factor scoring and scores

#### Section A (symptom observation)

Mean scores were calculated for each factor; higher mean scores indicate higher frequency of eating psychopathology symptoms observed. The Global Score is the mean of

all five factor scores. The score range for all factors and the Global Score for this sample together with mean scores and standard deviations are presented in Table 2.

#### [Table 2 near here]

### Section B (concern over symptoms)

Mean scores for concern over athlete health and performance in each factor are shown in Table 3. Higher scores indicate higher levels of concern. Bingeing and Purging (Factor 4) had the highest mean score for concern over athlete health and performance. Participants reported significantly higher levels of concern for athlete health compared to athlete performance for the symptoms in Factors 2–5 and on the Global Score (small to large differences). Significantly higher levels of concern were detected for athlete performance compared to athlete health for symptoms of negative affect (Factor 1; medium difference; Table 3).

# [Table 3 near here]

#### Frequency of symptoms observed (Section A)

Two items in Factor 1 (items 18 and 19) and three items in Factor 2 (items 2, 3 and 15) had been observed in at least one athlete by over half of participants (50–67%), whilst the remaining 15 items had been observed by fewer than half of participants (9–48%). Item 15 ('Takes supplements to promote muscle gain') was the symptom most sport professionals (67%) had detected in at least one athlete, and item 29 ('Vomits after meals') was found to be the least frequently observed by sport professionals (9%). On average, Factor 2 (Dieting Practices) had the highest percentage of sport professionals (55%) who observed symptoms in that factor, while Factor 3 (Fear of Eating in Social Contexts) had the lowest percentage of sport professionals (25%) observing its symptoms. For Factors 1 (Negative Affect), 4 (Bingeing and Purging) and 5 (Compulsive Exercise), an average of 45%, 32% and 38% of sport professionals observed its symptoms, respectively.

# Correlations between sport professionals' years of experience working with athletes and AEPOO mean scores (Sections A and B)

In Section A, small positive significant correlations were detected between sport professionals' years of experience working with athletes and mean observation scores on both Factor 2 (Dieting Practices) and the Global Score. Years of experience did not significantly correlate with any of the other factors in Section A (Table 4). In Section B, small positive significant correlations were detected between sport professionals' years of experience working with athletes and concern over athlete *health* for Factor 1 (Negative Affect), Factor 2 (Dieting Practices), Factor 4 (Bingeing and Purging), Factor 5 (Compulsive Exercise) and the Global Score. Years of experience did not significantly correlate with concern over athlete health with Factor 3 (Fear of Eating in Social Contexts). Furthermore, small positive significant correlations were detected between sport professionals' years of experience working with athletes and concern over athlete *performance* for Factor 3 (Fear of Eating in Social Contexts), Factor 5 (Compulsive Exercise) and the Global Score. Years of experience did not significantly correlate with concern over athlete performance on items in Factor 1 (Negative Affect), Factor 2 (Dieting Practices) or Factor 4 (Bingeing and Purging; Table 4).

# Differences between male and female sport professionals

# **Demographics**

Of the male sport professionals, 62% were coaches and 38% were practitioners. Of the female sport professionals, 53% were coaches and 47% were practitioners. There were no significant differences in age between male (M = 31.3 years, SD = 12.1) and female (M = 29.1 years, SD = 11.4) sport professionals (Z = 1.85, p = .06, r = .12) or on years of experience working with athletes between male (M = 7.7 years' experience, SD = 8.2) and female (M = 6.8 years' experience, SD = 8.1) sport professionals (Z = 1.37, P = .17, P = .08), so these were not controlled for in subsequent analyses.

#### AEPOQ mean scores (Sections A and B)

In Section A, no significant differences were detected between male and female sport professionals for symptom observation scores on any of the factors or for the Global Score (Table 4). In Section B, female sport professionals scored significantly higher than males on concern over health for all factors and the Global Score, and for most factors and the Global Score on concern over performance. In relation to concern over athlete *health*, small significant differences were detected for Factor 1 (Negative Affect), Factor 3 (Fear of Eating in Social Contexts) and Factor 4 (Bingeing and Purging). Medium significant differences were detected for Factor 2 (Dieting Practices), Factor 5 (Compulsive Exercise) and the Global Score. In relation to athlete *performance*, small significant differences were detected for Factor 2 (Dieting Practices), Factor 4 (Bingeing and Purging), Factor 5 (Compulsive Exercise) and the Global Score. Scores on Negative Affect (Factor 1) and Fear of Eating in Social Contexts (Factor 3) were not significantly different between male and female sport professionals for concern over athlete performance (Table 4).

#### [Table 4 near here]

307 Discussion

This study aimed to develop and explore the psychometric properties of a new self-report questionnaire, the AEPOQ, to assess which symptoms of eating psychopathology are detected by sport professionals and how observation of these symptoms might vary. Five factors were identified following EFA of the AEPOQ: (1) Negative Affect, (2) Dieting Practices, (3) Fear of Eating in Social Contexts, (4) Bingeing and Purging, and (5) Compulsive Exercise. Sport professionals reported most frequently observing symptoms of dieting practices, while symptoms of a fear of eating in social contexts were observed least frequently. Participants reported higher levels of concern for athlete health than performance for most symptoms of disordered eating in athletes. Sport professionals with more years of

symptoms in athletes and reported higher levels of concern for athlete health compared to athlete performance in relation to these symptoms. While there were no significant differences in the number of disordered eating symptoms observed by male and female sport professionals, females reported almost all symptoms to be significantly more concerning for athlete health and/or performance compared to their male colleagues.

The development of the AEPOQ addresses the lack of existing tools for measuring which symptoms of eating psychopathology sport professionals detect in athletes (e.g., Kroshus et al., 2018; Nowicka et al., 2013). The current study provides preliminary evidence for the AEPOQ's factor structure and its psychometric properties. A five-factor structure was identified, and all factors demonstrated acceptable levels of internal consistency concordant with the study's exploratory nature (i.e., Cronbach's  $\alpha \ge .05$ ; Hinton et al., 2004; Ursachi et al., 2015). However, further research is required to determine whether item loadings can be replicated and/or if any items should be omitted (e.g., items in Factor 5). Future research should also determine if the internal consistency of some factors (e.g., Factors 3, 4 and 5) improves in other samples to meet the standard acceptable alpha level ( $\alpha \ge .07$ ). Additionally, the construct and content validity of factors also needs to be examined in future. Nonetheless, the internal consistency of the AEPOQ global score was very good ( $\alpha = .86$ ) and we therefore temporarily recommend researchers and practitioners to use the global score for reliability.

The AEPOQ assesses a range of eating and exercise psychopathology symptoms in athletes (e.g., Gorrel et al., 2020; Mountjoy et al., 2014; Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2010) and the present study is the first to identify that several constructs (patterns) of eating psychopathology in athletes are observed by sport professionals, but to different degrees. Specifically, dieting behaviours were most frequently detected by sport professionals, which is arguably expected as such behaviours are common among athletes (e.g., taking

supplements to promote muscle gain) and can be perceived as helpful for improving sport performance (e.g., Martinsen et al., 2010; Peos et al., 2019). This is also the first study to find that about half of sport professionals report detecting unhealthy dieting symptoms in athletes (e.g., skips meals, cuts out major food groups). It is meritorious that sport professionals can detect these symptoms, but unfortunate as it suggests they are prevalent among athletes.

Athletes who engage in unhealthy dieting practices are more susceptible to the onset of disordered eating behaviours (e.g., Kerr et al., 2006; Prnjak et al., 2019) or at risk of developing an eating disorder (e.g., Joy et al., 2016). The frequent observation of dieting behaviours in athletes by sport professionals detected in this study suggests that targeted intervention for athletes engaging in dieting practices might be feasible, and potentially important in mitigating against the development of more problematic eating behaviours.

Indeed, restrictive dietary practices have been shown to be reduced among athletes taking part in interventions that target unhealthy dieting practices (e.g., Laramée et al., 2017).

In contrast to previous qualitative research which suggests that coaches have limited capacity to detect symptoms of negative affect among athletes (e.g., Plateau et al., 2014a), this study found that athletes' general complaints of fatigue, tiredness and poor concentration were frequently observed by sport professionals. It is plausible to suggest that these broader symptoms may be detectable due to the amount of time sport professionals spend with athletes (e.g., Neal et al., 2013) and the impact that such symptoms may have on their training and sport performance (e.g., Russell et al., 2019). These symptoms may also be a result of physical fatigue (e.g., from excessive training) rather than necessarily signs of negative affect (e.g., suggesting a potential mental health problem). However, it is noteworthy that more specific symptoms of possible mental health issues, such as an avoidance of social activities with team members, lack of motivation or interest in activities and low mood and sadness, were less frequently observed by sport professionals in this study.

Such symptoms may be more likely to be more covert, making them more difficult to detect, and may occur less frequently than general fatigue and tiredness. Nonetheless, these symptoms may be indicative of serious mental health problems (e.g., depression, anxiety, social withdrawal), which have been shown to be prevalent among athletes (e.g., Poucher et al., 2019; Rice et al., 2016). The sport professionals in this study also reported symptoms of negative affect to be more concerning for athlete performance as opposed to athlete health. One explanation for this finding may be that sport professionals recognise the importance of athletes' mental state for good performance and thus any symptoms of negative affect will notably impair their performance (e.g., Lemyre et al., 2006). However, it will be helpful for future research to explore sport professionals' knowledge and understanding of negative affect symptoms and their wider impact on athlete health. Importantly, negative affect is a significant risk and maintenance factor for disordered eating in athletes and may therefore be a valuable construct to address in future interventions to support the health and performance of athletes (e.g., Estanol et al., 2013).

Sport professionals reported being less likely to observe disordered eating symptoms such as being fearful of eating in social contexts, bingeing, purging, and engaging in compulsive exercise. This is consistent with previous qualitative evidence suggesting that sport professionals less frequently detect more serious and covert symptoms of disordered eating (e.g., McArdle et al., 2016; Nowicka et al., 2013; Plateau et al., 2014a). These symptoms typically occur less frequently in athletes compared to, for example, dieting behaviors or negative affect (e.g., Joy et al., 2016) which likely contributes to sport professionals observing them less often. Despite low levels of observation, this is the first known study to report that sport professionals perceive a range of serious disordered eating symptoms to be highly concerning for both athlete health and performance. However, if these symptoms are not identified at an early stage and appropriate referrals made, athletes can

become increasingly exposed to serious health and performance consequences (Giel et al., 2016; Mountjoy et al., 2014), and so early detection is key in preventing these more serious concerns (e.g., Bonci et al., 2008). Nevertheless, previous research has found that coaches lack knowledge and awareness around severe eating disorder symptoms (e.g., Biggin et al., 2017; Nowicka et al., 2013; Plateau et al., 2015). Additionally, detecting these symptoms can be challenging because athletes often binge and purge in secret (DeFaciani, 2016) and symptoms can be easily hidden in an environment that advocates leanness and endorses compulsive exercise (e.g., McArdle et al., 2016; Sundgot-Borgen & Torstveit, 2004). To improve the identification of, and subsequent signposting to support for disordered eating symptoms in athletes, further education for sport professionals may be needed and developing open and honest communication practices between them and athletes will be important to facilitate greater symptom disclosure among athletes.

Participants' years of experience in their sport role was associated with some but not all eating psychopathology constructs assessed in this study. Sport professionals with more experience reported greater observation of dieting practices and disordered eating symptoms overall. Given the high prevalence of eating psychopathology symptoms among athlete populations (e.g., Joy et al., 2016), this finding suggests that more experienced sport professionals may have had more opportunities to detect symptoms in athletes, and to learn from such experiences. More experienced sport professionals in this study also reported greater concern over athlete health for almost all eating psychopathology symptoms.

Additionally, they reported greater concern over athlete performance for a fear of eating in social contexts, compulsive exercise, and disordered eating symptoms. One explanation may be that experienced sport professionals, due to having had more opportunities to observe symptoms, are more likely to have previously witnessed the negative health consequences in athletes because of an eating problem (Kroshus et al., 2018; Mountjoy et al., 2014).

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

Consequently, sport professionals with more years of experience in their role may acquire a greater awareness around disordered eating and may be more likely to detect symptoms and recognise their seriousness in athletes.

No significant differences were detected in the number of symptoms observed by male and female sport professionals. This suggests that the sex of sport professionals does not impact the degree of observation of eating psychopathology symptoms in athletes, as has been previously suggested (e.g., Kroshus et al., 2018; Nowicka et al., 2013). However, a novel finding of this study was that female sport professionals reported significantly higher levels of concern over athlete health for all symptoms compared to their male colleagues. Females also reported significantly higher levels of concern over athlete performance for bulimic-like symptoms, dieting and compulsive exercise compared to males. Beyond initial evidence of female sport professionals perceiving athlete menstrual irregularity to be more concerning than males (Sherman et al., 2005), this is the first known study to find that female sport professionals are more concerned than males about a range of eating psychopathology symptoms. A recent study found that psychologists' and fitness instructors' perceptions of, and ability to detect, eating disorder symptoms in the general population was largely influenced by their gender role identity (particularly masculine gender roles; Worsfold & Sheffield, 2020). This was argued to be because practitioners high on masculine traits perceived symptoms to be more normative and female congruent. It is plausible that the male sport professionals in the current study identified with more masculine traits which then influenced their perceived concern over the symptoms listed in AEPOO; however, this requires investigating in future. Eating disorders are still largely regarded a "female disorder" (e.g., Schoen et al., 2019), so it seems timely for sport professionals to address any existing gender-bias and stigma about eating disorders.

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

This study has successfully developed and tested a new, brief self-report measure of sport professionals' detection of eating psychopathology symptoms in athletes, and their levels of concern about these symptoms. A significant strength is the inclusion of a diverse sample of male and female coaches and sport practitioners, ensuring the results are applicable to a broad sport professional population. However, the sport practitioners (42%) worked across a wide range of professions, with few representatives from some disciplines (e.g., sports nutrition), which meant that exploring differences in patterns of symptom observation and levels of concern across disciplines was not feasible. Moreover, the proposed 5-factor structure of the AEPOQ requires replication and confirmation across additional, larger sport professional samples. Due to the stereotypical view that eating disorders is a female disorder (e.g., Schoen et al., 2019), it will be important to determine if participants completing the AEPOQ in future truly think about any athlete or predominantly female athletes. Moreover, sport professionals in this study were asked to complete the AEPOQ in relation to their general experiences with athletes. However, future validation of the measure may involve investigating the psychometric properties when respondents consider *one* athlete (e.g., by thinking specifically about an athlete who identifies as female or male), rather than any athletes. This will be important for determining the clinical utility of the AEPOQ as a screening tool to support sport professionals in identifying at-risk athletes.

Additionally, future research should measure the length of time for which the symptoms are observed to better assess their severity and continuation. Future work may also involve investigating whether symptoms that are *not* detected by sport professionals reflect a lack of awareness or if sport professionals simply have not encountered any athletes with these symptoms. It is also noted that this study did not control for any prior education around disordered eating among sport professionals, which is a potentially important confound that should be controlled for in future studies.

#### **Clinical implications and recommendations**

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

Using the AEPOO may help to overcome issues with existing eating disorder screening tools completed by athletes who may distort their responses due to concerns about being prevented from training or competing (Bratland-Sanda & Sundgot-Borgen, 2013; Giel et al., 2016). This could help practitioners to develop a clearer picture of the type of symptoms they detect in athletes, which in turn may make offering targeted and specific support more effective. The AEPOQ can also assist sport professionals in deciding whether further input is needed in the evaluation of symptoms. For example, if several symptoms of dieting practices, negative affect, and bingeing and purging are detected, the professional may feel more confident that the athlete in question should be referred to a specialist for an in-depth evaluation. Moreover, the findings of this study point towards some useful directions for educational initiatives for sport professionals. Future education may need to focus on supporting coaches and sport practitioners to identify the more critical and severe eating disorder symptoms (e.g., bulimic-like behaviours) and their comorbidities (e.g., compulsive exercise, negative affect). Early identification of these symptoms will be important to prevent the escalation of serious health consequences for athletes (Giel et al., 2016; Mountjoy et al., 2014). Developing awareness around the identification, health and performance consequences of eating psychopathology may be needed by both early-career and more experienced sport professionals. There may be significant value in incorporating disordered eating education and training courses into the professional development requirements of sport professionals, regardless of their experience in sport. Additionally, the findings from this study suggest that it might be particularly valuable to target male coaches and sport practitioners with such educational programmes. These recommendations build on the broader suggestions of the need to develop and implement health-education interventions for sport professionals to enhance their capacity and confidence to support athletes with eating

psychopathology symptoms and wider mental health concerns (e.g., Breslin et al., 2017; Gorczynski et al., 2020).

#### Conclusion

Sport professionals hold an important role in detecting eating psychopathology symptoms in athletes and the AEPOQ will ensure practitioners and researchers can systematically and effectively capture this information in future. This is important given that early identification of an eating disorder is generally associated with better prognosis (e.g., Bonci et al., 2008). The results show that sport professionals detect, and are concerned about, a range of symptoms, albeit to differing degrees. The results also indicate that information from the AEPOQ can help to identify which sport professionals, based on experience and/or sex, might need specific education and support to expedite the identification of eating psychopathology symptoms. By helping sport professionals more accurately and efficiently detect symptoms, this in turn will promote a sport culture that is better informed about eating psychopathology in athletes and may facilitate and improve early-identification and early-signposting of at-risk athletes.

| 506 | References   |
|-----|--|
| 507 | Anderson, C., & Petrie, T. A. (2012). Prevalence of disordered eating and pathogenic weight control      |
| 508 | behaviors among NCAA division I female collegiate gymnasts and swimmers. Research                        |
| 509 | Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 83(1), 120-124.  |
| 510 | https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2012.10599833.  |
| 511 | Baglin, J. (2014). Improving your exploratory factor analysis for ordinal data: A demonstration using    |
| 512 | FACTOR. Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation, 19(5), 2-15.  |
| 513 | https://doi.org/10.7275/dsep-4220.   |
| 514 | Biggin, I. J., Burns, J. H., & Uphill, M. (2017). An investigation of athletes' and coaches' perceptions |
| 515 | of mental ill-health in elite athletes. Journal of Clinical Sport Psychology, 11(2), 126-147.            |
| 516 | https://doi.org/10.1123/jcsp.2016-0017.  |
| 517 | Bonci, C. M., Bonci, L. J., Granger, L. R., Johnson, C. L., Malina, R. M., Milne, L. W., &               |
| 518 | Vanderbunt, E. M. (2008). National Athletic Trainers' Association position statement:                    |
| 519 | preventing, detecting, and managing disordered eating in athletes. Journal of Athletic                   |
| 520 | Training, 43(1), 80-108. https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-43.1.80.                                      |
| 521 | Bratland-Sanda, S., & Sundgot-Borgen, J. (2013). Eating disorders in athletes: overview of               |
| 522 | prevalence, risk factors and recommendations for prevention and treatment. European                      |
| 523 | Journal of Sport Science, 13(5), 499-508. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2012.740504.                  |
| 524 | Breslin, G., Shannon, S., Haughey, T., Donnelly, P., & Leavey, G. (2017). A systematic review of         |
| 525 | interventions to increase awareness of mental health and well-being in athletes, coaches and             |
| 526 | officials. Systematic Reviews, 6(1), 177. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0568-6.                     |
| 527 | Costello, A. B. and Osborne, J. W. (2005). Best practices in exploratory factor analysis: Four           |
| 528 | recommendations for getting the most from your analysis. Practical Assessment, Research &                |
| 529 | Evaluation, 10, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.7275/jyj1-4868.  |
| 530 | DeFeciani, L. (2016). Eating disorders and body image concerns among male athletes. Clinical Social      |
| 531 | Work Journal, 44(1), 114-123. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10615-015-0567-9.                                 |
| 532 | Estanol, E., Shepherd, C., & MacDonald, T. (2013). Mental skills as protective attributes against        |
| 533 | eating disorder risk in dancers. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 25(2), 209-222.                    |
| 534 | https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2012.712081.  |
| 535 | Fabrigar, L. R., Wegener, D. T., MacCallum, R. C., & Strahan, E. J. (1999). Evaluating the use of        |
| 536 | exploratory factor analysis in psychological research. Psychological Methods, 4(3), 272-299.             |
| 537 | https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.4.3.272.   |
| 538 | Floyd, F. J., & Widaman, K. F. (1995). Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical     |
| 539 | assessment instruments. Psychological Assessment, 7(3), 286-299.   |
| 540 | https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.7.3.286.   |

541 Giel, K. E., Hermann-Werner, A., Mayer, J., Diehl, K., Schneider, S., Thiel, A., & Zipfel, S. (2016). 542 Eating disorder pathology in elite adolescent athletes. *International Journal of Eating* 543 Disorders, 49(6), 553-562. https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22511. Gísladóttir, M., & Svavarsdóttir, E. K. (2011). Educational and support intervention to help families 544 545 assist in the recovery of relatives with eating disorders. Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing, 18(2), 122-130. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2850.2010.01637.x. 546 Gorczynski, P., Currie, A., Gibson, K., Gouttebarge, V., Hainline, B., Castaldelli-Maia, J. M., ... & 547 Swartz, L. (2020). Developing mental health literacy and cultural competence in elite 548 549 sport. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2020.1720045. 550 Gorrell, S., Scharmer, C., Kinasz, K., & Anderson, D. (2020). Compulsive exercise and weight 551 suppression: Associations with eating pathology in distance runners. Eating Behaviors, 36, 552 553 101358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2019.101358. Greenleaf, C., Petrie, T. A., Carter, J., & Reel, J. J. (2009). Female collegiate athletes: Prevalence of 554 555 eating disorders and disordered eating behaviors. Journal of American College Health, 57(5), 556 489-496. https://doi.org/10.3200/JACH.57.5.489-496. 557 Hinton, P. R., Brownlow, C., McMurray, I., & Cozens, B. (2004). SPSS Explained. Routledge: 558 London and New York. 559 Hurley, A. E., Scandura, T. A., Schriesheim, C. A., Brannick, M. T., Seers, A., Vandenberg, R. J., & 560 Williams, L. J. (1997). Exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis: Guidelines, issues, and alternatives. Journal of Organizational Behavior: The International Journal of Industrial, 561 Occupational and Organizational Psychology and Behavior, 18(6), 667-683. 562 https://www.jstor.org/stable/3100253. 563 Joy, E., Kussman, A., & Nattiv, A. (2016). 2016 update on eating disorders in athletes: A 564 comprehensive narrative review with a focus on clinical assessment and management. British 565 566 Journal of Sports Medicine, 50(3), 154-162. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2015-095735. Jung, S., & Lee, S. (2011). Exploratory factor analysis for small samples. Behavior Research 567 *Methods*, 43(3), 701-709. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-011-0077-9. 568 Kerr, G., Berman, E., & Souza, M. J. D. (2006). Disordered eating in women's gymnastics: 569 570 Perspectives of athletes, coaches, parents, and judges. Journal of Applied Sport 571 Psychology, 18(1), 28-43. https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200500471301. 572 Kroshus, E., DeFreese, J. D., & Kerr, Z. Y. (2018). Collegiate Athletic Trainers' Knowledge of the 573 Female Athlete Triad and Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport. Journal of Athletic 574 Training, 53(1), 51-59. https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-52.11.29. Kyriazos, T. A. (2018). Applied psychometrics: sample size and sample power considerations in 575

factor analysis (EFA, CFA) and SEM in general. Psychology, 9(08), 2207.

577 <u>10.4236/psych.2018.98126</u>.

576

578 Laramée, C., Drapeau, V., Valois, P., Goulet, C., Jacob, R., Provencher, V., & Lamarche, B. (2017). 579 Evaluation of a theory-based intervention aimed at reducing intention to use restrictive dietary 580 behaviors among adolescent female athletes. Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior, 49(6), 497-504. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneb.2017.03.009. 581 Lemyre, P. N., Treasure, D. C., & Roberts, G. C. (2006). Influence of variability in motivation and 582 affect on elite athlete burnout susceptibility. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology, 28(1), 583 32-48. https://doi.org/10.1123/jsep.28.1.32. 584 Martin, J., Padierna, A., González, N., Aguirre, U., Lafuente, I., Muñoz, P., & Quintana, J. M. (2014). 585 586 Evaluation of the psychometric characteristics of the Spanish version of the Anorectic 587 Behaviour Observation Scale. *International Journal of Clinical Practice*, 68(1), 83-93. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijcp.12223. 588 Martinsen, M., Bratland-Sanda, S., Eriksson, A. K., & Sundgot-Borgen, J. (2010). Dieting to win or to 589 590 be thin? A study of dieting and disordered eating among adolescent elite athletes and nonathlete controls. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 44(1), 70-76. 591 592 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsm.2009.068668. 593 McArdle, S., Meade, M. M., & Moore, P. (2016). Exploring attitudes toward eating disorders among 594 elite athlete support personnel. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 26(9), 595 1117-1127. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12515. 596 Mountjoy, M., Sundgot-Borgen, J., Burke, L., Carter, S., Constantini, N., Lebrun, C., ... & Ljungqvist, 597 A. (2014). The IOC consensus statement: beyond the female athlete triad—Relative Energy Deficiency in Sport (RED-S). British Journal of Sports Medicine, 48(7), 491-497. 598 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093502. 599 Nattiv, A., Loucks, A. B., Manore, M. M., Sanborn, C. F., Sundgot-Borgen, J., & Warren, M. P. 600 (2007). The female athlete triad. American College of Sports Medicine position stand. 601 602 Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise, 39(10), 1867-1882. 603 10.1249/mss.0b013e318149f111. Neal, T. L., Diamond, A. B., Goldman, S., Klossner, D., Morse, E. D., Pajak, D. E., ... & Welzant, V. 604 605 (2013). Inter-association recommendations for developing a plan to recognize and refer student-athletes with psychological concerns at the collegiate level: an executive summary of 606 607 a consensus statement. Journal of Athletic Training, 48(5), 716-720. 608 https://doi.org/10.4085/1062-6050-48.4.13. Nowicka, P., Eli, K., Ng, J., Apitzsch, E., & Sundgot-Borgen, J. (2013). Moving from knowledge to 609 610 action: A qualitative study of elite coaches' capacity for early intervention in cases of eating 611 disorders. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching, 8(2), 343-355.

https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.8.2.343.

612

- 613 Peos, J. J., Norton, L. E., Helms, E. R., Galpin, A. J., & Fournier, P. (2019). Intermittent dieting: 614 theoretical considerations for the athlete. Sports, 7(1), 22. 615 https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7010022. Plateau, C. R., Arcelus, J., McDermott, H. J., & Meyer, C. (2015). Responses of track and field 616 617 coaches to athletes with eating problems. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in 618 Sports, 25(2), e240-e250. https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12286. Plateau, C. R., McDermott, H. J., Arcelus, J., & Meyer, C. (2014a). Identifying and preventing 619 disordered eating among athletes: Perceptions of track and field coaches. Psychology of Sport 620 and Exercise, 15(6), 721-728. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2013.11.004. 621 Plateau, C. R., Shanmugam, V., Duckham, R. L., Goodwin, H., Jowett, S., Brooke-Wavell, K. S., ... & 622 623 Meyer, C. (2014b). Use of the compulsive exercise test with athletes: norms and links with eating psychopathology. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 26(3), 287-301. 624 625 https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2013.867911. Poucher, Z. A., Tamminen, K. A., Kerr, G., & Cairney, J. (2019). A commentary on mental health 626 627 research in elite sport. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 1-23. 628 https://doi.org/10.1080/10413200.2019.1668496. 629 Prnjak, K., Jukic, I., & Tufano, J. J. (2019). Perfectionism, Body Satisfaction and Dieting in Athletes: 630 The Role of Gender and Sport Type. Sports, 7(8), 181. https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7080181 631 Quatromoni, P. A. (2008). Clinical observations from nutrition services in college athletics. Journal of the American Dietetic Association, 108(4), 689-694. 632 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jada.2008.01.008. 633 Rice, S. M., Purcell, R., De Silva, S., Mawren, D., McGorry, P. D., & Parker, A. G. (2016). The 634 mental health of elite athletes: a narrative systematic review. Sports Medicine, 46(9), 1333-635 1353. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0492-2. 636 Russell, S., Jenkins, D., Rynne, S., Halson, S. L., & Kelly, V. (2019). What is mental fatigue in elite 637 638 sport? Perceptions from athletes and staff. European Journal of Sport Science, 19(10), 1367-1376. https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2019.1618397. 639 640 Schafer, J. L., & Olsen, M. K. (1998). Multiple imputation for multivariate missing-data problems: A data analyst's perspective. Multivariate Behavioral Research, 33(4), 545-571. 641 642 https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3304 5. Schoen, E., Brock, R., & Hannon, J. (2019). Gender bias, other specified and unspecified feeding and 643 644 eating disorders, and college students: A vignette study. Eating Disorders, 27(3), 291-304. https://doi.org/10.1080/10640266.2018.1504536. 645
- Sherman, R., Thompson, R. A., Dehass, D., & Wilfert, M. (2005). NCAA coaches survey: The role of the coach in identifying and managing athletes with disordered eating. *Eating* Disorders, 13(5), 447-466. https://doi.org/10.1080/10640260500296707.

| 649 | Sundgot-Borgen, J., & Torstveit, M. K. (2004). Prevalence of eating disorders in elite athletes is                   |
|-----|--|
| 650 | higher than in the general population. Clinical Journal of Sport Medicine, 14(1), 25-32.                             |
| 651 | Sundgot-Borgen, J., & Torstveit, M. K. (2010). Aspects of disordered eating continuum in elite high-                 |
| 652 | intensity sports. Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, 20, 112-121.                                 |
| 653 | https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01190.x.  |
| 654 | Tabachnick, B. G., Fidell, L. S. (2001). Using Multivariate Statistics (4th ed.). Needham Heights,                   |
| 655 | MA: Allyn and Bacon.   |
| 656 | Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments                  |
| 657 | in science education. Research in Science Education, 48(6), 1273-1296.   |
| 658 | https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2.   |
| 659 | Ursachi, G., Horodnic, I. A., & Zait, A. (2015). How reliable are measurement scales? External                       |
| 660 | factors with indirect influence on reliability estimators. Procedia Economics and Finance, 20,                       |
| 661 | 679-686. <a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00123-9">https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(15)00123-9</a> . |
| 662 | Vandereycken, W. V. & Meerman, R. (1984) Anorexia Nervosa: A clinician's guide to treatment.                         |
| 663 | Berlin, New York: Walter de Gruyter.   |
| 664 | Worsfold, K. A., & Sheffield, J. K. (2020). Practitioner eating disorder detection: The influence of                 |
| 665 | health mindset, thin-ideal internalization, orthorexia and gender role. Early Intervention in                        |
| 666 | Psychiatry. https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12940  |
| 667 | Yong, A. G., & Pearce, S. (2013). A beginner's guide to factor analysis: Focusing on exploratory                     |
| 668 | factor analysis. Tutorials in Quantitative Methods for Psychology, 9(2), 79-94.                                      |
| 669 | 10.20982/tqmp.09.2.p079.   |

Table 1
 Pattern matrix with factor loadings, Eigenvalues, variance and internal consistency.

| Athlete Eating Psychopathology Observation Questionnaire        | e Factor |      |      |      |      |
|---|----------|------|------|------|------|
| (AEPOQ) Items   | 1        | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |
| 17. Persistent low mood and sadness                             | .87      | _    | _    | _    | _    |
| 16. Clear lack of motivation or interest in activities          | .57      | _    | _    | _    | _    |
| 18. Persistent complaints of fatigue and tiredness              | .49      | _    | _    | _    | _    |
| 19. Poor concentration  | .39      | _    | _    | _    | _    |
| 23. Avoids social activities with team members                  | .39      | _    | _    | _    | _    |
| 3. Cuts out major food groups (e.g., carbohydrates, fat)        | _        | .70  | _    | _    | _    |
| 2. Cuts out treat foods   | _        | .50  | _    | _    | _    |
| 5. Prefers diet products (with low calorie content)             | _        | .48  | _    | _    | _    |
| 15. Takes supplements to promote muscle gain                    | _        | .44  | _    | _    | _    |
| 6. Skips meals  | _        | .42  | _    | _    | _    |
| 7. Shows obvious signs of tension at mealtimes                  | _        | _    | .83  | _    | _    |
| 1. Avoids eating with others                                    | _        | _    | .60  | _    | _    |
| 9. Seldom mentions being hungry                                 | _        | _    | .44  | _    | _    |
| 27. Noticeable weight gain                                      | _        | _    | _    | .60  | _    |
| 26. Noticeable weight loss                                      | _        | _    | _    | .50  | _    |
| 11. Has difficulties in stopping eating or eats unusually large | _        | _    | _    | .47  | _    |
| amounts of food or sweets                                       |          |      |      |      |      |
| 29. Vomits after meals  | _        | _    | _    | .42  | _    |
| 31. Is reluctant to see a doctor or refuses medical examination | _        | _    | _    | _    | .45  |
| 20. Adopts rigid exercise practices (e.g., always running the   | _        | _    | _    | _    | .41  |
| same route; exercising for exact amounts of time)               |          |      |      |      |      |
| 30. Is as active as possible (e.g., stands, walks or runs about | _        | _    | _    | _    | .38  |
| whenever possible)  |          |      |      |      |      |
| Eigenvalue  | 5.81     | 1.60 | 1.33 | 1.19 | 1.09 |
| Total variance (%)  | 27.65    | 7.64 | 6.36 | 5.69 | 5.23 |
| Cronbach α  | .73      | .70  | .69  | .65  | .52  |

Note. Factor loadings below .32 are not presented.

Table 2
 Sample score range, mean scores, standard deviations and factor inter-correlation matrix
 (AEPOQ Section A; n = 232).

|                             | Range | M    | SD   | 1      | 2      | 3      | 4      | 5      |
|-----------------------------|-------|------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Factor 1. Negative affect   | 0-5   | 2.25 | 1.68 |        |        |        |        |        |
| (5 items)                   |       |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |
| Factor 2. Dieting           | 0-5   | 2.73 | 1.66 | .43*** |        |        |        |        |
| practices (5 items)         |       |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |
| Factor 3. Fear of eating in | 0-3   | .76  | 1.02 | 35***  | .39*** |        |        |        |
| social contexts (3 items)   |       |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |
| Factor 4. Bingeing and      | 0-4   | 1.25 | 1.24 | .44*** | .50*** | .31*** |        |        |
| purging (4 items)           |       |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |
| Factor 5. Compulsive        | 0-3   | 1.09 | 1.00 | .36*** | .46*** | .30*** | .39*** |        |
| exercise (3 items)          |       |      |      |        |        |        |        |        |
| Global (20 items)           | 0-20  | 8.09 | 4.91 | .76*** | .81*** | .57*** | .71*** | .64*** |

Note. Correlation coefficients are two-tailed Spearman's rho; \*\*\* p < .001.

Table 3
 Mean scores, standard deviations and differences in sport professionals' reports of concerns
 about athlete health and performance (AEPOQ Section B; n = 232).

| Factor                               | Concern for | Concern for |                  |     |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----|
|                                      | health      | performance |                  |     |
|                                      | M (SD)      | M (SD)      | $Z^{\mathrm{a}}$ | r   |
| 1. Negative affect                   | 3.83 (.71)  | 3.96 (.67)  | 3.63***          | .23 |
| 2. Dieting practices                 | 3.24 (.71)  | 3.17 (.70)  | 2.11*            | .13 |
| 3. Fear of eating in social contexts | 3.29 (.79)  | 2.88 (.91)  | 7.88***          | .51 |
| 4. Bingeing and purging              | 4.17 (.72)  | 4.02 (.81)  | 4.10***          | .26 |
| 5. Compulsive exercise               | 3.48 (.87)  | 3.29 (.87)  | 4.48***          | .29 |
| Global                               | 3.60 (.61)  | 3.46 (.62)  | 6.34***          | .41 |

Note. \* p < .05; \*\*\*; p < .001; a = Paired samples Wilcoxon signed-rank test; r = effect size.

Table 4
 Correlations between years of experience working with athletes and AEPOQ mean scores,
 and differences on AEPOQ scores between male and female sport professionals.

| Factor                               | $r_{\rm s}$ † | Sex differences |             |                |      |  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|------|--|
|                                      |               | Males           | Females     | Z <sup>a</sup> | r    |  |
|                                      |               | (n = 130)       | (n = 102)   |                |      |  |
|                                      |               | M (SD)          | M (SD)      | -              |      |  |
| Section A (symptom observation)      |               |                 |             |                |      |  |
| 1. Negative affect                   | .08           | 2.19 (1.57)     | 2.33 (1.81) | .45            | .02  |  |
| 2. Dieting practices                 | .15*          | 2.65 (1.60)     | 2.83 (1.74) | .96            | .06  |  |
| 3. Fear of eating in social contexts | .12           | .71 (1.01)      | .82 (1.04)  | .82            | .05  |  |
| 4. Bingeing and purging              | .10           | 1.31 (1.21)     | 1.17 (1.28) | 1.07           | .07  |  |
| 5. Compulsive exercise               | .05           | 1.16 (1.02)     | 1.00 (.98)  | 1.29           | .08  |  |
| Global                               | .14*          | 8.04 (4.67)     | 8.16 (5.21) | .02            | .001 |  |
| Section B (concern for health)       |               |                 |             |                |      |  |
| 1. Negative affect                   | .21**         | 3.73 (.75)      | 3.96 (.64)  | 2.25*          | .14  |  |
| 2. Dieting practices                 | .13*          | 3.04 (.70)      | 3.50 (.64)  | 5.20***        | .34  |  |
| 3. Fear of eating in social contexts | .07           | 3.13 (.85)      | 3.50 (.66)  | 3.19**         | .20  |  |
| 4. Bingeing and purging              | .17*          | 4.04 (.79)      | 4.34 (.57)  | 3.09**         | .20  |  |
| 5. Compulsive exercise               | .28***        | 3.22 (.84)      | 3.81 (.80)  | 5.31***        | .34  |  |
| Global                               | .24***        | 3.43 (.61)      | 3.82 (.54)  | 5.33***        | .35  |  |
| Section B (concern for performance)  |               |                 |             |                |      |  |
| 1. Negative affect                   | .09           | 3.90 (.70)      | 4.04 (.62)  | 1.62           | .10  |  |
| 2. Dieting practices                 | .12           | 3.05 (.70)      | 3.33 (.68)  | 2.73**         | .17  |  |
| 3. Fear of eating in social contexts | .13*          | 2.81 (.86)      | 2.97 (.96)  | 1.30           | .08  |  |
| 4. Bingeing and purging              | .07           | 3.90 (.83)      | 4.17 (.78)  | 2.88**         | .18  |  |
| 5. Compulsive exercise               | .22**         | 3.15 (.85)      | 3.47 (.86)  | 2.78**         | .18  |  |
| Global                               | .19**         | 3.36 (.59)      | 3.59 (.63)  | 3.12**         | .20  |  |

Note. \* p < .05; \*\* p < .01; \*\*\* p < .001; † = two-tailed Spearman's correlations with sport

professionals' (n = 232) years of experience working with athletes; a = 1 independent samples Mann-

<sup>686</sup> Whitney U test; r = effect size.