How are *own* views of risk actually formed and used? (i.e. environmental science usage in key insurance decisions)

r		1									
Name:	e-mail:			Phone:							
(when published noor	ne will be able to link thi	s to any particular detail give	n below)								
I have read the participa	ant information, ar	nd consent to the data	l provide	being used	for this reaseard	ch project.					
Signature:											
Your background 8	k expertise										
Years in insurance:											
Worked for/as:	Primary insurer	Reinsurer	Ve	ndor	Consultant	Bro	oker				
(circle all applicable)	Research scientis	st Finanical Inves	stor (e.g.	ILS/ILW/Cat	. bonds)	Regulato	r				
	Other relevant (please specify):										
Job functions/roles:	Catastrophe risk	analyst Catastro	ophe risk	manager		Underwr	iting/pricing				
(circle all applicable)	-	umulation managemen	-	-	ortfolio optimiza						
	Actuary	Capital Modeller		anical Inves		or Managei					
	-	r relevant (please specify):									
Current employ	yment										
Organization type	Primary insurer	Reinsurer	Ve	ndor Co	onsultant	Broker					
	Research scientist Finanical Investor Regulator										
	Other relevant (please specify):										
Job title:											
Job function/role:	Catastrophe risk			manager			iting/pricing				
(circle all applicable)	Accumulation management Portfolio optimization/management										
	Actuary Capital Modeller Finanical Investor Senior Manager										
	Other relevant (please specify):										
Current seniority [rar	nk 1-4]:	1 = Analyst,	2 = Tean	n Leader, 3 =	Senior Manage	er, 4 = CEO	/board				
	-				2						
Your decision making	(i.e. within your	immediate team)									
(i.e. How is environm		-	cisions v	vhen mana	ging risk from	natural h	nazards?)				
· ····································		No contribution			Dominant contribution						
1. Why is science use	d? Driver (i.e.	leads behaviour)	1	2	3	4	5				
,		ce (i.e. supports)	1	2	3	4	5				
		justifies existing plan)	1	2	3	4	5				

	Silleiu (i.e. justilies existing plan)	T	Z	5	4	5
	Regulation	1	2	3	4	5
	No co	Do	Dominant contribution			
2. What are everyday/	Environmental Science	1	2	3	4	5
operational decisions	Business factors	1	2	3	4	5
typically based upon?	Qualitative evidence	1	2	3	4	5
	Quantitative evidence	1	2	3	4	5
	Intuition (i.e. 'gut feel', rapid)	1	2	3	4	5
	Rational (i.e. consider evidence)	1	2	3	4	5
	No co		Dominant contribution			
3. What are	Environmental Science	1	2	3	4	5
major/strategic decisions	Business factors	1	2	3	4	5
typically based upon?	Qualitative evidence	1	2	3	4	5
	Quantitative evidence	1	2	3	4	5
	Intuition (i.e. 'gut feel', rapid)	1	2	3	4	5
	Rational (i.e. consider evidence)	1	2	3	4	5