

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Research Repository by the author. Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Mode locking and Arnold tongues in integrate-and-fire neural oscillators

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Coombes, S., and P.C. Bressloff. 2019. "Mode Locking and Arnold Tongues in Integrate-and-fire Neural Oscillators". figshare. https://hdl.handle.net/2134/812.

Mode-locking and Arnold tongues in integrate-and-fire neural oscillators

S. Coombes and P. C. Bressloff

Nonlinear and Complex Systems Group, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Loughborough
University, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, UK.

(April 6, 1999)

Abstract

An analysis of mode-locked solutions that may arise in periodically forced integrate-and-fire (IF) neural oscillators is introduced based upon a firing map formulation of the dynamics. A q:p mode-locked solution is identified with a spike train in which p firing events occur in a period $q\Delta$, where Δ is the forcing period. A linear stability analysis of the map of firing times around such solutions allows the determination of the Arnold tongue structure for the regions in parameter space where stable solutions exist. The analysis is verified against direct numerical simulations for both a sinusoidally forced IF system and one in which a periodic sequence of spikes is used to induce a biologically realistic synaptic input current. This approach is extended to the case of two synaptically coupled IF oscillators, showing that modelocked states can exist for some self-consistently determined common period of repetitive firing. Numerical simulations show that such solutions have a bursting structure where regions of spiking activity are interspersed with quiescent periods before repeating. The influence of the synaptic current upon the Arnold tongue structure is explored in the regime of weak coupling. 87.10+e, 05.45.-a, 05.45.Xt

Typeset using REVT_FX

I. INTRODUCTION

It has long been known that nerve membranes can exhibit exotic responses to periodic stimulation whether it be in the form of a smooth alternating current [1] or the injection of current pulses [2]. Among the most commonly observed and studied behaviors are modelocked states in which a repetitive regular output is entrained to some multiple of the forcing period. In addition to mode-locked rhythms it is often possible to observe irregular or aperiodic rhythms in which entrainment is non-existent [3]. Interestingly for many biological oscillators the stable behaviors which are most commonly observed correspond to low-order ratios between the number of cycles of forcing and the subsequently generated rhythm. Moreover, the stable rhythms can be organized in a regular fashion such that aperiodic motion is often generated in regions of parameter space separating rhythmic behavior [2,3]. Rhythmic behavior is also produced by many neural systems in the absence of a pace-maker signal. Neurons communicate by firing and transmitting action potentials. Commonly, in response to a constant applied stimuli, action potentials occur in a periodic fashion. However, many cell types exhibit more complex behavior characterized by brief bursts of oscillatory activity interspersed with quiescent periods. The swimming and heartbeat networks of the medicinal leech, the swimming network of the pelagic mollusc, the feeding network of the fresh-water snail and the gastric network of the crustacean stomatogastric ganglion are all examples of central pattern generators of the above type [4]. When a waveform of bursting activity is present it is natural to use the taxonomy of mode-locking to classify possible rhythms. Rhythmic solutions may be distinguished by the number of intra-burst events within a period of oscillation. Since neural membranes generate brief electrical pulses or spikes a theory of mode-locking in both driven neurons and networks of neurons must necessarily relate output spike trains to incoming synaptic currents. In this paper we focus on the integrate-and-fire (IF) oscillator as a model neuron that submits to an exhaustive analysis of all mode-locked states. Moreover, with the introduction of an IF Liapunov exponent we are also able to probe any apparent aperiodic or chaotic behavior.

In section II we introduce the dynamics of an IF oscillator and consider the construction of a map of the firing times of the oscillator in response to an arbitrary periodic input signal. The conditions under which this map reduce to the lift of a degree one circle map are discussed in detail. Although much is known about mode-locked behavior in circle maps (see [5] for a discussion of smooth circle maps and [6] for a review of piecewise linear models) the firing times for an IF oscillator are determined as a set of threshold crossings so that standard tools of dynamical systems theory are not so easily applied. At the heart of this paper is the notion of a mode-locked state and its realization in an IF dynamical system. By introducing a set of firing phases and considering the period of the output spike train to be rationally related to the period of forcing we show how to describe such dynamical behavior using an implicit map of the firing times. If p spikes are fired within a window of time that is q times the input period (for integer q) the resulting train is shown to have an average firing rate of p/q and is called a q:p mode-locked state. By considering perturbations of the firing times and considering how they would evolve under a linearization of the full nonlinear IF dynamics we obtain conditions for the linear stability of mode-locked solutions. The same analysis is used to define the borders of regions in parameter space where stable mode-locked solutions exist (Arnold tongue structure). Since analytic results about mode-locked solutions can be readily obtained in the limit of zero forcing and can be shown to persist into the regime of non-zero forcing we consider numerical continuation as a natural means of constructing the full Arnold tongue structure. To complete this theoretical prelude we discuss the notion of Liapunov exponents in discontinuous dynamical systems and use the recently introduced notion of IF Liapunov exponent [7] to classify IF dynamics as periodic, aperiodic or chaotic. Section III illustrates the theory of mode-locking in driven IF systems for both sinusoidal forcing and forcing with a biologically plausible train of synaptic pulses. In both cases Arnold tongues are constructed and compared against direct numerical integration of the IF equations of motion. In section IV we consider the extension of this work to cover a pair of pulse-coupled IF oscillators that can sustain mode-locked behavior in the absence of any periodic forcing. Based upon earlier work of the authors (see |8| for a review) we show that

the architectures capable of supporting mode-locked solutions with bursting patterns are those with a mixture of excitatory and inhibitory coupling. For the case of pure excitatory or pure inhibitory coupling the mode-locked solutions typically have a simpler structure that is most readily analyzed in the limit of weak coupling. We end with a brief discussion in section V.

II. INTEGRATE-AND-FIRE DYNAMICS

The IF oscillator may be regarded as a caricature of a real neuron that captures some essence of its firing or spiking properties. Indeed the IF model may be obtained as a reduction of the biologically realistic Hodgkin-Huxley equations that have been so successfully applied to the study of excitable nerve tissue [9]. The IF model considered in this paper (often referred to as the leaky IF oscillator) is a model of a leaky, current-clamped membrane in terms of a state variable U(t) (membrane potential), a decay constant τ and an applied signal A(t). The output of the oscillator is a sequence of firing events that are defined as those times at which U(t) reaches some threshold. Immediately after a firing event the state variable is reset to some resting level. The evolution of the state variable between firing events is prescribed by a smooth dynamical system which may or may not be linear. Of course the system as a whole is nonlinear due to the effects of the resetting mechanism. A recent review of the properties of such IF oscillators, particularly at the network level, may be found in [8]. IF mechanisms are also studied in the context of time-series analysis where they allow reconstruction of attractors from threshold crossing times [10,11]. In more detail we consider an externally forced (non-autonomous) integrate-and-fire (IF) oscillator evolving according to the equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U}{\mathrm{d}t} = f(U,t), \qquad f(U,t) = -\frac{U}{\tau} + A(t) \tag{1}$$

subject to reset

$$U_{-}(T^{n}) \equiv \lim_{\delta \to 0} U(T^{n} - \delta) = 1, \qquad U_{+}(T^{n}) \equiv \lim_{\delta \to 0} U(T^{n} + \delta) = 0$$
 (2)

The input function A(t) will be taken to be periodic in time such that A(t) = A(t+1). The firing times are defined as

$$T^{n} = \inf\{t \mid U(t) \ge 1 \ ; \ t \ge T^{n-1}\}$$
(3)

An implicit map of the firing times may be obtained by integrating (1) between reset and threshold:

$$U_{-}(T^{n+1})e^{T^{n+1}/\tau} = U_{+}(T^{n})e^{T^{n}/\tau} + \int_{T^{n}}^{T^{n+1}} e^{s/\tau}A(s)ds$$
(4)

Introducing the function

$$G(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{0} e^{s/\tau} A(t+s) ds = \frac{e^{-1/\tau}}{(1 - e^{-1/\tau})} \int_{0}^{1} e^{s/\tau} A(t+s) ds$$
 (5)

so that G(t) = G(t+1) and defining $F(t) = e^{t/\tau}[G(t) - 1]$ a map of the firing times can be obtained from (4) as

$$F(T^{n+1}) = F(T^n) + e^{T^n/\tau}$$
(6)

For a constant external input $A(t) = I_0$ and $I_0\tau > 1$ the map of the corresponding interspike interval (ISI) $\Delta^n = T^{n+1} - T^n$ has a fixed point with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Delta^n = \tau \ln[I_0\tau/(I_0\tau - 1)]$. For simplicity we consider IF oscillators to have at least a constant input I_0 so that in the absence of any other external forcing their behavior will be oscillatory. The case of non-oscillatory behavior may be handled in exactly the same fashion as long as the external forcing is sufficient to produce repetitive output firing patterns. In effect we exclude the study of oscillator death. Our approach is consistent with recent work on the periodically driven FitzHugh-Nagumo neuron model where Arnold tongues are shown to change continuously when the model switches from excitable to oscillatory behavior [12]. If F is invertible $(F'(t) \neq 0 \text{ for all } t)$ and F^{-1} is defined on the range of $F(t) + e^{t/\tau}$ then we have an explicit map of the form

$$T^{n+1} = \Psi(T^n), \qquad \Psi(t) = F^{-1}[F(t) + e^{t/\tau}]$$
 (7)

If F is not invertible then the mapping $T^n \mapsto T^{n+1}$ is defined according to (3). This highlights the fact that, in general, the map of firing times is only implicitly available. From (5) it is easy to establish that $\tau G'(t) + G(t) = \tau A(t)$ so that the condition $F'(t) = \mathrm{e}^{t/\tau}[A(t) - \tau^{-1}] \neq 0$ can be guaranteed if $A(t) \neq \tau^{-1}$. Whenever this is the case and F^{-1} is defined on the range of $F(t) + \mathrm{e}^{t/\tau}$ one may establish that $F(\Psi(t) + 1) = \mathrm{e}^{1/\tau}F(\Psi(t)) = F(\Psi(t+1))$ and hence that $\Psi(t+1) = \Psi(t) + 1$. Indeed (7) may now be viewed as the iteration of a circle mapping. Introducing the function $g(t) = \Psi(t) - k$ such that $0 \leq g(0) < 1$ leads to the mapping $T^{n+1} = g(T^n) + k$ with g(t+1) = g(t) + 1. Introducing

$$\underline{\rho}(t) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{g^n(t)}{n}, \qquad \overline{\rho}(t) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{g^n(t)}{n}$$
(8)

allows the definition of the rotation interval of g as $L(g) = [\rho_-, \rho_+]$ where

$$\rho_{-} = \inf_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \underline{\rho}(t), \qquad \rho_{+} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \overline{\rho}(t)$$
(9)

When the rotation interval reduces to a single point, denoted by ρ (so that $\rho_- = \rho_+$), then ρ is called the rotation number of g and the lim sup and lim inf in (8) can be replaced by a simple limit. The choice of k ensures $0 \le \rho < 1$ so that ρ measures an average phase rotation per iteration. If ρ exists and is rational then there is an initial T^0 such that the sequence $\{T^n \mod 1\}$ approaches a periodic sequence asymptotically for large enough n, ie modelocking occurs. However, if ρ is irrational then every solution is ergodic and the sequence $\{T^n \mod 1\}$ is dense in the interval [0,1) (assuming that $\Psi(t)$ is continuous). When a non-trivial rotation interval exists a positive value for the topological entropy is implied. For a detailed account of the possible routes to chaos (or more precisely positive topological entropy) in circle maps see [5]. If the explicit map of firing times defined by (7) is to describe an invertible circle map we must also have that $\Psi'(t) \neq 0$. Since $\Psi'(t) = A(t)e^{t/\tau}/F'(\Psi)$ this is the case whenever $A(t) \neq 0$. If A(t) is such that $A(t) > \tau^{-1}$ for all t then both $A(t) \neq \tau^{-1}$ and $A(t) \neq 0$ are true simultaneously and the firing map dynamics can always be reduced to an invertible map of the circle. Chaotic dynamics is not possible in this situation.

A. Mode-locking

One would expect the IF oscillator to fire one or more spikes at times which are integer multiples of the driving period. This pattern of activity may be considered as a bursting state with inter-burst intervals mainly influenced by the driving period and intra-burst intervals dependent upon system parameter values. With this in mind it is natural to seek mode-locked solutions of the form

$$T^{n} = \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] \Delta - \phi_{n(p)} \Delta, \qquad n(p) = n \mod p$$
 (10)

where [.] denotes the integer part and the $\phi_{n(p)} \in [0, 1)$ denote a collection of firing phases. Δ is assumed to be rationally related to the forcing period (which we have taken as unity). Such an ansatz has previously been discussed by Chow [13] within the context of harmonic locking in two pulse-coupled spike response neurons. Here we show how to systematically analyze such solutions. We distinguish three types of solutions: (i) simple bursting, (ii) skipping and (iii) a mixed spike train which combines elements of the first two solutions. Simple bursting is described by $\Delta = 1$ and p > 1 whilst skipping is defined by $\Delta = q$ and p = 1, for $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the average firing period $\langle \Delta \rangle$ in terms of the ISIs as

$$\langle \Delta \rangle = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Delta^n \tag{11}$$

It is easily established that the ansatz (10) describes solutions which satisfy $\langle \Delta \rangle = q/p$. Solutions with p spikes in a period q describe q:p mode-locked solutions. When $\langle \Delta \rangle$ is independent of initial conditions and both q:p and q':p' solutions can be found then another mode-locked solution is expected (in some intermediate region of parameter) where the entrainment is q + q':p + p' (at least for the case where the firing map is an invertible circle mapping). An example of a 2:3 mode-locked solution is shown in figure 1, where the periodic driving signal is sinusoidal.

From equation (6) the p firing phases may be determined by the simultaneous solution

of the p equations

$$H_{n(p)}(\Phi, \Delta) \equiv \frac{G(-\phi_{(n+1)(p)}\Delta) - 1}{G(-\phi_{n(p)}\Delta)} - \frac{\exp\frac{\Delta}{\tau}\left(\left[\frac{n}{p}\right] - \phi_{n(p)}\right)}{\exp\frac{\Delta}{\tau}\left(\left[\frac{(n+1)}{p}\right] - \phi_{(n+1)(p)}\right)} = 0$$
 (12)

The stability of these solutions may be found by perturbing the firing times such that $T^n \to T^n + \delta^n$ and expanding (6) to first order in the δ^n s (assuming $F'(T^n) \neq 0$) around a mode-locked solution. It is convenient to denote a mode-locked solution by the set of phases $\Phi = (\phi_0, \dots, \phi_{p-1})$ and the period Δ . One may now establish that $\delta^{n+1} = \kappa_{n(p)}(\Phi, \Delta)\delta^n$, where

$$\kappa_{n(p)}(\Phi, \Delta) = \exp\left[-\frac{\Delta}{\tau} \left(\left[\frac{(n+1)}{p}\right] - \phi_{(n+1)(p)} - \left[\frac{n}{p}\right] + \phi_{n(p)} \right) \right] \frac{A(-\phi_{n(p)}\Delta)}{A(-\phi_{(n+1)(p)}\Delta) - \tau^{-1}}$$
(13)

In particular, numerical continuation of q:p mode-locked solutions is possible from the state with constant drive where $A(t) = I_0$. In this case the phases are given by $\phi_{n(p)} = 1 - n(p)/p$ and the decay parameter τ satisfies $I_0\tau = [1 - \exp(-q/(p\tau))]^{-1}$. The persistence of a modelocked state with p phases and period Δ depends upon the behavior of the map

$$\delta^{n+1} = \left(\prod_{m=0}^{p-1} \kappa_m(\Phi, \Delta)\right) \delta^{n+1-p} \tag{14}$$

This has solutions of the form $\delta^n = e^{n\nu/p}$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence, the stability of a mode-locked state is guaranteed for $\text{Re}(\nu(\Phi, \Delta)) < 0$ where

$$\operatorname{Re}(\nu(\Phi, \Delta)) = \ln |\kappa(\Phi, \Delta)|$$
 (15)

and

$$\kappa(\Phi, \Delta) = \prod_{m=0}^{p-1} \kappa_m(\Phi, \Delta) = e^{-\Delta/\tau} \prod_{m=0}^{p-1} \left[\frac{A(-\phi_{m(p)}\Delta)}{A(-\phi_{(m+1)(p)}\Delta) - \tau^{-1}} \right]$$
(16)

If $\kappa(\Phi, \Delta) < 0$ then $\omega \equiv \text{Im}(\nu) = \pi$. The borders of the regions where such mode-locked solutions become unstable are defined by the conditions $\text{Re}(\nu(\Phi, \Delta)) = 0$, where the set of phases Φ is obtained from the solution of equations (12). Typically, for non-invertible circle maps, the borders of such regions (Arnold tongues) split into two branches in parameter

space. Consequently Arnold tongues can cross, leading to a situation in which two or more different periodic orbits associated with different rotation numbers are found at the same parameter values (multi-stability). The complete Arnold tongue structure is often complex, with borders defined by tangent and period doubling bifurcations. Moreover, beyond the accumulation points of the period doubling sequences there is chaos (in the sense of a positive Liapunov exponent). The systems studied in this paper typically occupy regions of parameter space where the firing times are either described by an invertible circle-map or a map of the real line that does not allow a period doubling cascade. In either case chaotic motion is non-generic and the dynamics is either periodic or quasi-periodic. A study of chaotic motion in IF systems is presented elsewhere [7].

For a classification of the behavior of spike trains explicit knowledge of the dynamics is desirable which suggests working with the original dynamics (1) and (2) that underlies the map of firing times generated by (3). However, the analysis of IF dynamics is far from trivial owing to the presence of harsh nonlinearities at reset. Recently, techniques originally developed for the study of impact oscillators have been used in the construction of the Liapunov exponent for IF systems so that the robustness of spike trains may be examined [7]. The Liapunov exponent for the discontinuous dynamical system defined by (1) and (2) is derived in appendix I as

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{\tau} + \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{(T^k - T^0)} \sum_{j=1}^k \ln \left| \frac{f(0, T^j)}{f(1, T^j)} \right|$$
 (17)

There are two contributions to λ , one from the smooth flow between successive firings and the other from the discontinuous nature of the resetting mechanism. Hence, spike trains may be termed periodic, aperiodic or chaotic if $\lambda < 0$, $\lambda = 0$ or if $\lambda > 0$ respectively.

III. MODE-LOCKING IN A PERIODICALLY FORCED IF OSCILLATOR

Return maps constructed from the data generated by a periodically stimulated squid giant axon show that mode-locked dynamics is governed by a one-dimensional deterministic description [14]. Since the IF oscillator is a (possibly quite severe) one-dimensional reduction of the physiologically realistic Hodgkin-Huxley neuron model it may provide a framework for organizing the behavior seen in real experiments. Indeed this philosophy has recently been adopted in an analysis of the periodically stimulated FitzHugh-Nagumo neuron model, where the dynamics was described in terms of a one-dimensional map that approximates the Poincare map of the forced system. The benefit of working with the IF oscillator from the outset is that, as we have just shown, precise statements about the dynamics and Arnold tongue structure can be made without recourse to approximations. Of course, as in many studies of neural systems, one makes a trade-off between biological realism and mathematical tractability. Sinusoidal forcing of IF oscillators has previously been analyzed in [15], although by not using an ansatz for the firing times such as given by (10) the authors were not able to explore the possibility of constructing Arnold tongues. As well as extending the work on sinusoidally forced IF systems we also consider the case of periodic pulsatile stimulation that gives rise to post synaptic potentials with a finite rise and fall time. In both cases borders of Arnold tongue defined by tangent bifurcations ($\kappa(\Phi, \Delta) = 1$) can occur in the regime where the map of firing times is described by an invertible circle map. In practice the solutions for constant forcing (where the dynamics reduces to that of an invertible circle map) are numerically continued into other regimes of parameter space (where connected solutions can be shown to exist using the implicit function theorem) including the region where the map of firing times is not a circle map.

A. Sinusoidal forcing

Consider a periodic forcing with $A(t) = I_0 + \epsilon \sin 2\pi t$. In this case

$$G(t) = I_0 \tau - \frac{\epsilon \tau}{\sqrt{1 + 4\pi^2 \tau^2}} \sin(\theta - 2\pi t), \quad \tan \theta = 2\pi \tau$$
 (18)

For example, in the case of 1:1 frequency locking the stability of solutions is determined from (13) by $|\kappa| < 1$ with

$$\kappa = e^{-1/\tau} \left[1 + \frac{\tau^{-1}}{I_0 - \tau^{-1} - \epsilon \sin(2\pi\phi)} \right]$$
 (19)

where ϕ is the solution of $G(-\phi) = (1 - e^{-1/\tau})^{-1}$. A necessary condition for defining an explicit firing map of the form (7) is that $I_0 + \epsilon \sin \omega t \neq \tau^{-1}$ for all t. This is guaranteed for the choice $I_0 - |\epsilon| > \tau^{-1}$. In this case the measure of the parameter set for modelocking goes to zero as $\tau \to \infty$. When $I_0 - |\epsilon| < \tau^{-1}$ it is known that mode-locking can occur almost everywhere, ie for parameter values on the complement of a set of measure zero [15]. These points are illustrated in figure 2 where we show the bifurcation sequence of the ISI as a function of τ for fixed I_0 and ϵ . In the regime of non-circle map dynamics (left hand region of bifurcation diagram) the IF Liapunov exponent is seen to be always negative indicating periodic behavior for the sequence of ISIs or equivalently the existence of mode-locked solutions. After crossing into the region where the map of firing times is a circle map the Liapunov exponent is either zero (indicating quasi-periodic behavior) or negative, as expected. To illustrate a possible bifurcation sequence consider the right hand borders of stability for the 1:1 mode-locked state shown in the bottom part of figure 3 for $\tau \sim 0.65$. As ϵ increases a stable/unstable pair of 1:1 mode-locked solutions is created in a tangent bifurcation. At this point the map of firing times is described by an invertible circle map and a well defined rotation number (for the circle map) exists. In the region that is not mode-locked (outside the tongue) ergodic dynamics is also possible since the circle map is invertible. With increasing ϵ the firing map no longer reduces to dynamics on a circle, although mode-locked solutions continue to exist. Hence, the natural quantity to associate with a mode-locked state is the average firing frequency $\langle \Delta \rangle^{-1}$, since rotation numbers can only be defined for restricted regions of parameter space. We plot $\langle \Delta \rangle^{-1}$ as a function of τ with $\epsilon = I_0 - \tau^{-1}$ (ie along the border separating the firing dynamics into a map of the real line and a lift of a map of the circle) in figure 3. The resulting devil's staircase structure shows that the preferred mode-locked solutions are those with low ratios of q to p. For large ϵ

it is possible for the tongues to overlap (see the bottom part of figure 3). In this case modelocked solutions with different average firing frequencies co-exist at a point in parameter space leading to multi-stability. In numerical simulations the mode-locked solution that is reached will depend upon the basin structure for the co-existing attractors and the choice of initial conditions.

B. Periodic synaptic input

In neural systems a more realistic input takes the form of a stream of pulses, each of which is convolved with some kernel describing the effects of synaptic and dendritic processing as well as axonal delays [16–18]. For a kernel function $\alpha(t)$ an input stream with period 1 may be written $A(t) = I_0 + \epsilon E(t)$ with $E(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(t - k)$ and E(t) is periodic such that E(t) = E(t+1). For synaptic processing the kernel $\alpha(t)$ is often taken to be a difference of two exponentials or more simply a so-called alpha function with $\alpha(t) = \alpha^2 t \exp(-\alpha t)\Theta(t)$. $\Theta(t)$ is a step function such that $\Theta(t) = 1$ if t > 0 and is zero otherwise. Focusing on this typical synaptic kernel we have

$$E(t) = \frac{\alpha^2 e^{-\alpha t}}{(1 - e^{-\alpha})} \left[t + \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{(1 - e^{-\alpha})} \right], \quad t \in [0, 1)$$
 (20)

The function G(t) is readily calculated for $t \in [0, 1)$ as

$$G(t) = I_0 \tau + \frac{\epsilon e^{-1/\tau}}{(1 - e^{-1/\tau})} [R(0, 1 - t, t) + R(1 - t, 1, t - 1)]$$
(21)

where

$$R(a,b,t) = \frac{\gamma \alpha^2 e^{-\alpha t}}{(1 - e^{-\alpha})} \left\{ \left[t + \frac{e^{-\alpha}}{1 - e^{-\alpha}} - \gamma \right] \left(e^{b/\gamma} - e^{a/\gamma} \right) + b e^{b/\gamma} - a e^{a/\gamma} \right\}$$
(22)

and $\gamma^{-1} = \tau^{-1} - \alpha$. Denoting the maximum value of E(t) as E^* , the border between circle map and non-circle map dynamics is defined by $I_0 + \epsilon E^* = \tau^{-1}$ for $\epsilon < 0$. In figure 4 we plot the average firing frequency of the driven IF oscillator for the case of inhibitory coupling ($\epsilon < 0$). This nicely illustrates the possibility of skipping solutions in which the dominant solutions (in the sense of occupying relatively large regions of parameter space) are

those which are q:1 mode-locked. The overlap for the tongues in parameter space where ϵ is negative and α is large can be considerable (see the bottom of figure 4). We have not probed this multi-stable structure in detail except to note that for random initial data one typically sees a devil's staircase structure for the average firing frequency as a function of ϵ rather than just the plateaus defined by the overlapping q:1 solutions. Once again for the reasons discussed in section II the dynamics is expected to be either periodic or quasi-periodic. This was confirmed numerically by evaluation of the IF Liapunov exponent (not shown).

In both the examples presented here the dynamics may be regarded as belonging to motion on invariant circle or attractor in the phase space of ISIs. For example 1:1 mode-locking would correspond to a fixed point of the map of ISIs which would manifest itself as a point in a plot of the delay embedding (Δ^{n-1}, Δ^n) . Mode-locked solutions correspond to periodic orbits as illustrated in the top part of figure 5 whilst very high order or possibly aperiodic ones take the form shown in the bottom part of figure 5 which also illustrates the possibility of ergodic behavior on the attractor of the firing map.

IV. MODE-LOCKING IN A PAIR OF PULSE-COUPLED IF OSCILLATORS

Much is known about the types of phase-locked solutions that can arise in 1:1 frequency locking of two synaptically coupled IF oscillators [16,19]. However, much less is known about the existence and stability of more general q:p mode-locked solutions. To date it seems that only a comprehensive study of two linearly weakly coupled integrate-and-fire oscillators has been performed [20]. Here we pursue the more general case of synaptic coupling.

Consider two non-identical integrate-and-fire oscillators labeled by i = 1, 2 such that neuron 1 couples to neuron 2 and vice-versa. We specify these interactions with the aid of a coupling matrix with components W_{ij} . In general the equations of motion may be written

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}U_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = f_i(U_i, t), \quad t \in (T_i^n, T_i^{n+1}), \quad i \neq j$$
(23)

where $f_i(U_i, t) = -U_i + I_i + \epsilon \sum_j W_{ij} E_j(t)$. For simplicity we have chosen units of time such that $\tau = 1$. As in section IIIB we consider a synaptic kernel given by an alpha function

so that $E_j(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha(t - T_j^k)$. Integrating the equations of motion over the interval (T_i^n, T_i^{n+1}) , subject to reset, yields

$$1 = (1 - e^{-(T_i^{n+1} - T_i^n)})I_i + \epsilon e^{-(T_i^{n+1} - T_i^n)} \int_0^{T_i^{n+1} - T_i^n} e^t \sum_j W_{ij} E_j(t + T_i^n) dt$$
 (24)

In a similar fashion to the analysis of section II we introduce the following ansatz for the firing times

$$T_i^n = \left\lceil \frac{n}{p_i} \right\rceil \Delta - \phi_{n(i)}^i \Delta, \quad n(i) = n \mod p_i$$
 (25)

These may be regarded as bursting patterns where oscillator i fires p_i times in a time Δ before repeating. For example, one may imagine neuron 1 firing a doublet of spikes in a time-window Δ , whereas neuron 2 might fire a triplet. Note that (by definition) $E_j(t)$ is periodic in Δ . Moreover it may be written in the form

$$E_j(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{p_j - 1} E(t + \phi_{k(j)}^j \Delta)$$
 (26)

where $E(t) = \sum_{k} \alpha(t - k\Delta)$ and $E(t) = E(t + \Delta)$. Hence, we have $M = p_1 + p_2$ equations of the form:

$$1 = (1 - e^{-\Delta_i^n})I_i + \epsilon e^{-\Delta_i^n} \int_0^{\Delta_i^n} e^t \sum_{k=0}^{p_j - 1} \sum_i W_{ij} E\left(t + (\phi_{k(j)}^j - \phi_{n(i)}^i)\Delta\right) dt$$
 (27)

for $n=0,\ldots,p_i-1$ where $\Delta_i^n=T_i^{n+1}-T_i^n$. By fixing one of the phases we may then solve for the remaining M-1 phases and the period Δ . The conditions under which mode-locked or bursting patterns arise in this model for strong coupling are most easily established by considering a reduction to a firing rate or analog model valid in the limit $\alpha \to 0$. Such an approach has previously been used to study the loss of stability of the 1:1 frequency locked state in networks of two or more IF oscillators [21,22]. In this reduction the average steady state firing rate response of an oscillator is related to the uncoupled $(\epsilon=0)$ firing rate function $f(x)^{-1} = \ln[x/(x-1)]\Theta(x-1)$ and the dynamics may be formulated in terms of a set of coupled ODEs. By choosing $I_i = I - \epsilon f(I) \sum_j W_{ij}$ for some I > 1 it is possible to show that the analog model may undergo a Hopf bifurcation (with increasing ϵ) if the weight

matrix **W** has a pair of complex eigenvalues. Since periodically time varying firing rates in the analog model correspond to bursting patterns in the IF model one would therefore expect mode-locked solutions in the full IF model for a mixture of excitatory and inhibitory coupling between oscillators. Indeed we show a numerical example of such behavior in figure 6. It is interesting to note that the firing patterns have clearly defined regions of bursting separated by quiescent periods before repeating. This suggests that IF networks support bursting solutions even in the absence of any intrinsic slow calcium like currents often adopted in physiologically realistic neuron models. Other neuronal networks that support bursting in the absence of such currents typically utilize diffusive coupling arising from electrical gap junctions (see [23] for a discussion).

In order to investigate the linear stability of mode-locked solutions we consider perturbations δ_i^n of the firing times. That is, we let $T_i^n \to T_i^n + \delta_i^n$ with T_i^n given by (25) and integrate (23) form T_i^n to T_i^{n+1} using the reset condition. This leads to a mapping of the firing times that can be expanded to first order in the perturbations:

$$\delta_i^{n+1} - \delta_i^{n+1-p_i} = \epsilon \sum_{m=0}^{p_i-1} \frac{1}{A_i((n-m)(i))} e^{-\Delta_i^{n+m}} \sum_j W_{ij}$$

$$\times \int_0^{\Delta_i^{n+m}} dt e^t \sum_k \alpha'(t - T_j^k + T_i^{n-m}) (\delta_j^k - \delta_i^{n-m})$$
(28)

where $A_i(n(i)) = I_i - 1 + \epsilon E_j(-\phi^i_{(n+1)(i)}\Delta)$. Equation (28) has a discrete spectrum that can be found by taking $\delta^n_j = \mathrm{e}^{n\lambda/p_j}\delta_j$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $0 \leq \mathrm{Im}(\lambda) \leq 2\pi$. The resulting eigenvalue equation is infinite dimensional since the dynamics depends upon the whole history of firing times. In the strong coupling regime it is possible for bifurcations to occur via a Hopf bifurcation in the firing times. This phenomenon has previously been linked to the loss of stability of the 1:1 mode-locked state in networks of two or more IF oscillators [21,22]. Rather than pursue bifurcations in the strong coupling regime we turn to the limit of weak coupling in which the linear stability analysis becomes considerably more tractable. In this case solutions for λ lie in the neighborhood of the real solution $\lambda = 0$ or else can be shown to have negative real part [18]. In the limit of zero coupling the firing times occur on a

regularly spaced lattice such that $T_i^n = (n - \phi_i)\Delta_i$ where $\Delta_i = \ln[I_i/(I_i - 1)]$ and $\phi_i \in [0, 1)$ is some arbitrary phase shift. We consider the case in which the periods Δ_1 and Δ_2 are commensurate such that they satisfy $p_1\Delta_1 = p_2\Delta_2$. The mode-locking ansatz given by (25) generates an equivalent set of firing times upon choosing $\phi_{n(i)}^i = 1 - n(i)/p_i + \phi_i/p_i$ with $\Delta = p_i\Delta_i$. One would expect that for sufficiently weak coupling the firing times will lie close to those generated by the uncoupled system. In fact averaging theory can be used to predict that this is true for time scales of $O(\epsilon^{-1})$ [18]. For simplicity we restrict attention to the architecture $W_{ij} = 1 - \delta_{ij}$ since the more general case may be handled in the same fashion as outlined below. By combining the M equations given by (27) the relative phase $\Phi \equiv \phi_2/p_2 - \phi_1/p_1$ can be found from the solution of

$$K(\Phi) \equiv H_1(\Phi) - H_2(-\Phi) = 0$$
 (29)

where

$$H_i(\Phi) = \sum_{m=0}^{p_i - 1} e^{-\Delta/p_i} \int_0^{\Delta/p_i} e^t B_j(t + \Phi\Delta + m\Delta/p_i) dt, \qquad B_j(t) = \sum_k \alpha(t - k\Delta_j)$$
(30)

and $B_j(t)$ is periodic with $B_j(t) = B_j(t + \Delta_j)$. To first order in ϵ we substitute $\delta_j^n = e^{n\lambda/p_j}\delta_j$ into (28) set $\lambda = 1$ on the right hand side to obtain

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} -G_1(\Phi) & G_1(\Phi) \\ G_2(-\Phi) & -G_2(-\Phi) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(31)

with

$$G_i(\pm\Phi) = \pm \frac{H_i'(\pm\Phi)}{\Delta_i(I_i - 1)} \tag{32}$$

The non-zero eigenvalue is calculated from (31) as $\lambda = -\epsilon(G_1(\Phi) + G_2(-\Phi))$. The zero eigenvalue merely corresponds to uniform shifts in the phases ϕ_i such that the relative phase remains unchanged. Hence, in the weak coupling regime the stability for mode-locked solutions with phase Φ determined from (29) is determined by

$$\epsilon [H_1'(\Phi) - H_2'(-\Phi)] > 0$$
(33)

This result is consistent with the one obtained using averaging theory (see appendix II). Interestingly the expressions we have derived for the existence and stability of mode-locked solutions have the same formal structure as those obtained in studies of weakly coupled limit cycle oscillators where the uncoupled system has a set of hyperbolic stable limit cycle with resonant cycle periods (ie they are rationally related to one another). If there are no resonances amongst the cycle periods then the system behaves as if it were uncoupled even for non-zero (but small) coupling (see [24] for a review). For weak coupling only, we have restricted our discussion to the resonant condition $p_1\Delta_1 - p_2\Delta_2 = 0$, since from the results in [24] one would not expect mode-locked behavior if this were not the case. In practice a numerical solution for the borders requires an explicit representation for the interaction functions given by (30). Rather than construct the interaction function $K(\Phi)$ for each p_1 and p_2 (by explicitly integrating (30)) it is preferable to work with a closed form expression parameterized by p_1 and p_2 . This is naturally achieved by adopting a Fourier series expansion for $H_i(\Phi)$ (periodic in $1/p_j$), which can be shown to take the form

$$H_i(\Phi) = \frac{(1 - e^{-\Delta_i})}{\Delta_j} \sum_{m=0}^{p_i - 1} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\alpha}(\omega(n,j))}{1 + i\omega(n,j)} e^{i\omega(n,j)[\Phi\Delta + m\Delta_i]}$$
(34)

where

$$\tilde{\alpha}(w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} \alpha(t) dt = \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha + i\omega)^2}, \qquad \omega(n, j) = \frac{2\pi n}{\Delta_j}$$
(35)

An example of the interaction function $K(\Phi)$ is shown in figure 7 from which it is also easy to see that the borders of regions in parameter space sustaining mode-locked solutions may be found by solving $\max K(\Phi) = 0$ and $\min K(\Phi) = 0$. For the 1:1 mode-locked case $H_1(\Phi) = H_2(\Phi)$ so that, by symmetry, phase-locked solutions with $\Phi = 0$ and $\Phi = 1/2$ are guaranteed to exist for any values of the system parameters. However one would expect that when this symmetry condition is violated, as will be the case when $p_1 \neq p_2$, then regions in parameter space which support $p_1:p_2$ mode-locked solutions may shrink. This is illustrated in the bottom part of figure 7 where it is clearly seen that mode-locked solutions with $p_1 \neq p_2$ satisfying $K(\Phi) = 0$ cannot be found for small α (with a fixed Δ).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we constructed Arnold tongues for a periodically forced IF neuron. Our approach was based on a firing map formulation of the dynamics in which the existence and stability of q:p mode-locked solutions for the firing times were derived. In the course of our analysis we highlighted the relationship between mode-locked solutions and bursting states in coupled IF networks, and introduced the notion of a Liapunov exponent that takes into account the presence of discontinuities in the dynamics arising from reset (see also [7]). There are a number of possible extensions of our work.

- 1. In our analysis of a driven IF neuron we restricted ourselves to periodic forcing. An interesting question concerns what happens in response to quasiperiodic or aperiodic signals. A recently highlighted experimental paradigm concerns the measurement of the reliability of spike timing when a neuron is repeatedly stimulated by the same identical time-varying input. It has been demonstrated that spike train reliability is much greater for aperiodic signals than for constant current stimuli [25,26]. Such an effect is found even when variations in the aperiodic signal relative to the DC level are small, as has been demonstrated in experiments on aplysia motorneurons [27]. These experiments have also revealed a resonance effect for neural spike time reliability, in the sense that reliability is enhanced when the spectrum of the input contains a resonant frequency equal to the firing rate of the neuron in response to the DC component. Similar results were obtained for an IF model neuron. One possible measure of spike train reliability (at least for small-amplitude variations in input) is the Liapunov exponent introduced in appendix 1.
- 2. When considering aperiodic synaptic inputs the role of synaptic depression may be important. For it is has been found that the postsynaptic response of cortical neurons depends on the temporal sequence of action potentials arriving at the presynaptic terminal [28]. This form of short-term synaptic plasticity can lead to an effective re-

duction in the amplitude of response and provides a possible mechanism of dynamical gain control [29–31]. The effects of synaptic depression can be incorporated into our analysis of mode-locking in section IIIB by taking $A(t) = I_0 + \epsilon E(t)$ with E(t) defined along the lines of the phenomenological model considered in Refs. [29–31]. This essentially involves the introduction of an amplitude factor $C(T^m)$ that adjusts the magnitude of the response to a spike arriving at time time T^m based on previous input history: $E(t) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} C(T^m)\alpha(t-T^m)$. Following the arrival of a spike at a presynaptic terminal, C is reduced by a multiplicative factor $\gamma < 1$ such that $C \to \gamma C$. In between spikes, C is assumed to return to its equilibrium value of one according to the exponential process $\tau_c dC/dt = 1 - C$ where τ_c is an appropriately chosen time constant of the order 100msecs. For a given sequence of input firing times $\{T^m, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ one finds that $C(T^m) = 1 + (\gamma - 1) \sum_{m' < m} \gamma^{m-m'-1} \mathrm{e}^{-(T^m - T^{m'})/\tau_c}$. Note that in the special case of periodic synaptic inputs $T^{m+1} = T^m + \Delta$ considered in section IIIB, the amplitude $C(T^m)$ simply relaxes to its steady-state value $C_\infty = [1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta/\tau_c}]/[1 - \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-\Delta/\tau_c}]$, which can be absorbed into the parameter ϵ .

3. Another important question that we hope to address elsewhere concerns the extent to which the mode-locking behavior exhibited by driven or mutually coupled IF neurons is mirrored by more biophysically detailed models of spiking neurons that also include the effects of noise. For example, it would be interesting to construct "stochastic" Arnold tongues for IF neurons along the lines of the recent analysis of the FitzHugh-Nagumo model of a neuron [32].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Bard Ermentrout for making available the software tool XPP which was used for the numerical continuation of Arnold tongues from the uncoupled state and the construction of mode-locked solutions in the weak coupling regime. This research was supported by grant number GR/K86220 from the EPSRC (UK).

APPENDIX I: LIAPUNOV EXPONENT FOR AN IF OSCILLATOR

Introduce a perturbed dynamics \tilde{U} and denote the deviation between perturbed and unperturbed trajectories as δU . Consider the propagation of an initial perturbation $\delta U(0)$ such that the unperturbed trajectory reaches threshold first. Denoting the time of the kth threshold crossing of the unperturbed trajectory as T^k and that of the perturbed trajectory as $T^k + \delta^k$ we have

$$0 = \tilde{U}_{-}(T^{k} + \delta^{k}) - 1$$

$$\approx \tilde{U}_{-}(T^{k}) + f(\tilde{U}_{-}(T^{k}), T^{k})\delta^{k} - 1$$

$$\approx U_{-}(T^{k}) + \delta U_{-}(T^{k}) + f(U_{-}(T^{k}) + \delta U_{-}(T^{k}), T^{k})\delta^{k} - 1$$

$$\approx \delta U_{-}(T^{k}) + f(U_{-}(T^{k}), T^{k})\delta^{k}$$
(36)

Hence the perturbation of the firing times is given by

$$\delta^{k} = -\frac{\delta U_{-}(T^{k})}{f(U_{-}(T^{k}), T^{k})} \tag{37}$$

The difference between the two trajectories just after the perturbed trajectory reaches threshold is

$$\delta U_{+}(T^{k} + \delta^{k}) = 0 - U_{+}(T^{k} + \delta^{k})$$

$$\approx -f(U_{+}(T^{k}), T^{k})\delta^{k}$$
(38)

Using (37), the perturbations are seen to satisfy

$$\delta U_{+}(T^{k} + \delta^{k}) = \frac{f(0, T^{k})}{f(1, T^{k})} \delta U_{-}(T^{k})$$
(39)

The same expression is obtained by considering the case when the perturbed trajectory reaches threshold first. In general the Liapunov function for the flow is given by

$$\lambda = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln \left| \frac{\delta U(t)}{\delta U(0)} \right| \tag{40}$$

where the time evolution of $\delta U(t)$ is obtained from a linearizaton of the dynamics. In the IF case with initial condition $\delta U(t_0)$ at time t_0 we have, between firing events,

$$\delta U(t) = e^{-t/\tau} \delta U(t_0) \tag{41}$$

Hence, using (39) and (41) we have that

$$\lambda = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{(T^k - T^0)} \ln \left| e^{-(T^k - T^0)/\tau} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{f(0, T^j)}{f(1, T^j)} \right|$$

$$= -\frac{1}{\tau} + \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{(T^k - T^0)} \sum_{j=1}^k \ln \left| \frac{f(0, T^j)}{f(1, T^j)} \right|$$
(42)

APPENDIX II: WEAK COUPLING AND AVERAGING

Suppose that in the absence of any coupling, $\epsilon = 0$, each oscillator fires with period $\Delta_i = \Delta/p_i = \ln[I_i/(I_i - 1)]$. Between reset introduce the nonlinear transform $U_i(t) \to \psi_i(t)$ according to

$$\mod 1 \ \psi_i(t) + \frac{t}{\Delta_i} = \frac{1}{\Delta_i} \int_0^{U_i(t)} \frac{\mathrm{d}U}{(-U_i + I_i)}$$
 (43)

Under such a transformation the dynamics (23) becomes

$$\dot{\psi}_i = \epsilon F_i(\psi_i + p_i t/\Delta) E_j(t) \tag{44}$$

where

$$F_i(z) = \frac{p_i \exp(\Delta z/p_i)}{I_i \Delta}, \quad F_i(z+j) = F_i(z), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 (45)

When $\epsilon = 0$, the phase variables $\psi_i(t) = \psi_i$ are constant in time with periods Δ_i . Hence, there is an attracting 2-torus. The assumption of strong contraction in the neighborhood of the limit cycles enables one to use normal hyperbolicity to predict persistence of an 2-torus which is asymptotically attracting when ϵ is small. To a first approximation one might suppose that for weak coupling each oscillator fires with a period Δ_i but that the phase $\psi_i(t)$ drift slowly according to (44). Furthermore, approximating the firing times

by $T_j^n = (n - \psi_i(t))\Delta_i$ the right-hand side of (44) becomes a Δ/p_j periodic function of t. Since we are concerned with mode-locked solutions which satisfy the resonance condition $p_1\Delta_1 - p_2\Delta_2 = 0$ it is natural to average the right-hand side of (44) over $\Delta = p_i\Delta_i$ to obtain a first order normal form for the asymptotic dynamics of equations (44). Using the periodicity properties of $F_i(z)$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p_i}\dot{\psi}_i = \frac{\epsilon}{I_i\Delta^2} \sum_{m=0}^{p_i-1} \int_0^{\Delta/p_i} e^t B_j(t + \Delta(\psi_j/p_j - \psi_i/p_i) + m\Delta/p_i) dt$$

Hence, defining $\Psi = \psi_2/p_2 - \psi_1/p_1$ we have

$$\dot{\Psi} = -\epsilon [K_1(\Psi) - K_2(-\Psi)] \tag{46}$$

where $K_i(\Psi) = \mathrm{e}^{\Delta/p_i} H_i(\Psi)/(\Delta^2 I_i)$. Phase locked solutions satisfy $K_1(\Psi^*) - K_2(-\Psi^*) = 0$. Writing the phase-locked solutions as $\psi_i(t) = \phi_i + p_i \Omega t$ (so that $\Psi^* = \phi_2/p_2 - \phi_1/p_1$), we find that Ω is an $O(\epsilon)$ contribution to the effective frequency of the oscillators given $\Omega = \epsilon K_1(\Psi^*) = \epsilon K_2(-\Psi^*)$. Linear stability analysis implies that fixed-point solutions are stable if $\epsilon [K_1'(\Psi^*) - K_2'(-\Psi^*)] > 0$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. Holden, Biological Cybernetics 21, 1 (1976).
- [2] M. R. Guevara, A. Shrier, and L. Glass, American Journal of Physiology 254, H1 (1988).
- [3] G. A. Petrillo and L. Glass, American Journal of Physiology 236, R311 (1984).
- [4] R. L. Calabrese and E. de Schutter, Trends in Neuroscience 15, 439 (1992).
- [5] R. S. Mackay and C. Tresser, Physica D 19, 206 (1986).
- [6] D. K. Campbell, R. Galeeva, C. Tresser, and D. J. Uherka, Chaos 6, 121 (1996).
- [7] S. Coombes, Physics Letters A (In Press) (1999).
- [8] P. C. Bressloff and S. Coombes, Neural Computation (In Press) (1998).
- [9] L. F. Abbott and T. B. Kepler, in *Statistical Mechanics of Neural Networks*, No. 368 in *Lecture notes in Physics*, edited by L. Garrido (Springer-Verlag, 1990), pp. 5–18.
- [10] T. Sauer, Physical Review Letters 72, 3811 (1984).
- [11] D. M. Racicot and A. Longtin, Physica D **104**, 184 (1997).
- [12] K. Yoshino, T. Nomura, K. Pakdaman, and S. Sato, Physical Review E 59, 956 (1999).
- [13] C. C. Chow, Physica D **118**, 343 (1998).
- [14] D. T. Kaplan, J. R. Clay, T. Manning, L. Glass, M. R. Guevara and A. Shrier, Physical Review Letters 76, 4074 (1996).
- [15] J. P. Keener, F. C. Hoppensteadt, and J. Rinzel, SIAM Journal of Applied Maths 41, 816 (1981).
- [16] S. Coombes and G. J. Lord, Physical Review E **56**, 5809 (1997).
- [17] P. C. Bressloff and S. Coombes, International Journal of Modern Physics B 11, 2343 (1997).

- [18] P. C. Bressloff and S. Coombes, Physica D 126, 99 (1999).
- [19] C. van Vreeswijk, L. F. Abbott, and G. B. Ermentrout, Journal of Computational Neuroscience 1, 313 (1994).
- [20] G. B. Ermentrout, Journal of Mathematical Biology 12, 327 (1981).
- [21] P. C. Bressloff and S. Coombes, Physical Review Letters 81, 2384 (1998).
- [22] P. C. Bressloff and S. Coombes, Physical Review Letters 81, 2168 (1998).
- [23] J. Keener and J. Sneyd, Mathematical Physiology (Springer, New York, 1998).
- [24] F. C. Hoppensteadt and E. M. Izhikevich, Weakly Connected Neural Networks, No. 126 in Applied Mathematical Sciences (Springer-Verlag, 1997).
- [25] Z. Mainen and T. J. Sejnowski, Science **268**, 1503 (1995).
- [26] R. R. D. van Steveninck et al., Science 275, 1805 (1997).
- [27] J. D. Hunter, J. G. Milton, P. J. Thomas, and J. D. Cowan, Journal of Neurophysiology 80, 1427 (1998).
- [28] A. M. Thomson and J. Deuchars, Trends in Neuroscience 17, 119 (1994).
- [29] M. V. Tsodyks and H. Markram, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94, 719 (1997).
- [30] L. F. Abbott, J. A. Varela, K. Sen, and S. B. Nelson., Science **275**, 220 (1997).
- [31] L. F. Abbott and E. Marder, in *Methods in Neuronal Modelling (2nd ed.)*, edited by C. Kock and I. Segev (MIT Press, 1989), pp. 135–169.
- [32] A. Longtin and D. R. Chialvo, Physical Review Letters 81, 4012 (1998).




FIG. 1. An example of a 2:3 mode-locked solution that may arise in a sinusoidally forced IF system with $A(t) = 2 + 1.1\sin(2\pi t)$ and $\tau = 1$. Note that the system fires 3 spikes (with phases ϕ_0, ϕ_1, ϕ_2) for every two periods of the driving signal.




FIG. 2. Top: Bifurcation diagram for the interspike interval $\Delta^n = T^{n+1} - T^n$ as a function of the decay time τ . Bottom: The Liapunov exponent λ shows that orbits are either periodic $(\lambda < 0)$ or quasiperiodic $(\lambda = 0)$. Parameters are $I_0 = 2$ and $\epsilon = 1$. From $\tau = 0.5$ till the first bifurcation point the system is 1:1 frequency locked with the external signal. Note the transition at $\tau = 1$ $(I_0 - \epsilon = \tau^{-1})$ from a phase-locked regime to one in which a mixture of quasiperiodic and mode-locked behavior may occur. In this regime the measure of the parameter set for phase-locking goes to zero as $\tau \to \infty$.




FIG. 3. Top: Plot of the average firing frequency, by directly integrating the IF equations of motion, as a function of the decay parameter τ for $\epsilon = I_0 - \tau^{-1}$ with $I_0 = 2$ (ie along the border between circle map and non circle map dynamics for the firing times.) Note that the dominant mode solutions are 1:1, 3:4, 2:3 and 3:5 (with increasing τ). In the bottom figure we show the Arnold tongue structure for these dominant modes, predicted from our theoretical analysis. Below the dashed line $\epsilon = I_0 - \tau^{-1}$ a well defined rotation number for the circle map of firing times exists and the tongues do not overlap.




FIG. 4. Numerical experiments show that for $\tau=1$ and $I_0=2$ the synaptically driven IF neuron can easily generate skipping solutions for $\epsilon<0$. The top figure shows the average firing rate in the inhibitory regime for $\alpha=20$, $I_0=2$ and $\tau=1$, illustrating the dominance of the skipping solutions (q:1 mode-locking). In the bottom figure we plot the theoretically determined borders of stability for such mode-locked solutions in the (ϵ,α) plane.




FIG. 5. Top figure: An example of periodic motion on an attracting invariant circle for the ISIs in a sinusoidally forced IF system with $\epsilon=1,\ I_0=2$ and $\tau=1$. Bottom figure: an example of high order periodic motion on an attracting invariant circle for the ISIs in a synaptically forced IF system with $\alpha=2,\ \epsilon=1,\ I_0=2$ and $\tau=1$.




FIG. 6. Example of bursting behavior in a pair of IF oscillators with a mixture of excitatory and inhibitory coupling. $\alpha = 0.5$, I = 2, $W_{11} = W_{22} = 0$, $W_{12} = -W_{21} = 1$. Spike trains for the two neurons are distinguished by the height of the spike. Note that both trains may be described by solutions of the form (25).




FIG. 7. In the top figure we show a plot of the interaction function $K(\Phi) \equiv H_1(\Phi) - H_2(-\Phi)$ for 2:3 mode-locking with $\Delta = 2$ and $\alpha = 15$. In the bottom figure we show the solutions to $K(\Phi) = 0$ for $\Delta = 2$ as a function of α for the 1:1, 1:2 and 2:3 mode-locked states. Note that the 1:1 state has solutions for all values of α whilst all other mode-locked solutions occupy smaller regions of parameter space.