This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Interventions for attentional disruption in pain: cognition-general, mechanism-specific, or exercise-based?

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

<https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000001176>

PUBLISHER

Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins © International Association for the Study of Pain

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This work is made available according to the conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) licence. Full details of this licence are available at:
<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Attridge, Nina, and Nils G. Niederstrasser. 2019. "Interventions for Attentional Disruption in Pain: Cognition-general, Mechanism-specific, or Exercise-based?". figshare. <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/34251>.

Interventions for attentional disruption in pain:
cognition-general, mechanism-specific or exercise-based?

Nina Attridge and Nils Niederstrasser

Loughborough University

Keywords: cognition; attention; disruption; interventions; cognitive training

In the current issue of PAIN, Baker et al. [6] report that a computerised cognitive training programme was able to improve chronic pain patients' performance on a neurocognitive assessment composite. Decades' worth of research has supported the hypothesis that pain disrupts attention [3-5; 9-14; 17; 20; 21; 28], with the ultimate goal being to reverse this effect in people with pain. As far as we are aware, this is the first study that attempts to do so.

Improving attention in people with chronic pain is a very worthwhile goal. Patients often report that pain makes it difficult to think clearly [1; 2; 7; 14; 16], and disruption to attention has the potential to impact on many, if not all, areas of life, such as work, study, socialising and mood, to name a few. We are not always able to reduce patients' pain, so many people must learn to live with their pain long-term. By improving their cognitive function, we may be able to improve quality of life for people living with chronic pain.

How should we go about developing interventions to improve attention in people with pain? One way is to use commercially available computerised cognitive training

programmes, as done by Baker et al [6]. Such intervention programmes are usually targeted at older adults to prevent cognitive decline, and operate under the premise that practice on tasks that load heavily on core cognitive domains leads to improvements on other everyday tasks that rely on these domains. This concept is often compared to how exercise improves physical fitness.

Definitive statements pertaining to the efficacy of computerised cognitive training are contentious and beyond the scope of this commentary. Generally, computerised cognitive training is believed to be effective in improving function on the practised cognitive domains, but efficacy varies by domain and improvements seldom transfer far beyond the practiced domain or task [18; 23; 24]. The efficacy for improving attention in particular has been contested [18] and it remains to be determined whether the effects that have been reported represent improvements in attentional capacity, the acquisition of a new strategy, or increased motivation. This has implications for applying computerised cognitive training to improving chronic pain patients' attention: acquired strategies are highly context- or task-dependent and unlikely to transfer to novel contexts.

Aside from disputes pertaining to its efficacy, the approach of using computerised cognitive training in chronic pain patients has the drawback that interventions tend to be very broad, i.e. training a wide range of cognitive domains at the same time. This may produce small positive effects, but the training will be time-consuming for users and difficult for them to sustain over time. This may be an appropriate approach for programmes that aim to improve or maintain cognitive function in general, as is the case in computerised cognitive

training. But when the aim is to reverse an effect caused by a specific trigger, such as pain, many aspects of a catch-all intervention could be unnecessary.

Another approach to developing interventions for people with pain, and the one we wish to advocate, would be to target the specific mechanisms of the effect of pain on attention. For example, if pain and ongoing tasks are processed simultaneously (i.e. if pain acts as a working memory load), then perhaps interventions should specifically target working memory capacity. Alternatively, if attention is disrupted because our focus shifts frequently from our ongoing tasks to our pain, then perhaps interventions should target attentional control and inhibitory control. These are just two examples of possible mechanisms in the cognitive domain: the mechanisms may instead be metacognitive, motivational [27], or otherwise.

Unfortunately, we do not yet know enough about the mechanisms of the disruptive effect of pain on attention, and what the individual differences may be, to develop such targeted interventions. But if we could develop targeted interventions, the demand on patients' time could be substantially reduced or spent more effectively, which could reduce the risk of attrition over the course of programmes. If time demands are minimised and patients are provided with strong theoretical reasons why an intervention will work, alongside evidence that it does, they may be more motivated to stick with it for long enough to see results.

If the improvement or maintenance of cognitive function in general is indeed the goal, rather than targeting a specific mechanism, a third approach would be to increase exercise

within the constraints of the patient's condition. Not only does exercise improve cognitive function, it can also help prevent chronic diseases and improve mood [8; 15; 19; 25].

The specific nature of interventions aside, we can only develop effective interventions if the research they are based on and the studies testing their effectiveness are conducted in a rigorous manner. Pre-registration of study protocols, methods, hypotheses, measures and analyses is vital to ensure transparency and reproducibility of research. Furthermore, it aids in the fight against publication bias and p-hacking. Sample size calculations, active control groups, delayed post-tests and replication studies will also allow us to place trust in research findings. [22; 26]

Baker et al. [6] have shown that there is potential for improving cognition in people with chronic pain, which gives hope that as we develop and refine interventions, we will be able to substantially improve the everyday lives of patients. However, in our view, we need to fully understand the mechanisms of the effect of pain on attention before we can develop interventions that will be as effective, time-effective, and cost-effective as we would like.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

References

- [1] Arnold LM, Crofford LJ, Mease PJ, Burgess SM, Palmer SC, Abetz L, Martin SA. Patient perspectives on the impact of fibromyalgia. *Patient Education and Counseling* 2008;73(1):114-120.
- [2] Attridge N, Crombez G, Van Ryckeghem D, Keogh E, Eccleston C. The Experience of Cognitive Intrusion of Pain: scale development and validation. *PAIN* 2015;156(10):1978-1990.
- [3] Attridge N, Eccleston C, Noonan D, Wainwright E, Keogh E. Headache impairs attentional performance: a conceptual replication and extension. *The Journal of Pain* 2017;18:29-41.
- [4] Attridge N, Keogh E, Eccleston C. The effect of pain on task switching: pain reduces accuracy and increases reaction times across multiple switching paradigms. *PAIN* 2016.
- [5] Attridge N, Noonan D, Eccleston C, Keogh E. The disruptive effects of pain on n-back task performance in a large general population sample. *PAIN* 2015;156(10):1885-1891.
- [6] Baker KS, Georgiou-Karistianis N, Lampit A, Valenzuela M, Gibson SJ, Giummarra MJ. Computerised Training Improves Cognitive Performance in Chronic Pain: A Participant-Blinded Randomised Active-Controlled Trial with Remote Supervision. *PAIN* 2018.
- [7] Bennett RM, Jones J, Turk DC, Russell IJ, Matallana L. An internet survey of 2,596 people with fibromyalgia. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2007;8(1):27.
- [8] Bherer L, Erickson KI, Liu-Ambrose T. A review of the effects of physical activity and exercise on cognitive and brain functions in older adults. *Journal of Aging Research* 2013;2013.
- [9] Buhle J, Wager TD. Performance-dependent inhibition of pain by an executive working memory task. *PAIN* 2010;149(1):19-26.
- [10] Crombez G, Eccleston C, Baeyens F, Eelen P. The disruptive nature of pain: an experimental investigation. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 1996;34(11):911-918.
- [11] Crombez G, Eccleston C, Baeyens F, Eelen P. Attentional disruption is enhanced by the threat of pain. *Behaviour Research Therapy* 1998;36(2):195-204.
- [12] Crombez G, Eccleston C, Van den Broeck A, Van Houdenhove B, Goubert L. The effects of catastrophic thinking about pain on attentional interference by pain: no mediation of negative affectivity in healthy volunteers and in patients with low back pain. *Pain Research and Management* 2002;7(1):31-39.
- [13] Eccleston C. Chronic pain and distraction: an experimental investigation into the role of sustained and shifting attention in the processing of chronic persistent pain. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 1995;33(4):391-405.
- [14] Glass JM, Park DC. Cognitive dysfunction in fibromyalgia. *Current Rheumatology Reports* 2001;3(2):123-127.
- [15] Gomez-Pinilla F, Hillman C. The influence of exercise on cognitive abilities. *Comprehensive Physiology* 2013.
- [16] Katz RS, Heard AR, Mills M, Leavitt F. The prevalence and clinical impact of reported cognitive difficulties (fibrofog) in patients with rheumatic disease with and without fibromyalgia. *JCR: Journal of Clinical Rheumatology* 2004;10(2):53-58.

- [17] Keogh E, Cavill R, Moore DJ, Eccleston C. The effects of menstrual-related pain on attentional interference. *PAIN* 2014;155(4):821-827.
- [18] Lampit A, Hallock H, Valenzuela M. Computerized cognitive training in cognitively healthy older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of effect modifiers. *PLoS Medicine* 2014;11(11):e1001756.
- [19] Liao Y, Shonkoff ET, Dunton GF. The acute relationships between affect, physical feeling states, and physical activity in daily life: a review of current evidence. *Frontiers in Psychology* 2015;6.
- [20] Moore DJ, Keogh E, Eccleston C. The interruptive effect of pain on attention. *The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 2012;65(3):565-586.
- [21] Moore DJ, Keogh E, Eccleston C. Headache impairs attentional performance. *PAIN* 2013;154(9):1840-1845.
- [22] Munafò MR, Nosek BA, Bishop DV, Button KS, Chambers CD, du Sert NP, Simonsohn U, Wagenmakers E-J, Ware JJ, Ioannidis JP. A manifesto for reproducible science. *Nature Human Behaviour* 2017;1:0021.
- [23] Owen AM, Hampshire A, Grahn JA, Stenton R, Dajani S, Burns AS, Howard RJ, Ballard CG. Putting brain training to the test. *Nature* 2010;465(7299):775-778.
- [24] Simons DJ, Boot WR, Charness N, Gathercole SE, Chabris CF, Hambrick DZ, Stine-Morrow EA. Do “brain-training” programs work? *Psychological Science in the Public Interest* 2016;17(3):103-186.
- [25] Smith PJ, Blumenthal JA, Hoffman BM, Cooper H, Strauman TA, Welsh-Bohmer K, Browndyke JN, Sherwood A. Aerobic exercise and neurocognitive performance: a meta-analytic review of randomized controlled trials. *Psychosomatic medicine* 2010;72(3):239.
- [26] Tackett JL, Lilienfeld SO, Patrick CJ, Johnson SL, Krueger RF, Miller JD, Oltmanns TF, Shrout PE. It’s time to broaden the replicability conversation: Thoughts for and from clinical psychological science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science* 2017;12(5):742-756.
- [27] Van Damme S, Legrain V, Vogt J, Crombez G. Keeping pain in mind: A motivational account of attention to pain. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 2010;34(2):204-213.
- [28] Van Ryckeghem DM, Crombez G, Eccleston C, Liefvooghe B, Van Damme S. The interruptive effect of pain in a multitask environment: an experimental investigation. *The Journal of Pain* 2012;13(2):131-138.