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#### Abstract

Wood mouldings are long, narrow pieces of timber with ornamental profiles formed on one or more faces. They are used in furniture, architecture and joinery industries and typical applications include door/window frames, skirting boards and picture frames. Conventionally, wood mouldings are produced by planing/moulding machines, where cutting edges, attached to rotating cutterheads, sever chips from advancing workpieces. The cutting edges are shaped specifically for each individual moulding profile; hence, the raw material is transformed into the finished product with a single feed. When the production is to be changed from one profile to another, the conventional machines require new cutter knives that are manufactured for the profile to be machined. This requirement introduces tooling and machine set-up costs which cannot be compensated in shorter production runs.

In order to minimize the aforementioned costs in short production runs, this thesis aims at the holistic development of Profile Independent Wood-Moulding Machine (PIMM). In the PIMM concept, the profile geometry is supplied directly from CAD drawings, and the profile-specific cutter knives of the conventional process are emulated by position control of a single cutting tool along software generated trajectories.

This thesis approaches the PIMM from an integrated mechatronic design perspective. Alternative solutions for mechanical, electrical and software components of the system were generated and evaluated via mathematical modelling and simulation. Then, the selected solutions were realized in a proof-of concept PIMM system, and the effectiveness of the proposed method has been investigated through machining experiments.

Overall, this research proposes a new machining method and demonstrates an integrated design process that initiates from an idea and results in a fully functional, physical mechatronic system; via efficient use of software, simulation and rapid prototyping technologies.
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## Nomenclature

| B | total equivalent damping coefficient lumped at the rotor | Nms/rad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $B_{c}$ | carriage damping coefficient | Ns/m |
| $B_{m}$ | rotor viscous damping coefficient | Nms/rad |
| Bs | leadscrew viscous damping coefficient | Nms/rad |
| $C_{t}, C_{c}$ | digital control signals on T and C axes | - |
| $C_{x}, C_{y}$ | digital control signals on X and Y axes | - |
| $C f_{x}, C f f y$ | friction compensation signals | - |
| $c$ | chip width | mm |
| $d$ | depth of cut | mm |
| $e$ | leadscrew efficiency | - |
| $F$ | total cutting force | N |
| $F_{e}$ | external force on the carriage | N |
| $F_{L}$ | lateral force | N |
| $F_{n}$ | normal (radial) cutting force | N |
| $F_{t}$ | tangential (main) cutting force | N |
| $F_{s_{n}}$ | normal force acting on the side edge of the cutter | N |
| $F_{s t}$ | tangential force acting on the side edge of the cutter | N |
| $F t_{n}$ | normal force acting on the tip edge of the cutter | N |
| $F t_{t}$ | tangential force acting on the tip edge of the cutter | N |
| $f$ | feed increment | mm |
| $g$ | gravitational acceleration | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ |
| $b$ | surface wave height | mm |
| $I_{\text {lim }}$ | amplifier current limit | A |
| $J$ | total equivalent inertia lumped at the rotor | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ |
| $J_{m}$ | rotor inertia | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ |
| $J$ s | leadscrew inertia | $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ |
| $K_{a}$ | amplifier gain | V/V |
| $K_{d}$ | D/A gain | V |
| K | motor back-emf constant | Vs/rad |
| $K_{p} T, K_{p} C$ | position gains of T and C axis controllers | - |
| $K_{t}$ | motor torque constant | - |


| $K_{\nu} T, K_{\nu} C$ | velocity gains of T and C axis controllers | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k$ | Stribeck empirical parameter | - |
| L | chip length | mm |
| $L_{m}$ | armature inductance | H |
| M | carriage mass | kg |
| NOS | number of segments in a profile | - |
| $n$ | number of cutter teeth | - |
| $n^{\prime}$ | number of cutter workpiece engagements in 1 second | - |
| $n_{p}$ | number of cutter workpiece engagements per pass | - |
| $n_{t}$ | number of cutter teeth cutting at the same time | - |
| $p$ | cutting power | W |
| $P_{\text {idle }}$ | power consumption of the idling cutter motor | W |
| $P_{\text {total }}$ | total power consumption of the cutter motor | W |
| $p$ | surface wave pitch | mm |
| R, $\theta$ | polar coordinates of cutter position | $\mathrm{mm},{ }^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{A}$ | arc radius | mm |
| $\mathrm{R}_{m}$ | armature resistance | Ohm |
| $\mathrm{R}_{\text {t }}$ | leadscrew gain | mm |
| $r$ | cutter radius | mm |
| $T_{m}$ | motor torque | Nm |
| $T_{\text {idk }}$ | torque generated by the idling cutter motor | Nm |
| $T_{\text {total }}$ | total torque generated by the cutter motor | Nm |
| $t$ | pass time | $s$ |
| $t_{c}$ | cycle time | s |
| $U_{c}$ | disturbance voltage due to Coulomb friction | V |
| $U_{e}$ | disturbance voltage due to external force | V |
| $U_{f}$ | disturbance voltage due to friction | V |
| $U_{s}$ | stiction break-away voltage | V |
| $u$ | applied armature voltage | V |
| $W_{6}$ | cutting work | Nm |
| w | workpiece width | mm |
| $w_{p}$ | profile width | mm |
| $X, Y$ | Cartesian coordinates of cutter position | mm |


| $X_{1}, Y_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{2}$ | segment end－point coordinates | mm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{A}, Y_{A}$ | centre point coordinates for arc segments | mm |
| $V_{\text {lim }}$ | path speed limit | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $V_{t}, V_{t}$ | cutter velocity on T and C axes | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $V_{x}, V_{y}$ | cutter velocity on X and Y axes | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $v$ | cutter tip speed | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\nu_{\text {mean }}$ | mean path speed | $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $a$ | cutter rake angle | 。 |
| $a_{s}$ | rake angle at the side edge of the cutter | － |
| $a_{t}$ | rake angle at the tip edge of the cutter | － |
| $\beta$ | cuter sharpness angle | － |
| $\gamma$ | cutter clearance angle | － |
| $\gamma_{s}$ | clearance angle at the side edge of the cutter | － |
| $\gamma_{t}$ | clearance angle at the tip edge of the cutter | － |
| $\Delta$ | re－defined zero velocity limit | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| $\delta$ | cutter thickness | mm |
| $\delta_{m}$ | mean chip thickness | mm |
| $\varepsilon_{c}$ | contour error | mm |
| $\varepsilon_{t}$ | tangential error | mm |
| $\varepsilon_{x}$ | x －axis tracking error | mm |
| $\varepsilon_{y}$ | y －axis tracking error | mm |
| $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ | polar coordinates of arc end－points | 。 |
| $\theta_{m}$ | rotor angular displacement | rad |
| $\tau$ | transformation matrix | － |
| $\psi$ | inclination angle between X－Y and T－C frames | 。 |
| $\omega$ | cutter angular speed | rpm |
| wille | angular speed of the idling cutter | rpm |
| $\omega_{\text {str }}$ | Stribeck velocity | $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ |

Other symbols are defined as they appear in the text．
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 Background and Motivation

Wood mouldings are long, narrow pieces of timber with ornamental profiles formed on one or more faces. They are used in furniture, architecture and joinery industries and typical applications include door/window frames, skirting boards and picture frames.

Conventionally, wood mouldings are produced by planing/moulding machines. Despite being widely used, the term "mouldings" is somewhat misleading since this is a material removal process and no material deformation as such takes place. The material removal phenomena is similar to that of milling of metals in upcutting mode, where a cutterhead containing cutting edges is used to sever chips from the advancing workpiece (Figure 1.1) [1].

The cutterheads contain suitably shaped cutter knives for each individual profile; hence, the raw material is transformed into the finished product with a single feed. This process is characterized by high cutting tool tip velocities ranging from 30 to
$125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ with correspondingly high workpiece feed speeds between 5 and 120 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{min}$ [1][2].


Figure 1.1 Conventional moulding process
When the production is to be changed from one profile to another, the conventional machines require new cutter knives that are manufactured for the profile to be machined. After the knives are changed, cutterhead balancing and machine set-up are needed to be performed before the production can start again. In mass production, high output capability of the conventional machines compensates the costs of manufacturing, mounting and maintenance of the profile-specific cutters as well as the downtime for machine set-up. Where just short production runs of particular type of moulding are required or even a few metres of a "one-off special" is needed, the aforementioned costs cannot be compensated.

It is intended to design a new moulding machine for short production runs in order to minimize the tooling and set-up costs. The proposed concept for a profile independent wood-moulding machine (PIMM) is described in the next section.

### 1.2 Proposed Machining Method

The essential part of the profile independent wood-moulding machine (PIMM) is a very thin (i.e. 1 mm ) cutting disc, such as a circular saw, mounted on a two-axis positioning system (Figure 1.2). The moulding is produced by oscillating the rotating cutting disc along the width of the timber while following the desired profile geometry. The timber is fed in between two consecutive passes along the width.


Figure 1.2 PIMM concept

In this concept, the profile geometry is supplied directly from CAD drawings, and the profile-specific cutter knives of the conventional process are emulated by position control of a single cutting tool along software generated trajectories. This introduces full manufacturing flexibility and enables the production of wood mouldings with minimum cost and machine set-up time. Also, within limits, the profile can be changed along the length of the timber, which is not possible with the conventional method.

It is clear that the production tate of the proposed method will be lower than the conventional method, but the PIMM is intended for smaller volumes of production where the conventional moulding machines are costly to operate.

### 1.3 Research Objectives

The overall objective of this research is the holistic development of profile independent wood-moulding machine (PIMM) through an integrated mechatronic design approach. In order to achieve the overall objective, the following secondary objectives were established:

- To investigate material removal phenomena and cutting forces of the proposed machining method.
- To explore methods for extracting moulding profile geometry from CAD files.
- To form a mathematical modeling and simulation frameworke for the proposed machining method.
- To develop motion control and synchronization algorithms for cutter positioning.
- To build a test-rig for experimental verification.


### 1.4 Research Novelty

The primary novelty of this research is the transformation of wood-moulding production from being hardware dependent to become software oriented. Before this work, the concept of using a single cutting tool for every moulding profile had never been experimented. Also, to the author's knowledge, the PIMM is the first example, where a circular disc cutter, such as a circular saw, is used to remove material while traversing along its axis of rotation.

This work is presented in the world's leading wood machining conference, $17^{\text {th }}$ International Wood Machining Seminar, and the novelty is confirmed by the experts from academia and industry.

In addition, this research demonstrates an integrated design process that initiates from an idea and results in a fully functional, physical mechatronic system; via efficient use of software, simulation and rapid prototyping technologies.

### 1.5 Method of Approach



Figure 1.3 Research phases

Figure 1.3 depicts the nine phases of this research work and their interrelations. Brief descriptions of the phases are as follows:

Phase One consists of an extensive review of literature in related areas. The findings of this phase directly influenced the work carried out in the following three phases.

Phase Two: Performance targets are set for the PIMM system. Conceptual machining experiments were performed in order to investigate the material removal phenomena and cutting forces.

Phase Three evaluates actuator alternatives for the two-axis cutter positioning system. This phase results in a decision of the actuator type (i.e. timing belt drive, leadscrew drive, etc.).

Phase Four: The second stage of drive evaluation is supported by mathematical modeling. Available products are evaluated for the selected actuator type. Control system design is also performed in this phase.

Phase Five: Mathematical models and control system from the previous phase are refined and tuned via softwate simulations. The next phase is initiated when the simulation results meet the performance targets.

Phase Six: A test rig is designed for experimental verification.

Phase Seven: System identification is performed for refinement and validation of the mathematical models. Also, the unknown parameters of the test-rig are estimated.

Phase Eight includes experiments and testing. Limitations and performance of the concept, and its implementation, are analyzed.

Phase Nine discusses the results and identifies the areas for further research.

### 1.6 Thesis Organization

This thesis consists of eight chapters organized as follows:

Chapter 1 provides a general background on the production of wood mouldings, and introduces the proposed machining method. Objectives, novelty and methodology of this research work are also outlined.

Chapter 2 presents a literature review in various areas related to this research work.

Chapter 3 investigates the material removal phenomena and cutting forces in wood machining. Cutting forces occurring in the proposed machining method are estimated by a series of experiments. The experimental procedure is explained and the results are analyzed.

Chapter 4 describes the modeling and simulation framework. The PIMM system is studied in two parts as the electro-mechanical hardware and the control structure. In the first part; performance targets are set, actuators are selected, and mathematical models are derived. In the second part, algorithms for profile input and control system are explained. Finally, feasibility of the PIMM is verified via simulations.

Chapter 5 deals with some design aspects of the PIMM test-rig. Mechanical design, control hardware, and software implementations are presented.

Chapter 6 discusses the identification of system parameters for validation and refinement of the mathematical models. The employed procedures for friction modeling, identification, and simulation are explained.

Chapter 7 presents a discussion through machining experiments performed in the PIMM test-rig. The limitations and performance of the PIMM concept, in terms
of speed and accuracy, are investigated. Weak points of the current implementation are identified and potential improvements are proposed.

Chapter 8 outlines the conclusions of the research. Also, several areas of further research are recommended.

Appendices follow the main content.

## Chapter 2

## Review of Previous Work

### 2.1 Introduction

This chapter summarizes previous work done in the fields related to this research work. The reviewed literature is classified into four sections as follows:

- Wood machining: The areas of wood machining research, primarily related to planing and moulding:
- Contouring machinery: Capabilities and design features of the existing small-sized CNC contouring machinery.
- Profile Independent Wood-Moulding: Investigations on the concept prior to this research work.
- Multi-axis drive system control structures and software mechanisms: Review of the available multi-axis control algorithms and their evaluation in terms of performance, computational requirement and ease of implementation


### 2.2 Wood Machining

The previous work done in the areas of wood machining research, primarily related to planing and moulding, is reviewed in this section.

### 2.2.1 Definition and history of wood machining

Wood machining is a process of converting the wood feedstock into a valueadded product, either by altering its geometric shape or improving its surface finish or the combination of both [3]. The challenge from a researcher point of view is to optimize the process in order to achieve manufacturing at the lowest cost within the shortest possible time with minimal waste and highest quality.

Wood was one of the first taw materials to be used by man and this use continues to the present day. In the beginning wood would be used in its raw state but, with the development of stone and metal tools, man began to use them to produce shapes that were more convenient to use. That was the beginning of woodworking industry [4].

The mechanization of woodworking starts with water wheel actuated sawmills. Such mills were in use in Europe since the 14th century and they would have used reciprocating saws. The cutting and shaping of wood by machine became widespread only after the late $18^{\text {th }}$ century following series of important inventions.

The invention of steam engine made available a powet source, which can be installed in any appropriate premises. Moreover, this new source was capable of delivering more power than water with controllability all year around. At this time, however, there were no machine tools, which could be used for cutting of wood.

The rotary machine cutting of timber started in 1777 with the invention of circular saw by Samuel Miller. Machine planing or moulding of wood became available
after the invention of rotating cutter block by Samuel Bentham in 1793. The origins of most of the types of woodworking machinery in use today can be tied to machines invented between about 1777 and 1850 [4].

The modern planers have developed initially from Malcolm Muir's patented invention in 1827. This machine was using an endless chain mechanism for automatic timber feed and tonguing and grooving saws for the planing operation. John McDowall modified the design of Muir in 1836 and converted machines to the planers that we know today. Feed rollers replaced the endless chain and rotating cutter blocks were employed instead of tonguing and grooving saws.

The end of the 1 'th $^{\text {th }}$ century saw many engineering developments taking place in terms of machinery. Improvements like precision ball bearings, higher spindle speeds, better tooling materials and techniques have found their way into the woodworking industry.

Transmission of power from a single prime mover using lineshafts, belts and pulleys was causing a great deal of inefficiency due to significant power losses in the system and down-time for maintenance of numerous belts and bearings. Moreover, the positioning of the machines in the factory floor was dictated by the transmission mechanisms, resulting in a complicated workflow. In the beginning of $20^{\text {th }}$ century, electric motors were first used in woodworking machinery for breaking down the complicated lineshaft transmissions. This new generation of machines offered much improved efficiency, flexibility and saving in floor space. Today's modern planers and moulders with their multiple cutterhead arrangements made the production more flexible and compact by replacing individual machines designed for particular operations.

Another set of improvements has been experienced in the tooling area of woodworking machinery. The square cutterblock has largely been superseded by round cutterblock tooling [2]. Due to the introduction of more durable cutting materials, solid profile cutting blocks are used less. Replaceable tungsten carbide
inserts offering improved tool life are used instead of high-speed steel cutter knives. Segmental cutterblocks are used for moulding.

### 2.2.2 Wood mouldings

Wood mouldings are long, narrow pieces of timber with ornamental profiles formed on one or more faces. Wood mouldings are used in furniture, architecture and joinery industries and typical applications include door/window frames, skirting boards and picture frames. They differ in the shape of the profile as well as the wood types used. A selection of wood mouldings can be seen in Figure 2.1.


Figure 2.1 Selection of wood mouldings
The raw material for the mouldings is in the form of rough sawn pieces with constant nominal rectangular cross section along the length. The section dimensions typically range from 10 to 100 mm thick and 20 to 300 mm wide. Timber lengths can be as small as 250 mm and as long as 6 metres depending on the customers' requirements and the incoming packs of timber. The lengths of run of any type of profile vary between several hundred metres to several hundred thousand metres in mass production [2]. The characteristics of the timber raw material, cutting tool parameters, spindle speed and feed rate are the four main factors affecting moulding process. All of them play an important role in the quality of the finished product.

After the moulding process the timber can go through intricate shape machining and sanding to produce components for assembly of furniture products. The final assembly is finished with stain and polish and the end product is produced.

### 2.2.3 Planing and moulding machines

Wood mouldings are produced in planing and moulding machines. The general name for this machining process is rotary machining of timber. Despite being widely used, the term 'mouldings' is somewhat misleading since this is a material removal process and no material deformation as such takes place. The material removal phenomena is similar to that of milling of metals in up-cutting mode, where a cutterhead containing cutting edges is used to sever chips from the advancing workpiece (Figure 2.2) [1].


Figure 2.2 Rotary machining process


Figure 2.3 Planing and moulding machine

Rotary machining of timber is characterised by high cutting tool tip velocities ranging from 30 to $125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ with correspondingly high workpiece feed speeds between 5 to $120 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$. Planing and moulding machines (Figure 2.3) comprise a number of horizontal and vertical cutterheads configured in position and type (Figure 2.4) to suit a particular market segment (e.g. saw milling, joinery, furniture). Consequently, high material removal rates are achievable.


Figure 2.4 Cutterhead configuration


Figure 2.5 Profile-specific cutting knife

Planing and moulding machines are economical in mass production due to their high throughput capability and the possibility of machining all the faces in one feed. On the other hand, the need for using suitable shaped cutting knives (Figure 2.5) for each individual moulding profile makes the process inflexible to changes in production.

When the production is to be switched from one profile to another, first all the cutting knives are changed, then the cutterheads are balanced and then the machine set-up is performed in order to start machining the new profile. The high production rates of the machines compensate for the costs incurred in manufacturing, balancing and maintenance of the profile-specific cutting knives, as well as the set-up downtime. Where short production runs of a particular type of moulding are required, or even a few meters of a "one off special" is needed, the tooling and set-up costs cannot be compensated [5][6].

### 2.2.4 Surface quality

The quality of the machined timber surface determines the market sector in which the manufactured product can be used. It is characterized by three parameters.

## - Geometric accuracy

- Surface roughness
- Surface waviness

In terms of geometric accuracy, the tolerances vary from $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ to $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ depending on the end-usage of the product. Surface roughness is described as texture effects due to the cellular structure of timber and also produced by cutting the timber with a knife edge. Surface waviness is defined as the longer-wavelength components produced by the rotary machining process, including any deviations from the ideal waviness profile [1]. The roughness and waviness quality of a timber surface is influenced by the following key factors [2]:

- Type and condition of the timber workpiece,
- Type and condition of the cutter equipment used,
- Machine configuration,
- Method of machine operation,
- Engineering quality of the machine.

Surface roughness is primarily influenced by workpiece and cutting tool properties whereas the surface waviness is primarily determined by type, operation and engineering quality of the machine.

Surface waviness is the inevitable result of the rotary machining process. Rotating cutterheads produce a regular series of waves that are perpendicular to the workpiece feed direction. An illustration of the ideal case for surface waviness is shown in Figure 2.6.


Figure 2.6 Surface waviness concept
The relationship between the parameters is well established and widely used [1][2][7][8] and is shown by equation (2.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{V}{N \cdot n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $p$ is the surface wave pitch ( mm ), $V$ is the workpiece feed velocity ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $N$ is the number of cutting edges and $n$ is the angular velocity of the cutterhead ( rpm ). A good quality surface is classified by a wave pitch of typically $<1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ and a lower quality surface by a wave pitch of typically $>2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The
wave height $h$ of the idealized surface is defined by equation (2.2), where $r$ is the cutter tracking radius, and is typically $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for higher quality surfaces [1].

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=r-\sqrt{r^{2}-\frac{p^{2}}{4}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Surface waviness has no significant importance if the product is going to be coated or hidden by other materials. On the other hand; industries like furniture, joinery and high quality mouldings, where aesthetics is the main concern, demand the highest quality machined timber.

The equations above show that there is a trade off between quality and cost in rotary machining of timber. Increasing the feed speed on its own to achieve high throughput results in increased wave pitch therefore decreased quality. Also increasing the number of cutters and/or the spindle velocity preserves the quality but increases the power requirements and cost of production.

### 2.2.5 Productivity in wood machining

Woodworking industry deals with substantial amount of economic and technological complexity. However, in contrast to the vast amount of research and publication for metal machining, wood machining has received little attention. Due to the similarities with wood machining, researchers of the field often used widely studied metal milling and grinding literature [1].

Current productivity improvement initiatives in furniture production environments do not accord the necessary importance to wood machining processes. In most instances, efforts are targeted towards direct cost elements such as raw material and labour. A close examination of the product expenses however, reveals that machining related cost can account for $23 \%$ of the total production cost, and improvements in machining processes will inevitably lead to better production throughput and reduced unit cost [9]. Wood machining
research worldwide has traditionally focused on reducing cutting tool wear, improving tool design and optimizing spindle speed [10].

Productivity in wood machining processes has two essential dimensions, namely the resultant surface quality and the cost incurred [11]. The concept of quality in furniture must be approached from three different perspectives; structural, functional, and aesthetical. The influence of machining processes on aesthetical aspects of the furniture is perceived to be more far reaching than what it exerts on the structural and functional aspects [10].

The machining cost in the furniture industry is the sum total of four other cost elements [12] as defined in equation (2.3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{T}=C_{c}+C_{h}+C_{t}+C_{n} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $C_{T}$ is the total machining cost, $C_{c}$ is the machining cost, $C_{b}$ is the tool change cost, $C_{t}$ is the tooling cost and $C_{n}$ is the non-productive cost. The four cost elements contributing the total machining cost can be derived from equations (2.4) to (2.7).

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{c}=\frac{R_{c} \cdot L \cdot \pi \cdot D}{F \cdot V}  \tag{2.4}\\
C_{h}=\frac{R_{c} \cdot L \cdot \pi \cdot D \cdot t_{1}}{F \cdot V \cdot T}  \tag{2.5}\\
C_{t}=\frac{C_{e} \cdot L \cdot \pi \cdot D}{F \cdot V \cdot T}  \tag{2.6}\\
C_{n}=R_{c} \cdot t_{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Where $R_{c}$ is the overhead charge rate ( $£ /$ hour), $L$ is the length of cut (m), $D$ is the diameter of the cutter ( mm ), $F$ is the feed rate ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{min}$ ), $V$ is the cutting speed $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}), T$ is the tool life $(\mathrm{min}), t_{1}$ is the tool change time, $t_{n}$ is the non-productive time, and $C_{e}$ is the tool cost.

Increasing the cutting speed during the machining process, despite increasing the machining output, increases the tool change cost, tooling cost and unproductive cost as well. Therefore, an optimal cutting speed is not only preferable but also economically viable as well.

The $R_{c}$ factor in the above equations constitute power consumption and machine depreciation rates. The relationships between power consumption and machining variables allow for a better estimation of energy requirements during the machining process. Coupled with the mathematical relationship for machining rate, tool wear and its related cost, the total machining cost can be determined more accurately [9].

### 2.3 Contouring Machinery

As a part of the literature survey, the existing CNC contouring machinery for processing soft materials (i.e. wood, plastics, light metals etc.) have been researched. The following keywords were entered to scientific and www search engines:

- miniature CNC machinery
- CNC contouring machinery
- CNC benchtop routing machine/router
- CNC mini milling machine
- CNC engraving machine/engraver
- CNC waterjet cutter
- CNC laser cutter
- vinyl cutter
- contour cutter
- plotter


1 - Roland EGX-20 Desktop Engraver


3 - Roland Modela MDX-20 CNC Desktop Milling Machine


5 - Roland Modela MDX-650 CNC Desktop Milling Machine


2 - Roland EGX-300 Desktop Engraver


4 - Denford Novamill CNC Desktop Milling Machine


6 - Denford Microrouter Compact CNC Desktop Router


7 - Roland CAMM1 GX-24
Desktop Vinyl Cutter

Figure 2.7 Some examples of CNC contouring machinery [13][14]

The scientific search engines did not provide relevant information about the keywords. On the other hand, some examples are found from leading manufacturers of small-sized CNC processing machinery; such as Roland [13] and Denford [14]. Figure 2.7 shows photographs of these machines, and Table 2.1 lists their selected properties. The properties for the PIMM are approximated for comparison.

| machine <br> $\#$ <br> (Figure 2.7$)$ | operation area <br> $(\mathbf{X Y Z})$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | max. drive <br> speed <br> $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s})$ | drive <br> motor <br> type | max. <br> spindle <br> speed $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | spindle <br> power <br> $(\mathrm{W})$ | selling <br> price <br> $(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $203 \times 152 \times 32$ | $15(\mathrm{XY}), 6(\mathrm{Z})$ | step | 15000 | 12 | 1500 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | $305 \times 230 \times 30$ | $60(\mathrm{XY}), 30(\mathrm{Z})$ | step | 15000 | 30 | 3000 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | $305 \times 305 \times 105$ | $50(\mathrm{XY}), 30(\mathrm{Z})$ | step | 15000 | 100 | 3000 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | $229 \times 152 \times 114$ | $25(\mathrm{XY}), 9(\mathrm{Z})$ | step | 5000 | 370 | 12500 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | $650 \times 450 \times 155$ | 85 | AC | 12000 | 400 | 14000 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | $400 \times 200 \times 100$ | 37.5 | step | 24000 | 1300 | 7000 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | $584 \times 25(\mathrm{XY})$ | $10-500$ | DC | force on blade $=2.45 \mathrm{~N}$ | 1500 |  |
| $\boldsymbol{P I M M}$ | $\approx 150 \times 30(\mathrm{XY})$ | $\approx 300(X Y)$ | $D C$ | $>15000$ | $>100$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.1 Some properties of the selected machines

Except the vinyl cutter (\#7), the selected machines perform three-axis contouring, where X and Y are the horizontal axes and Z is the vertical. Whereas the PIMM performs two-axis contouring on the X - (horizontal) and Y - (vertical) axes, and the Z -axis (horizontal timber feed) thru-feeds the workpiece in one direction. In terms of operating area and spindle speed, the PIMM is near to the desktop engravers (\#1 and \#2). On the other hand, the required spindle power is in the range of milling machines (\#3, \#4, \#5). However, the drive speeds in both types of machinery are much slower than the expected speed of the PIMM (i.e. $\approx 300$ $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ ). The vinyl cutter (\#7) operates at faster drive speeds, but the force acting on the vinyl cutter drives (i.e. force on blade) is very low compared to the ones expected to act on drives of the PIMM. Positioning accuracy and repeatability of the selected machines are $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. This value is also expected from the PIMM.

As a result, the PIMM cannot be benchmarked directly against a certain type of machine, but it combines different features of various small-scale contouring machines.

### 2.4 Profile Independent Wood-Moulding

This section summarizes the previous work on the PIMM concept prior to this research work.

The initial effort on the concept [15] was concentrated on electro-mechanical design of a two-axis test-rig in order to experiment the feasibility of tracking moulding profiles. In a subsequent investigation [5][6][16], profile tracking was explored in more detail by applying various path control methods on the test-rig. Although, the results showed some evidence on the viability of the concept, the achieved tracking speed and accuracy were far from being satisfactory.

In the previous studies; possible options for cutter, spindle and timber-feed were not explored. The efforts were concentrated solely on the positioning along the X and Y axes under no-load (i.e. air cutting) conditions. However, the fact that the positioning is for a machining process was overlooked, and the real novelty, which is the method of material removal, had not been investigated. The priority of the X and Y axis drives is to accommodate a cutter and a spindle unit, which delivers sufficient power to remove material. Furthermore, this power requirement determines the weight and size of the spindle unit, and these are the most important factors that influence the design of the X and Y axis drives.

It can be concluded that, in order to achieve a successful PIMM system, a thorough investigation of the process requirements is essential in the initial stages of the design activity.

### 2.5 Multi-Axis Drive System Control Structures and Software Mechanisms

When compared to the conventional moulders, it is clear that the PIMM has a slower production rate. Whereas, the quality of the mouldings produced by the PIMM should match the ones produced by the conventional moulders utilizing
shaped cutters. Apart from the drive hardware with good dynamics, optimum control and synchronization of the drive motions are essential in order to achieve the required geometric accuracy. Therefore, the available multi-axis control algorithms have been investigated and evaluated in terms of their accuracy, computational requirement and ease of implementation.

### 2.5.1 Motion control coordination and error sources

Most of the machines and processes that are automated involve motion; therefore, they will require motion control and, quite often as in the case of PIMM, coordinated motion. From the motion control viewpoint, coordination and synchronization of any motions can only be obtained through some constraint. Mechanical systems achieve motion coordination and synchronization over a wide range of normal and abnormal operating conditions using cams, gears and linkages [17]. The mechanical coupling of motions is introduced by solid members. Any disturbance on one axis will transmit to all axes and the relative reactions will also reflect back to all axes. As long as the transmission parts are robust to withstand the disturbance and reactions, the synchronization of the motions can be maintained. When the mechanically linked systems are replaced by independent servo drives, the servo drives must be forced into coordination and synchronization by an appropriate control system.

The principle behind synchronization architecture is that independent intelligent drives perform in a dependent manner. This structure introduces reciprocal actions which provide the constraint. In the mechanical systems, the constraint is the direct restriction force, because of the rigid structure. In software mechanisms, the constraint which regulates the motions is control actions which are based on the feedback information of the states of the whole system. These real-time reciprocal actions between servo-drives can be provided by a closed-loop control [18].

There are two types of motion systems: (1) point-to-point (PTP), and (2) contouring (continuous path). For PTP systems, a good axial positioning accuracy at the target points is required, and usually, a conventional proportional ( P ) controller can satisfy this requirement [19][20]. PTP systems are used in pick-andplace machines, packaging machines and some machining operations like CNC drilling. However, most manufacturing machinery, including the PIMM, performs contouring operations, where the axes-of-motion trace a predetermined path of the "tool" relative to the workpiece. In contouring systems, the prime concern is the "contouring error" $\varepsilon$, which is the shortest distance from the actual position $P$ to the desired contour, rather than the axial tracking errors $E_{x}$ and $E_{y}$ (Figure 2.8).


Figure 2.8 Contouring and tracking errors

Contour error sources in machining may be classified into three categories:

- mechanical hardware deficiencies (e.g. backlash, straightness error of the guideways, etc.) [21][22].
- cutting process effects (e.g. tool deflection, tool wear, vibration, etc.).
- controller and drive dynamics.

The total dimensional error is a combination of all errors from the above sources. The first and second sets of error sources can be reduced by improving the
mechanical hardware or utilizing compensation techniques but cannot be reduced by the control techniques discussed in this section [20][23]. However, the third set of error sources can be eliminated or reduced by utilizing properly designed multiaxis control and synchronization and they can be further classified into three categories [18][20][24].
(i) Mismatch in axial loop parameters

In case of mismatch axes, where the open-loop gains and the time constants of the axes of motion are not identical, each axis-of-motion has a different velocity transient and steady state position error. This causes contouring errors even without the presence of load disturbances [23].
(ii) External disturbances

Here a disturbance means an external action to the control loop which changes or disturbs the desired operation of the controlled variable. The controlled variable in this case is the position and the disturbances are the friction and the cutting forces.
(iii) Reference contour

For linear contours, each axis receives a position ramp input as a reference. The slope of the ramp is proportional to the required axial velocity. For ramp inputs, the control loop does not need special tracking abilities. However, when producing non-linear contours, the inputs to the control loops are also non-linear and this causes contour errors [20][25]. Contour errors also occur when cutting sharp corners. For example, if the table moves in the X-direction before the corner, and then in the Y -direction, the X -axis will still move when the motion in the Y-direction starts, which causes contour error [19].

The conventional controllers used in PTP systems result in significant contour errors in contouring systems. The approaches aimed at reduction of contour errors are discussed in five groups as follows:

- Sophisticated axial controllers for independent drives
- Dual-loop controllers
- Cross-coupling controllers
- Controllers with coordinate transformation
- Scalar field control technique


### 2.5.2 Sophisticated axial controllers for independent drives

Figure 2.9 shows the simplest biaxial control structure where two feedback controlled independent servo-drives receiving reference motion commands from a single microprocessor. The desired motion synchronization is defined by the reference position commands that are sent to individual axes and, none of the actual axis positions are used to generate a position demand from another axis. With this open-loop structure, the resulting motion synchronization is determined by the performance of individual servo-drives. The control algorithms in this section are aimed at individual drives to follow their command inputs as accurately as possible


Figure 2.9 Biaxial motion control system

PID controller: It is the first solution that should be tried when feedback is used. The PID controller is by far the most dominating form of feedback controller used in the industry. More than $90 \%$ of all control loops is PID [26]. The correction signal is a combination of three components, namely, proportional (P), integral (I) and derivative (D). The controlled variable is corrected in a proportional manner to the error, steady-state errors and external disturbances are rejected with the integral term and using a derivative term the system damping is increased.

Design and tuning of PID controllers have been a large research area but these are not the focus of this work. Some recent examples can be found in [26][27][28] and [29].

Two main problems with PID controllers in contouring applications are: (1) poor tracking of corners and non-linear contours and (2) significant overshoots. To reduce the effect of these problems, the integral gain should be small and the implementation of the controller requires careful pre-programming of acceleration and deceleration periods [20].

Set-point gain scheduling (SPGS) method reported in [30] and [31] is another approach directed to remedying the corner tracking and overshoot problems. In this method, one high-gain and one low-gain controller is designed. The high-gain controller is used at the start in order to produce a rapid transient response. Then, the system switches to low-gain controller at a pre-defined point to ensure no overshoot. However, this method is only applicable to repetitive tasks and its use for stochastic systems is severely limited.

Feedforward controllers: Feedforward controllers have a feedforward term aimed at minimizing the position error during the move. The method is based on anticipating the required motion command signal and providing it as a bias signal [18]. Typically, the command signal is used in combination with an inverted plant model [32].

The two principal types of feedforward controllers are shown in Figure 2.10 where $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{s})$ and $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{s})$ represent the controller and drive units respectively.


Figure 2.10 Two principal types of feedforward controllers [18]

The idea behind the design in Figure 2.10(a) is to implement in the control computer a transfer function, which is the exact inverse of the feedback control loop $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{s})$; and then the actual position becomes the required position. The design in Figure 2.10 (b) has the same objective but the feedforward controller is the inverse transfer function of the drive unit only, and therefore it is independent from the design of the feedback controller [20].

A more advanced feedforward controller named "Zero Phase Error Tracking Controller (ZPETC)" was proposed in [33]. The concept of the ZPETC is based on pole-zero cancellation (i.e. $\left.G^{-1}(z) G(z)=1\right)$ and the design is similar to the one in Figure 2.10(a). The major disadvantage of the ZPETC is that it requires precise knowledge of the dynamic behavior of the drive system. However, there is usually a difference between the actual system and the model used in the computer, and this introduces another error source to the control system. Also, any external load perturbation or parameters varying in the position feedback loop may seriously degrade control performance [34]. In order to deal with the modeling errors and
plant parameter variations, adaptive ZPETC designs were proposed by many researchers [34][35][36].

Corner tracking problems also exist with ZPETC. To overcome this deficiency, the "Inverse Compensation Filter (IKF)" method was proposed [37]. This method adds a low-pass filter before the feedforward controller. The low-pass filter filters out the high-frequency signals needed in comer tracking, and consequently smoothes the resultant contouring path.

A basic comparison of the servo-controllers on dealing with the error sources described in the previous section is summarized in Table 2.2.

|  | P control | PID control | Feedforward <br> (with P controllers) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tracking non-linear <br> trajectories | Fair | Fair (low speed) <br> Poor (high speed) | Excellent (1) <br> Fair (2) |
| Axis mismatch | Fair | Good | Excellent (1) <br> Fair (2) |
| Disturbances | Poor | Good | Poor |
| Special Problems |  | Overshoot at <br> stopping | Performance is sensitive <br> to modeling error |

Notes:

1. Assume no difference between theoretical model and real system
2. Assume $2 \%$ difference between theoretical model and real system Grading: Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor.

Table 2.2 The evaluation of independent axis controllers [18]

### 2.5.3 Dual-loop controllers

Dual-loop controllers consist of two control loops, the inner loop embedding conventional motion controllers for each axis and the outer loop for synchronizing all axes. A schematic representation of biaxial dual-loop controller can be seen in Figure 2.11. Dual-loop design was firstly introduced for backlash compensation and slip couplers. Later, it has also been applied to feed systems for
packaging materials, high performance magnetic tape drives, and web tension control.

The design of a dual-loop controller is rather complex, since the control actions among the axes need to be well coordinated to avoid control action conflicts. There exist various designs for the outer loop controller. In [38] the outer loop controller dynamically modifies the interpolation process at each loop closing based upon the examination of the current actual and desired states of all axes. Depending on the examination, the controller determines whether or not the next increment of motion should be added to the inner control loop. A more improved version of this method is reported in [39]. The idea here is that, rather than simply deciding whether one should add the next increment of motion, one may choose to move forwards or backwards a set of increments determined by the consensus of all processes involved.


Figure 2.11 Biaxial dual-loop controller

Another design is presented in [40]. Given $x(k)$ and $x(k+1)$ are the desired outputs at $k$ th and $(k+1)$ th time instances, the drive is required to move from $x(k)$ to $x(k+1)$ in a single step. If the required acceleration for this move is more than the drives capability, saturation occurs. In this design, the controller predicts the drive saturation and slows down the desired contour tracking speed to avoid it. The contour tracking speed is slowed down via an online trajectory planning algorithm. When the saturation is predicted, intermediate points are inserted between $x(k)$
and $x(k+1)$. Consequently, the distance from $x(k)$ to $x(k+1)$ is travelled in more than one step resulting in lower acceleration requirement and slower contour tracking speed. The same number of steps is also inserted to the other axis, even if it is not predicted to saturate, in order to preserve the motion coordination.

Compared to the independent drive controllers explained in the previous section, the dual-loop controllers result in better contouring accuracy due to the closedloop nature of the motion synchronization.

### 2.5.4 Cross-coupling controllers

The cross-coupling control (CCC) architecture is concentrated on machine tool control. It was developed as a better alternative to conventional independent drive controllers for contouring applications. Also, some work in cross-coupling control can be found in the field of robotics, particularly in the domain of mobile robot control [41].

In a real (i.e. hardware) mechanism, there is inherent coupling between the various axes, and this ensures that a disturbance (load) applied to one axis will affect all axes in such a way that synchronization is maintained. In the basic software mechanism with independent drives, however, there is no coupling, so a disturbance affects only the axis to which it is applied. As no control system is ideal, the output position of the disturbed axis is bound to deviate from the reference position. The other axes are unaffected, so the output position state deviates from the desired contour [42].

The more primitive software mechanisms, that introduce coupling between independent axes, use master/slave topology. In this approach, the output position of one axis (the master) is fed through a transformation and used for the reference of another axis (the slave). When the master lags due to a disturbance or a demanding reference signal, the slave is restrained as well. This method is of
limited value because of the unidirectional nature of the coupling since no improvement is gained for disturbances applied to the slave axis [42].

The cross-coupling control architecture was first proposed in [43]. Unlike the master/slave techniques, it couples the axes in a symmetrical manner and grants equal-status to the axes. The main idea of cross-coupling control is to build in real time a contour error model based on the feedback information from all the axes as well as the interpolator, to find an optimal compensation law, and then to feed back correction signals to the individual axes. The cross-coupling controllers consist of two parts (1) the contour error model, and (2) the control law. All based on the original concept in [43], various CCCs were proposed by many other researchers. The differences lie either in the contour error model [44][45][46][47] or in the control law [24][48][49][50][51][52][53]. The block diagram of the cross coupled system is shown in Figure 2.12.


Figure 2.12 The cross-coupling controller [24]
The primary objective of the independent drive controllers is to reduce the individual axial tracking errors and thereby expect a reduction in the resultant contour error. However, reducing the axial errors does not necessarily reduce the
contour error in nonlinear cuts. Consider, for example, the case in Figure 2.13. Improvements in the axial control strategy shift the actual cutter location from point $P$ to point $P^{\prime}$. Although the axial errors $E_{x}$ ' and $E_{y}^{\prime}$ at point $P^{\prime}$ are smaller than $E_{x}$ and $E_{y}$, the contour error at $P^{\prime}$ is larger than that at $P$ [24].


Figure 2.13 The axial and contour errors for different cutter locations

The philosophy of the cross-coupling control is that the elimination of the contour error $\varepsilon$ is the controller objective. It considets the whole system as a single unit, rather than as individual loops. For a two axis system, the CCC responds to the difference between the two motion errors (i.e. synchronization error), to generate a coordination signal for the axes. The cross-coupled structure is suitable for systems where the time response of each individual controlled variable takes on a smallet role relative to the intervariable dependence $y=f(x)$ [43]. However, [48] and [49] report that the CCC, which was designed after the design of the individual axis controllers, improved the contouring response nearly independent of tracking response. Moreover, the design of the CCC was based on the linear model of the feed drive dynamics with significant non-linearities.

The Variable-Gain Cross-Coupling Controller, reported in [24], is an improved, non-lineat CCC that is based on gains that vary in real-time according to the shape of the contour. This approach is superior to the basic CCC in dealing with non-
linear contours such as circles and parabolas. The structure of the variable-gain CCC is shown in Figure 2.14.


Figure 2.14 The variable-gain cross-coupling controller [24]

The PID controller gains $W_{p}, W_{i}$, and $W_{d}$ (Figure 2.14) are fixed for a particular system. Typically, the cross-coupled control system is a multivariable system for a lineat trajectory, and a multivariable, non-linear and time varying system for a circular trajectory. Hence, it is very difficult to select the controller parameters (gains). A trial and error approach for controller parameter selection is adopted in most applications [45]. The output of the PID controller is decomposed into two axial components by multiplying by $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$. The axial components are then injected to each of the corresponding loops with the appropriate sign. This scheme ensures that contour error correction is executed in the proper direction. For a cut of a linear segment, the gains $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ are adjusted at the beginning of the segment. For non-linear cuts they are adjusted continuously during the cut. Compared to the conventional uncoupled controllers, error reduction of $3: 1$ to 10:1 can be achieved depending on the resolution of the system. [24].

Table 2.3 given below is an extended version of the Table 2.2 and it shows a performance comparison between the cross-coupling controller and different uncoupled axial controllers.

|  | P control | PID control | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feedforward } \\ \text { (with } \mathrm{P} \text { controllers) } \end{gathered}$ | CCC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tracking nonlinear rajectories | Fair | Fair (low f) <br> Poor (high f) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excellent (1) } \\ \text { Fair (2) } \end{gathered}$ | Good |
| Sharp corners | Fair | Poor | ZPETC: Fair (1, 2) <br> IKF: Excellent (1) Fair (2) | Excellent |
| Axis mismatch | Fair | Good | $\begin{gathered} \text { Excellent (1) } \\ \text { Fair (2) } \end{gathered}$ | Excellent |
| Disturbances <br> a) friction <br> b) cutting forces | Poor Poor | Good Good | Poor <br> Poor | Excellent <br> Excellent |
| Special <br> Problems |  | Overshoot at stopping | Performance is sensitive to modeling error | Requires a faster processor |
| Notes: |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Assume no difference between theoretical model and real system 2. Assume $2 \%$ difference between theoretical model and real system $\mathrm{f}=$ feedrate <br> Grading: Excellent, Good, Fair, Poor. |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.3 The evaluation of servo-controllers [20]

### 2.5.5 Controllers with coordinate transformation

Various authors have proposed multi-axis contouring controllers which employ coordinate transformation [54][55][56][57]. Although their names are different, their idea is the same; to perform coordinate transformation from the machine axes to the trajectory (task) coordinate and design the controllers on the directions normal and tangential to the contour.

In biaxial contour tracking applications the major concerns are the tangential error $\varepsilon_{t}$ and the contour error $\varepsilon_{6}$, which are, respectively, the error components tangent
and normal to the contour (Figure 2.15). Conventional motion controllers focus on the elimination of X - and Y -axis errors and expect a reduction in $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ However, as mentioned earlier, the reduction of axial errors may not mean the reduction of the contour error $\varepsilon_{c}$ [20]. To achieve an accurate contour tracking, many cross-coupling controllers have been developed and proven to be effective in elimination of the contour error. However, to minimise the contour error as well as the tangential error, proper designs for both the axial controllers and the cross-coupling controller are required. In practice, the coupled effect between the axial controllers and the cross-coupling controller may cause degradation in the tracking performance, and consequently bring a difficulty in the design of these controllers [54].


Figure 2.15 Tangential-contouring (T-C) coordinate frame and errors

To cope with the above problems, the controllers with coordinate transformation concentrate on direct and decoupled control of the concerned error components $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ through transformation between the machine ( $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ ) and tangentialcontouring ( $\mathrm{T}-\mathrm{C}$ ) coordinate frames. As shown in Figure 2.15, T and C axes are, respectively, tangent and normal to the contour, so that $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ are the axial error components of this frame [55].


Figure 2.16 Tangential-contouring contoller
Figure $\overline{2} . \overline{16}$ shows the block diagram of the biaxial tāngential-contouring controller proposed in [55]. Here, the coordinate transformation is represented by a matrix [T] and its transpose [T] ${ }^{t}$. Let $\theta$ be the inclination angle between the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ and T-C frames, then the transformation matrix is defined as:

$$
[\mathrm{T}]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\theta) & \sin (\theta)  \tag{2.8}\\
-\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $\left(R_{t}, R_{c}\right)$ and $\left(P_{t}, P_{c}\right)$ are the incremental reference and output positions in the T-C basis, respectively. Then, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
R_{x} \\
R_{y}
\end{array}\right]=[\mathrm{T}]^{t}\left[\begin{array}{l}
R_{t} \\
R_{c}
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{2.9}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{t} \\
P_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\mathrm{T}\left[\begin{array}{l}
P_{x} \\
P_{y}
\end{array}\right]\right.} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that the incremental reference command in the contouring (C) direction is zero, i.e., $R_{c}=0$. Ideally, the contouring controller reduces the contour error; and the tangential controller maintains the desired feed rate, or cutting speed. Together, they achieve contouring accuracy.

This controller can be regarded as a modification to the cross-coupling controller. While maintaining the advantages of the CCC, it clearly identifies the control effort to drive the tool along the desired trajectory [56]. The coordinate transformation controllers decouple the error dynamics into tangential and normal directions, and enable the design of the respective controllers independently of each other [54][55][56][57].

### 2.5.6 Scalar field control technique

Scalar field control technique, proposed in [42], is another axis coupling strategy created through a more physical appreciation of requirements. Let $M$ be the set of points that make up the desired position characteristic (i.e. desired curve) of a mechanism. If the output position of axis $i$ of an n -axis mechanism is $y_{i}$, then $\mathrm{p}\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, y_{n}\right)$ may be called the output position state of the mechanism. This may be viewed as a state vector comprising the positions of the axes. It is equally valid to refer $p$ as a point in $n$-space that is described by the ( $y_{i}, \ldots, y_{n}$ ) co-ordinate system. The nature of mechanism constrains $p$ to lie within $M$. The task of replacing a real mechanism with controlled servomotors can therefore be regarded as one of controlling the output positions of the servomotors to lie in $M$.


Figure 2.17 Principle of the scalar field controller [42]

A potential energy function (a function of $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$ ), or more generally a scalar field, is defined which has at its minimum the set of points $M$. The field is arranged so that its gradient is everywhere directed towards $M$. Each component of the gradient is then used as the input to a controller for the corresponding
servomotor. This is shown in Figure 2.17 for a two axis case. The scalar field can be imagined as a surface on which a ball rolls under the influence of gravity. If the set of points $M$, all lie at the same level and are the lowest points on the surface, the ball will eventually settle to that set (if damping is present). It can be seen from this analogy that the coupling that is present in a real mechanism is also present here. A disturbance which acts to move the ball parallel to one of the co-ordinate axes will also cause movement in other directions in such a way that the ball remains close to $M$.


Figure 2.18 Definition of the scalar field [42]

In order to choose an appropriate scalar field, it is useful to examine a conventional single-axis servomechanism, like the one in Figure 2.18(a). The position error $e$ in this system is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=r-y \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The error signal forms the input to the controller, which, if correctly designed, tends to drive $e$ to zero. An alternative approach would be to define a function $\Phi$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(y, r)=\frac{1}{2}(y-r)^{2} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is shown in Figure 2.18(b). The partial derivative of $\Phi$ with respect to $y$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta y}=y-r \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the single-axis controller can be implemented as shown in Figure 2.18(c), using a simple form of scalar-field control.

In a multi-axis system, there exist a whole set, $M$, of "target" position states, rather than a single reference position. Therefore-logical choice would be to relate the scalar field to the distance between the output position state, $p$, and the nearest position state, $q$ that lies in $M$. If this distance is denoted as $d_{p q}$, for an $n$-axis system, $\Phi_{\mathrm{pq}}$ can be defined as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{p q}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} d_{p q}^{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This scalar field satisfies only the spatial requirements of the mechanism. In other words, it makes sure the output state $p$ stays on $M$. However, the mechanism is also required to travel along $M$, and this introduces a temporal requirement. The conventional software mechanisms attempt to satisfy both the spatial and the temporal requirements through the same means, namely the reference signals. This is somewhat different to the situation in a real mechanism, where the spatial requirement, which is represented by the mechanism characteristic $M$, is met at all times, and any temporal requirement simply dictates the manner in which the state $p$ travels within $M$. To achieve this with the scalar field controller, a second scalat field, $\Phi_{\mathrm{qr}}$, is introduced as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{q r}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} d_{q r}^{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term $d_{q r}$ is used to denote the distance, measured along the mechanism characteristic, between the reference position state $r\left(r_{1}, . ., r_{n}\right)$ and the state $q$. This distance gives a measure of how far the output position state would have to travel along the characteristic $M$, to reach $r$.

The scalar field $\Phi$ is considered as a combination of the two fields, $\Phi_{\mathrm{pq}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{qr}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)=k_{p q} \Phi_{p q}+k_{q r} \Phi_{q r} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $k_{p q}$ and $k_{g r}$ are constants. The $p q$ field gives the system a tendency to move towards the mechanism characteristic via the shortest route. The addition of the $q r$ term also gives the system a tendency to move towards a particular position state $r$, within the characteristic. The two sets of efforts are therefore concerned with driving the output position state perpendicular and tangential to $M$, respectively. The constants $k_{p q}$ and $k_{q r}$ provide a relative weighting for the two tendencies. Generally, characteristic following is of greater importance to the reference tracking, therefore, $k_{p q}$ would be apportioned a greater weighting.


Figure 2.19 Definition of the terms relating to the mechanism characteristic [42]

Figure 2.19 shows a two-axis system with an arbitrary characteristic $M$, on which the above explained states and distances are shown. A relation $M_{\text {'in }}^{\prime}$ is generated by
linearising $M$ about $q$. A state $r^{\prime}\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, r_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ lies in $M_{l i n}^{\prime}$ and is the image of $r\left(r_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $r_{n}$ ) formed such that the distance $q r^{\prime}$ through $M_{k n}^{\prime}$ is equal to the distance through $M$ from $q$ to $r$. A relation $M_{k i n}$ is then generated by shifting $M_{k i n}^{\prime}$ in a direction normal to $M$ until $p$ lies in $M^{\prime \prime}$ in . The controller generates character-seeking efforts which drive $p$ towards $q$ and reference-seeking efforts which drive $p$ towards the state $r^{\prime \prime}\left(r^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, r^{\prime \prime}\right)$ lying in $M^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i n}$.

This technique introduces a form of coupling between the servo drives which closely emulates the type of coupling found in a real mechanism. Building a precise scalar field in real-time is crucial to the synchronization accuracy, particularly when nonlinearities exist in the system. It doess, hōwever, couple axes with reference to a logical analogy [18].

### 2.6 Summary

The previous work done in the fields related to this research are summarised. Firstly, the areas of wood machining research, which primarily relates to planning and moulding, are reviewed. Then, some examples of the existing small-scale CNC contouring machinery are presented and compared to the PIMM in terms of size, speed and power. It was seen that, the PIMM cannot be benchmarked directly against a certain type of machine, but it combines different features of various machines.

When compared to the conventional moulding machines, it is clear that the production rate of the PIMM will be lower. Whereas, the quality of the mouldings produced by the PIMM should match the ones produced by the conventional moulders utilizing shaped cutters. Apart from the drive hardware with good dynamics, optimum control and synchronization of the drive motions are essential in order to achieve the required geometric accuracy. Therefore, the available multiaxis control algorithms have been investigated and evaluated in terms of their accuracy, computational requirement and ease of implementation.

In order to achieve a successful PIMM system, a thorough investigation of the process requirements is essential in the initial stages of the design activity. The next chapter explores material removal phenomena, cutting forces and cutting power requirements.

## Chapter 3

## Cutting Forces

### 3.1 Introduction

In all of the dynamic machining processes, the nature of the cutting forces must be understood before accurate analysis of the production in terms of cost, quality and efficiency.

The metalworking industry has paid more attention to determination and modelling of the cutting forces than the woodworking industry. The reason is probably the relative difficulty with which metals can be machined. The nature of the rotary wood machining process is such that the cutting forces are impulsive in nature as in the milling of metals [2].

It is not intended for this thesis to present a wide view of the cutting forces encountered during wood machining. This has been treated in detail by [58], [59] and others over the last 50 years. Previous research by Kivimaa [58] reports the behaviour of cutting forces for various types of timbers and machining conditions. Although this work dates back more than fifty years, it is still the most
comprehensive investigation in woodworking forces and is referred by most, if not all, of the woodworking researchers dealing with the cutting forces.

The aim of this chapter is to investigate the nature of cutting forces and cutting power requirements in PIMM operation. For that, firstly, chip severing phenomena is described and the factors affecting the cutting forces in rotary wood machining are examined. Then, theoretical cutting force and power calculations are performed for PIMM operation mainly based on the results of Kivimaa [58]. Finally, purpose designed cutting force measurement test rig is explained and test results are discussed.

### 3.2 Influencing Factors

To separate a chip from a piece of wood, a certain force $F$ must be applied to the tool. This force should be bigger than the cohesion of the wood material and it is the result of several forces. These are, force from the bending of fibres, shearing force and the friction force on the faces of the tool [60]. The total cutting force $F$ can be divided into two components as shown in Figure 3.1. Tangential force component $\mathrm{F}_{t}$ (main cutting force) acts parallel to the movement direction of the cutting tool and the radial force component $F_{n}$ is normal to this. $F_{t}$ is considered to be the main component and it determines the energy consumption. Depending on the direction of the cutting force $F$, the $F_{n}$ may be directed either towards or away from the wood surface. In the former case the component $F_{n}$ is termed positive, and in the latter case negative (Figure 3.1).


Figure 3.1 Cutting force $F$ and its components $F_{t}$ and $F_{n}$

Cutting forces are influenced by various machine and tooling parameters in rotary machining. These are illustrated in Figure 3.2.


Figure 3.2 Rotary chip cutting
The following is a summary of the influence of machine, cutting tool and workpiece variables on cutting forces.

### 3.2.1 Cutting direction

Due to the anisotropic and cellular structure of wood, the cutting forces are influenced by the cutting direction. The cutting directions in the industry are determined by knife movement direction, cutting surface plane and direction of grain. The three main cutting directions are shown in Figure 3.3.

Generally, the magnitude of the main cutting force is the lowest in the $C$ (veneer) direction. The $A$ (crosscut) direction produce the highest main cutting forces because the wood is required to be failed in tension parallel to the grain [61]. In the $B$ (planing) direction, both the cutting surface and knife movement are parallel to the grain and the magnitude of forces are in between the $A$ and $C$ directions.

The $B$ direction is reported here after since this is the one where the planing and moulding processes are carried out.


Figure 3.3 Main cutting directions in wood machining

### 3.2.2 Width of cut

It is well established that the cutting forces are directly proportional to the width of cut, provided the cutting edge always overlaps the workpiece. Most of the authors have reported the cutting forces per unit width of cutter/workpiece engagement.

### 3.2.3 Cutting speed

In practice the cutting speed of woodworking tools can be from less than $1 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to more than $125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. However, there is no published work which investigates the whole range for cutting forces.

Kivimaa [58] carried out tests throughout the range $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}-50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ on a special purpose test rig, where 2 cm by 2 cm cross sectioned workpieces are fed radially into a rotating cutterhead. A work sharp knife having rake angle $a=35^{\circ}$ and
clearance angle $\gamma=10^{\circ}$ was used to sever chips with an average thickness of $\delta_{m}=0.1$ mm from Finnish Birch ( $11 \%$ moisture content) workpieces.

It was reported that no change in tangential or normal cutting forces can be detected. The values obtained throughout the speed range are
$F_{t}=3 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ width of cut
$F_{n}=0 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ width of cut

Walker [59], reported on tests for a range of cutting speeds from $5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to $35 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$. The test rig consisted of a whirling arm which carried the timber sample and engaged with a stationary cutter mounted on a strain gauge arrangement. Chips with $\delta_{m}=0.125$ were severed from Sycamore and Beech specimens ( $12 \%$ moisture content). The cutter rake angle was $a=30^{\circ}$. The results are averaged over five repeat tests and are as follows.

| Cutting Speed <br> $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s})$ | Wood <br> Type | $F t$ <br> $(\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ width of cut $)$ | Fn <br> (N/mm width of cut) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Sycamore | 6 | 0 |
| $5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Beech | 5 | 0 |
| $35 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Sycamore | 5 | 0 |
| $35 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Beech | 5 | 0.7 |

Table 3.1 Cutting forces at different cutting speeds [59]

Comparison of two results from Kivimaa [58] and Walker [59] shows that, cutting speed has little influence on the magnitude of cutting forces between $2.5 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to $50 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$.

McKenzie, according to [62], evaluated the force variation in cutting direction from a turning experiment, when cutting speed was increased from $15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ to 150 $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$. It was reported that, because of the speed changes, an additional amount of force due to acceleration has to be taken into account. This additional force is almost proportional to the square of the cutting speed and can be assimilated to an inertia force component of chip on the rake face. As a result, the higher the speed is, the greater the acceleration component. However, for turning and
sawing, cutting speed contribution on total forces seems to be low and, in any cases, is less than $10 \%$.

In [63] and [64], oblique wood cutting is performed with a rotting disc cutter. It was reported that the specific cutting energy, which is the work required per unit volume of cut, tends to increase as the rotating speed of the disc cutter increases. This implies that the increase in the circumference speed of the cutter would increase the required energy, most of which would transform into heat and cause a rise in the temperature of the cutting knife.

In the light of the previous work, it can be concluded that the effect of the cutting speed on the cutting forces is negligible. On the other hand, many authors agree that higher cutting speed gives better surface quality. The common explanation is that the speed increase acts as if the wood fibers' inertia and stiffness have been increased. As a result fiber severance is cleaner and surface quality is better [2][62].

### 3.2.4 Timber properties and condition

Kivimaa [58] investigated the main cutting force on 21 different wood species ranging from Balsa wood at 0.15 specific gravity to Indian Ebony at 1.2 specific gravity. A cutter with a rake angle of $a=35^{\circ}$ and clearance angle of $\gamma=10^{\circ}$ was used to severe 0.1 mm thick chips from specimens of $11 \%$ moisture content. The results show that the main cutting force increases with density and, one might expect times two increase in the magnitude of the cutting force depending on the wood species.
[65] and [66] reports cutting force tests on MDF boards having layers of different densities by using CNC routers and piezo-electric dynamometers. The results are in accordance with the ones obtained by Kivimaa [58]. Greater specific gravity substantially means less cell cavities and more cell walls in the wood; consequently, the force required to move the tool must also be greater [67].

Temperature and moisture content of the wood has little effect on the cutting forces. As the temperature of the timber increases, a slight decrease occurs in the cutting resistance. Also, increasing moisture content over $10 \%$ results in decreased cutting forces.

### 3.2.5 Cutter geometry and condition of the cutting edge

As in metal working, cutter geometry has a significant influence on the nature of the cutting forces developed during rotary woodworking. Cutter geometry parameters rake angle $a$, sharpness angle $\beta$ and, clearance angle $\gamma$ can be seen in Figure 3.2.

Kivimaa [58] reports on the influence of cutter rake angle $a$ being varied from $-3^{\circ}$ to $+60^{\circ}$ while keeping all other factors constant, with the exception to the sharpness angle $\beta$ which is clearly interrelated to $a$ and $\gamma$ (Figure 3.2).


Figure 3.4 Cutting forces $F_{t}$ and $F_{n}$ vs. cutter rake angle $\alpha$ in $B$ direction [58]

As can be seen from Figure 3.4, the rake angle has a significant effect on both the tangential and normal cutting forces. Rake angles smaller than $20^{\circ}$ cause both cutting force components to increase. This is more significant at greater chip thicknesses.

It should be noted that the tangential cutting force $F_{t}$ is always positive and increases with decreasing rake angle. Whereas, the normal force $F_{n}$ is seen to be negative for rake angles between $a=20^{\circ}$ and $a=50^{\circ}$; and can be considered as pulling the workpiece into the cutterhead. This can be explained by examining the cutter-workpiece interaction (Figure 3.5).


Figure 3.5 Phases of normal cutting force $F_{n}$ during chip formation

When the cutter engages the workpiece, it pushes the workpiece away and rubs over the surface until it can "bite" and start to cut, at which point the normal force $F_{n}$ becomes negative and the cutter starts pulling the workpiece into the cutterhead [2].

For smaller rake angles (i.e. $a<20^{\circ}$ ), it is more difficult for the cutter to "bite" the workpiece, and therefore, extended rubbing phase results in positive normal force. Increasing the rake angle further than $a=50^{\circ}$, while keeping the clearance angle $(\gamma)$ constant, results in very small sharpness angles $(\beta)$ and the cutting edge gets duller due to its failure to stand the force directed to it. Dull cutting edge cannot "bite" the workpiece and positive normal forces occur due to extended rubbing.

Walker [59] found that reducing the rake angle from $30^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$ increased the tangential force from $5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ to $10 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ and the radial force from $0 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ to $3.5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$. The cutting speed and chip thickness in the tests are $35 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ and 0.125 mm respectively.

In another set of experiments, performed by Kivimaa [58], the clearance angle $\gamma$ is varied between $2^{\circ}$ and $35^{\circ}$ while keeping all other factors constant, with the exception to the sharpness angle $\beta$. The obtained results are given in Figure 3.6.


Figure 3.6 Cutting forces $F_{f}$ and $F_{n}$ vs. cutter clearance angle $\gamma$ in $B$ direction [58]

From Figure 3.6, as $\gamma$ is increased, with constant $a$, the cutting forces are seen to decrease until a value of $\gamma=15^{\circ}$ is reached at which point a significant change occurs in the cutting force curves, and forces further increase with $\gamma$. Kivimaa [58] explains this by observing a critical sharpness angle $\beta_{\text {crit }}$ below which microscopic chipping of the cutting edge occurs after a small number of engagements of the cutter with the workpiece.

With reference to Figure 3.2, one can see that the sum of $a, \gamma$ and $\beta$ is $90^{\circ}$. Consequently, there exists a compromise between large rake and clearance angles in order to keep the sharpness angle greater than $\beta_{\text {rrit }}$.

At clearance angles less than $5^{\circ}$, interference may occur between the clearance face and the workpiece at large depths of cut at higher values of feed per tooth; this causes an increase in cutting forces and consequently power consumption [2].

It appears therefore, that a maximum rake angle of $35^{\circ}$, with sharpness angle of $45^{\circ}$ and clearance angle of $10^{\circ}$ is a reasonable compromise at this extreme. Clearly, as the rake angle is reduced the sharpness angle increases, thereby producing a stiffer and more durable cutting edge.

### 3.2.6 Chip thickness

Chip thickness, together with cutter geometry is the most significant parameter affecting the cutting forces. It can be seen in Figure 3.5 and Figure 3.6 that cutting forces, mainly the tangential force, increases with chip thickness. The greater rate of increase occurs at the small rake angles.

Kivimaa [58] studied the influence of chip thickness by using both work-sharp and dull cutters in order to form some kind of conception of the limits within which the force components vary when the tool gradually dulls during work. Nine different thicknesses from 0.0125 mm to 0.5 mm were employed. Figure 3.7 shows the obtained results.


Figure 3.7 Cutting forces $F_{t}$ and $F_{n}$ vs. chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ in $B$ direction [58]

First of all, it should be noted that dulling of the knife increases cutting forces irrespective of chip thickness. This increase is greater at thinner chips. Further, it is shown that within the chip thickness range from 0.025 mm to 0.5 mm , tangential force curves are almost linear.

Extensions of the $F_{t}$ lines do not run through the origin but bisects the ordinate axis at a point above the origin. This indicates that the power required for cutting wood is needed for two different purposes. One part of the power is required for affecting the cutting proper (i.e. shearing) and remains constant while chip thickness varies. This part mainly depends on knife sharpness. The other part is needed for deforming the severed chips still resting against the knife face and, for this reason, depends largely on chip thickness and is, within a certain range, almost a linear function. Moreover, it also depends substantially on the rake angle of the knife, which determines the degree of deformation and type of injury done to the chips [58].

The tangential force value obtained for the thinnest chip thickness of 0.0125 mm lies clearly beneath the described $F_{t}$ line. This is due to the fact that when test specimen feeds that small, not every knife impact will produce a separate perfect chip, some ride over the specimen compressing the wood slightly.

The high normal force values (i.e. even higher than the corresponding tangential force values) obtained with a dull knife cutting thin chips provide additional evidence in support of the tendency described above. Increasing chip thickness enables regular chip formation and causes $F_{n}$ curves to drop rapidly.

### 3.2.7 Upcutting and downcutting

Over the years, the relative merits of up-cutting and down-cutting have been investigated by some researchers on different wood machining processes. Generally, down-cutting generates greater cutting forces and requires more power, up to $25 \%$, due to increased average chip thickness [1][60][68].

The work presented in [69] and [70] discuss the influence of up- and downcutting on circular saw cutting stability. In down-cutting arrangement (Figure 3.8), the saw applies cutting forces on the workpiece in the direction of saw rotation. In turn, the workpiece applies an equal reaction force on the saw in the opposite direction. This reaction force is shown by the solid arrows in Figure 3.8(a). When the saw is bent slightly to one side, the reaction force follows the slope of the saw and turns to the same side. This turning of the reaction force creates a sideways force component that augments the existing sideways deflection of the saw. This increase in saw deflection impairs cutting accuracy.

The opposite situation occurs with up-cutting saw shown in Figure 3.8(b). With a up-cutting saw, the rotation is reversed so that the tooth motion direction coincides with the feed direction in the cutting area. This change also reverses the direction of the reaction force. Thus, when the saw is bent to one side, the sideways component of the reaction force now opposes the existing saw bending deflection. The resulting reduction in saw deflection improves sawing accuracy.


Figure 3.8 Cutting forces on a guided saw (a) down-cutting, (b) up-cutting [70]

Down-cutting also have a number of significant difficulties to overcome such as control of timber to prevent "snatch" occurring and extraction of wood chips which exit in the feed direction [2].

### 3.2.8 Chip formation

In wood machining, the type of chip formation influences the cutting forces, power consumption, cutting edge life and resultant surface quality. Chip formation is influenced by most of the previously discussed machine and timber parameters.

As recorded by Koch and enumerated by Franz [2][61][71] three basic chip types are observable when wood is machined parallel to the grain (i.e. $B$ direction). These types are illustrated in Figure 3.9.


Figure 3.9 Types of chip formation

Type I chips are formed when cutting conditions are such that the wood splits ahead of the tool by cleavage until it fails in bending as a cantilever beam. Factors leading to formation of Type I chips are:

- Timber with high bending strength but low splitting strength.
- Machining conditions that produce chips having average to heavy thickness.
- Large rake angles.
- Low coefficient of friction between chip and tool face.
- Low moisture content.

The splitting that occurs ahead of the cutting edge frequently runs below the plane generated by the path of the cutting edge, and therefore produces a rough surface. This is termed as "chipped grain". The Type I chip formation consumes minimum power and causes minimum tool wear.

Type II chips occur only under certain conditions; continuous wood failures that extent from the cutting edge to the work surface ahead of the tool must be induced. The movement of the tool strains the wood ahead of the tool in compression parallel to the grain and causes diagonal shearing stress; the wood fails and a continuous, smooth, spiral chip is formed. Factors which influence the formation of the Type II chips are:

- Small chip thickness.
- Average rake angles.
- Intermediate to high moisture content

Due to the cutting edge being in intimate contact with the wood at all times, cutter wear is greater than with a Type I chip. The resultant surface of the timber is exceptionally smooth and power demand is intermediate between that for Type I and Type III chips.

Type III chips tend to form in cycles. Wood is strained by compressive loading, and the particles of wood at the cutting edge become trapped due to poor chip flow conditions. This causes a built up edge of timber which effectively produces a new, softer cutting edge of greater rake angle which then promotes better chip flow and causes the trapped particles to be removed, and the process repeats. Factors which favour the production of Type III chips are:

- Small or negative rake angles.
- Dull cutting edges.
- Very low or very high moisture content.
- High coefficient of friction between chip and tool face.

This type of chip can cause a surface texture defect known as "fuzzy grain", where the timber surface is effectively rubbed by the built up edge. Power consumption is high and dulling is rapid.

Koch, according to [2], goes on to say that it is possible for all three types of chip to occur sequentially at different points within the rotary milling cutter path. Ideally Type II chip should occur at the start of knife engagement with the workpiece, as this is the portion of the cutter path which determines the quality of the machined surface. Type I normally occurs towards the exit point of the cutter from the workpiece, where the effective rake angle is greater (see Figure 3.2).

### 3.3 Theoretical Investigations

The aim of this section is to predict the cutting forces and cutting power in the PIMM process. The experimental results obtained by Kivimaa [58] were adapted to the cutting conditions of the PIMM.

### 3.3.1 Cutting forces in PIMM process



Figure 3.10 Forces acting on the tool edges

Due to the traverse motion of the cutting disc along the workpiece width, it is assumed that the side of the cutting tool as well as the tip perform cutting. Consequently, the cutting forces were estimated for two cutting edges (i.e. side
and tip) and then combined. Figure 3.10 illustrates the cutting force components acting on the cutting edges, where $F s_{t}$ and $F s_{n}$ are the tangential and normal components acting on the side of the tool and $F t_{t}$ and $F t_{n}$ are the forces acting on the tip of the tool.

Figure 3.11 shows the cutter-workpiece interaction and the important geometric parameters of the produced chips, where $f$ is the feed increment, $d$ is the depth of cut, $c$ is the chip width and $\delta_{m}$ is the mean chip thickness. Another important parameter, chip length $L$ is the length of the circular section at the bottom of the chip. Chip length $L$ and the mean chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ are calculated by equations (3.1) and (3.2) respectively, where $r$ is the cutter radius [58].

$$
\begin{gather*}
L=\frac{\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{r-d}{r}\right) \cdot 2 \cdot \pi \cdot r}{360}  \tag{3.1}\\
\delta_{m}=f \cdot \frac{d}{L} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 3.11 Cutter-workpiece interaction

At this point; some of the influencing factors, explained in the previous section, can be adapted to PIMM operation while keeping in mind the assumption that the two edges in Figure 3.10 are cutting at the same time.

Cutting direction: It can be understood from Figure 3.3 that when one of the edges (i.e. side or tip) is cutting on B1 direction, the other edge cuts on B2 direction and vice versa. Therefore, for both edges, the results of Kivimaa's work on $B$ direction can be used.

Width of Cut and Chip Thickness: For the tip of the cutter, width of cut (i.e. width of cutter/workpiece engagement) at any given time is equal to the chip width $c$ (Figure 3.11). The ratio of cutting speed to traverse speed is assumed to be great enough, such that, the chip width stays constant throughout the cutter workpiece engagement. On the other hand, chip thickness increases from 0 to $f$ during a single cut and its mean value $\delta_{m}$ is used to characterize this parameter.

When the side of the cutter is considered, the chips have constant thickness which is equal to the dimension $c$. This time the width of cut increases from 0 to $f$ and, $\delta_{m}$ value can be considered as the mean cutting width for the side of the cutter (Figure 3.11).

Cutting speed: In the previous section, it was concluded that the effect of the cutting speed on the cutting forces is negligible for conventional wood machining processes. However in PIMM, due to the traverse motion of the cutter, the cutting speed has an indirect, yet significant, influence on the cutting forces. Increasing the cutting speed, while keeping the traverse speed constant, increases the number of cutter-workpiece engagements (3.3) per unit length along the traverse direction. Consequently, teeth of the cutter sever chips with smaller width (3.4) and hence result in smaller forces.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{p}=\frac{\omega}{60} \cdot n \cdot t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{w}{n_{p}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n_{p}=\text { number of chips per pass } & c=\text { chip width }(\mathrm{mm}) \\
w=\text { workpiece width }(\mathrm{mm}) & \omega=\text { cutting speed }(\mathrm{rpm}) \\
n=\text { number of cutter teeth } & t=\text { pass time }(\mathrm{s}) .
\end{array}
$$

The influences of the other machining parameters are studied on the following example (Table 3.2), where a single pass over the width of a stationary workpiece with constant depth of cut is considered. Assuming that a single chip is severed at each cutter-workpiece engagement, the mean chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ the number of chips per pass $n_{p}$ and the chip width $c$ are calculated from equations (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) respectively.

| Parameter |  | Value | Parameter | Value |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Cutter radius $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $r$ | 50 | Workpiece width $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $w$ | 70 |
| Number of teeth | $n$ | 12 | Depth of cut $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $d$ | 5 |
| Cutting speed $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | $\omega$ | 15000 | Feed increment $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $f$ | 1 |
| Cutter tip rake angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $a_{t}$ | 10 | Pass time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $t$ | 2 |
| Cutter tip clearance angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $\gamma_{t}$ | 10 | Mean chip thickness (mm) | $\delta_{m}$ | 0.2 |
| Cutter side rake angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $a_{s}$ | 0 | Chip width (mm) | $c$ | 0.012 |
| Cutter side clearance angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | $y_{s}$ | 10 | Chips per pass | $n_{p}$ | 6000 |

Table 3.2 Example machining parameters

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{t}=F t_{t} \cdot c+F s_{t} \cdot \delta_{m}  \tag{3.5}\\
F_{n}=F t_{n} \cdot c  \tag{3.6}\\
F_{L}=F s_{n} \cdot \delta_{m} \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the tip of the cutter, where $a=10^{\circ}$ and $\delta_{m}=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$, tangential and normal cutting force values per width of cut are obtained from Figure 3.12(a) as $F_{t}=11.5$ $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}$ and $F t_{n}=0.5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$. For the side of the cutter, as explained before, the chips have constant thickness and it is equal to $c$. Consequently, tangential and normal force values are read from Figure 3.12(b), for $a=0^{\circ}$ and $c=0.012 \mathrm{~mm}$, as $F s_{t}=2 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$ and $F s_{n}=1 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}$. The total tangential force $F_{t}$, normal force $F_{n}$, and lateral force $F_{L}$ are calculated by equations (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) respectively. For the parameters in Table 3.2 these forces are $F_{t}=0.538 \mathrm{~N}, F_{n}=0.006 \mathrm{~N}$ and $F_{L}=0.2 \mathrm{~N}$.

wood: birch, knives: work sharp sp. gravity $=0.61$, moisture content $=11 \%$ $a=-3^{\circ} \ldots 60^{\circ}, \gamma=10^{\circ}, \beta=83^{\circ} \ldots 20^{\circ}$ $\delta_{m}=0.05,0.1,0.2$
(a)

wood: birch, knives: work sharp sp. gravity $=0.61$, moisture content $=11 \%$

$$
\begin{gathered}
a=0^{\circ}, \gamma=10^{\circ}, \beta=80^{\circ} \\
\delta_{m}=0.0125 \ldots 0.5
\end{gathered}
$$

(b)

Figure 3.12 Cutting force graphs for various $\delta_{m}$ and $\alpha$ [58]

The following outlines the influences of some of the parameters in Table 3.2 on cutting forces. When considering a particular parameter, the other parameters are thought to remain unchanged.

Cutter radius (r): Increasing the cutter radius results in greater chip length $L$ (3.1), smaller mean chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ (3.2) and hence smaller cutting forces (Figure 3.12).

Number of teeth ( $n$ ): Increasing the number of cutter teeth causes more chips to be produced (3.3) but with smaller chip widths (3.4), and therefore, results in smaller cutting forces.

Depth of cut (d): Increasing the depth of cut increases the chip length $L$ (3.1) as well as the mean chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ (3.2). Consequently, results in greater cutting forces (Figure 3.12).

Feed Increment ( $f$ ): Feed increment is directly proportional to the mean chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ (3.2), therefore an increase results in greater cutting forces (Figure 3.12).

Pass time ( $)$ : Decreasing the pass time (i.e. increasing the traverse speed) causes smaller number of chips (3.3), having greater chip widths (3.4), to be produced. This results in greater cutting forces.

| parameter |  | increase in parameter | decrease in parameter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| cutter radius | $r$ | low $F$ | high $F$ |
| number of teeth | $n$ | low $F$ | high $F$ |
| rake angle | $a$ | low $F$ | high $F$ |
| cutting speed | $\omega$ | low $F$ | high $F$ |
| workpiece width | $\nu$ | no-change | no-change |
| depth of cut | $d$ | high $F$ | low $F$ |
| feed increment | $f$ | high $F$ | low $F$ |
| pass time | $t$ | low $F$ | high $F$ |

Table 3.3 Influence of the PIMM machining parameters on cutting forces

Table 3.3 summarizes the influence of the PIMM machining parameters on the cutting forces. The next section investigates cutting power requirements.

### 3.3.2 Cutting power

This section explains the theoretical method used for estimating the cutting power requirement. Firstly, the work $W_{6}$ along the direction of motion in order to severe one chip is calculated (3.8) from the total tangential force $F_{t}$ and the chip length $L$ Then, the number of cutter-workpiece engagements in one second $n^{\prime}$ is computed by equation (3.9), where $\omega$ is the cutting speed in rpm and $n$ is the number of cutter teeth. Finally, assuming that a single chip is severed at each cutterworkpiece engagement, the required power $P$ is estimated by multiplying $W_{c}$ and $n^{\prime}$ (3.10).

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{c}=F_{t} \cdot L \cdot 10^{-3}  \tag{3.8}\\
n^{\prime}=\frac{\omega}{60} \cdot n  \tag{3.9}\\
P=W_{c} \cdot n^{\prime} \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

For the machining parameters in Table 3.2, $W_{G}, n^{\prime}$ and $P$ are as follows:

$$
W_{c}=0.013 \mathrm{~J} \quad n^{\prime}=3000 \quad P=39.4 \mathrm{~W}
$$

The estimated value only includes the power required for material removal and does not contain the effects of possible friction. Experimental investigations, presented in the next section, provide better understanding of the cutting power requirements.

### 3.4 Experimental Cutting Force Estimations

This section presents the experimental work carried out for the estimation of cutting forces from the measured cutting power.

### 3.4.1 Test-rig

Cutting force measurements were performed on a purpose designed test-rig (Figure 3.13), in which, timber specimens are fed towards a rotating cutter from the side and a single pass along the timber width is performed with a constant depth of cut. The test-rig comprises of three main parts: frame, feed drive and cutter assembly.

The feed drive features a DC motor driven ballscrew and precision linear guides, and it provides longitudinal movement up to $125 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. Prior to cutting, the whole feed drive is moved towards the cutter assembly in order to set the feed increment $f$, and the motor speed is adjusted to achieve the desired pass time $t$.


Figure 3.13 Photo of the cutting force rig.

The cutter, which is attached to a collet, is driven by a Dremel Multi-Tool via a flexible shaft. The Dremel motor is a $250 \mathrm{~W}, 220 \mathrm{~V}$ AC motor and its speed can be adjusted manually in the range of $5000-22000 \mathrm{rpm}$. The cutting speed $\omega$ is measured with a non-contact optical tachometer having 1 s sampling rate. Prior to cutting, the cutter assembly can be moved in vertical direction to set the desired depth of cut $d$.

Initially the test-rig was designed to use with a three-axis load cell, attached to the feed table and carrying a timber specimen. Series of tests had been performed; however, meaningful cutting force data could not be acquired in any of the three directions. As it can be understood from the previous section, the magnitudes of the cutting forces are very small (i.e. in the order of a few newtons maximum). These small forces could not be detected among the vibration of the test-rig and the electrical noise of the available equipment (i.e. charge amplifiers). Design and manufacture of a special purpose load cell was outside the scope of this research work; consequently, the load cell arrangement was not used.

As an alternative approach, it was decided to derive the cutting power and forces from the power input to the cutter motor. Timber specimens were screwed directly to the feed table and an AC watt-meter, which is capable of measuring the average power in one second intervals, was connected between the cutter motor and the mains socket. Similar methods, utilizing a watt-meter, are reported in [60], [65] and [68]. The findings show that, very high correlation exists between the power calculated from measured cutting forces (3.9) and the power measured directly with a watt-meter; and this correlation permits estimation of cutting forces for given machining conditions. Figure 3.14 shows the schematic of the final cutting force test- rig.


Figure 3.14 Cutting force rig schematic

### 3.4.2 Measurement method

This section presents the measurement procedure and explains the method used for calculating the cutting force and power.

The following steps show the procedure repeated for each test:

Step 1: Set depth of cut $d$ by adjusting the cutter assembly position.
Step 2: Set feed increment $f$ by adjusting the feed drive position.
Step 3: Set pass time $t$ by adjusting the feed motor speed.
Step 4: $\quad$ Set cutter idling speed $\omega_{\text {idle }}$.
Step 5: Record power consumption of the idling cutter motor $P_{\text {idle }}$.
Step 6: Switch on the feed motor.
Step 7: While cutting, record cutter speed $\omega$, and power consumption of the cutter motor $P_{\text {total }}$.
Step 8: When cutting ends, switch off feed and cutter motors.

Before the timber is fed to the cutter, idling power consumption $P_{\text {idle }}$ of the cutter motor, at the desired idling speed $\omega_{\text {idk }}$, is read from the watt-meter. Consequently; the torque resulting from the rotating inertias (i.e. rotor, flexible shaft, and cutter) and friction, is figured out from equation (3.11).

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i d l e}=\frac{P_{i d l e}}{\omega_{i d l e}} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the cutting starts, the cutter speed drops to a lower value and stays there until the cutting ends. This is due to the cutter motor having no means of realtime control to compensate the cutting torque. Therefore, the cutter speed $\omega$ and the total power consumption of the cutter motor $P_{\text {total }}$ are read; and the total torque is calculated (3.12).

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{t o t a l}=\frac{P_{t o t a l}}{\omega}  \tag{3.12}\\
T=T_{\text {total }}-T_{i d l e} \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

To find the cutting torque (i.e. torque required for severing chips from workpiece), the idling torque $T_{\text {idd }}$ is subtracted from the total torque $T_{\text {total }}(3.13)$. From the cutting torque, cutting power $P$ and cutting force $F$ is calculated by equations (3.14) and (3.15) respectively, where $r$ is the cutter radius.

$$
\begin{gather*}
P=\omega \cdot T  \tag{3.14}\\
F=\frac{T}{r} \tag{3.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.4.3 Test series

| Series \# | Cutter |  |  |  |  |  | Workpiece |  | Machine |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{r} \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $n$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha_{r} \\ (\theta) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \gamma_{t} \\ () \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha_{s} \\ (0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \gamma_{s} \\ \left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{W} \\ (\mathrm{mm}) \end{gathered}$ | $s_{12}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{\boldsymbol{f}}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{mm})}{d}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{t} \\ (\mathrm{s}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \omega_{\text {idle }} \\ (\mathrm{tpm}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & P_{\text {idle }} \\ & \text { (W) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 42.5 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 13500 | 106.5 |
| 2 | 42.5 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 13500 | 106.5 |
| 3 | 42.5 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 13500 | 106.5 |
| 4 | 42.5 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 13500 | 106.5 |
| 5 | 42.5 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 15000 | 106.5 |
| 6 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 17000 | 105 |
| 7 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 17000 | 105 |
| 8 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 17000 | 105 |
| 9 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 17000 | 105 |
| 10 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 17000 | 105 |
| 11 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 17000 | 105 |
| 12 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 17000 | 105 |
| 13 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 17000 | 105 |
| 14 | 25.4 | 4 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 70 | 0.55 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 17000 | 105 |

Table 3.4 Test series

Measurements were carried out in 14 different series, each of which consisted of five identical tests. The arithmetic means of the obtained $\omega$ and $P_{\text {total }}$ values were used in further calculations. 70 mm wide Meranti wood specimens having specific gravity $s_{12}=0.55$ were used as specimens. Two different cutters were employed with various machining parameter combinations. Cutter, workpiece and machine parameters of the test series are listed in Table 3.4.

### 3.4.4 Test results and discussion

Table 3.5 shows the measured (i.e. $P_{\text {total }}$ and $\omega$ ) and calculated (i.e. T, $F$ and $P$ ) results for the test series. The validity analysis is done by comparison with the theoretical values (Section 3.3), which are based on the results of Kivimaa's [58] experiments.

| Series <br> $\#$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {cotal }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{W})$ | $\omega$ <br> $(\mathrm{mpm})$ | $\boldsymbol{T}$ <br> $(\mathrm{Nm})$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ <br> $(\mathrm{N})$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ <br> $(\mathrm{W})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 123.76 | 12322 | 0.021 | 0.484 | 26.552 |
| 2 | 146.86 | 10795 | 0.055 | 1.284 | 61.696 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 142.80 | 11014 | 0.048 | 1.140 | 55.905 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 190.20 | 9883 | 0.108 | 2.551 | 112.229 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 173.80 | 9871 | 0.093 | 2.184 | 95.927 |
| 6 | 120.54 | 15440 | 0.016 | 0.613 | 25.175 |
| 7 | 132.40 | 14380 | 0.029 | 1.139 | 45.582 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 150.20 | 13804 | 0.045 | 1.769 | 64.941 |
| 9 | 118.56 | 15724 | 0.013 | 0.513 | 21.439 |
| 10 | 134.44 | 14613 | 0.029 | 1.137 | 44.183 |
| 11 | 146.76 | 13728 | 0.043 | 1.697 | 61.971 |
| 12 | 115.58 | 16007 | 0.010 | 0.392 | 16.711 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 130.12 | 14981 | 0.024 | 0.943 | 37.589 |
| 14 | 137.80 | 14413 | 0.032 | 1.272 | 48.777 |

Table 3.5 Cutting force test results

To find the theoretical cutting force and power for Series \#1, firstly, the length $L$ and mean chip thickness $\delta_{m}$ of the produced chips are calculated from equations (3.1) and (3.2).

$$
L=20.8 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \delta_{m}=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Secondly, the number of cutter-workpiece engagements (3.3) is:

$$
n_{p}=12322
$$

Here, it is worth checking if a complete chip is severed at each engagement. This is done by calculating the number of teeth on the portion of the cutter circumference, which is equal to the chip length. In other words, number of teeth cutting at the same time $n_{t}(3.16)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{t}=\frac{L}{2 \cdot \pi \cdot r} \cdot n \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n_{t} \leq 1$ then a complete chip is severed at each engagement. On the other hand, when $n_{t}>1$; although $n_{p}$ teeth runs over the workpiece, only $n_{p} / n_{t}$ full chips are severed.

$$
\text { For Series \#1, } \quad n_{t}=1.56
$$

Therefore, if it is assumed that only full chips are severed, the chip width is calculated as follows.

$$
c=w /\left(n_{p} / n_{t}\right)=0.009 \mathrm{~mm}
$$

Based on the $\delta_{m}$ and $c$ values and the respective rake angles, $F t_{t}$ and $F s_{t}$ are found from Figure 3.12 and the total tangential force $F_{t}$ is calculated (3.5).

$$
F t_{t}=11.5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} \quad F s_{t}=1.8 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} \quad F_{t}=0.464 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Finally, the theoretical cutting power is calculated from equation (3.10), where $n^{\prime}$ is replaced by $n^{\prime} / n_{t}$ due to the reasons explained above, and it is:

$$
P=25.411 \mathrm{~W}
$$

The obtained theoretical force and power values are within $5 \%$ to the measured values in Table 3.5. Considering the assumptions taken in the calculations and the difference in timber species between Kivimaa's experiments (Bircb) and the current ones (Merantz), the results are reasonably accurate and sufficient for the purpose of this investigation.

Table 3.6 lists the calculated parameters of the produced chips in all test series. For the tip rake angles of the used cutters (i.e. $10^{\circ}$ and $15^{\circ}$ ), Kivimaa reports the cutting forces only up to $\delta_{m}=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Figure 3.12). Therefore, except Series \#1, there is no data available to compare against the measured values. However, for $\delta_{m}=0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$, an approximation can be made. In Figure 3.12(a), from $0^{\circ}$ to $15^{\circ}$ take angles, the cutting force value reduces by $30 \%$ for $\delta_{m}=0.05 \mathrm{~mm}, 50 \%$ for $\delta_{m}=0.1$ mm and $65 \%$ for $\delta_{m}=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Also, from $0^{\circ}$ to $10^{\circ}$ rake angles, the cutting force reduction percentages are $20 \%$ for $\delta_{m}=0.05 \mathrm{~mm}, 25 \%$ for $\delta_{m}=0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $45 \%$ for $\delta_{m}=0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. Consequently, the reduction percentage for $\delta_{m}=0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ can be
approximated as $70 \%$ for $15^{\circ}$ and $50 \%$ for $10^{\circ}$ from the respective values at $0^{\circ}$ rake angle, which are given in Figure 3.12(b).

| Series <br> \# | $\boldsymbol{L}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\boldsymbol{\delta}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{p}}$ | $\boldsymbol{n}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $\boldsymbol{c}$ <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 20.8 | 0.2 | 12322 | 1.56 | 0.009 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 20.8 | 0.5 | 10795 | 1.56 | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 29.76 | 0.3 | 11015 | 2.23 | 0.014 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 29.76 | 0.7 | 9884 | 2.23 | 0.016 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 36.85 | 0.4 | 9871 | 2.76 | 0.020 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 16.21 | 0.3 | 2059 | 0.41 | 0.034 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 16.21 | 0.6 | 1917 | 0.41 | 0.037 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 16.21 | 0.9 | 1841 | 0.41 | 0.038 |
| $\mathbf{9}$ | 16.21 | 0.3 | 3145 | 0.41 | 0.022 |
| $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 1}$ | 0.6 | 2923 | 0.41 | 0.024 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 16.21 | 0.9 | 2746 | 0.41 | 0.025 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 1}$ | 0.3 | 4267 | 0.41 | 0.016 |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 16.21 | 0.6 | 3995 | 0.41 | 0.018 |
| $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 2 1}$ | 0.9 | 3844 | 0.41 | 0.018 |

Table 3.6 Parameters of the produced chips

If, for example, Series \#9 is considered, where $\delta_{m}=0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $c=0.022 \mathrm{~mm}$; the following values are obtained from Figure 3.12(b).

$$
F_{t}=29-(29 * 70 \%)=8.7 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm} \quad F_{s_{t}}=3.5 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}
$$

Hence, from equations (3.5) and (3.10), the cutting force and power are calculated as;

$$
F_{t}=1.241 \mathrm{~N} \quad P=21.089 \mathrm{~W}
$$

The theoretical power is very close (i.e. within $5 \%$ ) to the measured value. On the other hand, the theoretical force is much higher than the force in Table 3.5. The reason for the difference can be explained by the $n_{t}$ value, which is 0.41 in Series \#9. This value can be interpreted as; in one revolution of the cutter, only $41 \%$ of the time the cutter is in contact with the workpiece (i.e. cutting). The rest of the time (i.e. $59 \%$ ) the cutter is rotating freely, therefore, cutting force is 0 N . The actual cutting force and torque change periodically between zero and a maximum value. The values listed in Table 3.5, which are calculated by equations (3.13) and
(3.15), represent the average cutting force and torque over time. Consequently; the maximum force and torque values, which occur while the cutter is actually cutting, is found by dividing the average values in Table 3.5 by $n_{t}$. For Series \#9 this gives,

$$
F=0.513 / 0.41=1.251 \mathrm{~N}
$$

Table 3.7 lists the experimental and theoretical results for the applicable series. The thick frame indicates the corrected torque and force values due to $n_{t}<1$.

| Series \# | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {total }}$ <br> (W) | $\begin{gathered} \omega \\ (\mathrm{rpm}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \boldsymbol{T} \\ (\mathrm{Nm}) \end{gathered}$ | Experimental |  | Theoretical |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $F$ | $\boldsymbol{P}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{t}}$ | $p$ |
|  |  |  |  | (N) | (W) | (N) | (W) |
| 1 | 123.76 | 12322 | 0.021 | 0.484 | 26.552 | 0.464 | 25.411 |
| 2 | 146.86 | 10795 | 0.055 | 1.284 | 61.696 | N/A | N/A |
| 3 | 142.80 | 11014 | 0.048 | 1.140 | 55.905 | 1.103 | 54.046 |
| 4 | 190.20 | 9883 | 0.108 | 2.551 | 112.229 | N/A | N/A |
| 5 | 173.80 | 9871 | 0.093 | 2.184 | 95.927 | N/A | N/A |
| 6 | 120.54 | 15440 | 0.039 | 1.495 | 25.175 | 1.556 | 25.967 |
| 7 | 132.40 | 14380 | 0.071 | 2.778 | 45.582 | N/A | N/A |
| 8 | 150.20 | 13804 | 0.110 | 4.315 | 64.941 | N/A | N/A |
| 9 | 118.56 | 15724 | 0.032 | 1.251 | 21.439 | 1.241 | 21.089 |
| 10 | 134.44 | 14613 | 0.071 | 2.773 | 44.183 | N/A | N/A |
| 11 | 146.76 | 13728 | 0.105 | 4.139 | 61.971 | N/A | N/A |
| 12 | 115.58 | 16007 | 0.024 | 0.956 | 16.711 | 0.979 | 16.929 |
| 13 | 130.12 | 14981 | 0.059 | 2.300 | 37.589 | N/A | N/A |
| 14 | 137.80 | 14413 | 0.078 | 3.102 | 48.777 | N/A | N/A |

Table 3.7 Corrected cutting force test results

Figure 3.15 and Figure 3.16 show bar charts of measured cutting power for Series \#1 to \#5 and \#6 to \#14 respectively. As expected, more power is consumed at deeper cuts (Figure 3.15), higher feed increments (Figure 3.15(a), Figure 3.16) and lower pass times (Figure 3.16).


Figure 3.15 Measured power for Series \#1 to \#5


Figure 3.16 Measured power for Series \#6 to \#14

### 3.5 Summary

Chip severing phenomena, important parameters and cutting forces in rotary wood planing/moulding processes are presented. Factors which influence cutting forces are studied from the results of the previous work by Kivimaa and others. For the conventional processes, cutting speed has little or no effect on the cutting forces; whereas, the greatest influence on the magnitude of forces per cutting width is from cutter geometry parameters and chip thickness.

Influencing factors are theoretically adapted to the PIMM process. Cutting forces and power requirements are estimated for given theoretical machining conditions. It was discovered that the cutting speed, unlike in the conventional processes, has a great effect on the cutting forces in the PIMM due to the traverse movement of the cutter along the workpiece width.

Cutting power measurement tig is presented, experiment method is explained and results are discussed. Close correlation exists between the measured and estimated cutting power both in terms of magnitudes and tendencies.

Based on the measurement results, 100 W cutting power is sufficient for general PIMM operation. This requirement can be lowered by using cutters having larger rake angles. However, considering the small widths of the cutters, larger rake angles make the cutters more fragile and therefore more vulnerable to dulling; and this needs to be investigated by further experiments.

## Chapter 4

## System Modelling and Simulation

### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter is aimed at bringing the profile independent wood-moulding machine from an idea to the detailed design stage. Firstly, the overall system is divided into individual mechanical, electrical and software components and their requirements and constraints are clarified. Secondly, alternatives associated with each component are analysed and evaluated. Finally, the selected alternatives are combined in a simulation model and tested against overall machine requirements.

Figure 4.1 shows a schematic of the overall system. The process starts with a desired moulding profile input to the machine from a CAD file. The raw geometry data is then translated to a set of tasks and fed into the top-level reference generator. The reference generator sends individual tasks to the software mechanism which synchronizes the drives on the three axes with reference signals. These signals are amplified in the individual axes and the desired relative motion between the cutting tool and the workpiece is obtained via the motors and power transmission hardware.


Figure 4.1 PIMM System

The system is divided in two main parts as the electro-mechanical hardware and the controller. The first part includes all of the hardware components in the $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z axes and is discussed in Section 4.2. The second part which is analysed in Section 4.3 contains everything from the profile input down to the reference signals to the axes. Section 4.4 presents the software simulation results of the overall system.

### 4.2 Electro-Mechanical Hardware

Due to the operation principle of the PIMM, two separate tasks are performed by using three drives. Drives on the X and Y axes move the cutter simultaneously and produce the desired geometry on the stationary workpiece. The drive on the Z-axis is responsible for feeding the workpiece in between two consecutive passes of the cutter along the width of the workpiece. Therefore, it is appropriate to study the X and Y axes together and Z -axis on its own.

### 4.2.1 Performance expectations from $X$ and $Y$ axis drives

Cutter positioning is the essential part of the PIMM concept. Dynamics of the X and $Y$ axes drives determine the production speed and the geometric accuracy of the moulding. Therefore it is necessary to design fast, accurate and dynamically matched axes in order to achieve satisfactory production.


Figure 4.2 Moulding Dimensions
The first performance measure of the X and Y axes is the pass time $t$. This is the time taken for the cutter to travel from one side of the timber to the other along the width while cutting the desired geometry. By determining the desired pass time and the maximum workpiece width that can be machined by the PIMM, the speed requirement on the horizontal axis can be identified. However, on the vertical axis this is more complicated. As mentioned earlier, wood mouldings can be in various shapes and sizes. Some profiles can have long flat horizontal surfaces where the vertical drive does not move while machining. Some profiles, on the other hand,
can be highly demanding for the vertical drive. Examples of typical extremes are given in Appendix A. Therefore, rather than identifying the axis speed requirements individually, it is decided to determine the "path speed" (i.e. cutter travel speed on the profile).

A number of commercial moulding profiles with maximum profile width $\nu_{p}=100$ mm and maximum depth of cut $d=25 \mathrm{~mm}$ were selected. The profile lengths were measured and compared to the profile widths (Figure 4.2). As a result, the profile lengths were found to be longer ( $18.90 \%$ to $22.3 \%$ ) than the corresponding profile widths. Consequently, the mean path speed $v_{\text {mean }}$ is formulated as in (4.1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\text {mean }}=\frac{w_{p}}{t} \cdot 120 \% \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $w_{p}$ is the profile width and $t$ is the time for one pass across the profile width. Figure 4.3 shows the plot of the mean path speed versus pass time for a 100 mm wide moulding.


Figure 4.3 Mean path speed vs. pass time for a 100 mm wide moulding

If assumed that in one cycle of the process, $90 \%$ of the time is elapsed during cutting and the rest $10 \%$ while timber feeding, then a fast machine with cycle time $t_{c}$ less than 1 s and therefore pass time $t$ less than 0.9 s can be achieved with a mean path speed of $135 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ or more. With this mean path speed, the PIMM produces acceptable quality mouldings (i.e. surface wave pitch $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) at a rate of $0.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$. Production rate of the PIMM is discussed in more detail in Section 4.2.4.

Product quality in terms of geometric accuracy and waviness defects is the second performance measure of the X and Y axis drives. First of all, on every single pass the cutter must follow the desired geometry with sufficient accuracy. Although this procedure is managed by the software mechanism and the controller, it also needs accurate hardware. According to [1], tolerances for the geometric accuracy of the machined timber sections are between $\pm(0.1-0.5) \mathrm{mm}$ depending of the end-usage of the product.


Figure 4.4 Geometric errors

The geometric accuracy within a single pass only affects the profile quality on the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ plane. It should be noted that in order to produce a single moulding, the PIMM must perform hundreds of passes. Relative position differences among the individual passes result in undesirable geometry on the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Z}$ and $\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{Z}$ planes.

Figure 4.4 illustrates profile errors on all three planes. Also on the Y-Z plane, those dissimilar passes generate surface waviness defects mentioned in Chapter 2. Hence it is critical to ensure that all the passes are identical and for that, the drives must be free from backlash and possess high repeatability.

### 4.2.2 Evaluation of hardware alternatives for $X$ and $Y$ axis drives

Various hardware alternatives exist for the design of the X and Y axis drives. Based on literature survey, four feasible options are listed as follows.

- Option 1: DC motor \& timing belt
- Option 2: DC motor \& leadscrew
- Option 3: DC motor \& ballscrew
- Option 4: ThrustTube linear motor [72]

An evaluation matrix, as shown in Table 4.1, was used to compare the options against the drive requirements. The evaluation matrix provides a chart assessing and comparing alternative concepts in a systematic and objective way. In the evaluation matrix, three design objectives were considered for the drives. The first two, high product quality and high production rate, were discussed previously in this section. The third one is a collection of sub-objectives (i.e. cost, size, design simplicity), which apply to all design problems. Simplified weights were allocated to the objectives and, the weight of each objective was shared among its influencing factors with respect to their importance. For each influencing factor, the alternatives were valued relative to each other and weighted values were calculated.

|  | Drive Evaluation Criteria for $X$ and $Y$ axes |  |  | DC Motor \＆TimingBelt |  | DC Motor \＆c Leadscrew |  | DC Motot \＆ BallScrew |  | Thrust Tube Linear Motor |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Objectives | Influencing Factors | weight <br> （w） | value <br> （v） | weighted <br> value <br> $(w * v)$ | value <br> （v） | $\begin{gathered} \text { weighted } \\ \text { value } \\ (w * v) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | value <br> （v） | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { weighted } \\ \text { value } \\ \left(w^{*} v\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | value <br> （v） | weighted value $(\mathrm{w} * \mathrm{v})$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 버 } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \dot{0} \\ & \dot{i} \end{aligned}$ | High product quality | high repeatability | 0.20 | 4 | 0.80 | 8 | 1.60 | 8 | 1.60 | 9 | 1.80 |
|  |  | low backlash | 0.15 | 4 | 0.60 | 8 | 1.20 | 8 | 1.20 | 9 | 1.35 |
|  |  | high accuracy | 0.10 | 4 | 0.40 | 8 | 0.80 | 8 | 0.80 | 9 | 0.90 |
| 芭 | Objective Total |  | 0.45 | $\cdots$ | 1.80 | \％ | 3.60 | $\cdots$ | 3.60 |  | 4.05 |
| 등 | High production rate | high speed | 0.10 | 8 | 0.80 | 6 | 0.60 | 7 | 0.70 | 10 | 1.00 |
| $\underset{\sim}{2}$ |  | high agility | 0.10 | 8 | 0.80 | 5 | 0.50 | 7 | 0.70 | 10 | 1.00 |
| or |  | low friction | 0.05 | 8 | 0.40 | 5 | 0.25 | 7 | 0.35 | 10 | 0.50 |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\sigma}$ |  | lightweight | 0.05 | 9 | 0.45 | 7 | 0.35 | 5 | 0.25 | 1 | 0.05 |
| 总 | Objective Total |  | 0.30 |  | 2.45 |  | 1.70 | \％ | 2.00 |  | 2.55 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ひ } \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | Other objectives | low cost | 0.15 | 9 | 1.35 | 8 | 1.20 | 4 | 0.60 | 1 | 0.15 |
| $\infty$ |  | small size | 0.05 | 6 | 0.30 | 8 | 0.40 | 6 | 0.30 | 1 | 0.05 |
|  |  | design simplicity | 0.05 | 5 | 0.25 | 7 | 0.35 | 7 | 0.35 | 7 | 0.35 |
|  | Objective Total |  | 0.25 | $4$ | 1.90 | W， 4 | 1.95 | － | 1.25 | v：4 | 0.55 |
|  | Overall Total |  | 1.00 |  | 6，6．15 | $3$ | 7.25 |  | 6.85 |  | $7.15$ |

For the bigh product quality objective, Option 1 was found to be greatly inferior to the other options with a weighted value of 1.80 . While Option 2 and Option 3 have the same weighted value of 3.60 , the Option 4 appeared to be the best choice with 4.05 . However, the accuracy, repeatability and backlash values of the linear motors depend entirely on the linear position sensors (i.e. encoders) which are extremely high-priced compared to the rotary ones that can be used with the other drive options.

In terms of bigh production rate objective, again the Option 4 scored the best despite having the greatest moving mass among all options. Non-contact power transmission, therefore virtually zero friction and high efficiency of the ThrustTube linear motors resulted in the highest weighted values for three of the influencing factors. But again, this high performance can only be achieved by using expensive drive electronics that satisfy the high voltage requirements (160 320 VDC) of these motors.

For the set of other objectives, Option 2 gained the highest weighted value of 1.95, followed by Option 1 with a weighted value of 1.90 . Twice as high cost of the ballscrews compared to the first two options result in Option 3 having a lower weighted value of 1.25 . Option 4 scored far below the other three drive options because of high costs and large sizes of the linear drives.

Overall, Option 1 gained the lowest total weighted value of 6.15. Although it scored as the second best in the last two sets of objectives, its significantly low weighted value in the high product quality objective, which constitutes $45 \%$ of the overall weight, caused DC motor $\&$ timing belt drive to be the least suitable option. Option 3 scored average weighted values for all of the influencing factors and it came out the third with a total weighted value of 6.85 . ThrustTube linear motor (Option 4), which gained an overall weighted value of 7.15 , seems to be the best option in terms of the first two sets of objectives. However, as mentioned earlier, this superior performance can only be achieved by using expensive power amplifiers and feedback sensors; hence the total cost of the linear drives is
significantly higher than the other alternatives. Moreover, since there is no gearing exists for the linear motors, higher force can only be achieved by using a larger and heavier forcer (linear equivalent of the DC motor rotor). To the author's knowledge, only Copley Controls Corp. [72] manufactures this type of linear motors. Using the TB2506, which satisfy the PIMM's requirements, from the available product range results in a larger size system with greater moving mass and therefore higher power consumption. Although the ThrustTube linear motor technology is suitable for the PIMM concept, the available products are not found to be convenient for this application. Finally, despite being the last in the second objective due to relatively higher friction, Option 2 emerged as the best alternative with an overall weighted value of 7.25 . To give an idea about the cost and performance of DC motor \& leadscrew drives; for example, a maximum speed of $400 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, and a continuous thrust over 120 N can be achieved at a cost of $£ 500$ per drive. This includes power amplifier, DC motor, anti-backlash leadscrew, linear ball guide and optical incremental encoder. Consequently, it was decided to go through to the software simulations with the DC motor \& leadscrew drives for X and Y axes.

### 4.2.3 Mathematical modelling of $X$ and $Y$ axis drives



Figure 4.5 Drive mechanism (a) and dynamic model (b) of a single axis
Mathematical modeling serves as a foundation for comprehensive analytical investigation of the X and Y axis drives prior to detailed design. Figure 4.5 shows a typical [73][74][75][76] drive mechanism, dynamic model and associated parameters for a single axis. For each of the X and Y axis drives, a mathematical model was derived and implemented in Simulink as a block diagram. The rest of the section explains the mathematical modeling and implementation.

The motor is modeled [77][78] as a standard permanent magnet, armature controlled dc-motor. Figure 4.6 shows the block diagram in Simulink.


Figure 4.6 DC motor block diagram
The torque $T_{m}(s)$ developed by the motor is proportional to the armature current $i_{a}(s)$. In the Laplace domain, it is expressed as in (4.2), where $K_{t}$ is the torque constant of the motor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m}(s)=K_{t} \cdot i_{a}(s) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The armature current $i_{a}(s)$ is related to the input voltage $u(s)$ applied to the armature as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(s)=\left(L_{m} \cdot s+R_{m}\right) \cdot i_{a}(s)+u_{e}(s) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $u_{t}(s)$ is the back-emf voltage proportional to the motor speed $s \theta_{m}(s)$, and it is given by (4.4) where $K_{e}$ is the back-emf constant.

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{e}(s)=K_{e} \cdot s \theta_{m}(s) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mechanical equation of the motor is obtained by applying Newton's second law to the rotor (4.5). $J_{m}$ and $B_{m}$ are, respectively, moment of inertia and viscous damping coefficient of the rotor.

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{m}(s)-T_{d}(s)=\left(J_{m} \cdot s+B_{m}\right) \cdot s \theta_{m}(s) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The disturbance torque $T_{d}(s)$ is due to various factors, such as; the inertia of the external load coupled to the motor shaft, friction, and cutting forces. If the coupling flexibility is neglected (i.e. infinitely stiff shaft coupling and leadscrew), the load inertia can be lumped with the rotor inertia after accounting for the possible existence of a speed reducer (i.e gear, belt, etc.) [79]. This approach is widely used in machine tools research, examples include [52], [80], and [81]. Consequently, for the drive models of the PIMM, considering the small inertia loads and cutting forces, the shaft coupling stiffness $K_{c}$ and the stiffness between the leadscrew and nut $K$ ate neglected.

If two masses are linked through a stiff transmission with ratio $r$, then the input/output relations for position and velocity are as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{o}=r \cdot x_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \dot{x}_{o}=r \cdot \dot{x}_{i} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the kinetic energy remains invariable, the input mass appears on the output as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}^{o}=r^{-2} \cdot M_{i} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.7) holds whether $M_{i}$ is a mass or inertia. It also holds for damping coefficients. If the motion is transformed from rotary to linear, as in the case of leadscrew, then $r$ will be the leadscrew gain $R_{f}(\mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{rad})(4.8)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{t}=\frac{\text { lead }}{2 \pi} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore; for a directly driven leadscrew drive (Figure 4.5), the total equivalent inertia $J$ and the total equivalent damping coefficient $B$, lumped at the rotor are calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=J_{m}+J_{s}+M \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R_{t}}\right)^{-2}  \tag{4.9}\\
& B=B_{m}+B_{s}+B_{c} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R_{t}}\right)^{-2} \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point, although the inertias are lumped at the rotor, the disturbance torque $T_{d}(s)$ still exists and includes friction and cutting torques. Given the machining parameters, the latter can be estimated as explained in Chapter 3. On the other hand, a realistic friction model is not feasible at this stage of the investigation. Nevertheless, a fraction of the friction losses in the system can be included in the model by taking into account the leadscrew efficiency, which is obtained from the manufacturer's data. For a directly driven, infinitely stiff leadscrew drive, the efficiency $e$ is expressed [79] as in (4.11), where $T_{f}(s)$ is the friction torque.

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{T_{m}(s)-T_{f}(s)}{T_{m}(s)} \quad \text { hence; } \quad e \cdot T_{m}(s)=T_{m}(s)-T_{f}(s) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting equations (4.8) to (4.11) into equation (4.5) results in the mechanical equation of a single axis drive (4.12). For the horizontal drive, $F_{e}(s)$ is the cutting force, whereas, for the vertical drive it also includes the carriage weight (i.e. $M^{*} g$ ).

$$
\begin{equation*}
e \cdot T_{m}(s)-R_{t} \cdot F_{e}(s)=(J \cdot s+B) \cdot \frac{s x_{c}(s)}{R_{t}} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.7 Block diagram from armature voltage to carriage position
Figure 4.8 Complete block diagram of the $X$ and $Y$ axis drives

Figure 4.7 shows the Simulink block diagram of a single axis drive from the armature voltage input $u(s)$ to the carriage position $x_{0}(s)$. Using equations (4.2), (4.3), (4.4), and (4.12), or, alternatively, the block diagram (Figure 4.7), the transfer function is obtained (with $F_{\ell}(\mathrm{s})=0$ ) as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)=\frac{x_{c}(s)}{u(s)}=\frac{e K_{t} R_{t}}{s\left[(J s+B) \cdot\left(L_{m} s+R_{m}\right)+e K_{t} K_{e}\right]} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Prior to being applied as the armature voltage, the digital control signals are first converted to analog voltage signals in a digital-to-analog (i.e. $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ ) converter and then amplified by a drive amplifier. The $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ converter and the drive amplifier are modeled as proportional gains $K_{d}$ and $K_{a}$ respectively (Figure 4.8). Equation (4.14) gives the transfer function from the digital control signal $C(s)$ to the carriage position $x_{6}(s)$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(s)=\frac{x_{c}(s)}{C(s)}=\frac{e K_{t} R_{t} K_{d} K_{a}}{s\left[(J s+B) \cdot\left(L_{m} s+R_{m}\right)+e K_{t} K_{e}\right]} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complete block diagram of the horizontal $(\mathrm{X})$ and vertical $(\mathrm{Y})$ axis drives is shown in Figure 4.8, where the parameters for a particular drive are written with letters $H$ for horizontal and $V$ for vertical (i.e. $L_{m} H, K_{t} V$ ). In Figure 4.8, two saturation blocks are added to the model of each drive as presented in [82] and [83]. The first one defines the limit of the D/A converter and makes sure the control signal stays within these limits. The second block defines the limit of the current drawn by the motor. The maximum current value is obtained from the datasheet of the motor and, in the actual system, it is usually set in the drive amplifier.

The detived mathematical models of the X and Y axis drives are used in the software simulations presented later in this chapter. Also, their validity will be discussed in Chapter 6 through system identification procedures. The next section deals with the expected performance of the Z axis drive.

### 4.2.4 Expected performance and hardware of Z axis drive

Compared to the X and Y axis drives the Z -axis drive has less influence on the PIMM's performance. The two main requirements of the Z axis drive are feeding the part in between two passes of the cutter and holding the part rigidly in place during the pass.

The length of timber fed in between two consecutive passes not only determines the production rate but also it is equal to the surface waviness pitch $p$. This is due to the operation principle of the PIMM. A good quality surface is classified by a wave pitch of typically $<1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and a lower quality surface by a wave pitch of typically $>2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ [1][2]. Figure 4.9 shows a graph of production rate versus cycle time $t_{c}$ for different waviness pitches.


Figure 4.9 Production rate vs. cycle time for a 100 mm wide moulding

As mentioned earlier, it is assumed that in one cycle of the process $90 \%$ of the time is elapsed during cutting and the remaining $10 \%$ while timber feeding. For the target cycle time of 1 s for a 100 mm wide moulding, the feed should be performed in 0.1 s . Considering maximum feed of 2.5 mm for acceptable quality surface, then the Z -axis must be capable of $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ average speed.

With $t_{c}=1 \mathrm{~s}$ and $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, the production rate corresponds to $0.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ (Figure 4.9) for a 100 mm wide moulding. This rate is very slow even when it is compared to the production rate of a slower conventional moulding machine, which has a typical output of $6 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$. However, it should be reminded that the PIMM is intended for much smaller volumes of production.

At this stage of the research, most emphasis was given on the contouring on X and $Y$ axes and it was aimed to design a feed drive only to carry out experiments on small test specimens. Accordingly, it was decided to use a stepper motor and leadscrew arrangement for the Z -axis movement.

### 4.3 Control Structure and Algorithms



Figure 4.10 Control Structure

Figure 4.10 illustrates the overall controller structure of the PIMM. The levels of abstraction (i.e. task, sync, servo) are initially defined in [84]. Then; they are adapted to high speed, servo controlled manufacturing machinery in [18]. Here, they are applied specifically to the PIMM controller as follows:

Task Level: The Reference Generator contains the geometry of the target moulding profile (i.e. the path) and works in a closed-loop via receiving position feedback from the drive encoders. It generates reference commands (i.e. path segments) for the Path Controller and also triggers the Timber Feed Controller every time the path is completed.

Sync Level: The Path Controller synchronizes the X and Y axis drives to perform the tasks it receives from the Reference Generator. It acquires feedback from the drive encoders and works in a closed-loop.

The Timber Feed Controller works in an open-loop; and, when activated by the Reference Generator, it sends out the number of steps necessary to achieve the desired timber feed.

Servo Level: At this level, commands from the Sync Level are converted into voltages and in turn currents to drive the actuators. Servo Level components of the X and Y axis drives are already included in the mathematical models derived in Section 4.2.3, and therefore, will not be discussed in this section.


Figure 4.11 Generalized block diagram of the simulated system
Figure 4.11 shows a generalized block diagram of the PIMM in Simulink. Simulated system includes the X and Y axis drives (Figure 4.8) only, since they are the ones responsible for the accuracy and speed (i.e. $90 \%$ ) of production. The Reference Generator is presented in Section 4.3.3, but before, Section 4.3.1 and 4.3.2 explain how the target moulding profile is input to the system. The Path Controller is explained in Section 4.3.4

### 4.3.1 Profile input

Desired profile geometry is input to the PIMM from a CAD drawing. Since the conventional moulders do not require any software input for the profiles they machine, there is no standard for geometry exchange. However, it was investigated whether there are CAD drawings of moulding profiles for design purposes or product catalogues.

A number of moulding manufacturers [85][86] [87][88] [89], especially in the US, are distributing electronic product catalogues containing profiles in ".dxf" and ".dwg" file formats. Some having more than thousand stock-range profiles accessible via the internet, they also accept custom designs from the customers. Both ".dxf" and ".dwg" are widely accepted drawing formats and they are supported by almost all of the CAD software packages. The only major difference between the two is that the ". dxf " is ASCII and ".dwg" is a binaty format. For the PIMM, it was decided to use ".dxf" files because the necessary information is extracted much easier from ASCII text files than from the binary ones.

A ".dxf" file contains great deal of information about the drawing (i.e. line types, colors), but the only thing that needs to be extracted are the segment dimensions. Two-dimensional moulding profiles in ".dxf" files are made up of line and arc segments. An example of ASCII representations of a line and an arc in a ".dxf" file is given in Appendix B. To read the moulding profile from a target file, a function, $d \times$ fread. $m$, is implemented in Matlab. This function has two parts. The first part reads the dimensional information of all the segments in the file and stores them in an array. Figure 4.12 shows a flowchart of this part. The second part, which re-arranges and modifies the array in order to be used with the controller, is discussed in the next section.


Figure 4.12 DXF input function "dxfread.m" flowchart

### 4.3.2 Input Translation

The first part of the $d x$ fread. $m$ reads all the segments from the target as they appear in the ASCII DXF file. However, since only one side of the timber is to be machined, the segments belonging to that side have to be picked out. Furthermore, the profile segments need to be sorted in the right order for the controller. This input translation, which is done by the second part of the function, is explained with a simple example. Full code listing of the $d x f r e a d . m$ function is given in Appendix C.


Figure 4.13 Raw DXF profile

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 150 | 120 | 120 | 145 | 130 | - | - |
| $Y_{1}$ | 120 | 115 | 110 | 125 | 125 | - | - |
| $X_{2}$ | 160 | 120 | 170 | 150 | 145 | - | - |
| $Y_{2}$ | 120 | 110 | 110 | 120 | 125 | - | - |
| $X_{A}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 160 | 130 |
| $Y_{A}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 110 | 115 |
| $\theta_{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 90 |
| $\theta_{2}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 90 | 180 |
| $R_{A}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 10 |

Table 4.2 Raw segment array

Figure 4.13 shows a moulding profile composed of seven segments, five lines and two arcs. A number was assigned to each segment based on the order they appear in the ASCII file. When the file is read, the segments are stored in a (9xi) array where $i$ is the number of segments. For this profile, the raw segment array is given in Table 4.2.

First of all, the missing $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ co-ordinates of the arc endpoints are calculated from the polar co-ordinates. Then the segments, which do not belong to the face to be machined, are eliminated. These are number 2 and 3 . Consequently, the remaining segments are arranged from left-to-right and the segment array became as in Table 4.3. It should be noted that in DXF files arcs are always drawn anti-clockwise. When arranging from left-to-right, co-ordinates of the end points as well as the angles should be swapped if necessary, as in the case of entities 6 and 7 .

|  | 7 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 120 | 130 | 145 | 150 | 160 |
| $Y_{1}$ | 115 | 125 | 125 | 120 | 120 |
| $X_{2}$ | 130 | 145 | 150 | 160 | 170 |
| $Y_{2}$ | 125 | 125 | 120 | 120 | 110 |
| $X_{A}$ | 130 | - | - | - | 160 |
| $Y_{A}$ | 115 | - | - | - | 110 |
| $\theta_{1}$ | 180 | - | - | - | 90 |
| $\theta_{2}$ | 90 | - | - | - | 0 |
| $R_{A}$ | 10 | - | - | - | 10 |

Table 4.3 Processed segment array

Finally, the left end of the profile is assigned to $(0,0)$ and all the other points are modified accordingly. Figure 4.14 illustrates the graphical representation of the final profile and Table 4.4 shows the array which is going to be the input to the controller. For this array, the term "profile array" will be used in the following sections of this text.


Figure 4.14 Final profile

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $X_{1}$ | 0 | 10 | 25 | 30 | 40 |
| $Y_{1}$ | 0 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| $X_{2}$ | 10 | 25 | 30 | 40 | 50 |
| $Y_{2}$ | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | -5 |
| $X_{A}$ | 10 | - | - | - | 40 |
| $Y_{A}$ | 0 | - | - | - | -5 |
| $\theta_{1}$ | 180 | - | - | - | 90 |
| $\theta_{2}$ | 90 | - | - | - | 0 |
| $R_{A}$ | 10 | - | - | - | 10 |

Table 4.4 Profile artay
The $d x$ fread. $m$ function needs to be executed (i.e dxfread('Filename.dxf)) in the Matlab workspace prior to the simulations in Simulink. The output of the function (i.e. the profile array) is assigned to a variable $P$, which is accessed by the Reference Generator presented in the next section.

### 4.3.3 Reference Generator

The Reference Generator stores the profile array $P$ in a two dimensional look-up table and supplies the profile segments to the path controller when necessaty. When the process starts, the Reference Generator supplies the first segment (i.e. first column of the look-up table) to the path controller and checks the distance to the end point of this segment every time the system is sampled. If the distance (dist) is smaller than the allowed tolerance value (tolerance) then the next segment is supplied.


Figure 4.15 Block diagram of the Reference Generator
The Simulink block diagram of the Reference Generator is shown in Figure 4.15. The two variables $i$ and NOS represent the look-up table column index and the number of segments in the profile respectively. Figure 4.16 shows the flowchart of the reference generator operation at each sample.


Figure 4.16 Reference generator flowchart

It should be noted that, in a Simulink look-up table, the index of the first column is zero. Therefore, the first segment is supplied when $i=0$ and, a single left-to-right pass ends when $i=N O S$. While $N O S \leq i<2 * N O S$, the motion is from right-toleft, and therefore the start and end values (i.e. $X_{1}, Y_{1}, X_{2}, Y_{2}, \theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ ) of the segments are swapped.

### 4.3.4 Path Controller

Before presenting the details of the designed Path Controller, it is useful to outline the motives for this particular design.


Figure 4.17 Error components for different cutter locations

Figure 4.17 illustrates a typical bi-axial (X-Y) contour tracking application. The major concerns in these applications are the tangential error $\varepsilon_{t}$ and the contour error $\varepsilon_{c}$ Conventional motion controllers, discussed in Section 2.5.2 and 2.5.3, focus on the elimination of axial errors $\varepsilon_{x}$ and $\varepsilon_{y}$, hence expect a reduction in $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$. However, this is not always the case [20]. For example, from Figure 4.17, when the actual position moves from $P$ to $P^{\prime}$ to reach the reference position $R$, the axial errors as well as the tangential error reduces (i.e. $\varepsilon_{x}^{\prime}<\varepsilon_{x}, \varepsilon_{y}^{\prime}<\varepsilon_{y}, \varepsilon_{t}^{\prime}<\varepsilon_{t}$ ) but the contour error becomes greater ( $\varepsilon_{c}^{\prime}>\varepsilon_{c}$ ).

The cross-coupled-controllers (CCC), presented in Section 2.5.4, employ an additional controller which compensates the axial controllers in order to eliminate the contour error $\varepsilon_{c}$. However, in practice, the coupled effect between the axial controllers and the CCC may cause degradation in the tracking performance, and consequently bring a difficulty in the designs of these controllers [54].

Finally, the controllers with coordinate transformation (Section 2.5.5) decouple the error dynamics into tangential and normal directions, and enable the design of the respective controllers independently of each other [54][55][56][57]. This is done through transformation between the machine frame X-Y and the trajectory frame T-C. As shown in Figure 4.17, T and C axes are, respectively, tangent and normal to the contour, so that $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ are the axial error components of this frame.

The designed Path Controller also features coordinate transformation. The trajectory coordinate frame T-C rotates around its origin to align the T-axis tangential to the target path; hence, the C -axis is always normal to the contour. The control action is directly applied on the tangential error $\varepsilon_{t}$ and the contour error $\varepsilon_{c}$, which are effectively the axial error components on the T-C frame. Generally, the control action on the T-axis controls the speed along the path while the one on the C -axis controls the contour error. Unlike the other controllers of the same type, the Path Controller is not designed to work with time-based reference input commands. Instead, it receives the complete geometry of the target (i.e. profile segment) from the Reference Generator. In that respect, it is similar to the Scalar-Field Control Technique [42] described in Section 2.5.6.


Figure 4.18 Generalized block diagram of the Path Controller

Figure 4.18 shows the generalized block diagram of the Path Controller in Simulink. Its operation principle is explained in three parts as follows:

Part I - Coordinate transformation from X-Y to T-C: Transformation from the machine coordinate frame $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ to the trajectory coordinate frame T-C is performed by defining a transformation matrix $[\tau]$.


Figure 4.19 Coordinate transformation for line segments

For a line segment (Figure 4.19), the T-C frame remains fixed from the start point of the segment $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right)$ to the end point $\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)$. Let $\psi$ be the inclination angle between the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ and the T-C frames, then the transformation matrix can be defined as:

$$
[\tau]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\psi) & \sin (\psi)  \tag{4.15}\\
-\sin (\psi) & \cos (\psi)
\end{array}\right]
$$

such that,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{t}  \tag{4.16}\\
\varepsilon_{c}
\end{array}\right]=[\tau] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{x} \\
\varepsilon_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $(X, Y)$ is the actual position at any instant, then the equations (4.15) and (4.16) can be written as:

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[\tau]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{X_{2}-X_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{2}-Y_{1}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{Y_{2}-Y_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{2}-Y_{1}\right)^{2}}} \\
\frac{Y_{1}-Y_{2}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{2}-Y_{1}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{X_{2}-X_{1}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{2}-X_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{2}-Y_{1}\right)^{2}}}
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{4.17}\\
{\left[\begin{array}{c}
\varepsilon_{t} \\
\varepsilon_{c}
\end{array}\right]=[\tau] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{2}-X \\
Y_{2}-Y
\end{array}\right]} \tag{4.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, the velocities on the X-Y frame are transformed to the T-C frame by the equation (4.19). The velocity on the T -axis $V_{t}$ represents the path speed, and the one on the C -axis $V_{c}$ shows the rate of change of the contour error.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{t}  \tag{4.19}\\
V_{c}
\end{array}\right]=[\tau] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{x} \\
V_{y}
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 4.20 Coordinate transformation for arc segments
For an arc segment (Figure 4.20), the error components $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ are calculated by using the polar coordinates R and $\theta$. However, $[\tau]$ is still defined for velocity transformation.

If $\left(X_{A}, Y_{A}\right)$ is the centre point of the arc and $(X, Y)$ is the actual position at any instant, then the polar coordinates $R$ and $\theta$ are calculated by the following equations.

$$
\begin{gather*}
R=\sqrt{\left(X-X_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{A}\right)^{2}}  \tag{4.20}\\
\theta=\arctan \left(\frac{Y-Y_{A}}{X-X_{A}}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Consequently, the contour error $\varepsilon_{c}$ is found from the difference between the radius of the reference atc $R_{A}$ and the instantaneous radius $R$, and the tangential error $\varepsilon_{t}$ becomes equal to the length of the arc between the points $\left(X^{\prime}, Y\right)$ and ( $X_{2}, Y_{2}$ ) (Figure 4.20). Equations (4.22) and (4.23) show the calculations.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\varepsilon_{c}=R_{A}-R  \tag{4.22}\\
\varepsilon_{t}=2 \cdot \pi \cdot R_{A} \cdot \frac{\theta-\theta_{2}}{360} \tag{4.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

While tracking an arc segment, the T-C frame is not fixed as in the case of a line segment. It continuously rotates due to the changing tangent. Therefore, $[\tau]$ has to be re-deined at every sample. The formulation for $[\tau]$ is the same as in the equation (4.15), but the inclination angle $\psi$ is determined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\theta-90^{\circ} \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\theta$ is the polar coordinate of the actual position $(X, Y)$ at any instant. Once $[\tau]$ is defined, the velocity transformation is also the same as in the case of line segments (4.19).

Part II - Application of the controller gains: After the coordinate transformation, the Path Controller turns into a simple proportional position controller, in which the position error components $\varepsilon_{t}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ are multiplied by the gains $K p T$ and $K p C$ respectively. This is shown in the following equation (4.25), where $C_{t}$ and $C_{c}$ are the controller outputs on the T-C frame.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{t}  \tag{4.25}\\
C_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K p T & 0 \\
0 & K p C
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{t} \\
\varepsilon_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Alternatively, the position loop can be extended to be a cascaded position-velocity loop by using also the transformed velocities $V_{t}$ and $V_{c}$. The equation of the cascaded design is as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{t}  \tag{4.26}\\
C_{c}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K v T & 0 \\
0 & K v C
\end{array}\right] \times\left(\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K p T & 0 \\
0 & K p C
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
\varepsilon_{t} \\
\varepsilon_{c}
\end{array}\right]\right)-\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{t} \\
V_{c}
\end{array}\right]\right)
$$

where, $K \nu T$ and $K \nu C$ are proportional velocity gains. Figure 4.21 shows the Simulink block diagram that can be used with both control methods. The preferred method is selected manually via the Switch blocks.


Figure 4.21 Block diagram for the application of the controller gains

Part III - Coordinate transformation from T-C to X-Y: This is the final part of the Path Controller. The controller output on the T-C frame is transformed back to the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ frame and sent to the drives. Equation (4.27) shows the transformation.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{x}  \tag{4.27}\\
C_{y}
\end{array}\right]=[\tau]^{T} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
C_{t} \\
C_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The next section discusses the software simulations performed in Matlab/ Simulink.

### 4.4 Simulations

This section presents the Matlab/Simulinks simulations.

### 4.4.1 Actuator selection for the simulated system

In order to select the DC motors and the leadscrews of the X and Y axis drives, the market is researched for the available options. Within the complete range of products, the sizes of the considered ones are categorized as miniature. For the miniature DC servo-motors, there are plenty of manufacturers; whereas for the leadscrews this is not the case. Therefore, the leadscrews are selected first and the motors are sized accordingly.

| Diameter (mm) | Lead (mm) | Efficiency $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 40 |
| 6.35 | 1.5 | 52 |
|  | 2 | 59 |
|  | 3 | 68 |
|  | 10 | 78 |

Table 4.5 Miniature anti-backlash leadscrews from Reliance Ltd. [90]

Reliance Ltd. [90] offers a range of miniature anti-backlash leadscrews. The available leads and the corresponding efficiencies are given in Table 4.5. At smaller leads, the efficiency is lower due to increased friction, whereas higher leads produce less thrust force for a given input torque. Also, very high leads (i.e. 10 mm ) introduce back-driving effect; which is not desirable for the vertical drive, since the drive's weight could back-drive the leadscrew. As a result, leadscrews with 3 mm leads are chosen for the horizontal and vertical drives.

In Section 4.2.1, the path mean speed is targeted to be $135 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. Accordingly, DC servo-motors, which can achieve a maximum speed of $400 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ with the selected leadscrews, are shortlisted (Table 4.6) from Portescap [91] and Maxon [92].

|  |  | Escap <br> 25GST2R82 | Escap <br> 25GT2R82 <br> $-216 P$ | Maxon <br> RE30 | Escap <br> 30GT2R82 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 219 P | 268214 | -234 P |  |
| Rated power | W | 27 | 40 | 60 | 83 |
| Nominal voltage | V | 12 | 12 | 24 | 18 |
| No load speed | mm | 11300 | 9500 | 8810 | 8500 |
| Stall torque | Nm | 0.150 | 0.246 | 1.020 | 0.758 |
| Max. cont. torque | Nm | 0.027 | 0.043 | 0.087 | 0.087 |
| Weight | g | 111 | 145 | 238 | 310 |

Table 4.6 Shortlisted DC motors

Since all of the shortlisted motors satisfy the speed requirement, the selection is based on the torque characteristics and weight. The motor weight is important because one of the drives is carried by the other. As can be seen in Table 4.6, the weight is almost directly proportional to the rated power. The Maxon RE30 stands out with superior torque characteristics. Compared to the Escap 30GT2R82 it delivers $25 \%$ more stall torque and weighs $22 \%$ less. Also compared to the Escap 30GT2R82, despite being 65\% heavier, it delivers four times greater stall torque, and two times more continuous torque. Consequently, the Maxon RE30 is selected for the horizontal and vertical drives.

### 4.4.2 Simulation parameters and inputs

| parameter |  |  | horizontal drive value | vertical drive value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D/A limit |  |  | $\pm 8192$ | $\pm 8192$ |
| D/A gain | (V) | $K_{d}$ | 10/8192 | 10/8192 |
| amplifier gain | (V/V) | $K_{0}$ | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| current limit | (A) | $I_{\text {Lim }}$ | $\pm 4$ | $\pm 4$ |
| armature inductance | (H) | $L_{m}$ | 0.00012 | 0.00012 |
| armature resistance | (Ohm) | $R_{m}$ | 0.582 | 0.582 |
| motor torque constant | ( $\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A}$ ) | $K_{1}$ | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| motor back-emf constant | (Vs/rad) | $K_{e}$ | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| leadscrew efficiency |  | $e$ | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| leadscrew gain | ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{rad}$ ) | R | 0.000477 | 0.000477 |
| equivalent inertia | ( $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ ) | $J$ | $3.62 * 10^{-6}$ | $3.65 * 10^{-6}$ |
| equivalent damping coeff. | ( $\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad}$ ) | $B$ | $1.25 * 10^{-5}$ | 1.25*10.5 |
| carriage mass | (kg) | M | 0.3 | 1 |
| cutting force | (N) | $F_{c}$ | 5 | 5 |

Table 4.7 Simulation prameters

Simulations are performed with the parameters listed in Table 4.7. The motor and leadscrew values are taken from manufacturer's data. The equivalent damping coefficients and the catriage masses estimated. The cutting force acting on the horizontal drive is approximated as a constant force opposing the direction of motion (4.28). For the vertical drive, it is assumed that the cutting force is acting only when the drive is moving downwards (i.e $-Y$ direction). The external force on the vertical drive also includes the weight of the vertical carriage (4.29).

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{e} H=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-F_{c} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} & , \dot{x} \neq 0 \\
0 & , \dot{x}=0
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.28}\\
F_{e} V=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-M_{c} V \cdot g & , \dot{y} \geq 0 \\
F_{c}-M_{c} V \cdot g & , \\
\dot{y}<0
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.29}
\end{gather*}
$$


(b)


Figure 4.22 Simulation inputs (a) test profile (b) real moulding profile
Two input profiles are used in the simulations. The first one, shown in Figure 4.22(a), is a purpose generated test profile, and it is designed to assess the system performance on profile segments with different geometries such as:

- horizontal lines
- vertical lines
- sloped lines
- concave arcs
- convex arcs

The Path Controller is tuned through the simulations on the test profile. The final settings are tested on a real moulding profile (Figure 4.22(b)) for verification. The next section presents and discusses the simulation results.

### 4.4.3 Simulation Results

A single left-to-right pass, along the profile width, is simulated in Simulink. The controllers are tuned in order to achieve the following targets:

- Primary target: Accuracy $\quad\left(\varepsilon_{c} \leq 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$
- Secondary target: Speed $\quad\left(v_{m e a n} \geq 135 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}\right)$

Initially, the Path Controller is switched to proportional position controller mode as in (4.25), and the gains $K p T$ and $K p C$ are tuned by guided trial-and-error. Figure 4.23(a) shows the resultant profile, where the end-points of the profile segments are indicated with " $\Delta$ " and " $\nabla$ " for clarity.

In Figure 4.23(b), the contour error $\varepsilon_{c}$ is plotted against the horizontal position $X$. The maximum contour error (i.e. emax) is 0.1 mm , therefore the accuracy target is met. The error spikes are expected due to the tolerance value in Table 4.7. They represent the segment end-points, where the Reference Generator supplies the next segment to the Path Controller.

The path speed $V_{t}$ is plotted in Figure 4.23(c) against the horizontal position $X$. This allows better observation of the velocity behavior on most of the profile segments. On the other hand, the velocity on the two vertical segments appears as spikes. Since the velocity is not controlled in this simulation, the system is accelerated to greater speeds on longer segments. The maximum speed is recorded as $306 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, however, the mean path speed $\nu_{m e a n}$ stayed at $22.27 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, which is six times slower than the targeted value.


Figure 4.23 Simulation results: Initial performance (a) test profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) path speed vs. horizontal position

For the second simulation, the Path Controller is switched to cascaded positionvelocity mode. Also, the path speed $V_{t}$ is limited to $175 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. The result is shown in Figure 4.24.

Contour error plot in Figure 4.24(b) indicates a very smooth tracking performance. The maximum contour error is recorded as 0.09 mm , hence, the accuracy target is met. As can be seen in Figure 4.24(c); limiting the maximum speed allowed the drives to decelerate later, therefore, the mean path speed $v_{\text {mean }}$ is increased to $138.51 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, and the speed target is also achieved.




| Controller Gains: $\quad K p T=120 \quad K p C=200 \quad K v T=6 \quad K v C=15$ | Vlim $=175 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Simulation Result: $t=1.07 \mathrm{~s} \quad$ vmean $=138.51 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s} \quad$ emax $=0.09 \mathrm{~mm}$ | emean $=0.007 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

Figure 4.24 Simulation results: Cascaded controller and velocity limit (a) test profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) path speed vs. horizontal position

As mentioned previously, some of the friction losses in the system are taken into account in the Simulink model by including the leadscrew efficiency e. This, however, only represents the dynamic (i.e. Coulomb) friction. In the actual system, static friction (i.e. Stiction) also exists and may cause tracking errors when one of the drives encounters zero velocity crossings. For example; while tracking the semi-circles in Figure 4.24(a), the vertical drive crosses zero velocity at $X=60 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $\mathrm{X}=85 \mathrm{~mm}$, whereas the horizontal drive does not. If static friction was present in the simulation, it might have caused relatively large contour errors referred to as "quadrant glitches" [93]. In the actual system, this is prevented
either by friction compensation, which requires friction model and identification; or by splitting the semi-circles into two segments so that both drives stop when one of them changes direction. The latter option is simulated and the result is given in Figure 4.25.




$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\text { Controller Gains: } & \mathrm{KpT}=120 \quad \mathrm{KpC}=200 \quad \mathrm{KVT}=7 \quad \mathrm{KVC}=15 \quad \text { Vlim }=175 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s} \\
\text { Simulation Result: } t=1.09 \mathrm{~s} \quad \text { vmean }=136.19 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s} \quad \text { emax }=0.1 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \text { emean }=0.007 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 4.25 Simulation results: Cascaded controller, velocity limit, and split arcs (a) test profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) path speed vs. horizontal position

Splitting the two semi-circles resulted in two more points to stop (i.e. $\mathrm{X}=60$ and $\mathrm{X}=85$ in Figure 4.25(a)); consequently, the mean path speed is reduced. However, this reduction is kept at minimum by increasing the tangential velocity gain $K \nu T$.

As a result, speed and accuracy targets are still achieved on the profile with split arcs.


Figure 4.26 Simulation results for the real moulding profile (a) moulding profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) path speed vs. horizontal position

Finally, the input is changed to the real moulding profile in Figure 4.22(b), and the system is simulated with the same controller parameters as in the previous simulation. The result in Figure 4.26 shows a very smooth tracking performance and the simulation meets both targets with a maximum contour error of 0.07 mm and a mean path speed of $139.49 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$.

Overall, the simulation results confirm the feasibility of the PIMM concept and show that the target path speed of $135 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, which corresponds to a production rate of $0.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$, can be achieved with contour errors less than 0.1 mm .

### 4.5 Summary

This chapter is aimed at bringing the profile independent wood-moulding machine from an idea to the detailed design stage. The system is studied in two main parts.

The first part is the electro-mechanical hardware and it includes $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z axis drives. The X and Y axis drives are responsible for cutter positioning, which is the essential patt of the PIMM concept. Dynamics of the X and Y axis drives determine the production speed and the geometric accuracy of the moulding. Firstly, the expected performance in terms of speed and accuracy is identified. Production rate for a 100 mm wide moulding with acceptable quality (i.e. surface wave pitch $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) is targeted as $0.15 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$. For this target, the mean tracking speed along the path corresponds to $135 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. Also, the measure for the geometric accuracy is chosen to be the contour error, and the maximum value is targeted as 0.1 mm . Secondly, actuator alternatives are evaluated for the X and Y axis drives. DC motor-leadscrew drives emerged as the best option. Consequently, mathematical models are derived and implemented in Simulink.

The second part of the system includes the control structure and algorithms. In this part, firstly it is decided to input desired profile geometry from CAD files in DXF format. Also, algorithms are presented for extracting profile data from DXF files. The extracted profile data is stored in the Reference Generator which governs the top-level coordination of the machine drives. The designed Path Controller synchronizes the X and Y axis drives to produce the desired profile.

Finally, the system is simulated and the results confirmed the feasibility of the PIMM concept. Moreover, it was shown that the targeted performance can be achieved.

## Chapter 5

## PIMM Test Rig

### 5.1 Introduction

This chapter deals with some design aspects of the PIMM test rig that has been designed and built in order to investigate feasibility and effectiveness of the new concept.

Firstly, in Section 5.2, some mechanical design aspects are covered. Then in Section 5.3, the employed control hardware is explained. Two different software applications were designed for the PIMM test tig. The first one, covered in Section 5.4, is based on Simulink Real-Time Windows Target prototyping environment and it is mainly for mathematical model validation and system identification purposes. The second one is currently the main application for the test tig and it is designed in Visual Basic 6, and presented in Section 5.5. Finally in Section 5.6; future plans on the PIMM test rig, which will be applied during an innovation fellowship following this research work, are summarized.

### 5.2 Mechanical Design

In designing the test rig, a modular approach has been followed. The test rig is divided to four sub-systems as cutter assembly, horizontal drive, vertical drive, and timber feed drive which also acts as the frame of the machine. This modular design approach allowed different designs of one or more subsystems to be experimented while the rest of the test-rig remain unchanged.

Prior to the design of the individual sub-systems, a thorough investigation on the commercially available hardware components (i.e. power transmission, linear motion products) had been performed. Feasible alternatives were evaluated with the criteria being various properties such as size, weight, accuracy, and cost.


Figure 5.1 PIMM test-rig

Small magnitudes of the forces generated in the process allowed the use of rapid prototyping plastics for various parts of the test-rig. With the help of rapid prototyping, the initial build time of the test-rig and also the modification times were considerably shortened.

The test tig is designed only to accommodate small test specimens. Longer workpiece lengths are not considered. Also, suitable off-the-shelf cutters are used and dedicated cutter design has not been performed.

Figure 5.1 shows a picture of the most recent test-rig. Some previous designs are given in Appendix D. The remainder of this section deals with the test-rig subsystems.

### 5.2.1 Cutter assembly (0-Axis)



Figure 5.2 Cutter assembly

An overhung spindle arrangement was employed for the cutter assembly as depicted in Figure 5.2. This arrangement was chosen mainly for its simplicity. A 4tooth slotting saw having 50.8 mm diameter and 1.6 mm kerf is located on the overhung end of the spindle which is connected directly to the motor shaft. The Maxon EC22 brushless DC motor rotates at up to 22000 rpm at 32 VDC and delivers a power of 50 W . Full specifications of the cutter motor is given in Appendix E. It was aimed to minimize the moving mass in the system and therefore, considering the small cutting forces, the cutter holder is made from rapid prototyped (SLS) nylon.

In this arrangement, the maximum depth of cut is equal to the difference between motor radius and cutter radius, and in this case 14.4 mm . Deeper cuts can be produced by using a larger diameter cutter. Alternatively, the motor can be located away from the cutter, i.e. on top of the cutter holder, and transmission such as belt/pulley can be employed between the motor and the cutter.

The cutter assembly connects to the horizontal axis drive carriage and the horizontal leadscrew nut as shown in Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3.

### 5.2.2 Horizontal drive (X-Axis)



Figure 5.3 Horizontal drive

The horizontal drive consists of a motor, leadscrew, linear guideway and a frame (Figure 5.3). The Maxon RE30 permanent magnet DC motor is rated 60W, and the maximum speed and torque values are, respectively, 8800 rpm and 1 Nm at 24 VDC. A flexible shaft coupling connects the motor to a leadscrew with 3 mm lead which is coupled with an anti-backlash nut. The precision linear guide carriage, together with the leadscrew nut, attaches to the cutter assembly and carries it along the horizontal axis. All the components connect to the drive frame which is
made of rapid prototyped (i.e. SLS) nylon. Full specifications of the drive hardware can be found in Appendix F.

The maximum travel of the cutter assembly along the horizontal axis is 120 mm and its position is controlled closed-loop. Position feedback is obtained from an incremental encoder which is attached to the motor shaft. The HEDS 5540 encoder is capable of 2000 quadrature counts per revolution.

Table 5.1 lists some important parameters of the horizontal drive. Detailed calculations of these parameters are provided in Appendix G.

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maximum travel $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 120 |
| Maximum linear speed (mm/s) | 440 |
| Maximum continuous thrust $(\mathrm{N})$ | 123 |
| Translating mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.25 |
| Rotating inertia $\left(\mathrm{kgm}^{2}\right)$ | $3.61 \mathrm{e}^{-6}$ |

Table 5.1 Horizontal drive parameters
The horizontal drive is carried by the vertical axis drive, which is presented in the next section.

### 5.2.3 Vertical drive (Y-Axis)

The vertical drive shown in Figure 5.4 contains the same hardware components (i.e motor, encoder, leadscrew, linear guide) as the horizontal drive (see Appendix F). The operation principle is also the same except the leadscrew runs in the centre of two linear guides. Additionally, the drive features a dust extraction nozzle which connects to a suction pipe behind the drive frame. Even a vacuum cleaner can be used as the dust extractor. Some important parameters of the vertical drive are shown in Table 5.2, and detailed calculations are given in Appendix G.


Figure 5.4 Vertical drive

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maximum travel $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 30 |
| Maximum linear speed $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s})$ | 440 |
| Maximum continuous thrust $(\mathrm{N})$ | 123 |
| Translating mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.9 |
| Rotating inertia $\left(\mathrm{kgm}^{2}\right)$ | $3.63 \mathrm{e}^{-6}$ |

Table 5.2 Vertical drive parameters

### 5.2.4 Timber feed drive (Z-Axis) and machine frame

Timber feed drive in Figure 5.5 is designed as a temporary solution in order to develop the PIMM concept. It accommodates small timber specimens, up to 100 mm long, which are screwed onto the mounting plate. The mounting plate bolts to the feed table, and they are driven by a stepper motor and leadscrew atrangement. The maximum feed speed achieved by the drive is $5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. Although this value is lower than the target speed of $25 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ (see Section 4.2.5), it is sufficient for the proof-of-concept investigations. Full specifications of the drive hardware can be found in Appendix H .


Figure 5.5 Timber feed drive and machine frame

The frame is constructed in single piece from rapid prototyped (SLS) nylon and acts as the main machine frame. The vertical drive is placed in between the side walls as shown in Figure 5.5, and it can be moved up and down in order to adjust for different workpiece heights up to 50 mm . After the adjustment, it is fixed in place by tightening the four bolts on either side of the main frame.

The maximum dimensions of the fully assembled test rig are $300 \mathrm{~mm}, 350 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 360 mm on $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Z directions respectively. The test rig can be defined as a miniature moulding machine. In its current state, timber specimens having up to 100 mm width, 100 mm length and 50 mm height can be machined with a maximum depth of cut of 14.4 mm . Some photographs, animations and videos of the test rig are provided in the accompanying CD (Appendix M). The following sections of this chapter deal with the control hardware and software of the system.

### 5.3 Control Hardware



Figure 5.6 System diagram

The system diagram of the test rig shown in Figure 5.6 illustrates all key components of the instrumentation along with the signal flow between the test rig and the control computer which is represented by the multifunction I/O card. Control hardware is divided into two groups. The first group covers the control computer and the multifunction I/O card, and the second group includes driving amplifiers for the motors.

### 5.3.1 Control computer and multifunction I/O card

Control computer is used to acquire readings from the incremental encoders and to send out signals to the motor driving amplifiers. Horizontal (X-axis) and vertical ( Y -axis) drives operate in closed loop; a control algorithm adjusts the input voltage to the motor driving amplifiers according to the encoder readings in real time. The control computer also allows logging encoder readings during
operation, in order to display and evaluate system performance. Timber feed drive (Z-axis) stepper motor is controlled open loop, where the control computer sends out the number of steps necessary to achieve the required timber feed. However, the operation of the timber feed drive is synchronized to the horizontal and vertical drives. Timber feed only takes place when the X and Y axis drives stop after each pass along the width of the workpiece. Finally, an on/off control is employed for the cutter drive. When started, the cutter motor runs at the speed that is set in the drive amplifier hardware.

The control computer is a standard PC with Pentium III 800 MHz processor and 256 MB memory, running Windows 2000 operating system. The biggest advantage of using a standard PC as the controller is the ease of implementation, modification and testing of the desired control logic. Moreover, appealing graphical user interfaces (GUI) can be designed together with powerful algorithms by using various software tools. Also, as a result of rapid advancements in computer technology; a PC like the one being used, which is sufficient for the purposes of this system, is inexpensive.

The communication between the control computer and the drives is done via interface hardware providing analog and digital I/O. In choosing the interface hardware, it was decided to use a multifunction PC card which is flexible in terms of both the hardware capabilities (I/O) and software compatibility. A Sensoray Model 626 PCI multifunction I/O card is selected and installed in the control computer. The following lists the reasons for choosing this particular card.

- Compatibility with Windows, Linux, QNX.
- Compatibility with Visual Basic, C, Simulink Real-Time Windows Target and Simulink xPC Target.
- All in one card; satisfies all the requirements of the current system.
- Extra resources (i.e. digital and analog I/O) available for future development of the system
- Reasonable cost; under $£ 400$.

| Interface | Parameter | Description |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bus | Type | PCI, 32-bit, 33 MHz |
| Digital I/O | Number of channels | 48 (each channel can be either input or output) |
| Encoder | Input characteristics | Differential pair/TTL/CMOS compatible |
|  | Counter size | 24 bits |
|  | Number of channels | 6 |
| D/A | Resolution | 14 bits including sign |
|  | Output range | $\pm 10 \mathrm{~V}$ |
|  | Number of channels | 4 |
|  | Conversion time | Approximately $200 \mu \mathrm{~s} /$ channel |

Table 5.3 I/O card specification [94]

| Interface | Port Number | Allocation |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| D/A | 0 | Vertical drive motor (Y-Axis) |
|  | 3 | Horizontal drive motor (X-Axis) |
| Encoder | 0 | Horizontal drive encoder (X-Axis) |
|  | 1 | Vertical drive encoder (Y-Axis) |

Table 5.4 Allocation of the I/O ports

Table 5.3 lists the details of Sensoray 626 interfaces that are used by the system (see Figure 5.6). Allocation of the individual I/O ports to the test-rig hardware is given in Table 5.4. Full specification of Sensoray 626 can be found in Appendix I.

### 5.3.2 Driving amplifiers

Power amplifiers drive the axis motors according to the signals they receive from the control computer. For the permanent magnet DC motors of the X and Y axis drives, Maxon ADS 50/5 4-Q-DC pulse width modulated (PWM) servoamplifiers are used. Required motor speed is determined by set-values sent from the control computer via the analogue output ports of the interface card. The speed would drop with increasing motor load. To maintain the speed at the desired value; the amplifiers are adjusted to run in IxR compensation mode, in which the output to the motor is increased with increasing motor current. The advantage of this method is that the amplifier does not require encoder or tacho feedback from the
motor. Some selected properties of these amplifiers are stated in Table 5.5, a detailed description can be found in Appendix J.

| Property | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Supply voltage (Vcc) | $12-50 \mathrm{VDC}$ |
| Max. output voltage | $0.9^{*} \mathrm{Vcc}$ |
| Max. output current | 10 A |
| Continuous output current | 5 A |
| Switching frequency | 50 kHz |
| Efficiency | $95 \%$ |
| Set value | $-10 \ldots+10 \mathrm{VDC}$ |

Table 5.5 Driving amplifier properties for $X$ and $Y$ axis motors
The cutter motor is driven by a Maxon AECS 35/3 1-Q-EC sensorless amplifier. This amplifier drives electronically commutated (brushless) DC motors. With the aid of opposing EMF, the current rotor position is evaluated and the partial coils are controlled [95]. Although the motor speed could be set by analogue set values from the computer, the on-board potentiometer is used for simplicity. Computer only sends activate/deactivate signals to the brake input of the amplifier via a digital output port of the interface card. Some selected properties of this amplifier are given in Table 5.6, a detailed description can be found in Appendix K.

| Property | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Supply voltage (Vcc) | $8-35 \mathrm{VDC}$ |
| Max. output current | 5 A |
| Continuous output current | 3 A |
| Speed range (typical) | $1000-90000 \mathrm{rpm}$ |

Table 5.6 Driving amplifier properties for cutter motor

Finally, the stepper motor of the timber feed drive is driven by a purpose built power switching circuit with eight field effect transistors (FETs). It enables halfstep and full-step operation of the stepper motor. Steps are initiated one-by-one from the control computer through four digital output ports of the interface card.

### 5.4 Software Implementation in Simulink

Real-Time Windows Target (RTWT) enables the user to run Simulink models in real-time on PCs for rapid prototyping or hardware-in-the-loop simulation of control system and signal processing algorithms. Real-time executions can be created and controlled entirely through Simulink. The highly optimized RTWT kernel runs at the highest priority (i.e. Ring 0) in the Windows environment. Other Windows applications continue to run during operation and can use all CPU cycles not needed by the real-time task [96]. Most RTWT models can achieve up to 10 kHz sampling rate, which is also sufficient for the PIMM.

A typical process of developing a real-time application in the Real-Time Windows Target environment involves the following steps.

- The real time application is designed in Simulink. The RTWT environment allows adding blocks into Simulink diagram for interfacing the I/O card.
- The Real-Time Workshop (RTW), then compiles the Simulink diagram, which represents the real-time application. The result of this compilation is a C code.
- The C code is then compiled by a C compiler to obtain an executable binary file.
- By selecting Simulink external mode, the Real-Time Windows Target kernel loads the binary application file into the memory and establishes a connection between the hardware and Simulink.

The real time execution is started from the computer. Upon execution, the realtime signals can be displayed live with the standard Simulink Scope blocks. Also, the run-time data can be captured and stored in MAT-files for additional off-line analysis and visualization in MATLAB. Detailed information on Real-Time Windows Target can be found in [96].

Implementation of the PIMM system in Simulink RTWT is done by replacing the mathematical model of the motion system (i.e. X and Y axis drives), described in Section 4.2.4, with the model shown in Figure 5.7. This model does not contain any information on the drive hardware parameters. It only specifies how, where and when the data should be sent to and received from the hardware. The rest of the PIMM model, namely, reference generator, control algorithm and output visualizations remain unchanged. This enables direct comparison between the mathematical model and the real hardware.


Figure 5.7 PIMM model for Real-Time Windows Target

The RTWT implementation is done for mathematical model validation and system identification purposes only. The Simulink model is designed to perform a single pass along the width of the workpiece at each execution. Also, it is decided to keep the model as simple as possible. Consequently, timber feed and cutter drives are not implemented in Simulink and operated manually.

### 5.5 Software Implementation in Visual Basic 6

Visual Basic is the first and the most widely used object oriented, visual programming environment used for developing Windows applications. "Visual Programming" is the method of creating graphical user interfaces (GUI) by placing objects on the screen at design time, rather than writing numerous lines of
code to describe the appearance and orientation of the interface elements. Visual Basic (VB) is based on BASIC (Beginners All-Purpose Symbolic Instruction Code) programming language. However, VB is evolved from the original BASIC language and now contains several hundred statements, functions and keywords many of which refer directly to Windows GUI. Visual Basic also includes numerous tools, visual components, application templates and wizards that are very useful from the start of the programming to the end of compiling and debugging. Although Visual Basic 6 (VB6) is an older version in its product family, the capabilities of this version is sufficient for the needs of the PIMM software. More information on VB6 can be found in [97].

Unlike the Simulink one, Visual Basic 6 implementation is aimed to be the main, fully functional software for the PIMM. Compiled version of the software can be installed together with the Sensoray 626 interface card to any Windows PC without the need for any other software.

The main functionality of the software is to load CAD drawings of moulding profiles and to control machine axes. Other than the basic functionality, the present software includes some advanced features such as controller tuning and error monitoring. These features are implemented for optimization purposes and they might not be needed by the end-users of the system.

This section presents overall structure, data structure, functionality and graphical user interface of the software implemented in Visual Basic 6. The source code can be found in the accompanying $C D$ (Appendix M).

### 5.5.1 Program structure

At design time, a Visual Basic application is represented by a project. The PIMM software consists of one prject file ('.vbp'), five form files ('.frm') and six module files ('bas'). The form files contain visual components together with source code, whereas, module files can only contain source code. Table 5.7 lists the files that
constitute the PIMM project. These files, except 'Win626.bas', will be referred throughout the following sections; therefore, detailed descriptions are not presented here.

| File Name | Type | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| PIMM.vbp | project | main file that links all files in the project |
| Main.ffm | form | main window containing menus, toolbars and all other forms |
| Graph.frm | form | displays the moulding profile to be machined |
| Analysis.frm | form | displays some machining results after each pass |
| List.frm | form | displays the profile array (refer to Section 4.3.2) |
| Settings.frm | form | displays accuracy, sampling rate and controller gain settings |
| Common.bas | module | contains various functions |
| Control.bas | module | control algorithm for X and Y axis drives (refer to Section 4.3.4) |
| Drawing.bas | module | procedures required for Graph.frm and Error.frm |
| ImpExp.bas | module | procedures for loading and saving moulding profiles |
| APITimer.bas | module | high resolution API timer |
| Win626.bas | module | provides link to 'S626.dll' |

Table 5.7 Visual Basic files in the PIMM project


Figure 5.8 Block diagram of the software hierarchy [98]

The module file 'Win626.bas' is required for the Sensoray 626 interface card and it provides a link between the project and the 'S626.dll' dynamic link library. The interface card is configured and accessed with the help of specific functions and instructions contained in the file. Figure 5.8 illustrates the hierarchy from software application to hardware access.

### 5.5.2 Data structure

## File formats

There are three ASCII formats recognized by this application; '.dxf, '.pim', and '.dat'. Import-export procedures for these formats are implemented in 'ImpExp.bas' module file.

## - '.dxf'

Moulding profiles in two-dimensional ASCII DXF files can only be imported to the application. Profiles created or modified in the application can not be saved in DXF format. For DXF reading and translating methods refer to Section 4.3.1 and Section 4.3.2 respectively.

## - '.pim'

Files with '.pim' extension consist of a single column of numbers, of which, every nine rows define a segment of a profile. It can be thought as if the columns of the 'profile array', described in Section 4.3.2, are written in a single column one after the other, starting from the first. The '.pim.' files can be opened and saved by the application.

## - '.dat'

This file type is used for recording machining results during the development of the test-rig. These files comprise comma-separated ASCII data and they can be imported to MATLAB or any spreadsheet software for analysis purposes. First two lines of the file contain completion time and maximum contour error of a single pass. The next two lines accommodate the gains used in the path controller. The rest of the file records the Samples array explained below.

## Data handling

The application uses many variables and arrays for storing reference and sampled data. The most important ones are summarized below.

## - Target

Target contains geometric data of the moulding profile to be machined. Essentially it is the same as the 'profile array' explained in Section 4.3.2. The only difference is; in the 'profile array' each column represents a profile segment, but in Target, segments are placed in rows. The maximum number of segments is limited to 100 .

- Active segment variables (ind, X1, Y1, X2, Y2, XA, YA, Tb1, Th2, RA)

For the sake of programming simplicity, parameters of the profile segment, which is being processed, are extracted from the Target array and assigned to individual variables. Assignation is performed by the $A$ ssignSegment sub-routine in Common.bas. Table 5.8 lists the definitions of the active segment variables.

| Variable | Definition |
| :---: | :--- |
| ind | position (row number) of the segment in the Target array |
| $X 1$ | start point x coordinate |
| $Y 1$ | start point y coordinate |
| $X 2$ | end point x coordinate |
| $Y 2$ | end point y coordinate |
| $X A$ | arc centre x coordinate $(0$ if segment is a line $)$ |
| $Y A$ | arc centre y coordinate (0 if segment is a line $)$ |
| Th1 | start point arc angle (0 if segment is a line) |
| Th2 | end point arc angle (0 if segment is a line) |
| RA | arc radius $(0$ if segment is a line $)$ |

Table 5.8 Active segment variables

## - Samples

This is a two-dimensional array that stores sampled data points, and it consists of 3 columns and 100000 rows. First, second and third columns contain horizontal position $(X)$, vertical position $(Y)$ and contour error ( $\varepsilon_{c}$ ) in millimeters respectively; where contour error ( $\varepsilon_{c}$ ) is the distance from the sampled point to the nearest point on the target path. Each row represents a single point and every time the system is sampled, a new row is added to the array. The data points in the Samples array are only from a single pass over the workpiece. After each pass, the array is emptied and the next pass is started with a blank array.

### 5.5.3 Data capture and manipulation functions

In this section, major functions of the application are explained and their implementations are illustrated by flowcharts.


Figure 5.9 Flowchart - API timer

## High resolution API timer

In Visual Basic, periodic events are controlled by VB Timer objects. However, Timer objects can only go up to 100 Hz sampling frequency; and that makes them unsuitable for this application. The implemented API timer is one of the alternatives to the conventional VB Timer, and it works like a typical delay loop. Although its application is not as straightforward as its counterpart, the API timer easily provides 2 kHz frequency.

The flowchart in Figure 5.9 illustrates the principle of the implemented API timer, where srate is the desired sampling rate. The API timer uses two functions,

QueryPerformanceFrequency and QueryPerformanceCounter, in APITimer,bas file to access the Windows kernel. The first one gets the CPU frequency of the system and the second one gets the current number of CPU cycles the system has been on. If the number of CPU cycles is read twice over a certain interval $t_{\text {deley }}$ and stored in two different variables count1 and count2, and if CurFreq is the variable where the CPU frequency is stored; then the following equation (5.1) gives $t_{d d a y}$ in seconds.

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\text {delay }}=\frac{\text { count } 2-\text { count } 1}{\text { CurFreq }} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Outer loop for path control

The outer loop (Figure 5.10) is coded in the Main.frm file and it is initiated by clicking the $G O$ button on the Machine Profile toolbar (refer to Section 5.5.4). Upon initiation, the program calls the ControlTimer sub-routine which later triggers the inner control loop. After exiting the ControlTimer sub-routine, state of the ORepeat variable is checked. If the state is True, the sub-routine is re-entered; if False, then all the drive motors are stopped.


Figure 5.10 Flowchart - Outer control loop

The variable ORepeat is set by two buttons on the GUI (refer to Section 5.5.4) and it determines whether single-pass or continuous operation is required. By default, ORepeat is set to False (i.e. single-pass).

## Inner loop for path control

The inner control loop is implemented in the ControlTimer sub-routine which is called from the outer loop. This sub-routine is built around the above explained API Timer and resides in the Common.bas file. Besides hosting the inner loop and linking to the outer loop, it also controls timber feeding and display procedures. Figure 5.11 shows the flowchart of ControlTimer sub-routine. The elements of the flowchart are numbered and their brief explanations are given in Table 5.9.


Figure 5.11 Flowchart - ControlTimer

1 Call StartValues

2 Call AssignSegment

3 Set Finished = False

4 Get count1, Get count2 Get count1 and count2 from APITimer.bas.
5 Finished $=$ True $\quad$ Check the state of Finished.
6 Get count2 Get count2 from APITimer.bas.

8 count1 $=$ count $2 \quad$ Transfer count2 value to count1.
9 Call Sample Call Sample sub-routine (Figure 5.12).
10 Display result Display result on the screen.

12 Set Forward = True Set Forvard to True.
13 Set Forward = False Set Forvard to False.
14 Call TimberFeed

15 Exit
$7 \quad t_{\text {ddely }}<$ srate $\quad$ Check if $t_{d e l y y}(5.1)$ is smaller than the desired sampling rate srate.

11 Forward = True Check the state of the boolean variable Forward. Forwards value is True when the profile is followed from left to right and vice versa.
Call StartValues sub-routine from Common.bas. This sub-routine initializes the variables and screen display.

Call AssignSegment sub-routine from Common.bas. This sub-routine identifies the profile segment to be machined.

Set the state of the boolean variable Finished to False. This variable's state turns to True when all the segments of the profile are completed.

Transfer count2 value to count1. Call TimberFeed sub-routine.

Exit Contro/Timer sub-routine and return to outer loop.

Table 5.9 Flowchart details - ControlTimer

Sampling and path control algorithms are handled in the inner loop by the Sample sub-routine of Common.bas. Sample can be described as a gateway to many other sub-routines and functions. On its own, it only performs some logical operations but the functions it calls connect to the hardware and apply the path control algorithms described in Section 4.3.4. Figure 5.12 shows the flowchart of Sample sub-routine. The elements of the flowchart are numbered and their brief explanations are given in Table 5.10.


Figure 5.12 Flowchart - Sample

| 1 | $s=s+1$ | Increment the number of samples $s$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Read $X$ Read $Y$ | Read horizontal and vertical drive encoders and convert to milimetres. |
| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Samples}(s, 1)=X \\ & \operatorname{Samples}(s, 2)=Y \end{aligned}$ | Add $X$ and $Y$ to Samples array. |
| 4 | Arc Segment? | Check if the machined segment is an arc. |
| 5 | Call CalcRTh | Call CalcRTh sub-routine, which calculates the polar coordinates R and $\theta$ (refer to Section 4.3.4), from Control.bas. |
| 6 | Call CalcTransMtx | Call CalcTransMtx sub-routine from Controlbas. This sub-routine calculates the transformation matrix [ $\tau$ ] (refer to Section 4.3.4). |
| 7 | Calculate dist | dist is the direct distance between the current position and the endpoint of the profile segment that is being machined (refer to Section 4.3.3). |
| 8 | dist $>$ Tolerance | Check dist against the allowed Tolerance. If dist is greater than the Tolerance, then the machine carries on machining the current segment. Else, the next segment is started. |
| 9 | Call Calctec | Call CalcETEC sub-routine from Control.bas. CalcETEC calculates the errors $\varepsilon_{l}$ and $\varepsilon_{c}$ (refer to Section 4.3.4). |
| 10 | Call Apply Gains | Call ApplyGains sub-routine, which applies controller gains, from Control.bas (refer to Section 4.3.4). |
| 11 | Call ConvertToXY | Call ConverTToXY sub-routine from Control.bas. This sub-routine converts the control signals back to $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ coordinates (refer to Section 4.3.4). |
| 12 | Send drive signals | Send control signals to the horizontal and vertical drives. |
| 13 | ind $=n$ | Check if the index ind of the current segment in the Target array is equal to the total number of profile segments $n$ (refer to Section 5.5.2). |
| 14 | Set Finished $=$ True | Set Finished to True. |
| 15 | ind $=0$ | reset segment index (refer to Section 5.5.2). |
| 16 | Stop X \& Y drives | Stop horizontal and vertical axis drives. |
| 17 | Call AssignSegment | Call AssignSegment sub-routine from Common.bas. This sub-routine identifies the profile segment to be machined. |
| 18 | Exit | Exit Sample sub-routine. |

Table 5.10 Flowchart details - Sample

### 5.5.4 Graphical User Interface

This section presents graphical user interface (GUI) of the software designed in Visual Basic 6. Main controls are marked with numbers and their functionality is explained.

## Main window (Main.frm)

This is the master window of the software and it carries all the other windows and toolbars. Closing the main window terminates the application. The major controls on this window are explained below with reference to the numbers in Figure 5.13. In the explanations, the term 'active profile' is used to describe the target moulding profile to be machined.


Figure 5.13 Main window

1 Menu Bar includes dropdown menus which have the same functionality as the Main Toolbar (\#2).

2 Main Toolbar consists of nine buttons to provide quick access to certain functions.

2a - displays DrawProfile toolbar.
$\mathbf{2 b}$ - opens a standard Open Dialog to load a moulding profile.
2c - opens a standard Save Dialog to save the 'active profile'.
$\mathbf{2 d}$ - closes the 'active profile'.
2e - displays Machine Profile toolbar.
$2 f$ - opens a standard Save Dialog to save machining result in '.dat' format.

2 g - displays/hides Segment List window.
2h - displays/hides Analysis window.
$2 \mathbf{i}$ - displays/hides Settings window.

3 Graph Window displays the 'active profile' and also the machining result after (Graph.frm) every pass.

3a - plot of horizontal position $(X)$ vs. vertical position $(Y)$ in mm .
$3 b$ - full file path of the loaded profile.
3c-co-ordinates of the mouse-cursor when it is on the $X$ vs. Yplot (\#3a).

4 Draw Profile
includes drawing tools to create an 'active profile' from Toolbar scratch. Also, segments can be added to a previously created/loaded profile.

4a - a line is drawn from the Start Point to the End Point on the Graph Window (\#3). End Point co-ordinates are entered by the user. If starting from scratch, the Start Point co-ordinates are $(0,0)$. If not, then they are automatically assigned the End Point co-ordinates of the last segment in the profile.

4b - a circular arc is drawn from the Start Point by entering Centre Point co-ordinates, Direction and Arc Angle. Assignation of the Start Point co-ordinates is the same as in the line drawing tool (\#4a). Direction can be either clockwise CW or anti-clockwise CCW. Arc Angle is entered in degrees.

5 Machine Profile Toolbar
contains controls for hardware initialization and machining. 5a - Go Top-Left button moves the cutter to the top-left extreme. $\operatorname{Set}(0,0)$ button sets the co-ordinates of the current position of the cutter to $(0,0) . G o(0,0)$ button moves the cutter to a pre-defined $(0,0)$ position. The colours of the round indicators next to the buttons are initially red. After a button is pressed and the corresponding function is completed, its indicator turns to green.

5b - timber feed is activated by Forvard and Reverse buttons. The amount of feed (in milimetres) in either direction is entered by the user into the corresponding textboxes. The forward feed value also determines the amount of timber fed in between the passes during continuous machining.

5 c - Read Position button reads the horizontal $(X)$ and vertical
$(Y)$ drive encoders, converts counts to milimetres, and displays the cutter position co-ordinates in the provided textboxes.

5d - Start Cutter button activates the cutter motor. Upon activation the button displays Stop Cutter and pressing it again turns the cutter motor off. The buttons with single arrow and double arrow set the machine to single pass and continuous operation respectively. GO button starts machining. During machining the button displays $S T O P$ and pressing it cause all the drives to stop.

## Segment List window (List.frm)

This window, shown in Figure 5.14, displays the Target array (refer to Section 5.5.2). In other words, it lists the geometric parameters of the segments in the 'active profile'. Each row represents an individual segment and when a row is clicked, the corresponding segment in the Graph window is highlighted with blue colour. The segments can only be deleted from the list from bottom to top by double-clicking the last row. When a new segment is added to the profile by the Draw Profile toolbar, its parameters also appear in the Segment List window.

| $x 1$ | $Y 1$ | $\times 2$ | $Y 2$ | XC | YC | Th1 | Th2 | RA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00.00 | 00.00 | 10.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 |
| 10.00 | 00.00 | 15.00 | -5.00 | 10.00 | . 5.00 | 90.00 | 00.00 | 5.00 |
| 15.00 | -5.00 | 20.00 | -5.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 |
| 20.00 | 5.00 | 30.00 | . 10.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35.00 | 5.00 | 40.00 | -10.00 | 35.00 | -10.00 | 90.00 | 00.00 | 5.00 |
| 40.00 | . 10.00 | 45.00 | . 15.00 | 45.00 | . 10.00 | 180.00 | 270.00 | 5.00 |
| 45.00 | . 15.00 | 48.00 | -15.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00 |
| 48.00 | . 15.00 | 50.00 | -17.00 | 48.00 | . 17.00 | 90.00 | 00.00 | 2.00 |

Figure 5.14 Segment List window

## Analysis window (Analysis.frm)

The Analysis window displays some machining results and it is updated after every pass. Features of this window are explained below with reference to the numbers in Figure 5.15.


Figure 5.15 Analysis window

1 Error Plot

2 Final Point

3 Pass time
4 Max. Contour Error
5 Cursor co-ordinates
displays a plot of horizontal position ( $X$ ) vs. contour error ( $\varepsilon_{c}$ ) in mm.
displays the co-ordinates of the point where the cutter stops after each pass.
displays the time taken for the pass in seconds.
displays the maximum contour error in a pass.
displays co-ordinates of the mouse-cursor when it is on the $X$ vs. $\varepsilon_{c}$ plot (\#1).

## Settings window (Settings.frm)

This window allows modification of accuracy and path controller settings. Its controls are explained below with reference to the numbers in Figure 5.16.


Figure 5.16 Settings window

1 Sampling Rate desired sampling rate is entered in kHz (refer to Section 5.5.3).

2 End Point required segment end point tolerance is entered in mm (refer Tolerance to Section 5.5.3).

3 Controller Type controller type is selected by clicking the option buttons.
4 Controller controller gains for line and arc segments are entered by the Gains user. If Position Only is selected as controller type (\#3), then gains on the second row (i.e. $K V t, K V c$ ) are disabled.

### 5.6 Future Plans

The PIMM project has been granted innovation funding from the Loughborough University Intellectual Property Office. The following list summarizes the future development plans of the PIMM test-rig.

## Cutter assembly

- Design of a dedicated, optimized cutting tool.
- Design and production of a new cutter assembly, in which a larger, more powerful motor is located away from the cutter, to produce deeper cuts.


## Timber feed drive

- Design and production of a new timber feed drive capable of feeding longer (i.e. 2 m ) workpieces.


## I/O hardware

- Implementation of USB (Universal Serial Bus) interface hardware instead of the currently used PCI card. This enables the PIMM to be portable and Plug-and-Play.


## Visual Basic software

- Optimization and de-bugging to achieve stable and fail-safe software with simple, user-friendly interface.


### 5.7 Summary

The designed proof-of-concept PIMM test-rig, which can be described as a miniature wood-moulding machine, is presented. Mechanical design is divided into four subsystems as cutter assembly, horizontal axis drive, vertical axis drive and timber feed drive. Hardware components of the individual subsystems are detailed and their important parameters are identified. The current timber feed drive is employed temporarily and it only accommodates small timber specimens. However, this is sufficient for the experimental investigation of the new concept.

Due to simplicity, flexibility and reasonable cost of PC-based systems, a standard PC equipped with a multifunction I/O card is used as the controller. Structure of the test-rig instrumentation is presented together with specifications of the used control electronics.

Two different control softwares are implemented in Simulink and Visual Basic 6. The former one is less detailed and it is only intended for system identification and model verification purposes. The latter one acts as the main software for the test-rig. Currently it is experimental and contains some extra features, which might not be needed by the end-users of the system. Detailed description of the implementation in terms of program structure, algorithms, and GUI is provided.

Finally, the future plans on development of the PIMM test-rig are listed. These are intended to be carried out during an innovation fellowship following this research work.

## Chapter 6

## System Identification and Model Validation

### 6.1 Introduction

This chapter is aimed at identification of system parameters for mathematical model refinement and validation. Initially, the models only contain parameters from manufacturer's datasheets and they do not include disturbances which surely exist in the PIMM test-rig. One can not expect these models to represent the real system accurately. System identification procedures are employed in order to verify the known parameters as well as to discover the unknown disturbances.

In Section 6.2, the reliability of the previously presented Simulink RTWT model, which is used to communicate with the real system, is verified. Then in Section 6.3, the electrical part of the drives, namely, amplifier and DC motor, is identified. Section 6.4 deals with friction identification, modeling, and simulation. Also, the catriage weight in the vertical $(\mathrm{Y})$ axis drive model is validated. Finally in Section 6.5 , a brief summary of the chapter is presented and the final model parameters are listed.

### 6.2 Validation of the Simulink RTWT Model

Firstly, in order to verify the validity of the Simulink RTWT model (Figure 5.7), two simple experiments are performed. In the first experiment, voltage at the analog output ports of the I/O card is measured with a voltmeter while structured control signals are being sent from the RTWT model. The voltmeter readings are found to be in agreement with the sent values.


Figure 6.1 Steady-state curves of the drive motors

In the second experiment, the leadscrews are disconnected from the motors and control signal to amplifiers is increased from 0 V to 10 V in 1 V increments in both directions. Steady-state velocity at each interval is measured from the motor shaft by an optical tachometer. At the same time, the velocity is also estimated in RTW'T model by differentiating the encoder signals with respect to time. Steadystate equations are derived from both sets of data and shown in Figure 6.1. The maximum difference between the results is found to be less than $0.6 \%$, therefore, validity of the Simulink RTWT model is verified.

In the following sections of this chapter, the term "measured data" will be used for the data obtained via the Simulink RTWT model.

### 6.3 Identification of Amplifier and DC Motor Parameters



Figure 6.2 Amplifier and DC motor model
Figure 6.2 shows a mathematical Simulink model of an amplifier and a DC motor, output of which is compared to the measured data in the identification process. In this model, the amplifier is represented by a gain block and a current limiter. Initially, the amplifier and motor parameters are obtained from manufacturer's datasheets and given in Table 6.1. A value for the rotary viscous camping coefficient $B_{m}$, which generally exists in the motor bearings, was not available; hence, for now, it is assumed to be zero.

| Parameter |  | Horizontal (X) Axis | Vertical (Y) Axis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| amplifier gain $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V})$ | $K_{\alpha}$ | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| amplifier current limit $(\mathrm{A})$ | $I_{L_{i m}}$ | $-4 /+4$ | $-4 /+4$ |
| armature inductance $(\mathrm{H})$ | $L_{m}$ | 0.00012 | 0.00012 |
| armature resistance $(\mathrm{Ohm})$ | $R_{m}$ | 0.582 | 0.582 |
| motor torque constant $(\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A})$ | $K_{,}$ | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| motor back-emf constant $(\mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $K_{e}$ | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| rotor inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg} . \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $3.3^{*} 10^{-6}$ | $3.3^{*} 10^{-6}$ |  |
| rotary damping coeff. $(\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $J_{m}$ | $B_{m}$ | 0 |

Table 6.1 Amplifier and motor parameters before identification
To see how close the model represents the actual system (i.e. amplifier and motor) with the initial parameters, the same input is applied to the system (via RTWT) and the model. The control voltage to both amplifiers is increased 1 V at every 0.1 s from 0 V to 10 V in positive and negative directions. Figure 6.3 shows the simulation results against the measured data.


Figure 6.3 Amplifiers and motors before identification
As can be seen in Figure 6.3, the actual system is running slower than the simulation. For this variance, three causes are identified and listed in Table 6.2 together with the model parameters that need to be tuned in order to remedy the effects. Tuning is done by structured tests; whenever a parameter is changed, the simulation is re-run and the results are compared to the measured data. The performance criteria that are used are the IAE, which is the integral of the absolute value of error, and the ITAE, which is the integral of the time multiplied by the absolute value of error. They are defined by the equations (6.1) and (6.2), where $\varepsilon_{i}$ is the velocity error at the $i^{\text {ith }}$ sampling time. Also, for easier comparison, the performance factors $P_{\text {LAE }}$ and $P_{\text {ITAE }}$ are defined (6.3), which show the performance of a simulation relative to the initial simulation before the identification. The employed performance criteria are commonly used in the field of machine control [52][99], and the rationale is explained by some typical examples in Appendix L.

| Cause | Description | Parameter |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Gain difference | At steady-state, simulated speed is always higher than the <br> measured one. This is due to the model having higher gain <br> than the actual system. | amplifier <br> gain $\left(K_{J}\right)$ |
| Damping <br> difference | Difference between the measured and simulated data increase <br> proportional to the speed. Therefore, the actual system can be <br> said to have higher damping than the simulated one. | rotary <br> damping <br> coeff. $\left(B_{m}\right)$ |
| Time constant <br> difference | When the input is changed, the simulated speed changes more <br> abruptly than the measured speed. This shows that the model <br> has a smaller time constant than the actual system. | motor torque <br> constant $\left(K_{J}\right)$ |

Table 6.2 Causes of model variance

$$
\begin{gather*}
I A E=\sum_{i}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|  \tag{6.1}\\
I T A E=\sum_{i} t_{i}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|  \tag{6.2}\\
P_{I A E}=\frac{I A E}{I A E_{\text {init }}} \quad P_{I T A E}=\frac{I T A E}{I T A E_{\text {init }}} \tag{6.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Table 6.3 lists the final amplifier and motor parameters. The ones written in bold are the tuned values which achieved the lowest $P_{\text {LAE }}$ and $P_{\text {ITAE }}$. Results of the final simulation is plotted against the measured data and shown in Figure 6.4 with the corresponding $P_{\text {LAE }}$ and $P_{\text {ITAE }}$. On average, the simulation with the identified parameters is $88 \%$ closer to the actual system, than the initial simulation in Figure 6.3 , according to the $P_{L A E}$, and $90 \%$ according to the $P_{\text {ITAE }}$.

| Parameter |  | Horizontal (X) Axis | Vertical (Y) Axis |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| amplifier gain $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V})$ | $K_{o}$ | 2.31 | 2.30 |
| amplifier current limit $(\mathrm{A})$ | $I_{l i m}$ | $-4 /+4$ | $-4 /+4$ |
| armature inductance $(\mathrm{H})$ | $L_{m}$ | 0.00012 | 0.00012 |
| armature resistance $(\mathrm{Ohm})$ | $R_{m}$ | 0.582 | 0.582 |
| motor torque constant $(\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A})$ | $K_{t}$ | 0.0195 | 0.0195 |
| motor back-emf constant $(\mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $K_{e}$ | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| rotor inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg} . \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $J_{m}$ | $3.3^{* 10^{-6}}$ | $3.3^{* 10^{-6}}$ |
| rotary damping coeff. $(\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $B_{m}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8} 10^{-5}$ | $0.8^{* 10^{-3}}$ |

Table 6.3 Amplifier and motor parameters after identification


Figure 6.4 Amplifiers and motors after identification

As a result, this section brings the mathematical models of the amplifiers and DC motors to sufficient proximity to the actual hardware. When the whole electromechanical drive is considered, the electrical part of the drive is identified. The following sections work on fully assembled horizontal and vertical axis drives, and identify mechanical parameters such as friction and weight.

### 6.4 Identification of Disturbances on $X$ and $Y$ Axis Drives

This section mainly deals with friction identification, modeling, and simulation.

### 6.4.1 Ideal drive model and performance

Figure 6.5 shows the Simulink model of an ideal drive which is used for both horizontal and vertical axes. The model is essentially the same as the one in previous section (Figure 6.2). Only the rotor inertia $J_{m}$ and the damping coefficient $B_{m}$ are replaced with the total equivalent inertia $J$ and the total equivalent damping coefficient $B$. These parameters are lumped at the rotor of the motor and their calculations were explained previously in Section 4.2.3. Both drives are assumed frictionless, and the effect of gravity on the vertical drive is ignored. Since the feedback in the actual drives is supplied from a rotary encoder on the motor shaft, output of the model is not converted to linear units.


Figure 6.5 Ideal single-axis drive model
For the simulations, the already identified amplifier and motor parameters (Table 6.3) are used with ones in Table 6.4. When calculating the equivalent inertias, the carriages are weighed and the leadscrews are assumed to be cylinders. Carriage damping (viscous friction) $B_{c}$ is neglected, and hence the equivalent coefficients $B$ became equal to $B_{m}$.

In the actual system, the vertical drive frame is disconnected from the machine frame and laid on its back. Consequently, both drives are made to operate on the horizontal plane and the effect of gravity on the vertical (Y-axis) drive is cancelled.

| Parameter |  | Horizontal (X) Axis | Vertical (Y) Axis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| equivalent inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $J$ | $3.61^{*} 10^{-6}$ | $3.63^{*} 10^{-6}$ |
| leadscrew inertia $\left(\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $J_{s}$ | $2.52^{*} 10^{-7}$ | $1.27^{*} 10^{-7}$ |
| carriage mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $M$ | 0.25 | 0.90 |
| equivalent damping coeff. $(\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $B$ | $0.8^{*} 10^{-5}$ | $0.8^{*} 10^{-5}$ |
| carriage damping coeff. $(\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m})$ | $B_{c}$ | 0 | 0 |

Table 6.4 Drive parameters

The following inputs (Figure 6.6) are applied to the drives and the model. Due to leadscrew length limitations, the full motor speed operating range could not be applied. For trapezoid input, X and Y axis drives are given maximum control voltages of 5 V and 4 V respectively. The amplitude of the sinus input is 5 V for both of the drives but the period is halved for the Y axis due to very short length (i.e. 30 mm ) of the drive.


Figure 6.6 Drive inputs for disturbance identification

The responses of the ideal models and the actual drives are shown in Figure 6.7. As expected, the actual drives lag behind the ideal models. This is more evident on the vertical ( Y ) axis. In the previous section, the identified amplifier and motor parameters (Table 6.3) are shown to be accurate. If it is also assumed that, the calculated equivalent inertias are reasonably close to reality; then friction can be accounted for the deviation from the ideal responses.


Figure 6.7 Ideal vs. actual drives

In the next section, sources and effects of friction are explained and its modeling, identification and simulation are presented.

### 6.4.2 Friction identification, modeling and simulation

Friction is always present in any physical system. In electro-mechanical drive systems, the source of friction [93] includes the motor and leadscrew bearings, the interface between the screw and nut, and the linear guide. Friction is difficult to measure and model, but is important to consider, because it can deteriorate the system performance. Friction models have been discussed extensively in the literature; for example [100], [101], [102], and [103]. It is well established that the friction force varies as a function of velocity, but there is not general agreement on the character of the friction force. Figure 6.8 illustrates a classical friction model, which combines the following four components of friction.


Figure 6.8 Classical friction model [98]

- Static friction (Stiction): It describes the friction force at rest. Static friction $F_{s}$ counteracts external forces below a certain level and thus prevents an object from moving. The friction force $F_{f}$ for zero velocity is a function of the external force $F_{e}$ and not the velocity $\nu$. This can be modeled by equation (4.1), where $F_{s}$ is the break-away force.

$$
F_{f}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{e} & , & v=0,\left|F_{e}\right|<F_{s}  \tag{6.4}\\
F_{s} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} F_{e} & , & v=0,\left|F_{e}\right| \geq F_{s}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Coulomb (dynamic) friction: Coulomb friction $F_{c}$ opposes motion and its magnitude is independent of velocity. It can therefore be described as;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}=F_{c} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} v \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Viscous friction (damping): A friction component that is proportional to the velocity and, in particular, goes to zero at zero velocity. It is normally described as in equation (6.6), where $B$ is the viscous damping coefficient.

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}=B \cdot v \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Stribeck friction (Stribeck effect): More accurate modeling at very low velocities has shown that the friction force which falls from the break-away level $F_{s}$, to the Coulomb level $F_{c}$, is not discontinuous, but is a function of
velocity [100]. This continuous dependence of friction on velocity is called the Stribeck curve, and is described by;

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{f}(v)=F_{c}+\left(F_{s}-F_{c}\right) \cdot e^{-\left|v / v_{s t r}\right|^{k}} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{\text {str }}$ is called the Stribeck velocity and $k$ is an empirical parameter.

Combining the individual models from (4.1) to (6.7) defines the classical friction model (Figure 6.8) in (6.8) and (6.9).

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{f}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
F_{f}(v), \quad v \neq 0 \\
F_{e}, v=0,\left|F_{e}\right|<F_{s} \\
F_{s} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} F_{e}, v=0,\left|F_{e}\right| \geq F_{s}
\end{array}\right.  \tag{6.8}\\
F_{f}(v)=\left[F_{c}+\left(F_{s}-F_{c}\right) \cdot e^{-\left|v / v_{s t r}\right|^{k}}\right] \cdot \operatorname{sgn} v+B \cdot v \tag{6.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

For Simulink implementation, some modifications are performed on the classical friction model. Firstly, the frictional force is modeled [52] simply as a disturbance voltage $U_{f}$ to the single-axis drive (Figure 6.9) and, instead of the linear velocity $\nu$ the angular velocity $\omega$ is used.


Figure 6.9 Single-axis drive model with friction
Secondly, since the viscous friction (damping) $B$ is already included in the drive model, it is removed from the friction model.

Finally, the zero velocity is re-defined to be between $-\Delta$ and $+\Delta$ as presented in [104]. The reason for this is; because the model is used in a numerical simulation, there will be a ripple in velocity around zero. For example, when $F_{f}$ is zero and $\nu$ is positive, $v$ will decrease to zero due to the frictional force $F_{f}$. When $v$ goes to zero, there should be no friction acting on the drive and the drive should stop. However, it is difficult to integrate a function numerically and get exactly zero velocity. Thus, the Simulink integration routine will overshoot zero velocity and a negative velocity will result. This negative velocity will cause a positive friction force to be applied to resist the movement of the drive, which may again cause an overshoot, and as a result, the velocity $\nu$ will oscillate around zero.


Figure 6.10 Modified friction model

Figure 6.10 depicts the modified friction model defined by the equations (6.10) and (6.11).

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{f}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
U_{f}(\omega), & |\omega|>\Delta \\
U_{e} & ,|\omega| \leq \Delta,\left|U_{e}\right|<U_{s} \\
U_{s} \operatorname{sgn} U_{e},|\omega| \leq \Delta\left|,\left|U_{e}\right| \geq U_{s}\right.
\end{array}\right.  \tag{6.10}\\
U_{f}(\omega)=\left[U_{c}+\left(U_{s}-U_{c}\right) \cdot e^{-\left[(|\omega|-\Delta) /\left(\omega_{s t r}-\Delta\right)\right]^{k}}\right] \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \omega \tag{6.11}
\end{gather*}
$$



Figure 6.11 Steady-state curves of the horizontal ( $\mathbf{X}$ ) and vertical $(\mathrm{Y})$ drives

Stiction $U_{s}$ and Coulomb friction $U_{c}$ values of the X and Y axis drives are determined by running several experiments; as presented in [52], [100], and [105]. The following experimental procedure is applied to positive and negative directions of motion.

1. Set the drive at rest.
2. Increase the control input until the drive starts to move.
3. Record this value of the control input as $U_{s}$.
4. Choose several equally spaced control inputs which are greater than $U_{s}$.
5. Apply these control inputs to the drive and record the steady state velocity.
6. Use a first ordet equation to fit these data points.
7. $U_{c}$ is equal to the control input which corresponds to zero velocity.

The results of these experiments and the corresponding friction values are shown in Figure 6.11. Data points marked by 'e' are the steady-state angular velocities of the step responses.

The zero velocity limit $\Delta$ is obtained by structured trial-and-error, where different values of $\delta$ near zero were tried until no ripple in the velocity was present [52].

The identification of Stribeck friction parameters $k$ and $v_{s t r}$ requires thorough investigation at extremely low speeds by using very accurate sensors [105]. This
investigation is beyond the scope of this research, and therefore, the empirical parameter $k$ is taken from [105] as 2 , and $v_{s t r}$ is decided to be evaluated by structured trial-and-error. Likewise, the carriage damping coefficient $B_{c}$ is also obtained by structured trial-and-error. In determining these parameters, numerous simulations are run with the inputs in Figure 6.6, and the results are compared to the measured data. The performance criteria are the previously explained IAE and ITAE.

The following table (Table 6.5) lists the values that achieved the lowest IAE an ITAE. For simplicity, the friction model is made symmetrical and the same values are used for both positive and negative directions of motion.

| Parameter |  | Horizontal (X) Axis | Vertical ( $\mathbf{Y}$ ) Axis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stiction break-away voltage $(\mathrm{V})$ | $U_{s}$ | 0.25 | 0.4 |
| Coulomb friction (V) | $U_{c}$ | 0.085 | 0.24 |
| Re-defined zero velocity limit (rad/s) | $\Delta$ | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Stribeck velocity (rad/s) | $\omega_{s t r}$ | 140 | 120 |
| Stribeck empirical parameter | $k$ | 2 | 2 |
| Carriage viscous friction (damping) (Ns/m) | $B_{c}$ | 14 | 20 |
| Equivalent damping coeff. (Nms/rad) | $B$ | $1.12^{*} 10^{-5}$ | $1.26^{*} 10^{-5}$ |

Table 6.5 Identified friction parameters
Figure 6.12 shows the results of the simulations including the modified friction model with the parameters in Table 6.5.

|  | horizontal (X) axis drive |  | vertical (Y) axis drive |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | trapezoid input | sinus input | trapezoid input | sinus input |
| acceleration zones (s) | $(0-0.1) \&$ | $(0-0.15) \&$ | $(0-0.1) \&$ | $(0-0.075) \&$ |
|  | $(0.4-0.5)$ | $(0.3-0.45)$ | $(0.4-0.5)$ | $(0.15-0.225)$ |
| deceleration zones (s) | $(0.2-0.3) \&$ | $(0.15-0.3) \&$ | $(0.2-0.3) \&$ | $(0.075-0.15) \&$ |
|  | $(0.6-0.7)$ | $(0.45-0.6)$ | $(0.6-0.7)$ | $(0.225-0.3)$ |
| OVERALL (s) | $(0-0.7)$ | $(0-0.6)$ | $(0-0.7)$ | $(0-0.3)$ |

Table 6.6 Acceleration and deceleration zones


Figure 6.12 Simulation results with the modified friction model; (a) general performance,
(b) acceleration \& deceleration performance

|  | horizontal (X) axis drive |  |  | vertical (Y) axis drive |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | trapezoid input | sinus input |  | trapezoid input |  | sinus input |  |  |
|  | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I T A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I T A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I T A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I A E}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{I T A B}$ |
| acceleration | 0.228 | 0.162 | 0.234 | 0.269 | 0.300 | 0.409 | 0.224 | 0.302 |
| deceleration | 0.748 | 0.570 | 0.431 | 0.404 | 0.175 | 0.199 | 0.165 | 0.173 |
| OVERALL | 0.429 | 0.433 | 0.326 | 0.362 | 0.205 | 0.222 | 0.197 | 0.219 |

Table 6.7 Simulation performance with the modified friction model

Table 6.7 lists the performance factors $P_{L A E}$ and $P_{\text {TTAE }}$ relative to the ideal drive performance in Figure 6.7. The values for acceleration and deceleration are calculated for the corresponding zones in Table 6.6, and the overall values include the steady-state zones of the trapezoid responses.

As can be seen from Figure 6.12(a) and Table 6.7, inclusion of friction brought the drive models, especially the vertical drive, much closer to the actual drives compared to the frictionless ideal models in Figure 6.7. On average, the modeling error is reduced by $60 \%$ for the horizontal drive and $78 \%$ for the vertical drive.

In order to assess the performance of the friction model in more detail, zoomed views of acceleration and deceleration zones within the Stribeck regime are examined (Figure 6.12(b)). It was observed that; although the simulated accelerations are reasonably close to the actual drive performance, the decelerations lag behind the measured curve as the velocity decreases. A similar friction-velocity relation is observed in [106], where the friction force is found to be lower for decreasing velocities than for increasing velocities. This phenomenon is illustrated in Figure 6.13. The hysteresis loop becomes wider as the velocity variations become faster.


Figure 6.13 The friction-velocity relation observed in [104]

To remedy the deceleration lag, the friction model is further modified to bypass Stribeck regime during deceleration. The bypass decision is made by comparison of velocity $\omega$ and acceleration $\dot{\omega}$ directions. The acceleration is estimated by differentiating velocity with respect to time. The resulting friction model is illustrated in Figure 6.14, and is defined by (6.12) and (6.13).


Figure 6.14 Final friction model

$$
\begin{gather*}
U_{f}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
U_{f}(\omega), & |\omega|>\Delta, \operatorname{sgn} \omega=\operatorname{sgn} \dot{\omega} \\
U_{e}, & |\omega| \leq \Delta,\left|U_{e}\right|<U_{s} \\
U_{s} \operatorname{sgn} U_{e}, & |\omega| \leq \Delta,\left|U_{e}\right| \geq U_{s} \\
U_{c} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \omega, & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{6.12}\\
U_{f}(\omega)=\left[U_{c}+\left(U_{s}-U_{c}\right) \cdot e^{-\left[(|\omega|-\Delta) /\left(\omega_{s t r}-\Delta\right)\right]^{k}}\right] \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \omega \tag{6.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Simulations are repeated using the same parameters in Table 6.5 but with the final friction model. The results and the relative performance of simulations are given in Figure 6.15 and Table 6.8 respectively.

|  | horizontal (X) axis drive |  |  |  | vertical ( Y ) axis drive |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | trapezoid input |  | sinus input |  | trapezoid input |  | sinus input |  |
|  | $P_{\text {PAB }}$ | $P_{\text {ITAE }}$ | $P_{\text {IAE }}$ | $P_{\text {ITAE }}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {IAE }}$ | $P_{\text {tTAE }}$ | $\boldsymbol{P}_{\text {LAE }}$ | $P_{\text {ITAB }}$ |
| acceleration | 0.228 | 0.162 | 0.234 | 0.269 | 0.300 | 0.409 | 0.224 | 0.302 |
| deceleration | 0.507 | 0.442 | 0.261 | 0.256 | 0.158 | 0.149 | 0.154 | 0.164 |
| OVERALL | 0.358 | 0.364 | 0.246 | 0.261 | 0.201 | 0.213 | 0.188 | 0.208 |

Table 6.8 Simulation performance with the final friction model


Figure 6.15 Simulation results with the final friction model; (a) general performance, (b) acceleration \& deceleration performance

The final friction model remedied the deceleration lag, and the simulations performed equally well on both acceleration and deceleration zones (Figure 6.15(b)). Consequently, on average, the overall performance is further increased by $10 \%$ for the horizontal drive and $2 \%$ for the vertical drive (Table 6.8).

It should be noted that, even if friction identification aims at an accurate estimation of structural and parametric friction, there will always be some mismatch between estimated and actual friction [105]. However, using the identified values in friction compensation is proved [52][93][103] to increase drive performance and dynamics.

### 6.4.3 Validation of carriage weight in the $Y$-axis drive model



Figure 6.16 Effect of gravity on the vertical drive

The experiments reported in the previous sections of this chapter had been carried out while both of the drives operate on the horizontal plane. However, during the normal PIMM operation, the Y-axis drive works in the vertical plane and is affected by gravitational acceleration.

At this point; since the rest of the Simulink model is reasonably close to the actual system, the weight of the vertical carriage is added to the model. A set of simulations are run and compared to experiments, which are performed on the vertical plane, for verification. As the input, the control voltage is increased 1V at every 0.075 s from 0 V to 4 V in positive and negative directions.

Figure 6.16 shows the simulation results against the measured data. As expected, in the absence of drive weight, the simulation is quicker on the positive Y (upwards) direction and slower on the negative (downwards) direction. Inclusion of the drive weight brings the simulation reasonably close to the measured data, and hence, verifies the Simulink model.

### 6.5 Summary

Initially, the mathematical models only contain parameters from manufacturer's datasheets and they do not include disturbances which surely exist in the PIMM test-rig. System identification procedures are employed in order to verify the known patameters as well as to discover the unknown disturbances.

In this chapter, the actual system parameters were identified by comparison of the simulated responses with the actual system response, which is captured via the previously explained Simulink RTWT model. Firstly, the accuracy of the RTWT' model, in communicating with the actual system, was confirmed. Secondly, some parameters in the electrical part of the drives, namely the amplifiers and motors, were identified. Then, mathematical models were derived to represent drive friction, and the actual friction values were estimated. Finally, the carriage weight in the vertical $(\mathrm{Y})$ axis drive model was validated. Table 6.9 shows the complete list of drive and friction parameters on the horizontal and vertical axes.

| parameter |  |  |  | horizontal drive value | vertical drive value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 苞 | amplifier gain | (V/V) | $K_{a}$ | 2.31 | 2.3 |
|  | amplifier current limit | (A) | $I_{\text {bm }}$ | $\pm 4$ | $\pm 4$ |
|  | armature inductance | (H) | $L_{m}$ | 0.00012 | 0.00012 |
|  | armature resistance | (Ohm) | $R_{m}$ | 0.582 | 0.582 |
|  | motor torque constant | ( $\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A}$ ) | $K_{t}$ | 0.0195 | 0.0195 |
|  | motor back-emf constant | (Vs/rad) | K | 0.026 | 0.026 |
|  | leadscrew gain | (m/rad) | R | 0.000477 | 0.000477 |
|  | equivalent inertia | $\left(\mathrm{kgm}^{2}\right)$ | $J$ | $3.61 * 10^{-6}$ | $3.63 * 10^{-6}$ |
|  | equivalent damping coeff. | ( $\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad}$ ) | B | 1.12*10-5 | $1.26 * 10^{-5}$ |
|  | carriage mass | (kg) | M | 0.25 | 0.90 |
| stiction break-away voltage(V) |  |  | $U_{s}$ | 0.25 | 0.4 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 若 } \\ & \text { H } \end{aligned}$ | Coulomb friction | (V) | $U_{\text {c }}$ | 0.085 | 0.24 |
|  | re-defined zero velocity lim | mit ( $\mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | $\Delta$ | 0.1 | 0.1 |
|  | Stribeck velocity | (rad/s) | $\omega_{s t r}$ | 140 | 120 |
|  | Stribeck empirical parameter |  | $k$ | 2 | 2 |

Table 6.9 Final model parameters

It should be noted that, some mismatch always exist between a model and an actual system. However, the explained system identification procedures resulted in a more accurate representation of the PIMM test-rig by the mathematical models. This accuracy improvement was shown to be up to $80 \%$. Furthermore, the identified friction can also be used for compensation purposes in order to increase the drive performance. The applied friction compensation technique will be presented in the next chapter, which discusses the machining experiments.

## Chapter 7

## Machining Investigations

### 7.1 Introduction

This chapter presents a discussion through machining experiments performed in the PIMM test-rig. The limitations and performance of the PIMM concept, in terms of speed and accuracy, are investigated. Weak points of the current implementation are identified and potential improvements suggested.

### 7.2 Machining Investigations

This section discusses the machining experiments.

### 7.2.1 Influence of the cutter thickness on the resultant profile

Despite being small (i.e. $1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), the cutter has a thickness on the plane of the profile (i.e. $x-y$ plane). If not considered, the cutter thickness causes variance between the target and the resultant profile. A typical example of this is depicted in Figure 7.1.


Figure 7.1 Cutter thickness compensation (a) target profile (b) target and result without compensation (c) compensated profile (d) target and result with compensation

In order to produce the profile in Figure 7.1(a), the system is initialized when the lower right-hand-side corner of the cutter is at the point $(0,0)$. Hence, the controlled variable is the position of lower right-hand-side corner of the cutter. Figure 7.1(b) shows the produced profile in dashed-lines and illustrates the cutter at various locations. Although the cutter follows the target accurately; due to its thickness $\delta$, it removes more material from the surfaces that face towards the positive-x direction. This is remedied by compensating the cutter thickness in the target profile. Figure 7.1(c) shows the compensated profile, in which the aforementioned surfaces are shifted by $\delta$ on the positive-x direction. When the lower right-hand-side of the cutter follows the compensated target; the resultant profile, shown in dashed-lines in Figure 7.1 (d), matches the original target.


Figure 7.2 Effect of cutter thickness on concave features (a) target profile, (b) target and result without compensation, (c) compensated profile, (d) target and result with compensation, (e) result with compensation

In the previous example, the inaccuracy due to the cutter thickness is eliminated, but the target profile includes only convex features. On the other hand, concave detail is limited by the cutter thickness. An extreme case would be that of a slit, which is thinner than the cutter. The next example (Figure 7.2) describes the effect of the cutter thickness on concave features.

Figure 7.2(b) shows the resultant profile (dashed-lines) after the target in Figure 7.2(a) is machined without compensation. When the target is modified (Figure 7.2(c)) with the above described technique, the resultant profile matches the form of the original target (Figure 7.2(d)). However, the compensation in effect bypasses the parts of the features which are thinner than the cutter, and leaves flat
surfaces at the bottom (Figure 7.2(e)). Consequently, the depths of the resultant features are smaller (i.e $d^{\prime \prime}<d$ and $d^{\prime \prime}<d$ ). The amount of depth reduction depends on the cutter thickness $\delta$ as well as the profile geometry.

As a result, cutter thickness compensation eliminates the form variance between the target and resultant profiles. However, the concave detail will always be limited by the cutter thickness; hence it can be improved by using thinner cutters.

### 7.2.2 Path speed limitation due to the available cutting power

In the PIMM test-rig, described in Chapter 5, the path speed is primarily limited by the power of the cutter motor. As explained in Chapter 3; if the depth of cut and the cutter angular speed is kept constant, increasing the path speed results in larger chips to be severed, and therefore increases the cutting power.

To determine the maximum path speed for reliable operation of the cutter, a set of experiments are performed on a 70 mm wide redwood test specimen. The cutter speed, the depth of cut and the feed increment are set at $17000 \mathrm{rpm}, 10$ mm , and 1 mm respectively. Starting from $90 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, the maximum path speed is increased by $10 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ after each pass, and the cutter speed is measured.

A significant reduction (i.e. $30 \%$ ) in cutter speed is observed when the path speed is $140 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, and on the following pass, when the path speed is $150 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, the cutter is stalled. Consequently, the maximum path speed is limited to $130 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$.

### 7.2.3 Experiment setup

The experiments are performed on a 67.1 mm wide redwood specimen. As the reference input, the profile in Figure 7.3(a) is generated. A 1.6 mm thick, 4-tooth slotting saw is used as the cutter, and the reference profile is modified (Figure 7.3(b)) to compensate the cutter thickness.


Figure 7.3 Reference input for the experiments (a) target profile (b) compensated profile

| parameter |  | value |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cutter speed $(\mathrm{rpm})$ | $\omega$ | 17000 |
| feed increment $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $f$ | 1 |

Table 7.1 Machining parameters for the experiments

|  |  | Path Controller |  | Reference Generator |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| end-point tolerance (mm) |  | N/A | N/A | 0.1 |
| loop type |  | position-velocity | position only | N/A |
| position gain | Kр | 80 | 200 | N/A |
| velocity limit ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | $V_{\text {Lim }}$ | 130 | N/A | N/A |
| velocity gain | K $\nu$ | 1.2 | N/A | N/A |
| sampling frequency ( kHz ) |  |  | 2 |  |

Table 7.2 Controller parameters for the experiments
Table 7.1 and Table 7.2, respectively, list the machining and controller parameters. The next section explains the friction compensation applied on the drives and the following sections discuss the results of the experiments.

### 7.2.4 Friction compensation

Based on the findings of Section 6.4.2, friction losses in the X and Y axis drives are compensated. The digital control signals $C_{x}$ and $C_{y}$ are enhanced with the compensation signals $C f_{x}$ and $C f_{y}$ such that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{x}=C_{x}+C f_{x}  \tag{7.1}\\
& C_{y}=C_{y}+C f_{y} \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

$C f_{x}$ and $C f_{y}$ are calculated at every sample, based on the friction model defined by equations (6.14) and (6.15), but the Stribeck friction regime is ignored due to difficulties in estimating the acceleration from the encoder feedback. Equations (7.3) and (7.4) define the compensation signals, and the related parameters are listed in Table 7.3.

$$
\begin{align*}
& C f_{x}= \begin{cases}C s_{x} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} C_{x}, & |\dot{x}| \leq \Delta \dot{x} \\
C c_{x} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x}, & |\dot{\mid}|>\Delta \dot{x}\end{cases}  \tag{7.3}\\
& C f_{y}= \begin{cases}C s_{\dot{y}} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} C_{y}, & |\dot{y}| \leq \Delta \dot{y} \\
C c_{\dot{y}} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \dot{y} & ,|\dot{\mid}|>\Delta \dot{y} \dot{y}\end{cases} \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

| parameter |  | horizontal axis | vertical axis |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stiction break-away signal | $C_{s}$ | 200 | 250 |
| Coulomb friction signal | $C_{c}$ | 80 | 200 |
| Re-defined zero velocity limit $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s})$ | - | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Table 7.3 Friction compensation parameters

### 7.2.5 Effects of cutting forces on the performance

In order to investigate the effects of cutting forces on the performance, in terms of accuracy and speed, two sets of experiments were carried out. Each set is composed of three left-to-right passes along the compensated test profile. The first set was performed without a workpiece (i.e. air cutting) and represents zeto cutting force conditions. In the second set of experiments, a redwood specimen was machined.

Figure 7.4 shows the contour error plots from the experiments. For clarity, pass1 and pass 3 data are shifted on the contour etror axis by +0.2 mm and -0.2 mm respectively.


Figure 7.4 Contour error plots for (a) air cutting (b) wood cutting

The error spikes represent the segment end-points, where the next profile segment is supplied by the Reference Generator. In general, characteristic of the plots appear to be almost identical regardless of the cutting conditions. For further analysis, specific results are given in Table 7.4.

|  | air cutting |  |  | wood cutting |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pass 1 | pass 2 | pass 3 | pass 1 | pass 2 | pass 3 |
| pass time $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.274 | 1.285 | 1.273 | 1.259 | 1.261 | 1.240 |
| max. contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 |
| mean contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| IAE | 10.30 | 10.82 | 10.36 | 10.72 | 11.01 | 11.01 |
| ITAE | 6.27 | 6.12 | 6.23 | 5.67 | 5.72 | 5.80 |

Table 7.4 Air cutting and wood cutting experiment results

Unexpectedly, the wood cutting passes are slightly faster (i.e. $1 \%$ to $3.6 \%$ ) than the air cutting ones. This can be explained by the resistance of the workpiece against the cutter. The resistance causes the cutter to oscillate less after the error spikes; hence, the tangential effort component becomes slightly more dominant than the normal component. The reduced oscillation also explains the lower ITAE values of the wood cutting experiments. On the other hand, increased vibration due to the cutter-workpiece interaction can be accounted for the higher IAE values. The maximum value of the contour error is the same for all the passes to the hundredth of a millimeter. This value (i.e. 0.09 mm ) also meets the accuracy target.

Based on the results above, it can be concluded that the effects of the cutting forces on the system performance is negligible for the experimented machining conditions. However, it should be reminded that the machining parameters and the velocity limit used in the experiments already consider the cutting power limitations (refer to Section 7.2.2). The mean path speed of the wood cutting experiments was recorded to be $80 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, which is $40 \%$ less than the targeted 135 $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$. Therefore it is better to say that, the effect of the cutting forces on the operation of the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ axis drives is negligible, provided that the cutting power is available for the desired task.

### 7.2.6 Comparison of the passes on different directions

The PIMM operates bi-directional on the X -axis; therefore it needs to perform similatly on left-to-right and right-to-left passes, in order to achieve the desired product quality. The wood cutting results of the previous section show the similarity of the individual passes when the profile is followed from left-to-right. In this section, those results are compared to another set of results obtained by machining the same profile on the same specimen, but from right-to-left.

Figure 7.5 shows the contour error plots from the experiments, and Table 7.5 lists the detailed results.

|  | left-to-right |  |  | right-to-left |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| result | pass 1 | pass 2 | pass 3 | pass 1 | pass 2 | pass 3 |
| pass time $(\mathrm{s})$ | 1.259 | 1.261 | 1.240 | 1.253 | 1.242 | 1.250 |
| max. contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 | -0.09 |
| mean contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| IAE | 10.72 | 11.01 | 11.01 | 11.06 | 11.88 | 11.17 |
| ITAE | 5.67 | 5.72 | 5.80 | 5.17 | 5.65 | 5.40 |

Table 7.5 Pass direction experiment results

The results in Table 7.5 do not indicate a significant dependence on pass direction in terms of pass times and accuracy. It means that the drives respond equally well to the control signals in both directions. However, due to the employed control logic, characteristic of the contour error plots shows differences depending on the pass direction (Figure 7.5). This is best observed at the error spikes representing reference switch from one segment to another. The Reference Generator supplies the next segment to the Path Controller when the distance to the end-point of the actively followed segment is less than the pre-defined tolerance. Also, the segment end-points are approached from different directions for left-to-tight and right-toleft passes. Therefore, positions and magnitudes of the error spikes depend on the pass direction.


Figure 7.5 Contour error plots for (a) left-to-right passes (b) right-to-left passes

Table 7.6 lists the point coordinates ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ), where the reference is switched during the experiments. For example, on the left-to-right passes, the reference switches from the second segment to the third segment at (14.99, 9.94). At this point, the calculated contour error is referenced to the third segment (Figure 7.5(a)). Whereas on the right-to-left passes, the reference switches from the third segment to the second segment at $(15.09,10.00)$, and the error spike at this point represents the contour error value referenced to the second segment (Figure 7.5(b)).

| compensated <br> reference | pass 1 | left-to-right <br> pass 2 | pass 3 | pass 1 | right-to-left |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pass 2 | pass 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5.00,0.00$ | $4.95,0.01$ | $4.92,0.01$ | $4.95,0.01$ | $5.07,0.06$ | $5.06,0.06$ | $5.07,0.06$ |  |
| $15.00,10.00$ | $14.94,9.94$ | $14.94,9.94$ | $14.94,9.94$ | $15.09,10.00$ | $15.09,10.00$ | $15.09,10.00$ |  |
| $21.60,10.00$ | $21.51,10.00$ | $21.51,10.00$ | $21.51,10.00$ | $21.60,9.91$ | $21.60,9.91$ | $21.60,9.91$ |  |
| $21.60,0.00$ | $21.60,0.09$ | $21.60,0.09$ | $21.60,0.09$ | $21.66,0.00$ | $21.67,0.00$ | $21.67,0.00$ |  |
| $25.00,0.00$ | $24.92,0.00$ | $24.92,0.00$ | $24.92,0.00$ | $25.09,0.00$ | $25.09,0.00$ | $25.06,0.00$ |  |
| $35.00,10.00$ | $34.99,9.91$ | $35.00,9.91$ | $35.00,9.91$ | $35.09,10.00$ | $35.09,10.00$ | $35.08,10.00$ |  |
| $41.60,10.00$ | $41.51,10.00$ | $41.52,10.00$ | $41.51,10.00$ | $41.64,9.92$ | $41.64,9.92$ | $41.64,9.92$ |  |
| $47.37,0.00$ | $47.33,0.08$ | $47.33,0.08$ | $47.33,0.08$ | $47.46,-0.01$ | $47.43,0.00$ | $47.46,-0.01$ |  |
| $53.00,0.00$ | $52.93,0.00$ | $52.94,0.00$ | $52.92,0.00$ | $53.00,0.09$ | $53.01,0.09$ | $53.01,0.09$ |  |
| $63.00,10.00$ | $62.91,9.99$ | $62.91,9.99$ | $63,0010.00$ | 63.0910 .00 | 63.0910 .00 | 63.099 .99 |  |

Table 7.6 Reference switch points for passes on different directions

As mentioned previously, the position feedback is obtained from the encoders on the motor shafts. Hence, the presented error data do not provide information about the possible inaccuracy due to structural deformations in the system. The overall accuracy is investigated in the following two sections via measurements on the machined specimen.

### 7.2.7 Accuracy measurements on a Coordinate Measuring Machine

The specimen, which is used in the experiments of the previous sections, is measured in a Coordinate Measuring Machine (CMM). Three sets of measurements are performed on different locations along the Z-axis (i.e. along the length of the timber). The locations are $5 \mathrm{~mm}, 30 \mathrm{~mm}$ and 55 mm from the front face (Figure 7.6).


Figure 7.6 Measured specimen

In each measurement, the CMM probe is touched two arbitrary points per line segment and three points per arc segment. From the points, the equation of each segment is derived and the intersection points $(\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y})$ of the neighboring segments are calculated. Table 7.7 lists the intersection points, where the points joining a line and an arc segment are written in bold.

| reference | pass1 | pass2 | pass3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5.00,0.00$ | $5.23,0.00$ | $5.16,0.00$ | $5.17,0.00$ |
| $15.00,10.00$ | $15.17,10.01$ | $15.16,10.03$ | $15.23,10.02$ |
| $20.00,10.00$ | $19.89,9.96$ | $19.85,10.01$ | $19.96,10.00$ |
| $20.00,0.00$ | $20.01,0.06$ | $20.07,0.02$ | $20.01,-0.05$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 . 0 0 , 0 . 0 0}$ | $24.46,-0.03$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 8 8 , 0 . 0 4}$ | $26.30,0.43$ |
| $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 0 , 1 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 5 6 , 9 . 9 8}$ | $35.70,10.01$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 1 7 , 1 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $40.00,10.00$ | $40.09,9.98$ | $40.13,10.00$ | $40.19,10.03$ |
| $45.77,0.00$ | $46.00,0.04$ | $46.07,0.08$ | $45.90,0.14$ |
| $\mathbf{5 3 . 0 0}, \mathbf{0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 4}, \mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 9 , 0 . 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 . 4 4 , 0 . 0 0}$ |
| $\mathbf{6 3 . 0 0 , 1 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 2 0 , 1 0 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 1 8 , 9 . 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 3 0 , 1 0 . 0 5}$ |
| IAE | $2.58,0.27$ | $2.46,0.26$ | $3.18,0.72$ |
| average error | $0.26,0.03$ | $0.25,0.03$ | $0.32,0.07$ |

Table 7.7 CMM Reults: Intersection point coordinates ( $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$ ) in millimeters

The IAE values in Table 7.7 are calculated by integrating the X and Y axis errors between the reference points and the calculated points of the passes. The average errors are calculates by dividing the IAEs to the number of intersection points. They show that the absolute error is up to nine-times greater on the X -axis than on the Y-axis.

|  | reference | reference <br> - pass1 | reference <br> - pass2 | reference <br> - pass3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { pass2 - } \\ \text { pass1 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | pass3 pass1 | pass3pass2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5.00, 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
|  | 15.00, 10.00 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
|  | 20.00, 10.00 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.11 |
|  | 20.00, 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.09 |
|  | 25.00, 0.00 | 0.55 | 0.13 | 1.37 | 0.43 | 1.90 | 1.47 |
|  | 35.00, 10.00 | 0.56 | 0.70 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.39 | 0.53 |
|  | 40.00, 10.00 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.07 |
|  | 45.77, 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.31 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.18 |
|  | 53.00, 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.49 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
|  | 63.00, 10.00 | 0.20 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.14 |
| だ | IAE 1 | 2.65 | 2.48 | 3.16 | 1.01 | 3.02 | 2.74 |
|  | average error 1 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.27 |
| 울 | IAE 2average error 2 | 0.90 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.35 | 0.56 | 0.53 |
|  |  | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.09 |

Table 7.8 CMM results: Calculated distances (mm) between the intersection points

Table 7.8 lists the calculated distances between the reference points and the points measured in CMM, as well as the distances between the points measured on different passes. Two different IAEs and average errors are calculated. The first ones (i.e. IAE 1, average error 1) include all the intersection points, whereas the second ones (i.e. IAE 2, average error 2), only include the points joining two line segments (i.e excludes the values written in bold). Their respective comparison indicates that more than $65 \%$ of the total error (i.e. IAE) between the reference and the measurements is caused by the excluded points. Among different measurements, this goes up to $81 \%$ as in the case of pass3-pass1.

Excluding the aforementioned points, the measured intersection points deviate from the reference 0.16 mm on average with a maximum of 0.31 mm occurring in
the pass2. Within measurements the average deviation is 0.09 mm with a maximum 0.18 mm between pass 3 and pass 2 .

|  | reference | pass1 | pass2 | pass3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Centre Point | $25.00,10.00$ | $21.64,10.95$ | $24.86,10.92$ | $25.95,9.37$ |
| Diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 20 | 22.12 | 21.75 | 18.44 |
| Centre Point | $63.00,0.00$ | $62.99,0.60$ | $62.87,0.67$ | $36.37,0.14$ |
| Diameter $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 20 | 18.94 | 18.81 | 19.87 |

Table 7.9 CMM results: Arc centre points and diameters

The greater errors occurring at arc intersections are primarily caused by the measurement method. As mentioned previously, three arbitrary points are taken from the arc segments. This allows the CMM to fit circles (Table 7.9) passing through the taken points assuming that the measured geometry is a perfect circular arc. In reality, however, this is not the case, and three points are not sufficient to provide information about the form of the arc. Moreover, the measured points are also affected by the surface texture of the timber. As a result, the fitted arcs and their intersection points with the other segments do not reflect the real geometry.

Overall, detailed results on the absolute accuracy could not be obtained from the CMM. On the other hand, the proximity of the three measurements along the Zaxis confirms the repeatability of the system. The next section presents an alternative measurement method by processing a scanned profile image.

### 7.2.8 Accuracy measurements of a scanned profile image

This section presents the measurements performed on a scanned profile image via image processing. In addition to coordinate and distance measurements, this method also allows visual comparison between the reference and the resultant profile by superimposing one over the other; hence provides insight about the form variations in the resultant profile.


Figure 7.7 Measurements of a scanned profile image (a) scanned image (b) processed image (c) measurements on the detected edges (d) reference curve vs. detected edges

Firstly, the front face of the machined specimen is scanned with 600 dpi resolution (Figure 7.7(a)) on standard flatbed scanner. With this resolution, each pixel of an image corresponds to 0.042 mm . Secondly, the scanned image is processed (Figure 7.7(b)) in order to detect the edges of the profile. Then, the detected edges are extracted from the rest of the image and the intersection points are approximately marked (Figure 7.7 (c)). Finally, the coordinates of the intersection points are measured in pixels relative to the left-hand-side edge of the profile.

The pixel coordinates are converted to millimeters and listed in Table 7.10. The highest errors (i.e written in italic in Table 7.10) appeared on the end-points of the segments that are compensated for the cutter thickness. This can also be observed
in Figure 7.7 (d), where the detected edges are superimposed on the reference profile. To investigate the sources of these errors, the thickness of each cutter tooth is measured with a Vernier caliper. Three out of four is found to be 1.6 mm thick, but the other one is measured as 1.86 mm . This explains the occurrence of large errors on the compensated segments, and shows that the profiles should be compensated for the thickest tooth. If the difference between the tooth thicknesses is subtracted from the relevant calculated distances in Table 7.10, the total (i.e IAE) and the average error values become 0.63 mm and 0.08 mm respectively. These values include two of the four arc end points. The other two could not be detected from the image due to smooth transitions between segments.

| intersection points |  | distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reference | image | refer.-image |
| $5.00,0.00$ | $4.90,0.00$ | 0.10 |
| $15.00,10.00$ | $14.98,9.99$ | 0.02 |
| $20.00,10.00$ | $19.68,9.99$ | 0.32 |
| $20.00,0.00$ | $19.68,0.00$ | 0.32 |
| $25.00,0.00$ | - | - |
| $35.00,10.00$ | $35.21,9.99$ | 0.21 |
| $40.00,10.00$ | $39.83,9.99$ | 0.17 |
| $45.77,0.00$ | $45.52,0.00$ | 0.25 |
| $53.00,0.00$ | $53.08,0.00$ | 0.08 |
| $63.00,10.00$ | - | - |
| IAE | $1.47,0.04$ | 1.47 |
| average error | $0.18,0.01$ | 0.18 |

Table 7.10 Image processing results: Intersection point coordinates (X,Y) and their calculated distances to the reference points

In Figure 7.7(d), the reference profile virtually passes through the centre of the white line, which is 0.42 mm (i.e. 10 pixels) thick. Thus, any point on the white line is within 0.21 mm proximity to the reference profile. The black line is the extracted edge of the scanned profile and its average thickness is measured to be 0.17 mm (i.e. 4 pixels). If it is assumed that the real edge passes through the centre of the black line; then the maximum error becomes 0.125 mm (i.e. ( $0.42-0.17$ )/2) while the white line covers the black line. This condition occurs at the majority of
points along the profile. Therefore, based on this measurement method, it can be concluded that the accuracy of the system is within $\pm 0.125 \mathrm{~mm}$.

The image processing method is believed to provide more accurate results if applied on the images of plastic specimens. Machining of plastics in the PIMM is potentially possible but has not been investigated in detail. However, it is recommended for future work.

### 7.3 Investigation of Design Improvements via Simulation

The machining investigations confirmed that the targeted accuracy can be achieved. On the other hand, it is shown that the designed test-rig can not meet the production rate target due to reduced path speed caused by the limited cutting power. The cutting power, and consequently the path speed, can be increased by replacing the cutter motor with a more powerful one. This possibly results in a redesign of the cutter assembly and may require additional power transmission hardware. In any case, using a more powerful motor increases the weight of the cutter assembly.

The aim of this section is to determine the effects of increased drive weight on the performance due to possible replacement of the cutter assembly. This is explored through software simulations and presented in Section 7.3.2. Prior to that, in Section 7.3.1, validity of the simulated system is confirmed through compatison against the actual system.

### 7.3.1 Comparison of the actual and simulated systems

The moulding in Figure 7.8 is machined by the PIMM test-rig using the controller parameters in Table 7.2. The same profile is also supplied as the input to the Simulink model. The simulated system used the same controller parameters as the actual system, together with the drive model parameters in Table 7.11. During machining, the drive friction is compensated as described in Section 4.2.4; hence the friction model is disabled in the simulated system.


Figure 7.8 A typical moulding machined by the PIMM test-rig

| parameter |  |  | horizontal drive value | vertical drive value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ limit |  |  | $\pm 8192$ | $\pm 8192$ |
| $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{A}$ gain | $(\mathrm{V})$ | $K_{d}$ | $10 / 8192$ | $10 / 8192$ |
| amplifier gain | $(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{V})$ | $K_{a}$ | 2.31 | 2.3 |
| current limit | $(\mathrm{A})$ | $I_{\text {lim }}$ | $\pm 4$ | $\pm 4$ |
| armature inductance | $(\mathrm{H})$ | $L_{m}$ | 0.00012 | 0.00012 |
| armature resistance | $(\mathrm{Ohm})$ | $R_{m}$ | 0.582 | 0.582 |
| motor torque constant | $(\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A})$ | $K_{t}$ | 0.0195 | 0.0195 |
| motor back-emf constant | $(\mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $K_{e}$ | 0.026 | 0.026 |
| leadscrew gain | $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{rad})^{2}$ | $R_{d}$ | 0.000477 | 0.000477 |
| equivalent inertia | $(\mathrm{kgm})$ | $J$ | $3.61^{*} 10^{-6}$ | $3.63^{* 10^{-6}}$ |
| equivalent damping coeff. $(\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $B$ | $1.12^{* 10^{-5}}$ | $1.26^{* 10^{-5}}$ |  |
| carriage mass | $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $M$ | 0.25 | 0.90 |
| cutting force | $(\mathrm{N})$ | $F_{c}$ | 5 | 5 |

Table 7.11 Drive model parameters
Figure 7.9 shows the experiment and simulation results for a single left-to-right pass along the width of the workpiece. The profile in Figure 7.9(a) is the compensated target for 1.6 mm cutter thickness (see Section 7.2.1). The contour error and path speed curves from the simulation (Figure 7.9(b), (d)) bear a high resemblance to the ones from the experiment (Figure 7.9(c), (e)). As mentioned previously, the velocity is estimated in the actual system by differentiating the position feedback. Therefore, the noise in the path speed curve is expected. The two sharp velocity peaks, which correspond to the midpoints of the arc segments, are due to short line segments (i.e. 1.6 mm long) that are added to the target profile for cutter thickness compensation.


Figure 7.9 Experiment and simulation results (a) moulding profile (b) simulated error (c) measured error (d) simulated speed (e) measured speed

| result |  | simulation | experiment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pass time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $t$ | 1.135 | 1.137 |
| mean path speed $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s})$ | $V_{\text {mean }}$ | 72.81 | 72.64 |
| max. contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $e_{\text {max }}$ | -0.09 | -0.09 |
| mean contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $e_{\text {mean }}$ | 0.005 | 0.006 |
| IAE |  | 12.30 | 13.87 |
| ITAE | 6.97 | 7.84 |  |

Table 7.12 Comparison of the experiment and simulation results

Table 7.12 lists the key performance measures of the experiment and simulation results. Comparison of these proves that the simulated system represents the behavior of the actual system to a high level of accuracy. Consequently, the effects of modifications on the performance can be investigated solely by software simulations. An example of this is presented in the next section, where the effect of drive weight on the performance is explored via simulations.

### 7.3.2 Effects of increased drive weight on the performance

The cutter assembly of the PIMM test-rig features an overhung spindle arrangement, where a 22 mm diameter, 50 W rated brushless motor directly connects to a 50.8 mm diameter cutter. This design imposes two major limitations on the overall system performance. The first one is the aforementioned (see Section 7.2.2) path speed limitation and the second one is the depth of cut limitation. Due to concentric alignment of the motor and the cutter, the maximum depth is equal to the difference between the cutter and motor radii (i.e. 14.4 mm ). The limit can be improved by either using a larger diameter cutter or by placing the motor away from the cutter and using power transmission hardware. Either way results in a heavier cutter assembly. Moreover, as explored in Chapter 3, deeper cuts require more cutting power, which the system already lacks even at 10 mm depth of cut. Therefore, in a case of re-design, the first priority is the replacement of the cutter motor with the one which is at least twice as powerful; and this, also results in a heavier cutter assembly.

In order to check whether the cutting power is the only limiting factor on the path speed, the currently used cutter motor is assumed to deliver unlimited power, and the system is simulated to achieve the performance targets (i.e. speed and accuracy). Both controllers (i.e. tangential and normal) are switched to cascaded position-velocity mode and the velocity limit is set at $200 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. The controller gains are tuned until the mean path speed reached within $5 \%$ to the target speed of $135 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. The accuracy target, on the other hand, is not tolerated. The tuned controller parameters are given in Figure 7.10 together with the achieved simulation results.


Controller Gains: $\quad \mathrm{KpT}=120 \quad \mathrm{KpC}=200 \quad \mathrm{KVT}=7 \quad \mathrm{KVC}=25 \quad \mathrm{Vlim}=200 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$
Simulation Result $t=0.637 \mathrm{~s} \quad$ vmean $=129.7 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s} \quad$ emax $=0.07 \mathrm{~mm} \quad$ emean $=0.007 \mathrm{~mm}$

Figure 7.10 Simulation results: increased speed limit (a) profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) path speed vs. horizontal position


Figure 7.11 Simulation results: increased weight (a) moulding profile (b) left-to-right pass error (c) right-to-left pass error (d) left-to-right pass speed (e) right-to-left pass speed

For the second simulations, it is assumed that the cutter assembly is re-designed so that the maximum depth of cut is 25 mm , and the cutting power is sufficient to cut within the range of achievable path speeds. Consequently, the weight of the cutter assembly is increased from 0.25 kg to 0.60 kg . This increase is reflected to the carriage masses of the horizontal and vertical drives as in Table 7.13. It is also assumed that the point $(0,0)$ is 15 mm below the top surface of the un-machined specimen. Thus, the maximum depth of the simulated profile becomes 25 mm . The increase in the depth of cut is reflected to the simulated system as increased cutting force (Table 7.13). The simulations are performed with the same controller parameters as in the previous simulation for the both pass directions, and the results are shown in Figure 7.11 and Table 7.14.

| parameter | horizontal drive <br> before <br> after |  |  | vertical drive |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | before | after |  |  |  |
| carriage mass $(\mathrm{kg})$ | $F_{\varepsilon}$ | 0.25 | 0.60 | 0.90 | 1.25 |
| cutting force $(\mathrm{N})$ | 5 | 12.5 | 5 | 12.5 |  |

Table 7.13 Modified simulation parameters

| result |  | left-to-tight | right-to-left |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pass time $(\mathrm{s})$ | $t$ | 0.654 | 0.650 |
| mean path speed $(\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s})$ | $V_{\operatorname{mean}}$ | 126.36 | 126.97 |
| max. contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $e_{\max }$ | -0.07 | -0.09 |
| mean contour error $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $e_{\text {maan }}$ | 0.006 | 0.008 |

Table 7.14 Simulation results for left-to-right and right-to-left passes

The accuracy target is achieved on both pass directions. Increase in drive weights and cutting forces, reduced the mean path speed slightly (i.e.2.5\%) compared to the previous simulation. However, the results are still close to the target by $6.4 \%$ on the left-to-right pass and $5.9 \%$ on the right-to-left pass.

As a result, the PIMM can also achieve the speed target provided that the cutting power is sufficient through the operation range of X-Y axis drives. The increased drive weights and cutting forces, due to a re-design of the cutter assembly, do not affect the tracking accuracy.

## Chapter 8

## Conclusions and Further Work

### 8.1 Conclusions

An integrated mechatronic design approach aiming at holistic development of the Profile Independent Wood-Moulding Machine (PIMM) has been presented. Investigation of the proposed machining method, as defined in the research objectives, has involved many facets of study. The key conclusions from these studies, which have been considered in detail within the main body of the thesis, are outlined as follows:

The material removal phenomena and the cutting forces occurring in the proposed machining method were explored by a series of experiments. The effects of cutting tool and machine parameters on the cutting power were identified. It was found that the cutting forces are in the order of up to 5 N , and they do not directly affect the cutter traversing speed. On the other hand, they have to be considered for the selection of the cutter motor because insufficient cutting power causes the cutter to stall. For general PIMM operation, the cutting power requirement is found to be 100 W .

An algorithm was created for extracting moulding profile geometry from CAD files in '.dxf format. The current implementation extracts the geometry of a single face, but it can be easily modified for multiple faces.

A mathematical modeling framework has been developed for simulation of the cutter positioning on the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ frame. The modeling framework was used during the selection of test-rig actuators, and also for the controller design. Mathematical modeling and simulation allowed performance evaluation of various hardware and control altematives, and enabled identification of the optimum solution for the system. The implemented motion control algorithm decouples the control efforts on the directions tangential and normal to the followed path. This allowed, within limits, independent control of pass speed and contour error.

Based on the outcome of the mathematical simulations, a PIMM test-rig was built in order to evaluate the performance of the proposed concept experimentally. In designing the test tig, a modular approach has been followed. The test rig was divided to four sub-systems as cutter assembly, horizontal drive, vertical drive, and timber feed drive which also acts as the frame of the machine. This modular design approach allowed different designs of one or more subsystems to be experimented while the rest of the test-rig remains unchanged. Small magnitudes of the forces generated in the process allowed the use of rapid prototyping plastics for vatious parts of the test-rig. With the help of rapid prototyping, the initial build time of the test-rig and also the modification times were considerably shortened.

After the test-rig was built, experimental system identification procedures were performed. System identification allowed compensation of the previously unknown disturbances (i.e. drive friction) in the controller. Also, the mathematical models were modified with the identified parameters to minimize the difference between simulated and actual responses. The resulting accurate models enabled exploration of the design improvements via simulation.

For experimental verification of the proposed concept, machining experiments were conducted. The results confirm the effectiveness of the PIMM. The current system is $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$ accurate and it positions the cutter with a mean path speed of $73 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. This corresponds to $0.086 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ production of 100 mm wide mouldings with acceptable quality (i.e. surface wave pitch $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). It was shown through simulation that $0.150 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ production rate is possible by using a more powerful cutter motor.

Further research on the system is needed to fully exploit its capabilities. A number of areas have been identified for further work and given in the next section.

### 8.2 Recommendations for Further Work

The suggestions listed below provide some possible directions for further work which could be carried out to extend the existing system boundaries.

### 8.2.1 Cutter assembly

The PIMM test-rig used an off-the-shelf slotting cutter, and dedicated cutter design has not been performed. A cutting tool, specific to the PIMM, needs to be designed for optimum performance. Cutter diameter, kerf thickness, number of teeth, and tooth geometry can be listed as the important parameters to consider for the cutting tool design.

It was explained that the test-rig could not meet the production rate target due to reduced path speed caused by the limited cutting power. The cutting power, and consequently the path speed, can be increased by replacing the cutter motor with a more powerful one. This possibly results in a re-design of the cutter assembly and may require additional power transmission hardware.

### 8.2.2 Timber feed mechanism

Timber feed mechanism of the PIMM test-rig was designed as a temporary solution to accommodate small test specimens during proof-of-concept investigations. Due to time limitation, methods for feeding longer workpieces could not be explored. A new mechanism needs to be designed in order to feed wokpieces up to 2 m in length.

### 8.2.3 Surface roughness

Based on visual inspection, roughness of the surfaces produced by PIMM is adequate, but the machined specimens were not subjected to detailed measurements. Surface roughness is primarily influenced by workpiece and cutting tool properties. However, comparative measurements with conventionally machined specimens can be useful in determining the possible influence of machining method on surface roughness.

### 8.2.4 Cutting materials other than wood

It is foreseen that the PIMM can process materials such as plastics, stones and soft metals. Investigation of this issue is recommended to be pursued together with the cutting tool design.

### 8.2.5 Processing with multiple PIMMs

Multiple PIMMs can be arranged in parallel or in series. In a parallel arrangement, all the PIMMs can be controlled from the same computer and the tate of production is proportional to the number of PIMMs. Alternatively, provided that each PIMM has its own controller, different profiles can be sent to different PIMMs from a top-level control computer. In a series arrangement, a single timber feed drive can be used; one of the cutters takes a rough cut from the workpiece and the other performs the detailing.

### 8.2.6 Change of profile along the length

Theoretically in PIMM, profile of the moulding can be changed along its length. This is not possible in the conventional machines, and it is not known if this would be desirable in any matket. The limits of profile change and the possible applications of the products require further research.

### 8.2.7 Processing with additional vertical spindle

An additional cutter assembly can be designed to accommodate a vertical spindle and the PIMM can be used for other machining operations such as routing, milling, engraving and drilling. Other than the hardware, this requires modification of the software and the control algorithms.

### 8.2.8 Portable PIMM

In this thesis, the PIMM is designed as a PC-based system. Besides many advantages, the PC limits the portability of the system. The PC can be replaced by a dedicated embedded controller and this eliminates the operating system and software dependencies of the PIMM.

Some hardware modifications are also possible in order to aid the system potability. For example, the X and Y axes can be designed to move along the Z axis so that the PIMM can be operated on vertical surfaces and ceilings. This is believed to be desirable in architectural restoration jobs.
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## Appendices

## Appendix A: Typical moulding profiles

Source: The Hardwood Company (http://www.thehardwoodcompany.com)

(a) Not demanding for the vertical drive (long, flat horizontal surfaces)

(b) Demanding for the vertical drive

(c) Partially demanding for the vertical drive

## Appendix B: Representation of geometric information in DXF script

| DXF Script | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| AcDbLine | Segment is a Line |
| 10 | indicator for the $X_{1}$ coordinate |
| 91.50 | $X_{1}$ value |
| 20 | indicator for the $Y_{1}$ coordinate |
| 122.30 | $Y_{1}$ value |
| 30 | indicator for the $Z_{1}$ coordinate |
| 0.0 | $Z_{1}$ Value |
| 11 | indicator for the $X_{2}$ coordinate |
| 136.20 | $X_{2}$ value |
| 21 | indicator for the $Y_{2}$ coordinate |
| 112.30 | $Y_{2}$ value |
| 31 | indicator for the $Z_{2}$ coordinate |
| 0.0 | $Z_{2}$ value |

Table B. 1 Line segment representation

| DXF Script | Explanation |
| :---: | :---: |
| AcDbCircle | Segment is a Circle |
| 10 | indicator for the centre X coordinate $\left(\mathrm{X}_{A}\right)$ |
| 136.20 | $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{A}}$ value |
| 20 | indicator for the centre Y coordinate $\left(\mathrm{Y}_{A}\right)$ |
| 92.30 | $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{A}}$ value |
| 30 | indicator for the centre Z coordinate $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{A}\right)$ |
| 0.0 | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{A}}$ Value |
| 40 | indicator for the circle radius $\left(\mathrm{R}_{A}\right)$ |
| 20.0 | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A}}$ value |
| AcDbArc | Segment is an Arc |
| 50 | indicator for the angle of the start point $\left(\theta_{1}\right)$ |
| 0.0 | $\theta_{1}$ value |
| 51 | indicator for the angle of the end point $\left(\theta_{2}\right)$ |
| 90.0 | $\theta_{2}$ value |

Table B. 2 Arc segment representation


Figure B. 1 Graphical representation of the above segments

## Appendix C: Matlab Function "dxfread.m"

```
function P = dxfread(Filename)
global P
P=[];
fid=fopen(Filename,'r');
tline='';
n=0;
* READ BEGMENT DATA EROM DXE FILE
while stromp(tline,'EOF')==0
    tline=fgetl(fid);
    if strcmp(tline,'AcDbIine')
        n=n+1;
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); RN1
            R(1,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); &y1
                            R(2,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); *x%
            R(3,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); %y`.
            R(4,n)=str2num(tline);
        R(5,n)=0;
        R(6,n)=0;
        R(7,n)=0;
        R(8,n)=0;
        R(9,n)=0;
    end
    if stromp(tline,'Acmocircle')
        n=n+1;
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); %̌a
                            R(5,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); 林
            R(6,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); \forallra
                            R(9,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl (fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); tthl
            R(7,n)=str2num(tline);
        tline=fgetl(fid);
        tline=fgetl(fid); &the,
            R(8,n)=str2num(tline);
    end
end
```

```
&ETND ARC ENDPOTNTS
for i=1:n
    if R(9,i)~=0
        R(1,i)=R(9,i)* cos(R(7,i)*pi/180) +R(5,i);
        R(2,i)=R(9,i)*sin(R(7,i)*pi/180)+R(6,i);
        R(3,i)=R(9,i)*\operatorname{cos(R(8,i)*pi/180) +R(5,i);}
        R(4,i)=R(9,i)*sin(R(8,i)*pi/180)+R(6,i);
    end
end
```

©CONVERT TO MU ALD EORMAT
for $i=1: 9$
if ( $i==7$ ) | ( $i==8$ )
$R(i,:)=(\operatorname{round}(R(i,:) * 1000)) . / 1000 ;$
else
$R(i,:)=(\operatorname{round}(R(i,:) * 1000)) . / 1000 ;$
end
end
GEIND Xnin Ymirn Ymax ymax
$x \min =\min (\min (R(1,:)), \min (R(3,:)))$;
$x \max =\max (\max (R(1,:)), \max (R(3,:)))$;
$\operatorname{ymin}=\min (\min (\mathrm{R}(2,:)), \min (\mathrm{R}(4,:)))$;
$y \max =\max (\max (\mathrm{R}(2,:)), \max (\mathrm{R}(4,:)))$;
\&EIND THE LEFT EOGE
for $i=1: n$
if $(R(1, i)==x m i n) \&(R(3, i)==x m i n)$
$\mathrm{xx}=\mathrm{xmin}$;
$y y=\max (R(2, i), R(4, i)) ;$
R(: i) $=0$;
break;
end
end

```
#FTND THE REST OF THE TOF PROFILE
i=0;
j=0;
while xmax > xx
    i=i+1;
    if (abs(R(1,i)-xx) < 0.01) & (abs(R(2,i)-yy) < 0.01)
        j=j+1;
        P(:,j)=R(:,i);
        R(:,i)=0;
        xx=P(3,j);
        yy=P(4,j);
        i=0;
    elseif (abs(R(3,i)-xx)<0.01) & (abs(R(4,i)-yy)<0.01)
        j=j+1;
        P(1,j)=R(3,i);
        P(2,j)=R(4,i);
        P(3,j)=R(1,i);
        P(4,j)=R(2,i);
        P(5,j)=R(5,i);
        P(6,j)=R(6,i);
        P(7,j)=R(8,i);
        P(8,j)=R(7,i);
        P(9,j)=R(9,i);
        R(:,i)=0;
```

```
        xx=P(3,j);
        yy=P(4,j);
        i=0;
    end
end
OSET TOP IEET OORNEP W) (0,0)
for i=1:size(P,2)
    if P(9,i) > 0
        P(5,i)=P(5,i)-P(1,1);
        P(6,i)=P(6,i)-P(2,1);
    end
end
P(3,:)=P(3,:)-P(1,1);
P(4,:)=P(4,:)-P(2,1);
P(1,:)=P(1,:)-P(1,1);
P(2,:)=P(2,:)-P(2,1);
```


## Appendix D: Previous PIMM test-rig designs

## Design 1:

- Erame: Extruded aluminum
- Cutter motor: Remote Dremel AC motor + Flexible Shaft + Collet
- Horizontal axis drive: DC motor + Planetary Gearbox + Timing Belt/Pulley
- Vertical axis drive: DC motor + Leadscrew
- Timber feed drive: Stepper motor + Leadscrew



## Design 2:

- Frame: Extruded aluminum
- Cutter motor: Remote Dremel AC motor + Flexible Shaft + Collet
- Vertical axis drive: DC motor + Leadscrew
- Horizontal axis drive: DC motor + Leadscrew
- Timber feed drive: Stepper motor + Leadscrew



## Design 3:

- Frame: Extruded aluminum
- Cutter motor: Remote Dremel AC motor + Flexible Shaft + Collet
- Horizontal axis drive: DC motor + Leadscrew
- Vertical axis drive: DC motor + Leadscrew
- Timber feed drive: Stepper motor + Leadscrew



## Appendix E: Cutter motor specifications

## Maxon EC 22 Brushless Motor Datasheet

(http://www.maxonmotor.co.uk)


## Appendix F: $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ axis drives hardware specifications

## Maxon RE 30 DC Motor Datasheet

(http://www.maxonmotor.co.uk)

RE $30 \varnothing 30 \mathrm{~mm}$, Graphite Brushes, 60 Watt


## HEDS 5540 Incremental Encoder Datasheet

(http://www.faulhaber.com)

FAULHABER


The housing dimensions of the HEDL encoder are the same as the HEDS/HEDM encoders, but there is a ribbon cable instead of plain connector pins Suggested Line Receivers: LT-1


[^0]

## Reliance LAF6-M030 Light-Duty Anti-Backlash Leadscrew Specifications

Source: Reliance Precision Limited (http://www.reliance.co.uk)

| screw material | stainless steel 303 |
| :--- | :---: |
| nut material | polyacetal with lubricating additive |
| lead type | right-hand thread |
| lead accuracy | $0.0006 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
| repeatability | 0.0015 mm |
| screw diameter | 6.35 mm |
| lead | 3.0 mm |
| efficiency | $68 \%$ |
| unloaded friction torque | $0.004-0.010 \mathrm{Nm}$ |

## Appendix G: $\mathbf{X}$ and $Y$ axis drives speed, force, and inertia

 calculations$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{\max }=\frac{\omega_{\max }}{60} \cdot l e a d \\
F_{\max }=e \cdot \frac{2 \pi \cdot T_{\max }}{l e a d} \\
J_{s}=\frac{1}{2} \cdot M_{s} \cdot R_{s}{ }^{2} \\
J=J_{m}+J_{s}+M \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R_{t}}\right)^{-2}, \quad \text { where } \quad R_{t}=\frac{l e a d}{2 \pi}
\end{gathered}
$$

| parameter |  | horizontal (X) axis value | vertical (Y) axis value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| max. motor speed (rpm) | $\omega_{\text {max }}$ | 8800 | 8800 |
| max. continuous motor torque ( mNm ) | $T_{\text {max }}$ | 86.20 | 86.20 |
| motor rotor inertia ( $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ ) | $J_{m}$ | $33.3 * 10^{-7}$ | $33.3 * 10^{-7}$ |
| leadscrew lead (mm) | lead | 3 | 3 |
| leadscrew efficiency | $e$ | 0.68 | 0.68 |
| leadscrew mass (kg) | $M_{s}$ | 0.050 | 0.025 |
| leadscrew radius (m) | Rs | 0.0032 | 0.0032 |
| carriage mass ( kg ) | $M$ | 0.25 | 0.9 |
| maximum linear speed ( $\mathrm{mm} / \mathrm{s}$ ) | $V_{\text {max }}$ | 440 | 440 |
| maximum continuous thrust (N) | $F_{\text {max }}$ | 122.81 | 122.81 |
| leadscrew inertia ( $\mathrm{kgm}^{2}$ ) | $J_{s}$ | $2.52 * 10^{-7}$ | 1.26 *10-7 |
| total rotating inertia ( $\mathrm{kgm}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) | $J$ | $3.61 * 10^{-6}$ | $3.63 * 10^{-6}$ |

## Appendix $\mathrm{H}: \mathrm{Z}$ axis drive hardware specifications

## Z-axis stepper motor specifications

Source: RS Components Limited (http://www.rswww.com)

| RS stock no | $440-420$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| motor type | 4-phase hybrid stepper motor |
| holding torque | 70 mNm |
| rated current per phase | 0.5 A |
| voltage rating | $5 \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{DC})$ |
| step angle | $1.8^{\circ}$ |
| shaft diameter | 5 mm |
| shaft length | 18.1 mm |
| motor length | 33 mm |
| motor height x width | $40 \times 40 \mathrm{~mm}$ |

## Reliance LAF6-M100 Light-Duty Anti-Backlash Leadscrew Specifications

Source: Reliance Precision Limited (http://www.reliance.co.uk)

| screw material | stainless steel 303 |
| :--- | :---: |
| nut material | polyacetal with lubricating additive |
| lead type | right-hand thread |
| lead accuracy | $0.0006 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{mm}$ |
| repeatability | 0.0015 mm |
| screw diameter | 6.35 mm |
| lead | 10.0 mm |
| efficiency | $78 \%$ |
| unloaded friction torque | $0.004-0.010 \mathrm{Nm}$ |

## Appendix I: Sensoray Model 626 PCI I/O card specifications

Source: Sensoray Co. Inc. (http://www.sensoray.com)

| General |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Operating temperature | 0 C to 70C |
| PC bus interface | PCI, 32 -bit, 33 MHz |
| Input power | < 3 watts |
| A/D Converter |  |
| Conversion time | 20 microseconds |
| Number of channels | 16 differential |
| Input resistance | 100 Megohms |
| CMRR | 100 dB minimum |
| Max. common mode voltage | 5 volts |
| Resolution | 16-bits |
| Accuracy | 14-bits |
| Input ranges | $+/-10, \pm 5 \mathrm{~V}$ |
| Offset error | +/-1/2 LSB |
| D/A converter |  |
| Conversion time | 20 microseconds |
| Resolution | 14-bits |
| Offset error | +/-2 bits |
| Output voltage | +/-10 volts |
| Output resistance | 87 ohms |
| Digital I/O |  |
| Number of channels | 48 bi-directional |
| Output voltage | 0 to 5 V open collector |
| Output sink current | 100 mA @1.1 V |
| Encoder 1/O |  |
| Number of channels | 6 quadrature, 24-bit |
| Input voltage | TTL or RS-422 |
| Input frequency | 2 MHz w/int. clock $\mid 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ w/ext. clock |
| Quadrature multiplier | $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{x} 4$ |
| Watchdog Timer |  |
| Time-out | 1/8, 1/4, 1, 10 sec |
| Digital I/O Accessory |  |
| 18" digital cable, 50 -pin | 7501 C |
| 1 meter digital cable, 50 -pin | 7501 C 1 |
| 24 position relay board | 720RB |
| 24 position relay board with DIN mounting hardware | 720RB-DIN |
| Encoder Accessory |  |
| 18 " encoder cable, 26-pin | 7503C |
| 1 meter encoder cable, 26-pin | 7503 C 1 |
| Encoder terminal board with DIN snap rails NiCd battery, 3.6 V | 7503T-DIN |

## Appendix J: $\mathbf{X}$ and $\mathbf{Y}$ axis drives amplifier specifications

## Maxon ADS 50/5 4-Q-DC Servoamplifier Datasheet

(http:/www.maxonmotor.co.uk)

## 4-Q-DC Servoamplifier ADS in module housing



| Electrical Data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Supply vottage V6o | 12.50 VOC |
| Ripple < 5 \% |  |
| Max oatpur vatage | $0.0 \times 100$ |
| Max. oxput current $l_{\text {maer }}$ |  |
| ADS 50.5 STANOARD | 10A |
| Continuous antput cursent taxt |  |
| ADS 5010 POWER | 10A |
| ADS 50.5 Standaro | 5A |
| Swictring fraquancy ol powers stage | - 50 kHz |
| Max, etfiamcy | 95\% |
| Band widh eutrum controler | 2.5 kHz |
| Buintin motor choks |  |
| ADS 5010 POWER | $75 \mathrm{HH}: 10 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| ADS 50.5 STANDARO | $150 \mu \mathrm{H} / 5 \mathrm{~A}$ |
| Inputs |  |
| - Setratuo | +10 ... +10 y |
|  | (R.20 kM |
| - Enablo | +4... +50 V |
|  | ( $\mathrm{R}=15 \mathrm{~km}$ ) |
| - DC micto min. 2 vDC. max. 50 vDC | (R = 14 kgn ) |
| - Encoder signaia |  |
| Chenmel A. AL A BL max. 10 | 100 kHz , T7. |

Dutyensicea in haw


| Order numbers ADS 5010 201583 | 4-O-OC Sorvoamplifiter POWER Version in modde housing |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { ADS 50/5 } \\ 145391 \end{array}$ | 4.O-0C Servomplifer STANDARD Version in medxta beusish |
| Accessorios 235811 | Stunt rogulator |

## Outputs <br> - Curnent monilor Monitor r <br> - Speos montor "Monitorn" ahowt circint protectes <br> - Stalis reacing "Raady" Opon collector ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}=100 \mathrm{ss}$ $-10 \ldots+10$ VDC $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}=100 \mathrm{C}\right.$ $\max 30 \mathrm{VDC}$ ( $\mathrm{I} \cdot 20 \mathrm{~mA}$ )

Voltage outputs

- Aucoliary wotang. short circuik protectad
- Encoder supply valtog. +5 VDC. max. 12 ma

Trim potentiometers

- IXR compansation
- Othsat
- ! max

LED indicator
REAOY /ERROR

- Bi-colour LED
green $=$ READY, MA $=$ ERROR
Ambient temperature-/
humidity range

| $*$ Operation | $-10 \ldots+45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Skrage | $-40 \ldots+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| - No condensation | $20 \ldots . .80 \%$ |

Mechanical data

- Woight $\begin{array}{r}\text { approx } 400 \mathrm{~g} \\ \text { - Manting ptate }\end{array}$

Connection
 $10 . . .445^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $40 . .+85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $20 . . .80 \%$


Fitch: Power (5 poles). Signel (12 poles) $\square$
for fat band cable
1.27 mm

## Note

General specilications on ADS 4-Q.DC servoamplifier see page 258.

## Appendix K: Cutter motor amplifier specification

## Maxon AECS 35/3 1-Q-EC Servoamplifier Datasheet

(http:/www.maxonmotor.co.uk)


## Appendix L: Performance criteria (IAE, ITAE, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{IAE}}, \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ITAE}}$ )



Figure M. 1 Examples for performance criteria

The performance criteria $L A E$ and ITAE are defined as:

$$
I A E=\sum_{i}\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right| \quad \text { and } \quad I T A E=\sum_{i} t_{i} \cdot\left|\varepsilon_{i}\right|
$$

where; $\varepsilon_{i}$ and $t_{i}$ are the error and time at the $i^{7 b}$ sample respectively. In the examples of Figure M.1, the $L A E$ values represent the absolute position difference between the reference and actual curves for 11 samples from $t=0 \mathrm{~s}$ to $\mathrm{t}=1 \mathrm{~s}$. Although the LAE describe the proximity of the two curves, responses with different characteristics may have the same $L A E$ values, as in the case of Figure M.1.

The ITAE provide information about the error behaviour in time. Lower values indicate that the actual response approaches the reference as time progresses, and this is more desirable in contouring applications.

The performance factors $P_{\text {IAE }}$ and $P_{\text {ITAE }}$ describe the performance of a response relative to another. In Figure M.1, the performance factors are calculated relative to the response in Figure M.1 (a) as follows:

$$
P_{I A E i}=\frac{I A E i}{I A E 1} \quad \text { and } \quad P_{I T A E i}=\frac{I T A E i}{I T A E 1}
$$

## Appendix M: Accompanying CD

The accompanying CD contains the following:

- Photographs and videos of the current PIMM test-rig.
- Photographs and videos of a previous test rig (Design 3 in Appendix D).
- Source code of the Visual Basic software.

Detailed contents of the CD and instructions for viewing the video files can be found in "Info.txt".

## Appendix N: Design Alternatives for a Novel Wood Moulder
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# DESIGN ALTERNATIVES FOR A NOVEL WOOD MOULDER 

Yigit Tascioglu, Mike R. Jackson<br>Loughborough University, Wolfson School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering, Loughborough, UK.<br>email: y.tascioglu@lboro.ac.uk, m.r.Jackson@lboro.ac.uk


#### Abstract

This paper evaluates the design alternatives for two-axis positioning system of a novel wood moulder. This new concept aims elimination of tooling and set-up costs of conventional wood moulders in short production runs. Evaluation is done by performing software simulations of different axis configurations, control algorithms and multi-axis synchronization techniques. Target performance specifications were met and the best design was identified.


## 1 Introduction

Wood mouldings are long narrow pieces of timber with profiled ornamental surfaces. Conventionally, wood mouldings are produced with planar/moulder machines. The fundamental principle of the conventional moulders is the separation of material from the advancing workpiece by profile-specific knives which are clamped on a rotating cutterhead as shown in Figure 1. Since the width of the knives is equal to the width of the workpiece the desired profile is achieved with a single feed. This process is characterized by high cutting tool tip velocities which are typically within the range 30$125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ with correspondingly high workpiece feed speeds ranging from 5 to $120 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ (Jackson et al 2002).


Figure 1 Conventional Moulding
High production capability of the conventional concept compensates the costs of manufacturing, mounting and maintenance of the profile-specific cutter knives as well as the downtime for machine set-up.

When batch production of a particular moulding or even a few meters of a one-off special is required, the tooling and machine set-up costs of conventional method cannot be compensated. It is intended to design a new moulding machine to minimize the above costs in short production runs. Previous work on the subject had been carried out and reported in (Waghorn 1998) and (Jackson et al 2000). This paper evaluates the design alternatives for the novel Profile Independent Wood-Moulding Machine (PIMM) by using mathematical models and simulations.

## 2 Profile independent moulding machine (PIMM) concept

The essential part of the proposed concept is a very thin (i.e. 1 mm ) cutting disc which is mounted on a two-axis positioning system (Figure 2). The moulding is produced by oscillating the rotating cutting disc along the width of the timber while following the desired profile geometry.


Figure 2 PIMM Concept
The input to the system is supplied directly by CAD drawings of the desired moulding profile in DXF format. The algorithms implemented on an Intel ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ PC read the profile geometry and control the machine.

Being able to produce any moulding profile from CAD drawing by using the same cutting tool introduces higher manufacturing flexibility, and enables the production of wood mouldings with minimum tooling cost and virtually zero machine set-up time.

This paper concentrates only on design of the positioning system that moves the cutter on the X-Y plane. The target performance is set as 1 s per pass over a 100 mm -wide standard profile with a maximum contour error of 0.05 mm .

## 3 Design alternatives

In order to find the best alternative for this multi-axis positioning system, firstly the problem was divided to three parts as follows;

- Axis configuration
- Motion control for each axis
- Multi-axis synchronization

For the first part, two main axis configurations were considered. In configuration 1 the cutter assembly (cutter and motor) is attached to the vertical drive, which is carried by the stronger horizontal drive (Figure 3a). Configuration 2 has a stronger vertical drive carrying the horizontal drive and the cutter assembly attached to it (Figure 3b).


Figure 3 Axis configuration alternatives
Two conventional feedback approaches namely proportional position controller and cascaded position controller were used to control the motion of individual axes. The controller gains were tuned using the Nyquist method while tolerating $10 \%$ overshoot for the sake of fast system response.

Finally, for the synchronization of the axis motions again two methods were implemented. The first and simpler method uses the fine sampled points of the profile as the input. The horizontal drive acts as the master and the slave vertical drive gets its target input depending on the output of the master via a look-up table.


Figure 4 Vector control approach

The second synchronization method is more advanced and gives the drives an equal status. Instead of the sampled points, endpoints of the profile segments are supplied as inputs. The control coordinate system is rotated to align one axis with the motion vector while the other will be orthogonal to it. Figure 4 illustrates this concept for a motion segment from point A to point B with the control coordinate system z-e aligned to the motion vector. The $z$-controller will most of the time control the speed along the path while the e-controller will control the path error (Saffert 2001).


Figure 5 Test Profile
The combinations of the altematives described above were simulated on the test profile in Figure 5.

## 4 Modeling of drives

Figure 6 shows the block diagram of the drives used in the simulations. Where, u: supplied voltage, Lm : motor terminal inductance, Rm : motor terminal resistance, Kt : torque constant, Jr: equivalent rotary inertia coupled to drive motor, Cr: equivalent rotary damping coupled to drive motor, Ke: back-emf constant, R: transmission ratio from motor shaft angle to carriage position (lead/2ח for leadscrew, pulley radius for timing belt), Ks: leadscrew or timing belt stiffness, Mc: carriage mass, Cc: equivalent linear damping (Matsubara et al 2000).


Figure 6 Drive block diagram
Simulation parameters for the two axis configurations described above are given in Table 1.

|  | Axis Configuration 1 |  | Axis Configuration 2 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Horizontal | Vertical | Horizontal | Vertical |
| $\mathrm{Lm}(\mathrm{H})$ | 0.00012 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.00012 |
| $\mathrm{Rm}(\mathrm{ohm})$ | 0.582 | 2.55 | 2.55 | 0.582 |
| $\mathrm{Kt}(\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A})$ | 0.026 | 0.0124 | 0.0124 | 0.026 |
| $\mathrm{Jr}(\mathrm{kgm} 2)$ | $3.66^{*} 10^{-6}$ | $6.13^{*} 10^{-7}$ | $6.13^{*} 10^{-7}$ | $3.66^{*} 10^{-6}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad})$ | $2^{*} 10^{-5}$ | $1.13^{*} 10^{-5}$ | $1.13^{*} 10^{-5}$ | $22^{*} 10^{-5}$ |
| $\mathrm{Ke}(\mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{rad})$ | 0.026 | 0.0124 | 0.0124 | 0.026 |
| R | 0.0008 | 0.0013 | 0.0013 | 0.0008 |
| $\mathrm{Mc}(\mathrm{kg})$ | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.9 |
| $\mathrm{Cc}(\mathrm{Ns} / \mathrm{m})$ | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |

Table 1 System Parameters

## 5 Simulation results

Simulations of eight different alternatives were performed in Matlab/Simulink and the results are presented in Figure 7 and Figure 8.




Figure 7 Master-slave simulation results
The master-slave synchronization simulations were carried out for the desired pass time of 1 s . As expected, relatively better profile was achieved by axis configuration 1 than configuration 2 due to the lighter slave drive. Increased performance of cascaded control over proportional position control was identified. Nevertheless, none of the simulations achieved sharp corners and the profile accuracy with master-slave synchronization remained far behind the desired value of 0.05 mm .

configuration 1, proportional control, equal-status

configuration 1, cascaded control, equal-status


Figure 8 Equal-status simulation results
Equal-status approach reached much better results in terms overall profile accuracy and tracking sharp comers. Proportional control could not achieve the desired pass time of 1s. On the other hand, both configurations with cascaded control accomplished the desired performance. Cascaded control performed $48 \%$ faster with configuration 1 and $60 \%$ with configuration 2 . Axis configuration 2 with cascaded control performed $23 \%$ faster than configuration 1 .

## 6 Conclusions

Simulation results achieved the desired performance measures of 1s pass time and 0.05 mm contouring accuracy. Stronger vertical drive which is carrying a lighter horizontal drive and the cutter assembly attained the best performance with cascaded controller and purpose designed equal-status synchronization approach, therefore proved to be the best alternative for the design.
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#### Abstract

This paper introduces the novel concept for a profile independent woodmoulding machine. The concept aims to eliminate tooling and set-up costs of conventional wood moulders in short production runs. The designed prototype and its expected performance in terms of production rate and product quality are outlined. The prototype is modelled and the contouring control algorithm is presented. It is shown through simulation that the target production rate and product quality can be achieved.


Keywords: wood machining, drive modelling, contouring control

## NOTATION



## 1 INTRODUCTION

Wood mouldings are long narrow pieces of timber with profiled ornamental surfaces. Mouldings of various profiles and wood types are used in furniture, architecture and joinery industries and typical applications include door/window frames, skirting boards and picture frames (Fig. 1).


Fig. 1 Selection of wood mouldings
The general name for the process used to produce wood mouldings is rotary machining of timber. The raw material for this process is normally rough sawn timber pieces with constant nominal rectangular cross-section along the length. Dimensions typically range from 10 to 100 mm thick, 20 to 300 mm wide and 250 mm to 6 m long. Conventional moulding machines (Fig. 2) comprise a number of horizontal and vertical cutterheads configured in position and type to suit a particular market segment. Rotary machining of timber is characterised by high cutting tool tip velocities ranging from 30 to $125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ with correspondingly high workpiece feed speeds between 5 to $120 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$. The material removal phenomena is similar to that of milling of metals in up-cutting mode, where a cutterhead containing cutting edges is used to severe chips from the advancing workpiece (Fig. 3). Despite being widely used, the term 'mouldings' is somewhat misleading since this is a material removal process and no material deformation as such takes place [1].


Fig. 2 Conventional planning and moulding machine


Fig. 3 Rotary machining process
For every moulding profile, cutterheads contain suitably shaped cutters (Fig.4) and the raw material is transformed into the finished product with a single feed. High production capability of the conventional concept compensates the costs of manufacturing, mounting and maintenance of the profile-specific cutters as well as the downtime for machine set-up.


Fig. 4 Profile-specific cutter
Where just short production runs of a particular type of moulding are required or even a few meters of a one-off special is needed, the tooling and machine set-up costs of the conventional moulding machines cannot be compensated [2]. It is intended to design a new Profile Independent Moulding Machine (PIMM) for short production runs in order to minimize the tooling and machine set-up costs.

A low cost prototype of the PIMM is currently under construction. This paper introduces the idea behind the new concept, outlines the expected performance and concentrates on the mathematical modeling and simulation of the prototype. The performance evaluation of the physical prototype machine is the subject of a future paper.

## 2 PROFILE INDEPENDENT MOULDING MACHINE (PIMM)

The essential part of the proposed concept is a very thin (i.e. 1 mm ) cutting disc, which is mounted on a two-axis positioning system (Fig. 5). The moulding is produced by oscillating the rotating cutting disc along the width of the timber while following the desired profile geometry which is supplied directly from a CAD drawing. The timber is fed in between two consecutive passes along the width.

Being able to produce any moulding profile directly from CAD drawing by using the same cutting tool results in full manufacturing flexibility, and enables the production of wood mouldings with minimum tooling cost and virtually zero machine set-up time.


Fig. 5 PIMM Concept
The initial effort on the concept [3] was concentrated on electro-mechanical design of a two-axis positioning test rig. It was followed by a modeling and control oriented investigation [2, 4], which simulated and experimented various conventional path control methods on the previously designed hardware. Although the results show some evidence on the viability of the concept, the achieved performance of the system stayed behind the targets in terms of both speed and accuracy. The current work approaches the system as a whole and it is directed towards the holistic design of a fully functional PIMM. The evaluation of some mechanical design and control alternatives, at the initial stages of this work, is presented in [5].

The overview of the designed PC-based prototype system is shown in Fig. 6. Desired moulding profile is supplied to the system directly from CAD drawings in commonly
used DXF format. This profile information is processed in the PC and the cutter path and timber feed is controlled via the interface hardware.

The machine consists of four axes. The vertical axis (y-axis) moves along the precision linear guideways attached to the side columns of the machine and is driven from the centre by a DC-motor and a directly coupled anti-backlash leadscrew-nut assembly. It carries the horizontal axis and the cutter mechanism. The horizontal axis (x-axis) consists of a DC-motor, planetary gearbox, timing belt drive and a single linear guideway. Feedback from both axes is obtained via incremental encoders, which are attached to the rotor shafts of the drive motors. The current timber feed drive (z-axis) is only designed to perform initial tests on the positioning accuracy with small test specimens. It uses a direct drive leadscrew-stepper motor assembly. Finally, the cutter is rotated ( $\theta$-axis) by a remote high-speed AC motor via a flexible shaft. It is also intended to replace the $\theta$-axis motor with a small air-turbine in the future in order to achieve a more compact system.


Fig. 6 Prototype PIMM system

The PIMM prototype is 330 mm high, 220 mm wide and 450 mm long, therefore, it can be considered as a 'miniature' moulding machine compared to the conventional machines. The maximum distances that the cutter travels in horizontal and vertical axes are 120 mm and 30 mm respectively. It is planned to use a 1 mm thick, 20-teeth circular saw with 85 mm diameter for the initial tests. The prototype allows machining of profiles having width of maximum 100 mm and height of 25 mm . This is representative of the majority of the wood profiles market. A wide range of moulding profiles can be found in manufacturers' websites such as [6-8].

In principle, it is possible to change the profile cross section along the length of the timber thus providing the opportunity for 3-D mouldings, not currently possible on conventional planar/moulder machinery.

## 3 PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND ESTIMATIONS

Due to the operation principle, the rate of production expected from the PIMM is influenced by two parameters. The first parameter is the 'contouring speed' which is the time taken for the cutter to travel from one side of the timber to the other along the width while cutting. The second is the 'profile quality' in terms of geometric accuracy and surface waviness.


- -profile width ..... . profile length

Fig. 7 Moulding dimensions
As mentioned earlier, wood mouldings can be in various shapes and sizes. Since the contouring speed is highly dependent on profile geometry, a relation between the
profile width and profile length was established and also the dimensional capabilities of the designed prototype were taken into account (Fig. 7).

The maximum dimensions for profile width $w_{p}$ and depth of cut $d$ were limited to 100 mm and 25 mm respectively, and then profile lengths of a number of commercial profiles were measured and compared with their profile widths. On average, profile lengths were found to be $20 \%$ longer than the corresponding profile widths. Therefore, the mean contouring speed $v_{\text {mean }}$ is calculated by using the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\text {mean }}=\frac{w_{p}}{t} \cdot 120 \% \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $w_{p}$ is the profile width and $t$ is the cycle time. Fig. 8 shows the plot of the mean contouring speed versus cycle time for 100 mm wide moulding. This shows that a fast machine with cycle time less than 1 s can be achieved with a mean speed of $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ or more.


Fig. 8 Mean contouring speed vs. cycle time for 100 mm wide moulding The second parameter 'profile quality' is divided into two parts as geometric accuracy and the surface waviness. The measure of geometric accuracy is the contouring error,
being the shortest distance from any point on the actual contour to the desired contour [9]. The target value for the maximum contouring error was set to be 0.05 mm .

Surface waviness is characterized by a regular series of waves that are perpendicular to the workpiece feed direction (Fig. 3). These waves are caused by the nature of the rotary machining process. In conventional planning/moulding, surface wave pitch $p$ is primarily dependent on workpiece feed speed, cutterhead rotational speed and the number of cutting edges in the cutterhead. A good quality surface is classified by a wave pitch of typically $<1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and a lower quality surface by a wave pitch of typically $>2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The wave height of the idealized surface is described by the equation (2) and is typically $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for the higher-quality surfaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=\left(R-\sqrt{R^{2}-\frac{p^{2}}{4}}\right) \cdot 10^{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally, smaller cutter radii will produce deeper cuttermarks and this is perceived as a lower quality surface. The small values of surface wave height make the waviness quality highly sensitive to displacements between cutting edges and workpiece, normal to the machined surface. Such displacements can be caused by spindle runout and excessive structural vibrations. Detailed investigation of surface waviness and influencing factors can be found in $[1,10,11]$.

It is easier to work with wave pitches for timber surfaces primarily because wave pitch can be measured using vernier calipers more easily than wave height. A secondary factor is that the timber processing industry already uses wave pitch as a measure of surface quality [1].

In PIMM, the workpiece is stationary during cutting and fed in between two consecutive cycles. Hence, the wave pitch $p$ is equal to the length of timber fed after each cycle. The equation (2) still holds for calculation of wave heights and; for 85 mm
diameter cutter the wave heights are $2.9 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $p=1 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $18.3 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ for $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Another point is that, due to the operation principle of PIMM, possible spindle runout is expected to have less influence on the surface waves than the conventional process. However, this needs to be experimentally investigated and will be addressed in the future.

The graph of production rate versus cycle time for different waviness pitches is shown in Fig. 9.


Fig. 9 Production rate vs. cycle time
A target production rate for a moderate quality (i.e. $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), 100 mm wide moulding was set as $150 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{min}$. It can be achieved with 1 s cycle time (Fig. 9) and therefore the mean contouring speed should be $120 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ (Fig. 8).

In order to analyse and evaluate the performance of the concept prior to the detailed design, the drives were mathematically modelled and simulated. Following sections explain the modelling and simulation in Matlab/Simulink.


Fig. 10 Horizontal (a) and vertical (b) drive train elements


Fig. 11 Block diagram of a single drive

## 4 MODELING OF HORIZONTAL AND VERTICAL DRIVES

Fig. 10 shows the schematic of the horizontal and vertical drives. Generalized block diagram of a single drive is given in Fig. 11. The parameters for a particular drive are used with superscripts $\left({ }^{H}\right)$ for horizontal and $\left({ }^{\mathrm{V}}\right.$ ) for vertical (i.e. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{H}, \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\mathrm{V}}$ ). For the sake of simplicity, ideally stiff transmission was assumed between the masses. Since the position feedback is obtained via incremental encoders, which are attached to the rotor shafts in the actual system, all the masses are referenced to the motors in the model.

If two masses are linked through a stiff transmission with ratio $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{o}=r s_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad v_{o}=r v_{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the kinetic energy remains invariable, the input mass appears on the output as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}^{o}=r^{-2} M_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This holds regardless whether $M_{i}$ is a mass or inertia. It also holds for damping coefficients. If the motion is transformed from rotary to linear, then $r$ will be the equivalent radius. Therefore, the equivalent inertia $J$, damping coefficient $C$ and transmission ratio $R_{t}$ for the horizontal and vertical drives were calculated as follows.

$$
\begin{align*}
& J^{H}=J_{m}^{H}+\left(J_{g}^{H}+2 \cdot J_{p}^{H}+M_{c}^{H} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R_{p}^{H}}\right)^{-2}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{N_{g}^{H}}\right)^{-2}  \tag{5}\\
& J^{V}=J_{m}^{V}+J_{s}^{V}+M_{c}^{V} \cdot\left(\frac{2 \cdot \pi}{L_{s}^{V}}\right)^{-2}  \tag{6}\\
& C^{H}=C_{m}^{H}+\left(C_{g}^{H}+2 \cdot C_{p}^{H}+C_{c}^{H} \cdot\left(\frac{1}{R_{p}^{H}}\right)^{-2}\right) \cdot\left(\frac{1}{N_{g}^{H}}\right)^{-2}  \tag{7}\\
& C^{V}=C_{m}^{V}+C_{s}^{V}+C_{c}^{V} \cdot\left(\frac{2 \cdot \pi}{L_{s}^{V}}\right)^{-2}  \tag{8}\\
& R_{t}^{H}=N_{g}^{H} \cdot R_{p}^{H}  \tag{9}\\
& R_{t}^{V}=\frac{L_{s}^{V}}{2 \cdot \pi} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Investigation of the cutting forces occurring in the PIMM process is beyond the scope of this work. However, the magnitude of $F_{c}$ lies within the range of $2-7 \mathrm{~N}$, depending on the cutting parameters. Typical forces can be found in [12]. The force acting on the horizontal drive by the workpiece is approximated as a constant force opposing the direction of motion (11). For the vertical drive, it is assumed that the cutting force is acting only when the drive is moving downwards ( -y direction). The
external force on the vertical drive also includes the weight carried by the vertical carriage (12).

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{e}^{H}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-F_{c} \cdot \operatorname{sgn} \dot{x} & \dot{x} \neq 0 \\
0 & \dot{x}=0
\end{array}\right.  \tag{11}\\
& F_{e}^{V}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-M_{c}^{V} \cdot g & \dot{y} \geq 0 \\
F_{c}-M_{c}^{V} \cdot g & \dot{y}<0
\end{array}\right. \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 SIMULATIONS

Simulation of the PIMM was performed in Matlab and Simulink. The simulated system is comprised of three main parts being Reference Generator, Path Controller and the Motion System (Fig. 12).


Fig. 12 Overview of simulated system

### 5.1 Reference Generator

Reference Generator block reads the desired moulding profile segments from a DXF file, stores them in a look-up table and supplies the segments to Path Controller when necessary. A segment can either be a line or an arc due to the nature of the DXF file format.

$$
P=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
X_{A 1} & \cdot & . & X_{A t} \\
Y_{A 1} & \cdot & . & Y_{A l} \\
X_{B 1} & \cdot & . & X_{B i} \\
Y_{B 1} & \cdot & . & Y_{B i} \\
X_{C 1} & \cdot & . & X_{C l} \\
Y_{C 1} & \cdot & . & Y_{C i} \\
\theta_{A 1} & . & . & \theta_{A t} \\
\theta_{B 1} & \cdot & . & \theta_{B i} \\
R_{1} & . & . & R_{i}
\end{array}\right]
$$

start point x coordinate
start point y coordinate
end point $x$ coordinate
end point $y$ coordinate
arc centre $x$ coordinate ( 0 if segment is line) arc centre y coordinate ( 0 if segment is line) start point arc angle ( 0 if se gment is line) end point arc angle ( 0 if segment is line) arc radius ( 0 if segment is line)

Fig. 13 Profile matrix
Profile data is stored in the look-up table as ( $9 \mathrm{x} i$ ) matrix where, $i$ is the number of segments and each column representing a single segment (Fig. 13). A function in the block initializes the left hand side of the profile to $(0,0)$, modifies all the segment coordinates accordingly, and then fills the matrix with the segments from left to right (Fig. 14).


Fig. 14 Profile segments
When the simulation starts, the Reference Generator supplies the first segment (i.e. first column of the matrix) to the Path Controller and checks the distance to the end point of the segment at each sampling interval. If the distance is smaller than the position error norm (i.e. 0.05 mm ) then the next segment is supplied.


Fig. 15 Path controller
The path controller shown in Fig. 15 uses a control coordinate system, which rotates to align one axis with the direction of motion while the other axis is orthogonal to it. Fig. 16 illustrates this concept both on line and arc segments for a motion from $\left(X_{A}\right.$, $Y_{A}$ ) to $\left(X_{B}, Y_{B}\right)$, where $f$ and $e$ are unit vectors on motion and error directions respectively.

Once the position and velocity information on $x-y$ directions are projected to $f-e$ directions, the path controller becomes a conventional cascaded position-velocity controller, which uses proportional gains $K p_{f}, K p_{e}$ for the position loop and $K v_{f}, K v_{e}$ for the velocity loop. Generally, the control action on $f$-direction controls the speed along the path while the one on $e$-direction controls the contour error. After the gains are applied, the output is projected back to $x-y$ directions before being sent to the motion system.


Fig. 16 Vector control approach
On a particular line segment, $f$ and $e$ vectors remain fixed from the start point to the end point and they are calculated by using equation (13).

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
f  \tag{13}\\
e
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{X_{B}-X_{A}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{B}-X_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{B}-Y_{A}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{Y_{B}-Y_{A}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{B}-X_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{B}-Y_{A}\right)^{2}}} \\
\frac{Y_{A}-Y_{B}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{B}-X_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{B}-Y_{A}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{X_{B}-X_{A}}{\sqrt{\left(X_{B}-X_{A}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{B}-Y_{A}\right)^{2}}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Whereas on arc segments, the vectors are re-calculated at every sampling interval to ensure that the $e$-direction is always inline with the arc centre and the $f$-direction always tangent to the arc (Fig. 16). The direction of the $f$ vector also depends on whether the arc segment is followed clockwise or anti-clockwise. Equation (14) shows the calculation of $f$ and $e$ vectors for an arc segment followed clockwise and (15) anti-clockwise.

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
f \\
e
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{Y_{C}-Y}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{X-X_{C}}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}} \\
\frac{X-X_{C}}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{Y-Y_{C}}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}}
\end{array}\right]}  \tag{14}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
f \\
e
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{Y-Y_{C}}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{X_{C}-X}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}} \\
\frac{X-X_{C}}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}} & \frac{Y-Y_{C}}{\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}}
\end{array}\right]} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Position errors $\Delta f$ and $\Delta e$ are calculated by using (16) for line segments and (17) for arc segments. Also the velocity feedbacks on $x-y$ directions are projected to $f-e$ directions by using (18).

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
\Delta f  \tag{16}\\
\Delta e
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f \\
e
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
X_{B}-X \\
Y_{B}-Y
\end{array}\right]
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta f \\
\Delta e
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
\left|\theta_{B}-\arctan \left(\frac{Y-Y_{C}}{X-X_{C}}\right)\right| \\
\left.2 \pi R \frac{360}{R-\sqrt{\left(X-X_{C}\right)^{2}+\left(Y-Y_{C}\right)^{2}}}\right] \\
{\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{f} \\
V_{e}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f \\
e
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{x} \\
V_{y}
\end{array}\right]}
\end{array},\right.} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The following equations show the application of controller gains (19) and projection of the output back to $x-y$ directions (20).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
U_{f} \\
U_{e}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K v_{f} & 0 \\
0 & K v_{e}
\end{array}\right] \times\left(\left(\left[\begin{array}{cc}
K p_{f} & 0 \\
0 & K p_{e}
\end{array}\right] \times\left[\begin{array}{c}
\Delta f \\
\Delta e
\end{array}\right]\right)-\left[\begin{array}{l}
V_{f} \\
V_{e}
\end{array}\right]\right)}  \tag{19}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{l}
U_{x} \\
U_{y}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
f \\
e
\end{array}\right]^{T} \times\left[\begin{array}{l}
U_{f} \\
U_{e}
\end{array}\right]} \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.3 Motion System



Fig. 17 Motion System
The Motion System is composed of vertical and horizontal drive models, which are described in Section 4. The input and output are treated as vectors and they are handled by multiplexer and demultiplexer blocks in Simulink (Fig. 17).

## 6 SIMULATION RESULTS

| parameter |  | horizontal drive value | vertical drive value | unit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| motor back-emf constant | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | 0.0124 | 0.026 | $\mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{rad}$ |
| motor torque constant | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | 0.0124 | 0.026 | $\mathrm{Nm} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| motor inductance | $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 0.0002 | 0.00012 | H |
| motor resistance | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 2.55 | 0.582 | Ohm |
| equivalent inertia | J | $7.34 \mathrm{e}-7$ | $3.5 \mathrm{e}-6$ | $\mathrm{kgm}{ }^{2}$ |
| equivalent damping coeff. | C | $2.25 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $2.46 \mathrm{e}-5$ | $\mathrm{Nms} / \mathrm{rad}$ |
| transmission ratio | $\mathrm{R}_{\mathbf{t}}$ | $7.8 \mathrm{e}-4$ | $4.8 \mathrm{e}-4$ | m |
| carriage mass | $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 0.2 | 0.9 | kg |
| cutting force | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{e}}$ | 5 | 5 | N |
| position gain on $f$-direction | $\mathrm{Kp}_{\mathrm{f}}$ |  | 120 |  |
| position gain on $e$-direction | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |  | 50 |  |
| velocity gain on $f$-direction | $\mathrm{Kv}_{\mathrm{f}}$ |  | 0.15 |  |
| velocity gain on $e$-direction | $\mathrm{Kv}_{\mathrm{e}}$ |  | 100 |  |

Table 1 Simulation Parameters

Simulations have been performed for single left-to right pass along the profile width by using the parameters in Table 1. Fig. 18 shows the initial performance of the simulated system.
(a)


Fig. 18 Initial performance (a) moulding profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) contouring speed vs. horizontal position

The pass took only 0.716 s , which is $28 \%$ quicker than the targeted 1 s . However, the maximum contouring error of 0.076 mm is $50 \%$ greater than the acceptable value. The contouring error data in Fig. 18b can be analyzed in three parts, namely, segment endpoints, line segments and arc segments. The spikes represent the segment end-points where the Reference Generator supplies the next segment to the Path Controller. In terms of end-point accuracy the simulation is successful since all the spikes are below $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$. Also on the line segments, the contouring error values are below the maximum acceptable value. Finally, on the arc segments although the error generally
stays below the limit, problem occurs when the vertical drive is required to change direction while the horizontal keeps moving. This happens when an arc segment passes through $90^{\circ}$ or $270^{\circ}$.


Fig. 19 Split-arc performance (a) moulding profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) contouring speed vs. horizontal position

In order to solve the problem, the arc segments that satisfy the condition in (21) were split into two segments at either $90^{\circ}$ or $270^{\circ}$ and the result in Fig. 19 was obtained.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{B}-\theta_{A}\right|>90^{\circ} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the system stops at the points connecting two consecutive segments, splitting arcs into two results in more points to stop (Fig. 19a). Therefore, the pass with the split arcs took 0.784 s . On the other hand, the problem identified in the first simulation was solved and the maximum contouring error value dropped significantly to 0.049 mm .


Fig. 20 Limited speed performance (a) moulding profile (b) contour error vs. horizontal position (c) contouring speed vs. horizontal position

In the first two simulations the drives were allowed to run as fast as possible and the contouring speed reached to a value of $510 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$. However, the mean contouring speed values remained at $161 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ in the first and $152 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ in the second case. A
final simulation was performed with a speed limit of $260 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ and the position gain $K p_{f}$ was increased to 170. The result is shown in Fig. 20.

A very smooth contouring performance was achieved without the need for splitting arcs. The error reached a maximum value of 0.046 mm appearing only on segment end-points. Limiting the maximum speed allowed the system to decelerate later and thus increased the mean speed to $173 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$, resulting in a pass time of 0.710 s .

## 7 CONCLUSION

The novel concept of profile independent wood moulding machine (PIMM) has been introduced. A prototype design and its expected performance were presented. The prototype has been modelled and simulated on a test profile in Matlab and Simulink. A path controller using vector approach was explained and the simulation results were studied. It is shown that a target production rate of $150 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{min}$ can be achieved for moderate quality ( $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) 100 mm wide mouldings with a contour error less than 0.05 mm . The prototype is currently being built and further work on system identification and controller refinement is being pursued.
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# Profile Independent Wood Moulding Machine 

Yiğit Taşcloglu, Dr. Mike R. Jackson<br>Holywell Mechatronics Research Centre, Loughborough University, Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK


#### Abstract

This paper introduces the novel concept for a profile independent wood-moulding machine (PIMM). The concept aims to eliminate set-up and tooling costs of conventional wood moulders in short production runs. A PC controlled, proof-of-concept test rig of the PIMM was built in Loughborough University. The PIMM test rig is explained and its performance in terms of production rate and product quality is presented. The viability of the concept is verified and possible design improvements and modifications are discussed.


Keywords: wood moulding, machining simulation, contouring control

## Introduction

Conventionally, wood mouldings are produced with planar/moulder machines. The fundamental principle of the conventional moulders is the separation of material from the advancing workpiece by profile-specific knives which are clamped on a rotating cutterhead as shown in Figure 1. Since the width of the knives is equal to the width of the workpiece the desired profile is achieved with a single feed. This process is characterized by high cutting tool tip velocities which are typically within the range $30-125 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ with correspondingly high workpiece feed speeds ranging from 5 to $120 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{min}$ [1].


Figure 1. Conventional moulding

For every moulding profile, cutterheads contain suitably shaped cutters. High production capability of the conventional concept compensates the costs of manufacturing, mounting and
maintenance of the profile-specific cutters as well as the downtime for machine set-up. Where just short production runs of a particular type of moulding are required or even a few meters of a one-off special is needed, the tooling and machine set-up costs of the conventional moulding machines cannot be compensated [2,3]. It is intended to design a new wood moulder for short production runs in order to minimize the tooling and machine set-up costs.

A proof-of-concept test rig of the PIMM has been constructed. This paper introduces the novel concept, explains the test rig and discusses the results of mathematical machining simulations and initial experiments.

## PIMM Concept

The essential part of the proposed concept is a very thin (i.e. 1 mm ) cutting disc, which is mounted on a two-axis positioning system (Figure 2). The moulding is produced by oscillating the rotating cutting disc along the width of the timber while following the desired profile geometry which is supplied directly from a CAD drawing. The timber is fed in between two consecutive passes along the width.


Figure 2. PIMM concept

Being able to produce any moulding profile directly from CAD drawing by using the same cutting tool results in full manufacturing flexibility, and enables the production of wood mouldings with minimum tooling cost and virtually zero machine set-up time. The PIMM concept transforms the moulding process from being hardware dependent to software oriented. Previous work on the concept can be found in [2-4].

## PIMM Test Rig

The overview of the designed test rig is shown in Figure 3. Desired moulding profile is supplied to the system directly from CAD drawings in commonly used DXF format. This profile information is processed in the PC and consequently the cutter path and timber feed is controlled via the interface hardware.


Figure 3. PIMM test rig

The machine consists of four drives. The horizontal ( x -axis) and vertical ( y -axis) drives move the cutter simultaneously and produce the desired geometry on the stationary workpiece. Timber feed drive (z-axis) feeds the workpiece in between two consecutive passes of the cutter along the width of the timber. The cutter drive ( $\theta$-axis) rotates the cutter.

Since the speed of the process and also the geometric accuracy of the finished product rely mostly on the performance of the X and Y axis drives, the research up to now mainly concentrated on design and control of these drives. For the other two drives, temporary solutions were utilized. The current timber feed (z-axis) mechanism is a stepper motorleadscrew driven table with a top speed of $10 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ and travel length of 170 mm . The cutter ( $\theta-$ axis) is driven by a remote AC-motor, with a top speed of 22000 prm , via a flexible shaft and collet. As the cutting disc, a 50 mm diameter 4 -tooth slotting cutter with 1.6 mm kerf has been used.

In order to produce a single moulding, the PIMM must perform hundreds of passes. First of all, on every single pass the cutter must rigidly follow the desired geometry. Although this procedure is managed by the software mechanism and the controller, it also needs accurate drive hardware on X and Y axes. According to [1], tolerances for the geometric accuracy of the machined timber sections are between $\pm(0.5-0.1) \mathrm{mm}$ depending of the end-usage of the product. The geometric accuracy within a single pass only affects the profile quality on the X Y plane. On the other hand, relative position differences among the individual passes result in undesirable geometry on the X-Z and Y-Z planes. Hence it is critical to ensure that all the passes are identical and for that, the X and Y axis drives must be free from backlash and possess high repeatability. Figure 4 shows the solid model of the X and Y axis drives. Both drives move along precision linear guideways and utilize DC servomotors directly coupled to anti-backlash leadscrews. They can attain a maximum speed of $400 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{s}$ and deliver a maximum continuous force of 200 N . Feedback from both drives is obtained from incremental encoders, which are attached to the rotor shafts of the motors. The drives are controlled via Sensoray 626 PCI interface card, which provides 14bit D/As for DC motor power amplifiers and 24bit quadrature counters for the encoders.


Figure 4. $X$ and $Y$ axis drives

The PIMM test rig is 350 mm high, 250 mm wide and 350 mm long, therefore, it can be considered as a 'miniature' moulding machine compared to the conventional machines. The maximum distances that the cutter travels in horizontal and vertical axes are 120 mm and 30 mm respectively. The rig allows machining of profiles having width of maximum 100 mm .

The maximum cutting depth is limited only by the cutter radius and can be up to 30 mm . This is representative of the majority of the wood profiles market.

## Target Quality and Production Rate

Product quality is determined from two parameters, the geometric accuracy and the surface waviness. The former one, as mentioned earlier, is between $\pm$ ( $0.5-0.1$ ) mm depending of the end-usage of the product. The measure of the geometric accuracy is the contour error, being the shortest distance from any point on the actual contour to the desired contour. The target value for the contour error was set to be $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$.

Surface waviness is characterized by a regular series of waves that are perpendicular to the workpiece feed direction. These waves are caused by the nature of the rotary machining process. A good quality surface is classified by a wave pitch of typically $<1.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, and a lower quality surface by a wave pitch of typically $>2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The relationship between the surface waves and the machining parameters are well established for the conventional planning/moulding processes and more information can be found in [1,5]. Whereas in PIMM, since the workpiece is stationary during cutting and fed in between two consecutive passes, the waviness pitch $p$ is equal to the length of timber fed after each pass. The graph of production rate versus pass time for different waviness pitches is shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Production rate vs. pass time

A sensible target production rate for the initial performance of the PIMM rig was set as $150 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{min}$. It can be seen from Figure 5 that in order to produce a moderate quality (i.e. $p=2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) moulding, each pass should be completed in 1 s .

## Simulations

Prior to the experiments, the performance of the test rig was evaluated by software simulations in Matlab and Simulink. The simulated system is comprised of three main parts being the Reference Generator, Path Controller and the Motion System.

The Reference Generator reads the moulding profile from a DXF file, identifies the face to be machined and divides the contour on this face to smaller entities suited for the Path Controller. Figure 6 illustrates the operation principle of the Reference Generator.


Figure 6. Reference Generator principle

The Path Controller is a custom designed, de-coupled contouring controller. It provides separate control of the contour error and path velocity. The details of the path controller are beyond the scope of this paper but can be found in [4].

Finally, the Motion System contains mathematical models of the $X$ and $Y$ axis drive hardware. For the experiments, the Motion System is replaced by the actual test rig via the Real Time Windows Target protocol in Simulink, while the other two parts of the simulation system are still used. Consequently, the simulations not only enable controller tuning but also identify the variations between the mathematical model and the actual system for future modifications.

A 70 mm wide test profile (Figure 7) with a maximum cutting depth of 15 mm was generated for the simulations. This profile enabled inspection of the machine performance on different geometries such as horizontal lines, sloped lines and arcs.


Figure 7. Test profile

Figure 8 shows the result of the simulation. The pass was completed in 1 s , which was the target time. A very smooth contouring performance was achieved with a mean contour error of 0.01 mm . The error spikes at the intersections of two segments are expected due to the reference generator supplying the next segment to the path controller. The maximum error was recorded to be 0.09 mm which is slightly better than the target value of 0.1 mm .


Figure 8. Simulation Result

## Experiments

Two sets of experiments were carried out using the same controller parameters as in the simulation. In the first set, air cutting was performed. The result in Figure 9 shows that the pass was completed 1.21 s which is slower than the simulation and the target value. The mean and maximum values for the contour error were 0.015 mm and 0.13 mm respectively. The maximum error occurred at the first corner due to overshooting horizontal drive.


Figure 9. Experiment result (Air Cutting)

The second set of experiments was performed with a meranti specimen. The pass was completed in 1.17 s (Figure 10). The resistance of the workpiece prevented overshooting at the corners and resulted in maximum contour error of 0.09 mm . The mean value of error was recorded as 0.02 mm .



Figure 8. Experiment result (Meranti Specimen)

## Conclusion

The novel concept of profile independent wood moulding machine (PIMM) has been introduced. The designed proof-of-concept test rig was explained and its expected initial performance was outlined in terms of production rate and product quality.

Software simulations as well as air cutting and wood cutting experiments clearly verified the viability of the PIMM. The result of the wood cutting experiments showed that a production rate of $128 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{min}$ can be achieved with a maximum contour error of 0.09 mm .

Currently, the work is concentrated on path controller refinement in order to achieve a faster and more robust system. The future work will be focused on designing permanent solutions for Z and $\theta$ axis drives.
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