This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Flexible kappa(4)-PNN ' O-tetradentate ligands: synthesis, complexation and structural studies

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c0dt00200c>

PUBLISHER

© Royal Society of Chemistry

VERSION

SMUR (Submitted Manuscript Under Review)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Durran, Sean E., Mark R.J. Elsegood, Shelly R. Hammond, and Martin B. Smith. 2019. "Flexible Kappa(4)-pnn ' O-tetradentate Ligands: Synthesis, Complexation and Structural Studies". figshare.
<https://hdl.handle.net/2134/15950>.

Flexible κ^4 -PNN'O-tetradentate ligands: synthesis, complexation and structural studies†

Sean E. Durran, Mark R. J. Elsegood, Shelly R. Hammond and Martin B. Smith*

Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X

First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXX 200X

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The three-step synthesis of new, air-stable, PNN'O-tetradentate ligands **3a·HH–3c·HH** by Schiff base condensation of the 1° amines **2a–2c** with 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO) in refluxing EtOH is described. The racemic ligand **3d·HH**, isolated in 79% yield, was successfully prepared from 2-

C₆H₄(OH){C(O)NHCH₂CH(Me)NH₂} **2d** and 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO) in absolute EtOH. Upon careful choice of metal precursor, ligands **3a·HH–3d·HH** display various coordination modes. Reaction of **3a·HH** with AuCl(tht) (1:1 molar ratio) affords AuCl(**3a·HH**), **4a**, in which κ^1 -P-complexation of the functionalised ligand is observed. In contrast, reaction of **3a·HH** (or **3d·HH**) with MCl₂(cod) (M = Pt, Pd) affords MCl₂(**3a·HH**) (M = Pt, **5a**; M = Pd, **5b**) or MCl₂(**3d·HH**) (M = Pt, **5c**; M = Pd, **5d**) in which ligand chelation is achieved using both P and imine N donor atoms. Moreover κ^2 -P,N-chelation was also observed when **3a·HH–3c·HH** were separately allowed to react with [PdCl(η^3 -C₃H₅)]₂ in CH₂Cl₂ affording new cationic η^3 -allyl complexes [Pd(η^3 -C₃H₅)(**3a·HH–3c·HH**)]Cl, **6a–6c**. Two neutral (methyl)chloropalladium(II) complexes, **7a/7c**, were isolated in high yields from **3a·HH** or **3c·HH** and Pd(CH₃)Cl(cod). Elimination of cod and single methyl protonation from Pt(CH₃)₂(cod) with 1 equiv. of **3a·HH**, **3b·HH** or **3d·HH** in toluene, at room temperature, afforded square-planar complexes Pt(CH₃)(κ^3 -**3a·H/3b·H/3d·H**), **8a/8b/8d**, containing monoanionic κ^3 -PNN'-tridentate ligands. The κ^3 -PNN'-tridentate mode was likewise observed for Pd(CH₃)(**3a·H/3c·H**), **10a/10c**, upon treatment of a methanolic solution of Pd(CH₃)Cl(**3a·HH/3c·HH**) with ^tBuOK. Similarly the monohapto (allyl)Pd^{II} compounds Pd(CH₂CH=CH₂)(**3a·HH–3c·HH**), **9a–9c**, were obtained cleanly from **6a–6c** and ^tBuOK via an $\eta^3 \rightarrow \eta^1$ allyl isomerisation. Both amide and phenolic protons in **5a–5d** were smoothly deprotonated, with base, to give the κ^4 -PNN'O-tetradentate complexes **11a/11b** and **11d/11e** containing the dianionic ligands **3a²⁻/3d²⁻** respectively. The Ni^{II} complexes **11c** and **11f** were synthesised directly from NiCl₂·6H₂O, **3a·HH** (or **3d·HH**) and ^tBuOK in CH₃OH. All new compounds were characterised by multinuclear NMR, FT-IR, mass spectrometry and microanalysis. Single crystal X-ray studies have been undertaken on the compounds **3a·HH**, **3c·HH**, **4a**, **7c**, **8a**, **8b**, **8d** and **11a–11d**.

Introduction

Significant developments in functionalised phosphine chemistry¹ continue to play a crucial role in understanding how these versatile ligands coordinate to metals, influence metal reactivity (stereoelectronic properties) and find applications in, for example, homogeneous catalysis. The marriage of two different donor atoms, one a soft P^{III} centre and the other typically N² or O³, has led to a wealth of tertiary phosphines being studied of which hemilabile ligands⁴ are a notable class. Whilst many functionalised tertiary phosphines

have been shown to act as bidentate ligands⁵, few literature examples of tridentate PNO systems are known.⁶ In contrast, tetradentate ligands with two (or more) donor types, including tetradentate π radical ligands⁷, have attracted considerable interest for their radioimaging/radiotherapeutic⁸, liquid crystalline⁹ and phosphorescent properties¹⁰ and applications in catalysis (including asymmetric variations).¹¹ Within this family of ligands the most popular examples of donor set combinations are those comprising N₂O₂¹² and P₂N₂¹³ atoms whereas unsymmetrical systems *e.g.* PNN'O are either uncommon¹⁴, or in the case of the PNN'O donor motif, extremely rare.¹⁵ Barandov and Abram¹⁶ recently described the synthesis of two new pentadentate Schiff base ligands containing a rare PN₂O₂ donor set. Herein we describe the synthesis of a series of tetradentate κ^4 -PNN'O ligands and a stepwise survey of their coordination capabilities towards selected transition-metal centres. Our studies have revealed

* Department of Chemistry, Loughborough University, Loughborough, UK LE11 3TU. Fax: +44 1509 223925; Tel: +44 1509 222553; E-mail: m.b.smith@lboro.ac.uk

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Experimental procedures for **1b**, **1c**, **2b** and **2c**; spectroscopic data for **1b**, **1c**, **2b** and **2c** and additional X-ray figures]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

these flexible κ^4 -PNN'O ligands adopt numerous ligation modes and afford new examples of chelate stabilised amido^{2b} and amido/phenoxo metal(II) complexes. All new compounds have been structurally verified both in solution and the solid state.

Experimental

Materials

All reactions were carried out under aerobic conditions, with the exception of ligands **3a·HH**–**3d·HH** whose syntheses were conducted under an atmosphere of dry, oxygen-free, nitrogen. All solvents were distilled prior to use. The compounds **1a**,¹⁷ **2a**,¹⁷ **2d**,¹⁸ 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO),¹⁹ AuCl(tht) (tht = tetrahydrothiophene),²⁰ MCl₂(cod) (M = Pt, Pd; cod = cycloocta-1,5-diene),²¹ Pd(CH₃)Cl(cod)²² and Pt(CH₃)₂(cod)²³ were all prepared according to published procedures. All other reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers.

Instrumentation

FT–IR spectra were recorded as KBr pellets over the range 4000–400 cm^{−1} using a Perkin-Elmer system 2000 FT spectrometer. The ¹H NMR and ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were recorded either on Bruker AC250 or DPX-400 FT spectrometers with chemical shifts (δ) reported relative to external TMS or H₃PO₄. All NMR spectra (250 or 400 MHz) were recorded in CDCl₃ solutions unless otherwise stated. Elemental analyses (Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN Elemental Analyzer) were performed by the Loughborough University Analytical Service within the Department of Chemistry.

X-ray crystallography

Suitable crystals were grown by vapour diffusion of Et₂O into either a CDCl₃/CH₂Cl₂ (for **4a**), CH₂Cl₂/CH₃OH (for **7c**·CH₂Cl₂) or CDCl₃ solution (for **8b**·1.5C₇H₈, **11b**·0.5CHCl₃·0.5Et₂O, **11c** and **11d**·CHCl₃). Slow evaporation of an EtOH (for **3a**·HH·EtOH), CHCl₃ (for **3c**·HH·0.5CHCl₃) or CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O solution (for **11a**·MeOH) afforded X-ray quality crystals. Suitable crystals of **8a**·CHCl₃ (and **8d**·2C₇H₈) were obtained from a C₇H₈ solution of **3a**·HH (or **3d**·HH) and Pt(CH₃)₂(cod). All measurements were made on a Bruker AXS SMART 1000 CCD area-detector diffractometer, at 150 K, using graphite-monochromated Mo–K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å) and narrow frame exposures (0.3°) in ω . Cell parameters were refined from the observed (ω) angles of all strong reflections in each data set. Intensities were corrected semiempirically for absorption based on symmetry-equivalent and repeated reflections. The structures were solved by direct methods (Patterson synthesis for **7c**·CH₂Cl₂ and **11d**·CHCl₃) and refined on F^2 values for all unique data by full-matrix least-squares (Table 1). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Programs used were Bruker AXS SMART and SAINT for diffractometer control and frame integration,²⁴ Bruker SHELXTL for structure solution, refinement and molecular graphics,²⁵ and local programs.

For **3c**·HH·0.5CHCl₃, the CHCl₃ molecule was disordered over an inversion centre. For **8b**·1.5C₇H₈, point atom modelling was attempted for the C₇H₈ molecules but no suitable disorder model could be established. The Platon Squeeze procedure was therefore successfully applied.²⁶ For **8d**·2C₇H₈, one of the C₇H₈ molecules was disordered and successfully modelled. In **11b**·0.5CHCl₃·0.5Et₂O, the highly disordered Et₂O molecule was modelled using the Platon Squeeze procedure. For the chiral structures the absolute structure parameters were: **4a**, x = 0.555(6), twinned by inversion; **11d**, x = −0.025(8), single enantiomer, well determined.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NHC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂} (3a·HH). A suspension of **2a** (0.307 g, 1.848 mmol) and 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO) (0.556 g, 1.915 mmol) in absolute EtOH (30 ml) was refluxed, under a N₂ atmosphere, for ca. 4 h. After cooling to r.t., the volume was reduced to ca. 10 ml under reduced pressure and the solid collected by suction filtration. The solid was washed with a small portion of EtOH and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.498 g, 61%. Selected data: ³¹P: −8.1 ppm. ¹H: 9.86 (s, 1H), 9.41 (s, 1H), 8.61 (d, ⁴J_{PH} 4.0, 1H), 7.77–6.85 (m, 18H), 4.32 (s, 2H) ppm. FT–IR: 3304, 1655 cm^{−1}. EI–MS: m/z 438 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₃N₂O₂P: C, 73.95; H, 5.30; N, 6.39. Found: C, 73.39; H, 5.25; N, 6.33. Compounds **3b**·HH and **3c**·HH were similarly prepared in 65% and 76% yields respectively. Selected data for **3b**·HH: ³¹P: −11.4 ppm. ¹H: 9.54 (s, 1H), 8.83 (s, 1H), 8.04–6.86 (m, 18H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 4.28 (s, 2H) ppm. FT–IR: 3371, 3269 (NH, OH), 1673 (CO amide I), 1534 (CO amide II) cm^{−1}. EI–MS: m/z 452 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₈H₂₅N₂O₂P·0.5H₂O: C, 72.86; H, 5.69; N, 6.07. Found: C, 72.69; H, 5.61; N, 5.83. Selected data for **3c**·HH: ³¹P: −8.8 ppm. ¹H: 9.39 (d, ⁴J_{PH} 3.8, 1H), 8.58 (m, 1H), 7.77–6.77 (m, 18H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 4.25 (s, 2H) ppm. FT–IR: 3249, 3145, 1659, 1649 cm^{−1}. EI–MS: m/z 438 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₃N₂O₂P·C₂H₅OH: C, 71.88; H, 6.28; N, 6.05. Found: C, 71.88; H, 5.84; N, 5.78.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{C(O)NHCH₂CH(Me)N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂} (3d·HH). A mixture of **2d** (0.326 g, 1.678 mmol) and 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO) (0.505 g, 1.740 mmol) in absolute EtOH (40 ml) was stirred for ca. 24 h. The solvent was evaporated to ca. 10 ml and **3d**·HH isolated by suction filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.618 g, 79%. Selected data: ³¹P: −7.8 ppm. ¹H: 12.56 (s, 1H), 8.53 (d, ⁴J_{PH} 3.8, 1H), 7.75–6.71 (m, 19H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.53 (m, 1H), 3.24 (m, 1H), 0.93 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.4, 3H) ppm. FT–IR: 3240, 1641, 1630 cm^{−1}. ES–MS: m/z 467 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₉H₂₇N₂O₂P: C, 74.65; H, 5.85; N, 6.01. Found: C, 74.92; H, 5.64; N, 6.34.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NHC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂AuCl} (4a). AuCl(tht) (0.0056 g, 0.0175 mmol) was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) and **3a**·HH (0.079 g, 0.0180 mmol) added to afford a colourless solution. After stirring the solution for 45 min, the volume was reduced to ca. 1–2 ml and diethyl ether (20 ml) and petroleum ether (b.p 60–80 °C,

10 ml) added to give **4a** which was collected by filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.107 g, 91%. Selected data: ^{31}P : 29.2 ppm. ^1H : 8.82 (s, 1H), 8.68 (s, 1H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.69–6.78 (m, 18H), 4.36 (s, 2H) ppm. FT-IR: 3294, 1655 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 635 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{23}\text{AuClN}_2\text{O}_2\text{P}$: C, 48.33; H, 3.46; N, 4.18. Found: C, 48.51; H, 3.54; N, 3.71.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NHC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂PtCl₂} (5a). To a CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) solution of $\text{PtCl}_2(\text{cod})$ (0.090 g, 0.241 mmol) was added **3a·HH** (0.104 g, 0.237 mmol) to give a yellow solution. After stirring the solution for 15 min, the volume was reduced under vacuum to *ca.* 1–2 ml and addition of diethyl ether (25 ml) afforded a yellow solid. The solid was collected by suction filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.150 g, 89%. Compound **5b** (91%) was similarly prepared from $\text{PdCl}_2(\text{cod})$ and **3a·HH**. Selected data for **5a**: ^{31}P ($\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$): 10.7 ppm, $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 3492 Hz; 3.0 ppm, $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 3324 Hz. FT-IR: 3258, 1670, 1632 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 669 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPtCl}_2$: C, 46.03; H, 3.30; N, 3.98. Found: C, 45.95; H, 3.28; N, 3.43. Selected data for **5b**: ^{31}P ($\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$): 38.2 ppm. ^1H : 10.30 (s, 1H), 10.01 (s, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H), 8.12–6.79 (m, 18H), 5.37 (s, 2H) ppm. FT-IR: 3324, 3258, 1698, 1645 cm^{-1} . MALDI-MS: m/z 580 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPdCl}_2$: C, 52.66; H, 3.77; N, 4.55. Found: C, 52.54; H, 3.72; N, 4.33. Compounds **5c** (99%) and **5d** (92%) were similarly prepared from **3d·HH** and the appropriate $\text{MCl}_2(\text{cod})$. Selected data for **5c**: ^{31}P [$\text{CDCl}_3/(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$]: 6.1 ppm, $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 3799 Hz. ^1H : 12.18 (s, 1H), 8.41 (s, 1H), 8.37 (d, $^4J_{\text{PH}}$ 7.3, 1H), 7.70–6.66 (m, 18H), 5.64 (m, 1H), 4.05 (m, 1H), 3.16 (m, 1H), 0.78 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 6.8, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 3295, 1640 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 697 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPtCl}_2$: C, 47.55; H, 3.72; N, 3.83. Found: C, 47.78; H, 3.78; N, 4.21. Selected data for **5d**: ^{31}P [$\text{CDCl}_3/(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$]: 32.9 ppm. ^1H : 12.30 (s, 1H), 8.50 (d, $^4J_{\text{PH}}$ 6.2, 1H), 8.23–6.74 (m, 19H), 5.59 (m, 1H), 4.15 (m, 1H), 3.18 (m, 1H), 0.69 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 6.8, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 3269, 1639 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 571 [M-2Cl-H⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPdCl}_2$: C, 54.09; H, 4.24; N, 4.35. Found: C, 53.86; H, 4.28; N, 4.33.

Preparation of [1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NHC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂Pd(η³-C₃H₅)}]Cl (6a). To a solution of $[\text{PdCl}(\eta^3\text{-C}_3\text{H}_5)]_2$ (0.037 g, 0.101 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) was added **3a·HH** (0.091 g, 0.208 mmol) to afford a yellow solution. After stirring for 1 h the solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to *ca.* 1–2 ml and diethyl ether (10 ml) added. The yellow solid was collected and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.107 g, 85%. Compounds **6b** (96%) and **6c** (84%) were prepared in a related manner. Selected data for **6a**: ^{31}P : 24.3 ppm. ^1H : 11.66 (s, 1H), 9.20 (s, 1H), 8.74 (s, 1H), 7.84–6.85 (m, 18H), 5.83 (q, 1H), 5.41 (s, 2H), 4.00 (br), 3.07 (br) ppm. FT-IR: 3214, 3145, 1654 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 585 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPdCl}$: C, 57.98; H, 4.55; N, 4.51. Found: C, 57.46; H, 4.56; N, 4.52. Selected data for **6b**: ^{31}P : 24.2 ppm. ^1H : 10.31 (s, 1H), 8.84 (s, 1H), 7.85–7.12 (m, 19H), 5.82 (q, 1H), 5.44 (s, 2H), 4.61 (s, 2H),

4.06 (br), 3.03 (br) ppm. FT-IR: 3394, 1684 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 599 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{31}\text{H}_{30}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPdCl}\cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$: C, 57.77; H, 4.86; N, 4.35. Found: C, 57.64; H, 4.84; N, 4.23. Selected data for **6c**: ^{31}P : 24.2 ppm. ^1H : 10.56 (s, 1H), 8.57 (s, 1H), 7.82–6.74 (m, 19H), 5.70 (q, 1H), 5.09 (s, 2H), 3.90 (br), 2.90 (br) ppm. FT-IR: 3240, 3196, 3141, 1674 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 585 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPdCl}\cdot 1.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$: C, 55.56; H, 4.83; N, 4.32. Found: C, 55.53; H, 4.68; N, 4.03.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NHC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂Pd(CH₃)Cl} (7a). To a solution of $\text{Pd}(\text{CH}_3)\text{Cl}(\text{cod})$ (0.050 g, 0.189 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (10 ml) was added **3a·HH** (0.086 g, 0.196 mmol) to give an initial pale yellow solution whereupon, after a few minutes, a colourless solid **7a** deposited. After stirring for 25 min, the volume was concentrated under reduced pressure to *ca.* 2 ml and diethyl ether (20 ml) added. The solid was collected and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.097 g, 87%. Compound **7c** (91%) was likewise prepared. Selected data for **7a**: ^{31}P [$\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}/(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{SO}$]: 39.3 ppm. ^1H [$(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$]: 9.68 (s, 1H), 9.32 (s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.66–6.54 (m, 18H), 4.85 (s, 2H), 0.00 (d, $^3J_{\text{PH}}$ 3.2, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 3242, 1650, 1628 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 559 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPdCl}$: C, 56.48; H, 4.41; N, 4.71. Found: C, 56.14; H, 4.48; N, 4.68. Selected data for **7c**: ^{31}P : 38.0 ppm. ^1H : 10.29 (s, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.53–6.71 (m, 18H), 6.39 (s, 1H), 4.88 (s, 2H), 0.53 (d, $^3J_{\text{PH}}$ 4.0, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 3245, 3204, 3153, 1656, 1608 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 559 [M-Cl]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPd}\cdot 0.75\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$: C, 52.39; H, 4.21; N, 4.25. Found: C, 52.38; H, 4.24; N, 4.03.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂Pt(CH₃)} (8a). To the solids $\text{Pt}(\text{CH}_3)_2(\text{cod})$ (0.040 g, 0.120 mmol) and **3a·HH** (0.053 g, 0.121 mmol) was added toluene (2 ml) to afford a yellow solution. After standing for 2 d, a yellow crystalline solid formed which was collected and dried. Yield: 0.072 g, 92%. The $\kappa^3\text{-PNN}^-$ -tridentate complexes **8b** (62%) and **8d** (84%) were prepared similarly. Selected data for **8a**: ^{31}P : 13.7 ppm, $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 3865 Hz. ^1H : 8.43 ($^3J_{\text{PtH}}$ 43.8, 1H), 7.57–6.72 (m, 18H), 4.84 (s, 2H), 0.00 ($^2J_{\text{PtH}}$ 72.8, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 2926, 1636, 1605, 1574 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 646 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{25}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPt}\cdot \text{CHCl}_3$: C, 45.42; H, 3.42; N, 3.65. Found: C, 45.46; H, 3.27; N, 3.43. Selected data for **8b**: ^{31}P : 13.6 ppm, $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 3809 Hz. ^1H : 8.63 ($^3J_{\text{PtH}}$ 43.2, 1H), 7.71–7.06 (m, 18H), 4.98 (s, 2H), 4.87 (d, $^2J_{\text{HH}}$ 11.0, 1H), 4.47 (d, $^2J_{\text{HH}}$ 11.0, 1H), 3.99 (br, 1H), 0.00 ($^2J_{\text{PtH}}$ 72.0, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 3384, 3292, 1639, 1602, 1585, 1570 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 662 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPt}$: C, 52.64; H, 4.12; N, 4.24. Found: C, 52.58; H, 4.08; N, 3.81. Selected data for **8d**: ^{31}P : 14.4 ppm, $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 3834 Hz. ^1H : 12.60 (s, 1H), 8.62 ($^3J_{\text{PtH}}$ 42.4, 1H), 8.35 (dd, 1H), 7.62–6.49 (m, 17H), 4.15 (ddd, 1H), 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.64 (dd, 1H), 1.33 (d, $^3J_{\text{HH}}$ 6.8, 3H), 0.01 ($^2J_{\text{PtH}}$ 75.2, 3H) ppm. FT-IR: 1618, 1555 cm^{-1} . ES-MS: m/z 676 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{29}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{PPt}\cdot 2\text{C}_7\text{H}_8$: C, 61.45; H, 5.29; N, 3.26. Found: C, 61.57; H, 5.25; N, 3.52.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂Pd(η¹-CH₂CH=CH₂)} (9a).

To a CH₃OH (2 ml) solution of **6a** (0.051 g, 0.0821 mmol) was added ^tBuOK (0.012 g, 0.107 mmol) with immediate formation of a yellow solid. The suspension was stirred for 30 min, and the solid **9a** collected by suction filtration and dried. Yield: 0.041 g, 85%. The η^1 -allylpalladium(II) complexes **9b** (51%) and **9c** (51%) were prepared similarly. Selected data for **9a**: ³¹P: 32.4 ppm. ¹H: 8.33 (s, 1H), 8.27 (s, 1H), 7.64–6.85 (m, 18H), 5.39 (m, 1H, =CH), 4.72 (s, 2H), 4.40 (d, 1H, =CH₂), 4.16 (d, 1H, =CH₂), 1.83 (m, 2H, –CH₂Pd) ppm. FT–IR: 3268, 1642, 1605, 1556 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 585 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₃₀H₂₇N₂O₂PPd: C, 61.59; H, 4.66; N, 4.79. Found: C, 61.46; H, 4.40; N, 4.45. Selected data for **9b**: ³¹P: 32.6 ppm. ¹H: 8.29 (s, 1H), 7.67–7.08 (m, 18H), 5.25 (m, 1H, =CH), 4.73 (m, 2H), 4.64 (m, 2H), 4.58 (s, 1H), 4.18 (d, 1H, =CH₂), 3.74 (d, 1H, =CH₂), 1.60 (m, 2H, –CH₂Pd) ppm. FT–IR: 1648 (CO amide I), 1556 (CO amide II) cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 599 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₃₁H₂₉N₂O₂PPd·1.5H₂O: C, 59.47; H, 5.16; N, 4.48. Found: C, 59.29; H, 4.71; N, 4.54. Selected data for **9c**: ³¹P: 31.8 ppm. ¹H: 8.37 (s, 1H), 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.67–6.75 (m, 18H), 5.40 (m, 1H, =CH), 4.62 (s, 2H), 4.20 (m, 1H, =CH₂), 3.86 (m, 1H, =CH₂), 1.70 (m, 2H, –CH₂Pd) ppm. FT–IR: 3211, 1646, 1558 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 585 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₃₀H₂₇N₂O₂PPd: C, 61.59; H, 4.66; N, 4.79. Found: C, 60.90; H, 4.43; N, 4.44.

Preparation of 1,2-(OH)C₆H₄{NC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂Pd(CH₃)} (**10a**).

To a CH₃OH (2 ml) solution of **7a** (0.050 g, 0.084 mmol) was added ^tBuOK (0.012 g, 0.107 mmol) with immediate formation of a pale pink solid **10a**. The mixture was stirred for 40 min and the solid isolated by suction filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.045 g, 96%. The methylpalladium(II) complex **10c** (74%) was similarly prepared. Selected data for **10a**: ³¹P: 36.2 ppm. ¹H: 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.29 (s, 1H), 7.68–6.82 (m, 18H), 4.77 (s, 2H), 0.00 (d, ³J_{PH} 3.2, 3H) ppm. FT–IR: 2945, 1651, 1594, 1567 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 559 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₈H₂₅N₂O₂PPd: C, 60.16; H, 4.52; N, 5.01. Found: C, 59.66; H, 4.20; N, 4.89. Selected data for **10c**: ³¹P: 34.7 ppm. ¹H [CDCl₃/(CD₃)₂SO]: 8.43 (s, 1H), 8.30 (s, 1H), 7.77–6.81 (m, 18H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 0.00 (d, ³J_{PH} 3.2, 3H) ppm. FT–IR: 3384, 3212, 2949, 1646, 1555 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 559 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₈H₂₅N₂O₂PPd·H₂O: C, 58.29; H, 4.73; N, 4.86. Found: C, 58.27; H, 4.20; N, 4.79.

Preparation of 1,2-(O)C₆H₄{NC(O)CH₂N=CH-C₆H₄PPh₂Pt} (**11a**).

Method 1. A CH₃OH (3 ml) solution of **5a** (0.101 g, 0.143 mmol) was treated with ^tBuOK (0.044 g, 0.392 mmol). The orange/red suspension was stirred for 40 min, filtered and washed with a small portion of CH₃OH. Yield: 0.079 g, 88%. Compound **11b** was prepared similarly in quantitative yield whereas **11c** was prepared (in 91% yield) from NiCl₂·6H₂O, ^tBuOK and **3a·HH**. Selected data for **11a**: ³¹P: 8.0 ppm, ¹J_{PP} 3371 Hz. ¹H: 8.38 (³J_{PH} 99.6, 1H), 8.34 (d, 1H), 7.80–6.63 (m, 17H), 5.13 ppm (³J_{PH} 15.8, 2H). FT–IR: 1628 (CO), 1609 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 632 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₁N₂O₂PPT: C, 51.35; H, 3.36; N, 4.44. Found: C, 50.82;

H, 3.17; N, 4.78. Selected data for **11b**: ³¹P: 21.3 ppm. ¹H: 8.18 (d, 1H), 8.05 (s, 1H), 7.70–6.68 (m, 17H), 5.03 ppm (s, 2H). FT–IR: 1642 (CO), 1616 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 543 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₁N₂O₂PPd: C, 59.73; H, 3.91; N, 5.16. Found: C, 59.53; H, 3.83; N, 5.85. Selected data for **11c**: ³¹P: 19.7 ppm. ¹H: 8.17 (d, 1H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 7.74–6.46 (m, 17H), 4.59 ppm (s, 2H). FT–IR: 1634 (CO), 1608 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 495 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₇H₂₁N₂O₂PNI·CH₂Cl₂: C, 57.97; H, 4.00; N, 4.83. Found: C, 57.84; H, 3.80; N, 4.90.

Method 2. To a CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) solution of Pd(OAc)₂ (0.025 g, 0.111 mmol) was added **3a·HH** (0.050 g, 0.114 mmol) to give a deep red solution. The solution was stirred for 45 min, the volume reduced to *ca.* 1–2 ml and addition of diethyl ether (20 ml) gave **11b** which was collected by suction filtration and dried *in vacuo*. Yield: 0.056 g, 93%.

The complexes **11d–11f** (78, 83 and 80% yields respectively) were prepared using the same procedure as for **11a–11c**. Selected data for **11d**: ³¹P: 10.4 ppm, ¹J_{PP} 3378 Hz. ¹H: 8.58 (³J_{PH} 95.2, 1H), 8.32 (dd, 1H), 7.73–6.48 (m, 17H), 4.11 (m, 1H), 3.89 (ddd, 1H), 3.60 (dd, 1H), 1.39 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.6, 3H) ppm. FT–IR: 1598, 1566, 1526 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 660 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₉H₂₅N₂O₂PPT·CHCl₃: C, 46.25; H, 3.37; N, 3.60. Found: C, 46.29; H, 3.32; N, 3.53. Selected data for **11e**: ³¹P: 24.3 ppm. ¹H: 8.27 (s, 1H), 8.24 (dd, 1H), 7.70–6.44 (m, 17H), 4.07 (m, 1H), 3.84 (ddd, 1H), 3.51 (dd, 1H), 1.32 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.5, 3H) ppm. FT–IR: 1595, 1563, 1525 cm^{–1}. ES–MS: *m/z* 571 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₉H₂₅N₂O₂PPd: C, 61.00; H, 4.42; N, 4.91. Found: C, 60.36; H, 4.45; N, 4.65. Selected data for **11f**: ³¹P: 22.7 ppm. ¹H: 8.13 (m, 2H), 7.83–6.09 (m, 17H), 3.84 (m, 1H), 3.72 (ddd, 1H), 3.39 (dd, 1H), 1.51 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.4, 3H) ppm. FT–IR: 1597, 1569, 1522 cm^{–1}. FAB–MS: *m/z* 523 [M⁺]. Anal. (%) Calcd. for C₂₉H₂₅N₂O₂PNI·CHCl₃: C, 56.07; H, 4.09; N, 4.36. Found: C, 55.51; H, 4.01; N, 4.12.

Results and discussion

Ligand Syntheses

The new unsymmetric ligands **3a·HH–3c·HH** were synthesised from **2a–2c** (Scheme 1) using a previous literature method for the synthesis of ligands with N₂O₂^{17,27a} and N₃O₂^{27b} donor sets. Reaction of the aminoalcohols RC₆H₄NH₂ (R = 2-OH, 4-OH or 2-CH₂OH) with carbobenzyloxyglycine and a slight excess of DCC in THF for 4 h gave **1a–1c** in high yields. Treatment of **1a–1c** with Pd/C (10%) and cyclohexene in refluxing EtOH gave, after filtration and evaporation of the solvent, the 1° amines **2a–2c** (characterising data for **1b**, **1c**, **2b** and **2c** provided in the ESI). Using a well established route^{14d,14e,16,28,29e} for synthesising phosphinoimines, reaction of **2a–2c** with 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO) in refluxing EtOH gave, after workup, the tetradentate ligands **3a·HH–3c·HH** in good yields (61–76%). This synthetic approach was also used to prepare **3d·HH** from 2-C₆H₄(OH){C(O)NHCH₂CH(Me)NH₂} **2d**¹⁸ and 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(CHO) in EtOH at ambient temperature (Scheme 2). Compounds **3a·HH–3d·HH** were obtained as off-white solids, soluble in a range of common organic

solvents. The spectroscopic data confirm Schiff base condensation, with formation of a CH=N bond, since new ^{31}P signals were observed at $\delta(\text{P}) -8$ ppm [*c.f.* $\delta(\text{P}) -11.2$ ppm for 2- $\text{Ph}_2\text{PC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CHO})$]. Furthermore the ^1H NMR spectra, in all four cases, show a distinct CH=N resonance in the range $\delta(\text{H})$ 8.58–8.83 ppm and a singlet in the region $\delta(\text{H})$ 4.25–4.32 ppm for the methylene group (CH_2N). Compounds **3a·HH**–**3d·HH** adopt an *E*- (anti-) configuration as previously observed for other phosphinoimine ligand systems^{14a} and based on single crystal X-ray studies for **3a·HH** and **3c·HH** (*vide infra*).

Scheme 1

Scheme 2

The X-ray structures of **3a·HH·EtOH** [Fig. 1(a)] and **3c·HH·0.5CHCl₃** [Fig. 1(b)] have been determined. In both **3a·HH** and **3c·HH** the geometry around P(1) is distorted tetrahedral with C–P–C angles in the range 101.03(8)–104.08(8)°. The most distinct structural difference between these isomeric ligands is the relative orientation of the Ph₂P– unit with respect to the N(1)/N(2)/O(2) donor atoms. In **3a·HH** the Ph₂P– group points away from N(1)/N(2)/O(2) yet in **3c·HH** this group faces both the N(1)/N(2) donor atoms. Free rotation about C(7)–C(aryl) is plausible thus predisposing all four donor atoms (for **3a·HH**) in the same plane when bound in a tetradentate fashion. Various H-bonding interactions in **3a·HH** and **3c·HH** exist including, common to both structures, an intramolecular N(1)⋯H(2)–N(2) H-bond [N(1)⋯N(2) 2.638(2) Å, N(1)⋯H(2)–N(2) 117.0(17)° for **3a·HH**; N(1)⋯N(2) 2.688(2) Å, N(1)⋯H(2)–N(2) 116.6(16)° for **3c·HH**]. Furthermore, additional intermolecular H-bonding to an EtOH solvate [O(1)⋯O(3A) 2.6846(19) Å, O(1)⋯H(3B)–O(3A) 172(3)° and O(2)⋯O(3) 2.598(2) Å, O(2)–H(2A)⋯O(3) 167(3)°] is evident in **3a·HH**. Compound **3c·HH** forms chains along the *c* direction *via* intermolecular H-bonding [O(2)⋯O(1A) 2.694(2) Å, O(2)–H(2A)⋯O(1A) 158(3)°] between adjacent molecules (see ESI for further details).

Fig. 1 Molecular structures of (a) **3a·HH·EtOH** and (b) **3c·HH·0.5CHCl₃**. The disordered CHCl₃ and all hydrogen atoms except those on N(2), O(2), O(3) and O(3A) are removed for clarity. Symmetry operator A = $-x + 1, y + 1/2, -z + 5/2$.

Coordination studies

The reactivity of **3a·HH**–**3d·HH** towards linear and square-planar metal centres was explored in order to evaluate their flexibility and coordination potential. Classical κ^1 -P-coordination was achieved upon stoichiometric reaction of **3a·HH** with AuCl(tht) in CH₂Cl₂ affording **4a** in 91% yield (Scheme 3). The downfield shift of $\delta(\text{P})$ 29.2 ppm [in addition to a minor (*ca.* 5%) species identified as AuCl{2- $\text{Ph}_2\text{PC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CHO})$ }, $\delta(\text{P})$ 32.2 ppm, presumably arising from hydrolysis of the imine bond] clearly support *P*-coordination of **3a·HH**.

Scheme 3

The X-ray structure of **4a** (Fig. 2, Table 2) shows typical Au–P [2.2283(10) Å], Au–Cl [2.2714(12) Å] and Cl–Au–P [179.61(6)°] parameters consistent with a near linear geometry around Au(I). Upon coordination, the conformation of **3a·HH**, with respect to the NN′O- group, remains unaltered. Furthermore there persists a strong intramolecular N(1)⋯H(2)–N(2) H-bond [N(1)⋯N(2) 2.592(5) Å, N(1)⋯H(2)–N(2) 116°] and neighbouring molecules form chains (see ESI) along the *a* direction through O–H⋯O intermolecular H-bonds [O(2)⋯O(1A) 2.661(4) Å, O(2)–H(2A)⋯O(1A) 143°].

Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **4a**. All hydrogen atoms except those on N(2) and O(2) are removed for clarity.

Phosphinoimines are well known to form late transition-metal chelate complexes using both P and imine N-donor atoms.²⁹ Furthermore Pd^{II} iminophosphine complexes have been used in a range of catalytic applications highlighting the versatility of these ligand systems.^{6f,30} In order to probe the coordination behaviour of ligands **3a·HH–3d·HH** treatment of MCl₂(cod) (M = Pt, Pd) with one equiv. of **3a·HH** (or **3d·HH**) afforded the chelate complexes **5a, 5b** (or **5c, 5d**) (Scheme's 3 & 4). Mononuclear cationic [Pd(η³-C₃H₅)(**3a·HH–3c·HH**)]Cl (**6a–6c**) and neutral Pd(CH₃)Cl(**3a·HH/3c·HH**) (**7a,7c**) complexes were readily

prepared from [PdCl(η³-C₃H₅)]₂ and Pd(CH₃)Cl(cod) (Scheme's 3 & 5). In all complexes, κ²-P,N-chelation was deduced from downfield phosphorus chemical shifts [δ(P) 38.2 ppm (for **5b**); ca. 24 ppm (for **6a–6c**); ca. 38 ppm (for **7a, 7c**)]. For **5a**, in CDCl₃/CH₃OH solution, two species at δ(P) 10.7 ppm, ¹J_{PtP} 3492 Hz and 3.0 ppm, ¹J_{PtP} 3324 Hz were observed, tentatively assigned neutral and cationic structures, the later presumably involving NH/OH coordination.

Scheme 4

Scheme 5

The X-ray structure of **7c·CH₂Cl₂** (Fig. 3, Table 2) shows the phosphinoimine κ²-P,N-donor atoms to chelate the palladium(II) centre with six-membered ring formation. The methyl group lies *trans* to N with Pd–P, Pd–N, Pd–C and Pd–Cl bond lengths similar to those in previous reported compounds.^{6j} In **7c·CH₂Cl₂** the “Pd(CH₃)Cl” fragment is hinged about P(1)⋯N(1)/C(7) with P(1)–C(1)–C(6)–C(7) lying essentially flat (within ±0.032 Å). The Pd(1) metal atom resides out of the basal plane of the P(1), N(1), Cl(1) and C(28) donor substituents by 0.027 Å. An intermolecular O(1')⋯H(2A)–O(2) [O(1')⋯O(2) 2.696(3) Å and O(1')⋯H(2A)–O(2) 178(4)°; symmetry operator ' = *x*, –*y* + 1/2, *z* – 1/2] H-bond links molecules into chains along the *c* direction (see ESI) and there also exists an intramolecular N(2)–H(2)⋯Cl(1) [N(2)⋯Cl(1) 3.131(2) Å and

N(2)–H(2)···Cl(1) 166(3)°] H-bond. From the solid state structure it is possible to envisage how **3c·HH** could further function as a tridentate ligand.

Fig. 3 Molecular structure of **7c**. The CH₂Cl₂ solvent of crystallisation and all hydrogen atoms except on N(2) are removed for clarity.

Scheme 6

In order to verify whether ligands **3a·HH–3d·HH** could function as κ²-PNN[−]-tridentate ligands it was necessary to find a synthetic method which would permit single deprotonation of the NH amide group. Klein *et al.*³¹ demonstrated the secondary amide phosphine 2-Ph₂PC₆H₄(NHR) smoothly reacts with Co(CH₃)₂{P(CH₃)₃}₄ to afford a five-coordinate Co^I complex accompanied by CH₄ elimination. When Pt(CH₃)₂(cod) was employed instead, we reasoned the P,N_{imine} group would displace the cod ligand and position the coordinated ligand for methyl protonation/elimination by the NH amide hydrogen (the OH group could function similarly). Satisfyingly, in toluene this reaction proceeds cleanly to give **8a** (and **8b**, **8d**) in high

yields as yellow, air-stable solids (Scheme's 4 & 6) which were fully characterised by NMR, FT-IR, ES-MS and microanalyses. In the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra of **8a**, **8b** and **8d**, clean ³¹P resonances were observed around δ(P) 13 ppm [¹J_{PtP} 3800–3870 Hz]. The ¹H NMR spectra clearly show retention of one methyl group [δ(H) *ca.* 0.00 ppm, ²J_{PtH} *ca.* 73 Hz] and was further corroborated by single crystal X-ray structure determinations of **8a** (Fig. 4), **8b** (Fig. 5) and **8d** (Fig. 6).

Fig. 4 Molecular structure of **8a** showing the intramolecular O–H···O H-bond. The CHCl₃ solvent of crystallisation is removed for clarity.

Fig. 5 Molecular structure of **8b** showing the H-bonded dimer pair formation. The C₇H₈ solvent of crystallisation is removed for clarity.

The complexes **8a**, **8b** and **8d** display an essentially square-planar environment around the platinum centre with bond angles in the range 80.31(13)–95.45(9)° (Table 2). The Pt–N(1) and Pt–N(2) bond lengths vary slightly whilst the Pt–P and Pt–C bond distances are similar.^{14a} Furthermore, trigonal planar geometries around N(1) and N(2) can be inferred from bond angles (*ca.* ∑ 360°) at both nitrogen centres in accord with amide functional groups. In **8a**, the Pt(1)–N(1)–C(7)–C(6)–C(1) ring is essentially flat (within ±0.09 Å) with P(1) lying 0.39 Å out of this plane whereas in **8b** the N(1)–C(7)–C(6)–C(1)–P(1) ring is essentially flat (within ±0.07 Å) with Pt(1) lying 0.37 Å out of this plane. For the five-membered ring in **8a**, N(1) lies 0.38 Å out of the plane with respect to Pt(1)–N(2)–C(9)–C(8) which is essentially flat (within ±0.004 Å) and this feature remains for **8b**. For **8d**, the N(2)–Pt(1)–N(1)–C(8) is co-planar (within

$\pm 0.006 \text{ \AA}$) with C(10) out of this plane by $0.68 \text{ \AA}$ resulting in an envelope conformation. The two compounds **8a** and **8b**, differing only by an extra methylene group, give rise to disparate intramolecular and intermolecular O $\cdots$ H–O H-bonding motifs. In **8a** and **8d** there is an intramolecular O(1) $\cdots$ H(2)–O(2) H-bond [O(1) $\cdots$ O(2) $2.543(5) \text{ \AA}$, O(1) $\cdots$ H(2)–O(2) 151° for **8a**; O(1) $\cdots$ O(2) $2.509(5) \text{ \AA}$, O(1) $\cdots$ H(2)–O(2) $160(6)^\circ$ for **8d**]. For **8b**, dimer pairs are formed through intermolecular O $\cdots$ H–O H-bonding [O(1A) $\cdots$ O(2) $2.758(5) \text{ \AA}$, O(1A) $\cdots$ H(2)–O(2) 127° ; symmetry operator A = $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$] generating an R $_2^2(16)$ ring motif. The effect of amide deprotonation and complexation has clearly reduced the potential for any further H-bonding.

Fig. 6 Molecular structure of **8d**. The C $_7$ H $_8$ solvent is removed for clarity.

Two further studies were undertaken regarding the formation of **8a** and **8b** from Pt(CH $_3$) $_2$ (cod). Firstly, in solution by $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy, could we possibly observe the intermediate formation of Pt(CH $_3$) $_2$ (**3a·HH**) **8a** and, secondly, could a second methane elimination be thermally induced? *In situ* monitoring of a 1:1 mixture of Pt(CH $_3$) $_2$ (cod)/**3a·HH** in toluene/C $_6$ D $_6$ revealed the immediate formation of two new species at $\delta(\text{P})$ 24.8 ppm [$^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 1905 Hz] and $\delta(\text{P})$ 25.2 ppm [$^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ 1831 Hz]. For both species, the magnitude of $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ was indicative of κ^2 -P,N-chelation with *trans* coordinated methyl ligands. We believe restricted rotation about the amide bond leads to these two species which are *E/Z*-conformational isomers.^{14a} Over *ca.* 2 h the intensity of these phosphorus signals diminishes as a new signal at $\delta(\text{P})$ appears corresponding to the formation of **8a** in solution. When **8b** was refluxed in toluene under N $_2$ for 68 h only the starting compound was isolated, clearly indicating that a second methane protonation by the benzylalcohol group is extremely slow. Likewise, **8a** in refluxing toluene for 24 h showed no evidence, by $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR spectroscopy, of *O*-coordination despite the more acidic phenolic substituent.

Alternatively, κ^3 -PNN'-tridentate ligation could be induced by reacting **6a–6c**, **7a** or **7c** with $^t\text{BuOK}$ in CH $_3$ OH at room temperature (Scheme 6). These clean transformations afforded the compounds **9a–9c**, **10a** and **10c** in good to excellent isolated yields (51–96%). Very few examples of

tridentate^{28,32}, and fewer still of didentate³³, ligands have been shown to stabilise soft metal centres towards η^1 -allyl coordination. Upon complexation, there was a small change in $\delta(\text{P})$ of *ca.* 5–10 ppm for **9a–9c**. However the most significant insight was provided by new, sharp ^1H resonances for all five protons on the η^1 -allyl ligand, clearly supporting monohapto coordination. The solution stability of **10a** towards internal protonation by the OH group was also investigated since Pd compounds are often more reactive than their Pt counterparts. $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR monitoring of a CD $_2$ Cl $_2$ solution of **10a** over several days at r.t. afforded a mixture of phosphorus containing compounds including **11b** (*vide infra*).

Scheme 7

Having established three distinct binding modes for these ligands, we were interested to see whether they could function as κ^4 -tetradentate ligands using all four donor atoms (P, N/N' and O). Complexed ligands with either an *ortho* –OH or –CH $_2$ OH group would be anticipated to use the *O*-donor in bonding to a single metal centre with concomitant formation of a five- or six-membered chelate ring. Accordingly reaction of **5a**, **5b**, bearing the more acidic phenolic group, in CH $_3$ OH with $^t\text{BuOK}$ gave the neutral compounds **11a** and **11b**. The nickel complex **11c** was obtained directly from NiCl $_2$ ·6H $_2$ O, **3a·HH** and $^t\text{BuOK}$ in CH $_3$ OH (Scheme 7). Compounds **11d–11f** were prepared cleanly using an identical approach to those described for **11a–11c** (Scheme 8). Upon *N,O*-chelation, there is a marked reduction in $^1J_{\text{PtP}}$ for **11a** (3371 Hz) and **11d** (3378 Hz) in comparison to the κ^2 -PNN'-tridentate compounds **8a** (3865 Hz) and **8d** (3834 Hz). Sharp ^{31}P resonances at $\delta(\text{P})$ 19.7 ppm (for **11c**) and 22.7 ppm (for **11f**) are indicative of square-planar, diamagnetic species. Alternatively **11b** could be prepared, in one-step, by reaction of Pd(OAc) $_2$ and **3a·HH** in CH $_2$ Cl $_2$.

Scheme 8

Fig. 7 Molecular structure of (a) **11c** (compounds **11a** and **11b** isomorphous) (b) side-on view showing the ring conformations in **11c**.

Fig. 8 Molecular structure of **11d**. The CHCl_3 solvent is removed for clarity.

The X-ray structures of the Pt, Pd and Ni complexes **11a**–**11c** and **11d** have each been determined (Fig's 7, 8 and ESI; Table 2). In compounds **11a**–**11c** tetradentate $\kappa^4\text{-PNN'O}$ -complexation has clearly resulted with formation of one six- (M–P–C–C–C–N) and two five-membered (M–N–C–C–N and M–N–C–C–O) chelate rings. As an illustration, the three ring conformations in **11c** are described as follows: the Ni(1)–N(1)–C(7)–C(6)–C(1) part of the ring is essentially flat (within ± 0.05 Å) with P(1) lying 0.30 Å out of this plane, whereas both five-membered rings are effectively planar [within ± 0.04 Å for Ni(1)–N(2)–C(10)–C(15)–O(2) and ± 0.07 Å for Ni(1)–N(1)–C(8)–C(9)–N(2)]. Within this series the M–P, M–N and M–O bond lengths follow the expected trend on going from Pt > Pd > Ni. In **11a** the Pt(1)–N(1) [1.977(3) Å] and Pt(1)–N(2) [2.000(3) Å] distances are similar, the later compares favourably with previous Pt–N_{amide} bond lengths [2.035(6) Å and 2.067(5) Å].¹³ⁱ The Pd–O bond lengths for the two independent molecules in **11b** [2.001(5) Å and 1.997(5) Å] are similar to those in Pd(OPh)₂(bpy) [1.996(7) Å]³⁴ but significantly shorter than found in Pd{ $\kappa^2\text{-P,N-2-Ph}_2\text{PC}_6\text{H}_4(\text{CH}_2\text{NMe}_2)\}$ Cl(OPh) (P *trans* to O, 2.088(5) Å vs. P(1) *trans* to N(1) in **11b**).³⁵ Crystallographically characterised examples with this combination of donor atoms around the central Ni^{II}, Pd^{II} or Pt^{II} are extremely sparse.^{15,36–38} As far as we are aware only one Ni^{II} complex containing a $\kappa^4\text{-PNN'O}$ tetradentate ligand has been crystallographically reported¹⁵ and shows similar Ni–P and Ni–O distances to those found in **11c** [2.1553(5) and 1.8405(12) Å respectively]. For **11a**, there is an O–H...O intermolecular H-bond [O(2)...O(3) 2.775(4) Å, O(2)...H(3)–O(3) 174°] to a CH_3OH solvate molecule.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an efficient, simple, procedure to four new PNN'O-functionalised tertiary phosphines and shown these ligands can adopt a range of coordination modes, including tetradentate ligation, at different transition-metal centres with either linear or square-planar geometries. Further studies are in progress and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

We should like to thank the EPSRC for funding, Johnson Matthey for the generous loan of precious metal salts and the EPSRC Mass Spectrometry Service Centre at Swansea. We also wish to acknowledge the use of the EPSRC's Chemical Database Service at Daresbury.

References

- J. H. Downing and M. B. Smith, *Phosphorus Ligands in Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II*, 2004, vol. 1 (vol. Editor, A. B. P. Lever), 253.
- (a) P. Espinet and K. Soulantica, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **193**–**195**, 499; (b) D. Hedden and D. M. Roundhill, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1985, **24**, 4152.
- (a) V. V. Grushin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1629; (b) S. Burger, B. Therrien and G. Süss-Fink, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2004, **357**, 1213; (c)

- G. Sánchez, J. García, D. Meseguer, J. L. Serrano, L. García, J. Pérez and G. López, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 4709.
4. N. C. Gianneschi, M. S. Masar III and C. A. Mirkin, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 825.
 5. For recent examples of P,N -coordination, see: (a) M. O'Reilly, R. Pattacini and P. Braunstein, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 6092; (b) G. Erdogan and D. B. Grotjahn, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 10354; (c) J. Flapper, H. Kooijman, M. Lutz, A. L. Spek, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, C. J. Elsevier and P. C. J. Kamer, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 1180; (d) L. J. Hounjet, M. Bierenstiel, M. J. Ferguson, R. McDonald and M. Cowie, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 4213.
 6. (a) O. del Campo, A. Carbayo, J. V. Cuevas, A. Muñoz, G. García-Herbosa, D. Moreno, E. Ballesteros, S. Basurto, T. Gómez and T. Torroba, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 4576; (b) O. M. Ni Dhubhghaill, J. Lennon and M. G. B. Drew, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 3213; (c) W.-Q. Hu, X.-L. Sun, C. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Tang, L.-P. Shi, W. Xia, J. Sun, H.-L. Dai, X.-Q. Li, X.-L. Yao and X.-R. Wang, *Organometallics*, 2004, **23**, 1684; (d) H. Dai, X. Hu, H. Chen, C. Bai and Z. Zheng, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2003, **14**, 1467; (e) V. Cadierno, P. Crochet, J. Díez, J. García-Álvarez, S. E. García-Garrido and J. Gimeno, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 3293; (f) P.-Y. Shi, Y.-H. Liu, S.-M. Peng and S.-T. Liu, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 3203; (g) J. D. G. Correia, A. Domingos, I. Santos and H. Spies, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2001, 2245; (h) P. Bhattacharyya, M. L. Loza, J. Parr and A. M. Z. Slawin, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1999, 2917; (i) P. Bhattacharyya, J. Parr and A. M. Z. Slawin, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 3609; (j) E. K. van den Beuken, N. Veldman, W. J. J. Smeets, A. L. Spek and B. L. Feringa, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 636; (k) G. J. P. Britovsek, K. J. Cavell, M. J. Green, F. Gerhards, B. W. Skelton and A. H. White, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1997, **533**, 201.
 7. K. S. Min, T. Weyhermüller, E. Bothe and K. Wieghardt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 2922.
 8. (a) J. Le Gal, F. Tisato, G. Bandoli, M. Gressier, J. Jaud, S. Michaud, M. Dartiguenave and E. Benoist, *Dalton Trans.*, 2005, 3800; (b) R. Visentin, R. Rossin, M. C. Giron, A. Dolmella, G. Bandoli and U. Mazzi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 950; (c) K. J. C. van Bommel, W. Verboom, R. Hulst, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek and D. N. Reinhoudt, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 1976; (d) S. S. Jurisson and J. D. Lydon, *Chem. Rev.*, 1999, **99**, 2205.
 9. J. Szydlowska, A. Króweżyński, E. Górecka and D. Pocięcha, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4879.
 10. C.-M. Che, S.-C. Chan, H.-F. Xiang, M. C. W. Chan, Y. Liu and Y. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 1484.
 11. For examples, see: (a) M. Ranocchiari and A. Mezzetti, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 1286; (b) M. Ranocchiari and A. Mezzetti, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 3611; (c) A. Cohen, A. Yeori, J. Kopilov, I. Goldberg and M. Kol, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 2419; (d) M. Zintl, F. Molnar, T. Urban, V. Bernhart, P. Preishuber-Pflügl and B. Rieger, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3458; (e) R. J. Long, D. J. Jones, V. C. Gibson and A. J. P. White, *Organometallics*, 2008, **27**, 5960; (f) G. J. Clarkson, V. C. Gibson, P. K. Y. Goh, M. L. Hammond, P. D. Knight, P. Scott, T. M. Smit, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, *Dalton Trans.*, 2006, 5484; (g) N. T. S. Phan, D. H. Brown, H. Adams, S. E. Spey and P. Styling, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, 1348; (h) W.-K. Wong, X.-P. Chen, T.-W. Chik, W.-Y. Wong, J.-P. Guo and F.-W. Lee, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 3539; (i) H. Zhang, C.-B. Yang, Y.-Y. Li, Z.-R. Donga, J.-X. Gao, H. Nakamura, K. Murata and T. Ikariya, *Chem. Commun.*, 2003, 142; (j) Y. K. Kim, T. Livinghouse and Y. Horino, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 9560; (k) C. M. Thomas, R. Mafua, B. Therrien, E. Rusanov, H. Stoeckli-Evans and G. Süß-Fink, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 3343; (l) N. End, L. Macko, M. Zehnder and A. Pfaltz, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 1998, **4**, 818.
 12. For selected examples of N_2O_2 -coordination, see: (a) D. Peri, S. Meker, M. Shavit and E. Y. Tshuva, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 2403; (b) P. Horeglad, G. Nocton, Y. Filinchuk, J. Pécaut and M. Mazzanti, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 1843; (c) A. W. Kleij, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 4635; (d) L. Benisvy, R. Kannappan, Y.-F. Song, S. Milikisyan, M. Huber, I. Mutikainen, U. Turpeinen, P. Gamez, L. Bernasconi, E. J. Baerends, F. Hartl and J. Reedijk, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 637; (e) Y. Shimazaki, T. Yajima, F. Tani, S. Karasawa, K. Fukui, Y. Naruta and O. Yamauchi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 2559.
 13. For selected examples of P_2N_2 -coordination, see: (a) A. Buchard, H. Heuclin, A. Auffrant, X. F. Le Goff and P. Le Floch, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 1659; (b) N. Tsukada, M. Wada, N. Takahashi and Y. Inoue, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2009, **694**, 1333; (c) W. A. Chomitz and J. Arnold, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 1714; (d) W. A. Chomitz, A. D. Sutton, J. L. Krinsky and J. Arnold, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 3338; (e) M. R. J. Elsegood, A. J. Lake and M. B. Smith, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 30; (f) C. Sui-Seng, F. N. Haque, A. Hadzovic, A.-M. Pütz, V. Reuss, N. Meyer, A. J. Lough, M. Zimmer-De Iuliis and R. H. Morris, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 735; (g) A. A. Mikhailine, E. Kim, C. Dingels, A. J. Lough and R. H. Morris, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 6587; (h) C. Amatore, A. Jutand, L. Mensah and L. Ricard, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2007, **692**, 1457; (i) S. Burger, B. Therrien and G. Süß-Fink, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 3099; (j) J.-C. Hierso, R. Amardeil, E. Bentabet, R. Broussier, B. Gautheron, P. Meunier and P. Kalck, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **236**, 143.
 14. (a) S. E. Durran, M. R. J. Elsegood, S. R. Hammond and M. B. Smith, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 2755; (b) C. Dubs, T. Yamamoto, A. Inagaki and M. Akita, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 1359; (c) C. Dubs, T. Yamamoto, A. Inagaki and M. Akita, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 1344; (d) S. E. Watkins, D. C. Craig and S. B. Colbran, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 2423; (e) S. E. Watkins, D. C. Craig and S. B. Colbran, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **307**, 134.
 15. G. A. Bogdanović, A. Spasojević-de Biré, B. V. Prelesnik and V. M. Leovac, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 1998, **54**, 766.
 16. A. Barandov and U. Abram, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 8072.
 17. M. R. Bermejo, A. M. González, M. Fondo, A. García-Deibe, M. Maneiro, J. Sanmartín, O. L. Hoyos and M. Watkinson, *New J. Chem.*, 2000, **24**, 235.
 18. J.-P. Costes, F. Dahan, B. Donnadieu, M.-J. Rodriguez Douton, M.-I. Fernandez Garcia, A. Bousseksou and J.-P. Tuchagues, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 2736.
 19. J. E. Hoots, T. B. Rauchfuss and D. M. Roundhill, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1985, **21**, 175.
 20. R. Uson, A. Laguna and M. Laguna, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1989, **26**, 85.
 21. (a) D. Drew and J. R. Doyle, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1972, **13**, 47; (b) J. X. McDermott, J. F. White, J. F. and G. M. Whitesides, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 6521.
 22. R. E. Rülke, J. M. Ernstring, A. L. Spek, C. J. Elsevier, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen and K. Vrieze, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 5769.
 23. E. Costa, P. G. Pringle and M. Ravetz, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1997, **31**, 284.
 24. SMART and SAINT software for CCD diffractometers, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, 1994.
 25. (a) G. M. Sheldrick, *SHELXTL* user manual, version 5, Bruker ACS Inc., Madison, WI, 1994; (b) G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 2008, **64**, 112.
 26. A. L. Spek, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A*, 1990, **46**, C34.
 27. (a) M. R. Bermejo, A. M. González, M. Fondo, M. Maneiro, M. Rey, M. Vázquez, O. L. Hoyos and J. C. García-Montegudo, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2000, **307**, 106; (b) O. L. Hoyos, M. R. Bermejo, M. Fondo, A. García-Deibe, A. M. González, M. Maneiro and R. Pedrido, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2000, 3122.
 28. C. Yang, Y. K. Cheung, J. Yao, Y. T. Wong and G. Jia, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 424.
 29. For examples, see: (a) A. R. Shaffer and J. A. R. Schmidt, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 2494; (b) L. B. Schenkel and J. A. Ellman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 1800; (c) B. Crociani, S. Antonaroli, L. Canovese, P. Uguagliati and F. Visentin, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 732; (d) H. Mizutani, S. J. Degrado and A. H. Hoveyda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 779; (e) S. E. Durran, M. R. J. Elsegood and M. B. Smith, *New J. Chem.*, 2002, **26**, 1402; (f) P. Crochet, J. Gimeno, S. García-Granda and J. Borge, *Organometallics*, 2001, **20**, 4369; (g) B. Crociani, S. Antonaroli, M. L. Di Vona and S. Licoccia, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2001, **631**, 117; (h) T. Kohara, Y. Hashimoto and K. Saigo, *Synlett*, 2000, 517; (i) B. Crociani, S. Antonaroli, G. Bandoli, L. Canovese, F. Visentin and P. Uguagliati, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 1137.
 30. (a) E. K. van den Beuken, W. J. J. Smeets, A. L. Spek and B. L. Feringa, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 223; (b) K. R. Reddy, C. L. Chen, Y. H. Liu, S. M. Peng, J. T. Chen and S. T. Liu, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2574.

31. H.-F. Klein, R. Beck, U. Flörke and H.-J. Haupt, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 240. 60
32. (a) B. Wucher, M. Moser, S. A. Schumacher, F. Rominger and D. Kunz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4417; (b) J. Takaya and N. Iwasawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 15254; (c) O. Piechaczyk, T. Cantat, N. Mézailles and P. Le Floch, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 4228; (d) N. Solin, J. Kjellgren and K. J. Szabó, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 7026; (e) L. Barloy, S. Ramdeehul, J. A. Osborn, C. Carlotti, F. Taulelle, A. De Cain and J. Fischer, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, 2523. 65
33. (a) J. Zhang, P. Braunstein and R. Welter, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 4172; (b) P. Braunstein, J. Zhang and R. Welter, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 507. 10
34. G. M. Kapteijn, D. M. Grove, H. Kooijman, W. J. J. Smeets, A. L. Spek and G. van Koten, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 526. 15 70
35. G. M. Kapteijn, M. P. R. Spee, D. M. Grove, H. Kooijman, A. L. Spek and G. van Koten, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 1405.
36. The United Kingdom Chemical Database Service, D. A. Fletcher, R. F. McMeeking and D. Parkin, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.*, 1996, **36**, 746. CSD version 5.31, Nov. 2009. 20 75
37. T. Miyaji, M. Kujime, S. Hikichi, Y. Moro-oka and M. Akita, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 5286.
38. G. Bombieri, G. Bruno, M. Cusumano and G. Guglielmo, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 1984, **40**, 409. 25 80
- 30 85
- 35 90
- 40 95
- 45 100
- 50 105
- 55 110

Table 1 Details of the X-ray data collections and refinements for compounds **3a**·HH·EtOH, **3c**·HH·0.5CHCl₃, **4a**, **7c**·CH₂Cl₂, **8a**·CHCl₃, **8b**·1.5C₇H₈, **8d**·2C₇H₈, **11a**·CH₃OH, **11b**·0.5CHCl₃·0.5Et₂O, **11c** and **11d**·CHCl₃.

Compound	3a ·HH·EtOH	3c ·HH·0.5CHCl ₃	4a	7c ·CH ₂ Cl ₂	8a ·CHCl ₃	8b ·1.5C ₇ H ₈
Formula	C ₂₉ H ₂₉ N ₂ O ₃ P	C _{27.5} H _{23.5} Cl _{1.5} N ₂ O ₂ P	C ₂₇ H ₂₃ AuClN ₂ O ₂ P	C ₂₉ H ₂₈ Cl ₃ N ₂ O ₂ PPd	C ₂₉ H ₂₆ Cl ₃ N ₂ O ₂ PPt	C _{39.5} H ₃₉ N ₂ O ₂ PPt
<i>M</i>	484.51	498.13	670.86	680.25	766.93	799.79
Crystal dimensions	0.79 x 0.16 x 0.06	0.61 x 0.26 x 0.10	0.24 x 0.19 x 0.15	0.31 x 0.20 x 0.18	0.65 x 0.15 x 0.09	0.24 x 0.19 x 0.06
Colour, habit	Lath, colourless	Lath, colourless	Block, colourless	Block, colourless	Rod, yellow	Block, yellow
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	<i>P</i> ₂ / <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> ₂ / <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> ₂ ₁ ² ₁	<i>P</i> ₂ / <i>c</i>	<i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	<i>P</i> ₂ / <i>c</i>
<i>a</i> /Å	11.0184(8)	18.7587(11)	7.3440(6)	13.6159(6)	9.1632(14)	11.8821(10)
<i>b</i> /Å	18.5035(13)	8.3781(5)	16.0841(13)	13.2505(6)	11.944(2)	14.1839(12)
<i>c</i> /Å	12.7514(9)	17.3155(10)	21.1068(17)	16.1783(7)	14.872(2)	21.8916(18)
α /°					99.169(3)	
β /°	95.4000(13)	114.6476(9)		95.7566(8)	103.818(2)	103.7463(13)
γ /°					112.534(2)	
<i>V</i> /Å ³	2588.2(3)	2473.4(3)	2493.2(3)	2904.1(2)	1401.7(4)	3583.8(5)
<i>Z</i>	4	4	4	4	2	4
μ /mm ⁻¹	0.139	0.301	6.099	1.000	5.379	3.995
θ range/°	1.86–27.50	2.36–27.50	1.59–28.97	1.99–29.00	1.92–28.92	1.73–28.87
Measured reflections	22131	20748	21675	25451	12247	30189
Independent reflections	5901	5646	5974	7080	6407	8575
Observed reflections (<i>F</i> ² > 2 σ)	4303	4273	5330	5579	5961	6516
Goodness of fit on <i>F</i> ²	1.019	1.040	1.050	1.060	1.082	0.951
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0272	0.0270	0.0382	0.0301	0.0251	0.0490
<i>R</i> [<i>F</i> ² > 2 σ (<i>F</i> ²)] ^a	0.0442	0.0428	0.0269	0.0320	0.0328	0.0374
<i>wR</i> 2 [all data] ^b	0.1176	0.1175	0.0572	0.0707	0.0872	0.0904
Largest difference map features/eÅ ³	0.611, –0.474	0.636, –0.443	1.177, –0.967	0.679, –0.879	2.698, –2.572	1.949, –2.115

Table 1 Contd

Compound	8d ·2C ₇ H ₈	11a ·CH ₃ OH	11b ·0.5CHCl ₃ ·0.5Et ₂ O	11c	11d ·CHCl ₃
Formula	C ₄₄ H ₄₅ N ₂ O ₂ PPt	C ₂₈ H ₂₅ N ₂ O ₃ PPt	C _{29.5} H _{26.5} Cl _{1.5} N ₂ O _{2.5} PPd	C ₂₇ H ₂₁ N ₂ NiO ₂ P	C ₃₀ H ₂₆ Cl ₃ N ₂ O ₂ PPt
<i>M</i>	859.88	663.56	639.57	495.14	778.94
Crystal dimensions	0.33 x 0.32 x 0.14	0.51 x 0.26 x 0.03	0.16 x 0.08 x 0.05	0.32 x 0.13 x 0.11	0.53 x 0.13 x 0.10
Colour, habit	Block, yellow	Plate, orange	Block, orange	Block, red	Plate, yellow
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	<i>P</i> ₂ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	<i>P</i> ₂ / <i>n</i>	<i>P</i> $\bar{1}$	<i>Pna</i> 2 ₁
<i>a</i> /Å	14.8590(8)	8.5258(4)	14.2900(9)	8.9313(4)	18.5242(8)
<i>b</i> /Å	15.6070(8)	9.3993(4)	24.1029(16)	11.5187(6)	17.4935(8)
<i>c</i> /Å	16.2867(9)	15.6457(7)	17.0697(11)	11.5474(6)	9.2080(4)
α /°		91.4448(7)		73.327(2)	
β /°	95.8849(9)	100.6844(7)	110.6250(13)	84.831(2)	
γ /°		108.3565(7)		70.061(2)	
<i>V</i> /Å ³	3757.1(3)	1164.66(9)	5502.5(6)	1069.76(9)	2983.9(2)
<i>Z</i>	4	2	8	2	4
μ /mm ⁻¹	3.817	6.128	0.911	1.011	5.055
θ range/°	1.77–29.05	2.29–28.96	1.60–25.00	1.84–29.10	1.60–27.49
Measured reflections	29318	10164	39875	9613	25028
Independent reflections	9048	5347	9684	4986	6745
Observed reflections (<i>F</i> ² > 2 σ)	6720	5037	5908	4309	5392
Goodness of fit on <i>F</i> ²	1.061	1.044	0.940	1.046	1.070
<i>R</i> _{int}	0.0378	0.0268	0.0860	0.0132	0.0350
<i>R</i> [<i>F</i> ² > 2 σ (<i>F</i> ²)] ^a	0.0322	0.0230	0.0626	0.0291	0.0324
<i>wR</i> 2 [all data] ^b	0.0653	0.0582	0.1643	0.0776	0.0752
Largest difference map features/eÅ ³	1.051, –1.060	1.358, –1.814	0.969, –1.281	0.368, –0.260	1.956, –1.482

^a *R* = $\sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|$. ^b *wR*2 = $[\sum (w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2) / \sum (w(F_o^2)^2)]^{1/2}$.

Table 2 Selected bond distances and angles for compounds **3a**·HH·EtOH, **3c**·HH·0.5CHCl₃, **4a**, **7c**·CH₂Cl₂, **8a**·CHCl₃, **8b**·1.5C₇H₈, **8d**·2C₇H₈, **11a**·CH₃OH, **11b**·0.5CHCl₃·0.5Et₂O, **11c** and **11d**·CHCl₃.

Bond length (Å)	3a ·HH·EtOH	3c ·HH·0.5CHCl ₃	4a (M = Au)	7c ·CH ₂ Cl ₂ (M = Pd)	8a ·CHCl ₃ (M = Pt)	8b ·1.5C ₇ H ₈ (M = Pt)	8d ·2C ₇ H ₈ (M = Pt)
M(1)–P(1)			2.2283(10)	2.1983(6)	2.1795(12)	2.1842(10)	2.1889(10)
M(1)–Cl(1)			2.2714(12)	2.4062(6)			
M(1)–C _{methyl}				2.031(3)	2.044(5)	2.052(4)	2.061(4)
M(1)–N(1)				2.1899(19)	2.050(4)	2.061(3)	2.077(3)
M(1)–N(2)					2.090(4)	2.075(3)	2.070(3)
M(1)–O(1)							
C(7)–N(1)	1.254(2)	1.266(2)	1.249(5)	1.281(3)	1.279(6)	1.274(5)	1.275(5)
C(9)–O(1)	1.229(2)	1.234(2)	1.220(5)	1.236(3)	1.257(6)	1.260(5)	
C(11)–O(1)							1.275(4)
Bond angle (°)							
Cl(1)–M(1)–P(1)			179.61(6)	177.18(2)			
Cl(1)–M(1)–N(1)				93.33(5)			
Cl(1)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				87.53(8)			
P(1)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				91.15(8)	89.05(14)	90.54(14)	89.38(11)
N(1)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				174.39(11)	174.77(17)	173.98(16)	172.59(14)
N(2)–M(1)–C _{methyl}					95.44(17)	92.97(17)	94.90(14)
N(1)–M(1)–P(1)				88.23(5)	95.26(11)	95.45(9)	95.27(9)
N(2)–M(1)–P(1)					173.78(11)	176.45(10)	175.43(9)
N(1)–M(1)–N(2)					80.48(14)	81.04(13)	80.31(13)
O(2)–M(1)–P(1)							
O(2)–M(1)–N(1)							
O(2)–M(1)–N(2)							

Table 2 Contd

Bond length (Å)	11a ·CH ₃ OH (M = Pt)	11b ·0.5CHCl ₃ ·0.5Et ₂ O (M = Pd) ^a	11c (M = Ni)	11d ·CHCl ₃ (M = Pt)
M(1)–P(1)	2.2368(8)	2.2494(18) [2.2403(19)]	2.1553(5)	2.2187(16)
M(1)–Cl(1)				
M(1)–C _{methyl}				
M(1)–N(1)	1.977(3)	1.982(6) [1.968(6)]	1.8659(14)	1.973(5)
M(1)–N(2)	2.000(3)	1.957(5) [1.960(6)]	1.8528(14)	2.006(5)
M(1)–O(1)	2.008(2)	2.001(5) [1.997(5)]	1.8405(12)	1.974(5)
C(7)–N(1)	1.291(4)	1.289(8) [1.287(9)]	1.285(2)	1.287(8)
C(9)–O(1)	1.227(4)	1.246(7) [1.226(8)]	1.235(2)	
C(11)–O(1)				1.262(7)
Bond angle (°)				
Cl(1)–M(1)–P(1)				
Cl(1)–M(1)–N(1)				
Cl(1)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				
P(1)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				
N(1)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				
N(2)–M(1)–C _{methyl}				
N(1)–M(1)–P(1)	95.34(8)	94.50(16) [96.07(18)]	96.70(5)	93.75(16)
N(2)–M(1)–P(1)	178.58(7)	174.70(16) [178.85(17)]	176.78(5)	177.18(16)
N(1)–M(1)–N(2)	83.36(11)	83.8(2) [83.7(2)]	86.20(6)	83.4(2)
O(2)–M(1)–P(1)	98.56(6)	98.18(13) [96.97(14)]	90.24(4)	89.19(14)
O(2)–M(1)–N(1)	166.11(10)	167.2(2) [166.7(2)]	172.19(6)	176.9(2)
O(2)–M(1)–N(2)	82.75(9)	83.7(2) [83.2(2)]	86.95(6)	93.6(2)

^a Two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit. Equivalent parameters for the second molecule are given in parentheses.