

1

2 **Relationships between observations and parental reports of 3-5 year old children's**
3 **emotional eating using the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire.**

4

5 **Jacqueline Blissett¹ Claire Farrow¹ Emma Haycraft²**

6

7 ¹Department of Psychology, School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University

8 ²School of Sport, Exercise & Health Sciences, Loughborough University

9

10

11 Total word count 3455

12

13 The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

14

15 RUNNING HEAD: EMOTIONAL EATING & CEBQ

16 **Accepted for publication in Appetite.**

Abstract:

Background The Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire [CEBQ] is a widely used, brief, psychometrically sound parent-report measure of children's eating behaviour traits. However, the relationship between parent report of children's emotional over-eating [EOE] and emotional under-eating [EUE] using the CEBQ and children's eating behaviour under conditions of negative emotion has not been examined. **Methods** Sixty-two mothers with children between 34-59 months of age (mean = 46.0 months, SD = 6.8; 33 boys, 29 girls) attended the laboratory and ate a meal to satiety. Children were randomly allocated to a negative mood induction or neutral condition. Children had access to snack foods for 4 minutes and their intake was measured. Mothers completed the CEBQ. All participants were weighed and measured from which BMI (mothers) and BMI SDS (child) scores were calculated. **Results.** Adjusting for covariates, children who were rated as higher in EUE on the CEBQ ate fewer kilocalories from crisps/potato chips and cookies when in a negative mood state, but not when in neutral mood. There were no significant relationships between maternal ratings of EOE on the CEBQ and children's snack food intake in either condition. **Conclusions** This study provides moderate support for the validity of the EUE scale of the CEBQ in 3-5-year-old children. Further work, including induction of different mood states, is required to explore whether the EOE scale truly captures young children's emotional over-eating.

Keywords: children's eating, emotional eating, parent report, observation

Abbreviations: Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ); Emotional Over-eating (EOE); Emotional Under-eating (EUE); Food responsiveness (FR); Satiety Responsiveness (SR); Food fussiness (FF); Enjoyment of food (EF); Standard Deviation (SD).

1. Introduction

The Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire [Wardle, Guthrie, Sanderson & Rappoport, 2001] was developed to provide a brief, parent-report measure of children's eating behaviour traits, encompassing both food approach (e.g. food responsiveness, enjoyment of food, desire to drink, emotional over-eating) and food avoidance (e.g. fussiness, slowness in eating, satiety responsiveness, emotional under-eating) behaviours. The CEBQ measure of these behaviours has been shown to be psychometrically sound in a number of samples [e.g. Domoff, Miller, Kaciroti & Lumeng, 2015; Sleddens, Kremers & Thijs, 2008; Svensson et al., 2011], and its subscales correlate predictably with child BMI [Domoff et al., 2015; Jansen et al., 2012; Sleddens, Kremers & Thijs, 2008; Viana, Sinde & Saxton, 2008; Webber, Hill, Saxton, Van Jaarsveld & Wardle, 2010]. The CEBQ also correlates well with other questionnaire measures of children's eating [Rogers, Ramsay & Blissett, 2018]. The validity of some of the subscales (food responsiveness, enjoyment of food, satiety responsiveness) has also been demonstrated in relation to measures of children's actual food intake, including eating in the absence of hunger, rate of eating and energy intake [Carnell & Wardle, 2007].

Despite the extensive validation of this measure and its wide use, there have not yet been any studies that have examined the relationship between parental report of emotional under and over-eating behaviours using the CEBQ, and observations of emotional eating within the laboratory. There is growing interest in emotional eating as a predictor of children's unhealthy food choices and obesity risk. Large studies have used the CEBQ to examine the development of emotional eating [e.g. Steinsbekk, Barker, Llewellyn, Fildes and Wichstrom, 2017; Herle, Fildes, Steinsbekk, Rijdsdijk & Llewellyn, 2017a; Herle, Fildes, Steinsbekk, Rijdsdijk & Llewellyn, 2017b]. These studies have suggested that parent report of emotional eating tends to be moderately stable across early and middle childhood [Steinsbekk et al., 2017; Herle et al, 2017b], that emotional over-eating (EOE) and

emotional under-eating (EUE) tend to be positively correlated, aetiologically distinct [Herle et al., 2017a], and largely explained by shared environment rather than genetics [Herle et al., 2017a; 2017b]. However, to date, it has not been clear the degree to which the CEBQ subscales of emotional eating are measuring distinct and observable emotional eating behaviour in children. A recent review demonstrated that experimental studies do not show consistent evidence to support the idea that adults who score highly on self-reported emotional eating also eat more in response to negative mood induction [Bongers & Jansen, 2016]. It is suggested that such ratings may actually be a better index of lack of control over eating or over-eating in general, rather than of eating in response to emotional states. Thus, it is important to examine whether similar effects are seen when parents report their children's emotional eating behaviour, and to examine the relationships with other subscales of the CEBQ, in addition to emotional eating.

The aim of the study was to explore the relationship between parental report of child emotional eating behaviour using the CEBQ in 3-5 year old children, and food intake under conditions of negative and neutral mood in a laboratory setting. We hypothesised that parents' ratings of emotional over-eating would be related to greater consumption of snack foods in the absence of hunger when the children experienced negative mood, but not in a neutral mood condition. We hypothesised that parents' ratings of their children's EUE would be related to lower consumption of snack foods in the absence of hunger when the children experienced negative mood, but not in a neutral mood condition. We also examined the relationships between other key factors of the CEBQ which measure appetitive traits and fussy/picky eating (Food Responsiveness [FR], Satiety Responsiveness [SR], Enjoyment of Food [EF], Food Fussiness [FF]) and food intake under conditions of negative and neutral mood, to establish whether the EOE and EUE subscales of the CEBQ demonstrate specific relationships with eating under conditions of

negative mood which are not also seen when examining relationships with appetite and fussy eating.

2. Materials and Methods

To test these hypotheses we conducted a secondary analysis of existing data from a prior study of emotional eating in 3-5 year old children in our laboratory [Blissett, Haycraft & Farrow, 2010].

2.1 Participants

Sixty-three caregiver-child dyads from the East Midlands, UK, were recruited to the study.

One family was excluded because a non-primary caregiver (a grandmother) attended the laboratory session. All other caregivers who attended were primary caregivers (mothers $n=61$; stepmother $n=1$). Therefore the final retained sample consisted of 62 mothers of children between 34-59 months of age (mean = 46.0 months, $SD = 6.8$; 33 boys, 29 girls).

The families were predominantly White British (89%) and mothers were generally well educated (mean 4 years post-16 education; $SD=3$). Loughborough University ethics committee approved the study and it was registered at clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01122290). All procedures were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 1983.

2.2 Procedure

After informed consent, mothers and their children visited the laboratory, and after a period of familiarisation and play, received a standardised lunch to ensure the children were not

118 hungry. After lunch, mothers completed a series of questionnaires whilst their children
119 engaged in either a negative emotion induction or neutral mood task; mothers could see
120 their children from behind a screen but children could not see their mother. Full details of
121 the procedure can be seen elsewhere [Blissett, Haycraft & Farrow, 2010] but, in brief,
122 children were told by the researcher that they would receive a small toy if they completed a
123 jigsaw successfully. In the experimental group ($n=29$), a piece of the jigsaw was missing,
124 so the children were unsuccessful in their task. This resulted in a significant reduction in
125 their mood ratings from baseline on a 5-point 'smiley face' scale (see Table 1; within
126 subjects $t=3.6$, $df=26$, $p=.001$). In the control group ($n=33$) the jigsaw was completed
127 successfully; mood was subsequently significantly different between experimental and
128 control group (see Table 1). At this time, children were left alone with 6 small bowls of
129 snack food; in the experimental group the children were told that the researcher would be
130 looking for the missing jigsaw piece and the control group was told that the researcher
131 would go and tidy up. All children were left for 4 minutes with access to snack foods
132 amounting to approximately 330 kcal (6g salted crisps/potato chips; 2 chocolate chip
133 cookies, 21 chocolate buttons, 9 green grapes, 2 carrot sticks, 3 mini breadsticks). After 4
134 minutes, the researcher returned and removed the snacks. In the experimental group, the
135 researcher found the missing jigsaw piece, the child completed the task and received their
136 toy. Post-task mood ratings showed a return to baseline mood for those who received
137 negative mood induction ($t=-1.1$, $df=27$, $p=.27$). After this, children and their mothers were
138 weighed and measured without shoes and in light indoor clothing.

139

140 2.3 Measures141 *Demographics*

142 Mothers completed a brief questionnaire examining demographic factors including their
143 age, years of education after the age of 16, and ethnicity.

144

145 *Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire (CEBQ)*

146 Mothers completed the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire [Wardle et al., 2001].
147 The CEBQ is a 35-item parent report measure comprising eight subscales (Food
148 Responsiveness; e.g. 'my child is always asking for food', Emotional Over-Eating; e.g. 'my
149 child eats more when anxious', Enjoyment of Food; e.g. 'my child loves food', Desire to Drink;
150 e.g. 'my child is always asking for a drink', Satiety Responsiveness; e.g. 'my child leaves
151 food on her plate at the end of a meal', Slowness in Eating; e.g. 'my child eats slowly',
152 Emotional Under-Eating; e.g. 'my child eats less when angry', and Food Fussiness; e.g. 'my
153 child is difficult to please with meals'). Parents report children's eating behaviour on a 5-
154 point Likert scale from never to always. Higher scores indicate greater frequency of eating
155 behaviour in each subscale. In this study, we report data on 6 subscales: Emotional Over-
156 and Under-Eating, Food Responsiveness, Enjoyment of Food, Satiety Responsiveness, and
157 Food Fussiness.

158

159 *Body Mass Index (BMI) scores*

Children's weight and height scores were converted to BMI standard deviation scores (BMI SDS) adjusted for child gender and age [CGF, 1996]. Mothers' BMI was calculated (kg/m^2).

Snack food Consumption

Consumption was measured by weighing bowls before and after serving; manufacturer's nutritional information was used to calculate total kilocalories (kcal) consumed.

2.4 Data analysis

Identification of covariates

Previous research has suggested that child gender, BMI and age, as well as maternal education, age and BMI (e.g. Berkowitz et al., 2010; Blissett & Farrow, 2007; Lumeng & Burke, 2006; Miller et al., 2019) may be correlated with feeding and eating outcomes, in particular food intake in the laboratory setting, and thus we examined the need to control for such effects within the data. Preliminary analysis demonstrated that boys were rated by their mothers as significantly lower in food responsiveness and emotional eating than girls (Supplementary Table A). Maternal BMI was not related to any of the questionnaire or food intake variables. Child age, maternal age, maternal education and child BMI SDS were related to some intake and questionnaire measures (Supplementary Tables B & C) and child BMI SDS also differed between groups (Table 1). We did not find significant correlations between food intake variables, except that in both groups, kilocalories from cookies and chocolate were significantly, positively correlated with total energy consumed. Therefore, child gender, child BMI SDS, child age, maternal age, and maternal years of education were entered as covariates in the subsequent analysis.

Hypothesis testing

One tailed Partial correlation coefficients adjusted for covariates were calculated to examine the relationships between parental reports of CEBQ subscales and food intake under conditions of neutral and negative mood, with alpha set at $p \leq .05$. N size of each analysis varied according to missing data (see Tables 2 and 3). In order to evaluate the extent to which the correlation coefficients were statistically different for the experimental and control group, we then compared the difference in magnitude of each correlation coefficient between the groups using Fisher r-to-z transformations which account for differences in n size.

3. Results

3.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 demonstrates that the experimental and control group did not differ on any variables except that children in the control group had significantly lower BMI SDS score and EOE score, and consumed fewer kilocalories from breadsticks, than children in the experimental group. There was also a trend for children in the control group to be slightly younger than those in the experimental group.

201 Table 1: Descriptive statistics and parental report of emotional eating, other CEBQ subscales, and observed emotional eating at 3-5 years (N=62).

	Experimental group (n=29)				Control group (n=33)				t, p
	Min	Max	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Mean	SD	
Child age in months	35.0	59.0	47.8	7.4	34.0	56.0	44.5	5.9	1.96, .06
Mothers' age in years	21.0	43.0	34.2	5.5	26.0	43.0	33.8	5.5	.26, .79
Mother's years of education post 16	.0	8.0	4.0	2.7	.0	14.00	4.6	3.3	-.79, .43
Child BMI SDS	-1.33	1.81	.34	.75	-2.15	2.92	-.13	1.01	2.05, .04
Mother's BMI	19.3	34.1	24.0	3.6	17.8	40.5	25.1	5.9	-.89, .37
Food Responsiveness	1.4	4.6	2.4	.8	1.0	5.00	2.2	.7	.90, .37
Emotional Over-eating	1.0	3.0	1.7	.6	1.0	2.3	1.4	.4	2.24, .03
Enjoyment of Food	1.0	5.0	3.8	.8	2.0	5.0	3.7	.8	.63, .53
Satiety Responsiveness	2.2	4.2	3.0	.5	1.4	4.8	3.1	.8	-.18, .86
Emotional Under-eating	1.5	5.0	3.2	.8	1.3	5.0	2.8	0.9	1.41, .17
Food Fussiness	1.0	5.0	3.1	.9	1.0	4.3	2.7	.9	1.45, .15
Baseline Mood	1.0	5.0	4.4	1.0	1.0	5.0	4.3	1.0	.13, .90
Mood after mood induction/control activity	1.0	5.0	3.1	1.5	2.0	5.0	4.0	.9	-2.6, .01

EMOTIONAL EATING & CEBQ

Kilocalories consumed from breadsticks	.0	34.3	6.0	9.2	.0	12.0	2.0	3.9	2.28, .03
Kilocalories consumed from carrot	.0	3.1	.3	.7	.0	1.9	.2	.6	.25, .80
Kilocalories consumed from grapes	.0	27.0	3.4	6.1	.0	41.1	4.4	8.9	-.47, .64
Kilocalories consumed from crisps/potato chips	.0	33.9	6.0	9.9	.0	31.0	3.7	7.7	.97, .34
Kilocalories consumed from cookies	.0	57.2	8.7	19.2	.0	128.0	14.8	30.8	-.90, .37
Kilocalories consumed from chocolate	.0	109.9	26.5	31.2	.0	121.6	25.9	33.6	.07, .95
Total Kilocalories consumed	.0	144.5	51.1	42.2	-.16	152.6	51.0	47.0	.01, .99

202

203

3.2 Relationships between CEBQ subscales and snack food intake.

Table 2: One tailed partial correlations of CEBQ subscales with kilocalories consumed under conditions of negative mood, adjusted for child gender, child BMI SDS, child age, maternal age, and maternal years of education.

Kilocalories consumed	EOE n=27	EUE n=27	FR n=29	EF n=27	SR n=29	FF n=29
Breadsticks	-.05	.06	.42*	.34	.01	.03
Carrot	-.06	-.10	.07	-.10	-.26	.17
Grapes	.11	.11	.21	.07	-.19	-.04
Crisps/potato chips	-.35	-.57**	.09	.20	-.06	-.18
Cookies	-.06	-.36*	.08	-.04	.18	.16
Chocolate	-.02	-.01	.17	.03	.01	-.01
Total Kcal	-.07	-.24	.31	.13	.05	.03

* $p \leq .05$ ** $p \leq .01$ NB. EOE: Emotional Over-eating. EUE: Emotional Under-eating. FR: Food Responsiveness. EF: Enjoyment of Food. SR: Satiety Responsiveness. FF: Food Fussiness. Variation in n size for each analysis due to missing questionnaire data.

Table 2 demonstrates that, contrary to our hypothesis, parents' ratings of EOE were not significantly related to greater consumption of snack foods when the children experienced negative mood. Indeed, whilst not quite reaching significance, EOE was actually related to lower intake of crisps/potato chips in this condition. However, parents' ratings of their children's EUE were significantly correlated with lower consumption of both crisps/potato

chips and cookies when the children experienced negative mood. Children higher in food responsiveness consumed more kilocalories from breadsticks. However, EF, SR and FF were not correlated with any index of food intake in this condition.

Table 3: Partial correlations of CEBQ subscales with kilocalories consumed under conditions of neutral mood, adjusted for child gender, child BMI SDS, child age, maternal age, and maternal years of education.

Kilocalories consumed	EOE n=21	EUE n=23	FR n=29	EF n=30	SR n=30	FF n=30
Breadsticks	.06	.31	.10	-.05	.23	.39*
Carrot	-.19	.17	-.05	-.13	.37*	.01
Grapes	-.12	.29	-.05	.21	-.05	.11
Crisps/potato chips	-.36	-.01	-.02	-.02	.05	-.20
Cookies	.12	-.32	.02	.13	-.18	.04
Chocolate	.17	.22	-.02	.36*	-.20	.05
Total Kcal	.10	.06	.00	.34*	-.23	-.08

* $p < .05$ NB. EOE: Emotional Over-eating. EUE: Emotional Under-eating. FR: Food Responsiveness. EF: Enjoyment of Food. SR: Satiety Responsiveness. FF: Food Fussiness. Variation in n size for each analysis due to missing questionnaire data.

The results presented in Table 3 demonstrate that parents' ratings of their children's emotional eating were not significantly related to children's consumption of snack foods in the absence of hunger in a neutral mood condition. Once again, whilst not reaching significance, EOE showed a trend of association with lower crisp intake. FR was not

correlated with food intake in this condition. Children higher in EF consumed more kilocalories from chocolate and kilocalories overall in the neutral mood condition. Children rated to be more satiety responsive ate more carrot, but did not consume more or less of any other snack food. Children rated high in FF consumed more kilocalories from breadsticks.

Comparison of the difference in magnitude of each correlation coefficient between the experimental and control groups is shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Fisher's r to z transformations to indicate magnitude of difference between correlation coefficients.

	EOE	EUE	FR	EF	SR	FF
Breadsticks	-.35	-.86	1.25	1.44	-.82	-1.39
Carrot	.42	-.90	.07	.11	-2.38**	.59
Grapes	.74	-.62	.95	-.51	-.52	-.55
Crisps/potato chips	.04	-2.11*	.40	.79	-.4	.08
Cookies	-.58	-.15	.22	-.61	1.32	.44
Chocolate	-.61	-.77	.69	-1.24	.77	-.22
Total Kcal	-.55	-1.01	1.16	.80	1.03	.40

*p<.05, **p<.01

Z score analyses demonstrate that there were few significant differences between the groups in magnitude of correlations. There was a significant difference in magnitude of correlation between emotional under-eating scores and crisps/chip intake, and between satiety responsiveness and carrot intake.

250

251 Finally we examined the interrelationships between CEBQ subscales in our sample to aid
252 interpretation of our findings. Supplementary Table D demonstrates that EOE and EUE
253 were significantly and positively correlated. EOE did not show any other significant
254 relationships with other parent reports of children's eating behaviour. EUE was significantly
255 positively correlated with SR and FF.

256

257 **4. Discussion**

258 This is the first study to examine whether the widely used EOE and EUE subscales of the
259 CEBQ reflect preschool children's ingestive behaviour within a laboratory setting under
260 conditions of negative or neutral mood. We did not find support for the hypothesis that
261 mothers' ratings of EOE would be related to greater consumption of snack foods in the
262 absence of hunger when the children experienced negative mood. EOE ratings did not
263 reflect significantly greater intake of any snack food in either condition. We did find some
264 support for the hypothesis that mothers' ratings of their children's EUE would be related to
265 lower consumption of snack foods in the absence of hunger when the children experienced
266 negative mood, but not in a neutral mood condition. Children rated as higher in EUE ate
267 fewer kilocalories from crisps/potato chips and cookies, i.e. high calorie and palatable
268 snack foods, when in a negative mood state but there were no significant relationships with
269 intake when in neutral mood. One explanation for the fact that the relationships between
270 EUE ratings and intake under negative mood conditions appeared to be specific to
271 crisp/chip and cookie intake only is possibly that the palatability and relative consumption
272 of the snack foods varied considerably. There were very few calories consumed from
273 breadsticks, carrots or grapes, and this may have limited the chance of finding any
274 significant relationships with EUE due to floor effects in the data. In contrast, most children,
275 in both conditions, consumed chocolate and for many children this was the most
276 substantial contributor to their caloric intake. It is possible that the palatability of chocolate

over-rode effects of EUE tendencies on intake, creating ceiling effects. Crisps and cookies however were frequently consumed, but not on the scale of chocolate, and are therefore perhaps more sensitive to variations in intake based on mood. In particular, the magnitude of difference of the correlation coefficients was significantly different between groups for the relationship between EUE and crisps/chip intake, but not cookie intake, suggesting that crisp/chip intake might be particularly sensitive to variations in intake based on mood.

There was also some support for the hypothesis that EUE measures emotional eating rather than just smaller appetite per se, given that there was no significant relationship between ratings of EUE and intake when children were in the neutral mood condition.

Similarly, enjoyment of food, satiety responsiveness and food fussiness were unrelated to intake in the negative mood condition, and food responsiveness only showed correlation with intake of kilocalories from breadsticks in this condition. This suggests that whilst EUE ratings overlap with other indices of reduced food intake, what is being measured by the EUE subscale is qualitatively distinct from satiety responsiveness and food fussiness.

This study did not find support for the validity of the EOE measure in relation to the intake of 3-5 year olds undergoing this particular negative mood induction in a laboratory setting.

This mirrors the finding from the adult literature that emotional eating questionnaires are not always a good index of increased consumption in negative mood states [Bongers & Jansen, 2016], and whilst this study was an exploratory analysis of existing data, it is the first to suggest that the lack of correspondence between questionnaire and intake measures of emotional eating may also be the case in children. In our study, this may be related to the specific mood states that are listed within EOE items of the CEBQ, relative to the mood state induced in this study. Whilst we were able to demonstrate 'more negative

mood' in children in the experimental group, we are unable to classify the specific type of mood state induced by the procedure, given that the age group of the participants precludes in-depth descriptions of specific emotions. However, we can imagine that this mood state might be one of frustration, anger, disappointment, or being generally 'upset'. Items of the CEBQ which indicate EUE ask about children's behaviour when angry, tired, happy or upset. This may mean that the mood induction chosen maps relatively well onto maternal reports of eating behaviour within these mood states. In contrast, CEBQ items relating to EOE ask about children's eating behaviour when worried, annoyed, anxious or 'nothing else to do' (boredom). With the exception of the CEBQ item asking about eating behaviour when 'annoyed', it is plausible that the negative mood state induced by our procedure did not sufficiently reflect the other mood states listed in these items. Further studies examining the relationships between other, specific induced mood states, such as boredom, and parent reports of emotional eating, are required.

Another important finding from this study was that parent ratings of EOE correlated positively with EUE; a finding which has been demonstrated in other, larger scale studies [Herle et al., 2017a]. One interpretation is that what is being measured here is perhaps linked to a child's emotionality rather than necessarily indicating a tendency to over-eat or under-eat in response to emotion. It is also possible that this correlation is explained by the fact that within an individual, different mood states might elicit different eating behaviours (for example, eating more whilst bored, but less when upset). Nonetheless, EOE was not

significantly correlated with other CEBQ subscales in this study, suggesting that it is not simply an index of maternal perception of the child's appetitive traits. Further work is needed to examine the degree to which parental perception of child emotionality and concerns about child eating behaviour or weight might interact to predict higher rates of reported child EOE.

A further factor which may explain why we did not see relationships between maternal report of EOE and eating behaviour in the laboratory may be that the sample were very young. A number of studies have demonstrated that emotional over-eating increases with age; parental reports of children's EOE increase and reports of EUE decrease between 4 and 10 years of age [Ashcroft, Semmler, Carnell, van Jaarsveld, & Wardle, 2007]. In contrast, Van Strien and Oosterveld [2008] proposed that for most young children a reduction in appetite associated with loss of gut activity occurs under acute stress. Indeed, mean scores demonstrate that whilst EUE was rated as happening 'sometimes' on average, EOE was rated as happening 'rarely'. Therefore, laboratory studies with older children may be necessary to identify robust effects of negative mood induction on over- rather than under-eating, which may show better relationships with parental reports.

Strengths of this study include the objective observation of children's eating behaviour, measurement of the consumption of a range of snack foods, and the use of a controlled, experimental paradigm to explore a negative and a neutral mood state. The primary

343 limitation of this study is that it was not designed to test the validity of the measure, and we
344 are therefore underpowered to detect small effects. Post hoc power calculations show that
345 power to detect a correlation at $r=.3$ is 0.35 (control group) and 0.39 (experimental group).
346 We were adequately powered to find large effects ($r=.5$ and above) only. Furthermore, n
347 size for each analysis varied dependent on missing questionnaire data, so in some cases
348 were further underpowered to detect relationships; in particular, this may have been
349 important in examining relationships between EOE and food intake in the control group.
350 Indeed, there are a number of relationships within the analysis that narrowly missed
351 statistical significance and there were only two significant differences between groups in
352 the magnitude of correlation coefficients; nevertheless, the basic pattern of relationships is
353 such that we have confidence in the conclusions made here. However, of particular note
354 was that EOE showed a trend towards a significant negative correlation with crisp/chip
355 intake in both groups, perhaps suggesting that the measure of EOE might not be
356 measuring emotional over-eating per se, but possibly a tendency towards emotional eating
357 which might also include under-eating. Given the significant relationships between EOE
358 and EUE in the sample, this possibility deserves further exploration in subsequent work.
359 In addition, the experimental group had higher EOE scores than the control group (mean
360 1.7 vs. mean 1.4) and a larger range (1-3 vs. 1-2.3), which may have marginally affected
361 ability to detect relationships between EOE and intake in each group. Further research
362 should consider matching groups on such characteristics. A further limitation is that whilst
363 we tried to reduce demand characteristics, such as children's expectation that they should

eat some of the snack food, and make the session as naturalistic as possible, laboratory studies of eating and emotion are of course different from those situations experienced outside of the laboratory. Parent report may better reflect children's tendencies towards emotional eating generally, rather than in response to the specific mood state induced and limited food choices presented in the laboratory setting.

In conclusion, this study shows some moderate support for the validity of the EUE scale of the CEBQ in 3-5-year-old children. Further work, including induction of different mood states, is required to explore whether the EOE scale captures young children's emotional over-eating, or whether it is better understood as an index of parental perceptions and concerns about their children's emotionality or other child eating behaviours.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank all of the mothers and children who took part in this study for their time and contributions to this research project. We thank Laura Houldcroft, Faye Powell, Hannah White, Radhika Kotak, Laura Richards, Adele Phillips, Priti Modhwadia, and Jodie Hallett for their assistance with data collection and coding.

Correspondence to: all correspondence should be addressed to Professor Jacqueline Blissett, Department of Psychology, School of Life and Health Sciences, Aston University, Aston Triangle, Birmingham. B4 7ET. UK. J.blissett1@aston.ac.uk Tel: 0121 204 3784.

386

387 *Author Contributions:* JB, CF, & EH conceived and designed the study, contributed to the
388 analysis and interpretation of the data and the preparation of the manuscript. All authors
389 have read and approved the final version for publication.

390

391 *Conflicts of Interest:* The authors declare no conflict of interest.

392

Key points:

- Investigation of early origins of children's emotional eating is important for understanding the development of eating disorders and obesity.
- Many studies utilise the parent-report of emotional over-eating [EOE] and emotional under-eating [EUE] subscales of the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire [CEBQ].
- The relationship between EOE and EUE using the CEBQ and children's eating behaviour under conditions of negative emotion has not been examined.
- After negative mood induction, children who were rated as higher in EUE ate fewer kilocalories from crisps/potato chips and cookies, supporting the validity of the EUE scale of the CEBQ.
- There were no significant relationships between EOE and children's snack food intake; caution is required when inferring that EOE reflects young children's emotional over-eating behaviour.

393

References

- Ashcroft, J., Semmler, C., Carnell, S., van Jaarsveld, CH., & Wardle, J. (2007). Continuity and Stability of eating behaviour traits in children. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 62, 985-90.
- Berkowitz, RI., Moore, RH., Faith, MS., Stallings, VA., Kral, TVE., Stunkard, AJ. (2010). Identification of an obese eating style in 4-year-old children born at high and low risk for obesity. *Obesity*, 18, 505-512.
- Blissett, J., & Farrow, C.,(2007). Predictors of maternal control of feeding at 1 and 2 years. *International Journal of Obesity*, 31, 1520-1526.
- Blissett, J., Haycraft, E., & Farrow, C. (2010). Inducing preschool children's emotional eating: relations with parental feeding practices. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 92, 359-65.
- Bongers, P., & Jansen, A. (2016) Emotional eating is not what you think it is and emotional eating scales do not measure what you think they measure. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 7, 1932.
- Carnell, S., & Wardle, J. (2007). Measuring behavioural susceptibility to obesity: validation of the child eating behaviour questionnaire. *Appetite*, 48, 104-13.
- Child Growth Foundation (1996). *Cross sectional stature and weight reference curves for the UK*. London, United Kingdom. Child Growth Foundation
- Domoff, SE., Miller, AL., Kaciroti, N., & Lumeng, J. (2015). Validation of the Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire in a Low-Income Preschool-Aged Sample in the United States. *Appetite*, 95, 415-420.
- Herle, M., Fildes, A., Steinsbekk, S., Rijdsdijk, F., & Llewellyn, C. (2017a). Emotional over- and under-eating in early childhood are learned not inherited. *Scientific Reports*, 7, 9092.

- 418 Herle, M., Fildes, A., Steinsbekk, S., Rijdsdijk, F., & Llewellyn, C. (2017b). The Home
419 Environment Shapes Emotional Eating. *Child Development*, 89, 1423-1434.
- 420 Jansen, PW., Roza, SJ., Jaddoe, VV., Mackenbach, JD., Raat, H., Hofman, A., Verhulst,
421 F., & Tiemeier, H. (2012). Children's eating behavior, feeding practices of parents and
422 weight problems in early childhood: results from the population based Generation R study.
423 *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 9, 130.
- 424 Lumeng, JC., & Burke, LM. (2006). Maternal prompts to eat, child compliance and mother
425 and child weight status. *Journal of Pediatrics*, 149, 330-335.
- 426 Miller, AL., Riley, H., Domoff, SE., Gearhardt, AN., Sturza, J., Kaciroti, N. & Lumeng, JC.
427 (2019). Weight status moderates stress-eating in the absence of hunger associations in
428 children. *Appetite*, 136, 184-192.
- 429 Rogers, S., Ramsay, M., & Blissett, J. (2018). The Montreal Children's Hospital Feeding
430 Scale: Relationships with parental report of child eating behaviours and observed feeding
431 interactions. *Appetite*, 125, 201-209.
- 432 Sleddens, EFC., Kremers, SPJ., & Thijs, C. (2008). The Children's Eating Behaviour
433 Questionnaire: factorial validity and association with Body Mass Index in Dutch children
434 aged 6-7. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, 5, 49.
- 435 Steinsbekk, S., Barker, ED., Llewellyn, C., Fildes, A. & Wichstrom, L. (2017). Emotional
436 Feeding and Emotional Eating: Reciprocal Processes and the Influence of Negative
437 Affectivity. *Child Development*, 89, 1234-1246.
- 438 Svensson, V., Lundborg, L., Coa, Y., Nowicka, P., Marcus, C., & Sobko, T. (2011). Obesity
439 related eating behaviour patterns in Swedish preschool children and association with age,
440 gender, relative weight and parental weight - factorial validation of the Children's Eating
441 Behaviour Questionnaire. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*,
442 8, 134.

- 443 van Strien, T, & Oosterveld, P. (2008). The children's DEBQ for assessment of restrained,
444 emotional, and external eating in 7- to 12-year-old children. *International Journal of Eating*
445 *Disorders*, 41, 72–81.
- 446 Viana V., Sinde S, Saxton JC. (2008). Children's Eating Behaviour Questionnaire:
447 associations with BMI in Portuguese children. *British Journal of Nutrition*, 100, 445-50.
- 448 Wardle, J., Guthrie, CA., Sanderson, S., & Rappoport, L. (2001). Development of the
449 children's eating behaviour questionnaire. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry and*
450 *Allied Disciplines*, 42, 963-970.
- 451 Webber, L., Hill, C., Saxton,J., Van Jaarsveld, CHM., & Wardle, J. (2010). Eating
452 Behaviour and Weight in Children. *International Journal of Obesity*, 33, 21-28.