
This item was submitted to [Loughborough's Research Repository](#) by the author.
Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Evaluation of uncertainty sources and propagation from irradiance sensors to PV yield

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

LICENCE

CC BY-NC 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Mariottini, Francesco, Jiang Zhu, Tom Betts, and Ralph Gottschalg. 2018. "Evaluation of Uncertainty Sources and Propagation from Irradiance Sensors to PV Yield". figshare. <https://doi.org/10.17028/rd.lboro.6383366.v1>.

EVALUATION OF UNCERTAINTY SOURCES AND PROPAGATION FROM IRRADIANCE SENSORS TO PV YIELD

Francesco Mariottini*, Jiang Zhu, Thomas R. Betts, Ralph Gottschalg

Centre for Renewable Energy Systems Technology (CREST), Wolfson School of Mechanical, Electrical and Manufacturing Engineering, Loughborough University, Leicestershire, LE11 3TU, United Kingdom, *Corresponding author: f.mariottini@lboro.ac.uk, +44(0) 01509 635353

AIM

- 1) Reducing uncertainty of (global) irradiance measurements through pyranometers.
- 2) Assessing impact of reduced expanded uncertainty on the evaluation of PV performance in a solar farm

WHY

Energy production uncertainty affects financial costs and bankability

Better understanding and evaluation of systematic and random effects needed.

Incoherencies on uncertainty: *from 4.7% to 25.3% for solar data but from 3% to 12% on measurements & modelling*

HOW

- 1) Data quality management
- 2) almost clear-sky days sampling for uncertainty assessment.
- 3) Use of calibration data for point-based directional response and temperature dependency of uncertainty.

SUBJECTS

CREST: calibrated ventilated Kipp & Zonen CMP21 pyranometers with Pt-100 temperature sensor


COM: fielded pyranometer, CMP21 assumed (datasheet specifications)

HOW (1): data quality

Including (and not limited to):

- Physically possible and extreme rare limits (BSRN checks).
- Exclusion of days with disconnections checked against sunrise and sunset paths

Analysis of sensor daily first connections and last disconnections based on a 2x Standard Deviation limits (from 2015-06-03 to 2016-04-11)



Example of identification of "suspect" disconnections against sunrise and sunset paths for a CREST pyranometer.

HOW (2): almost-clear sky days


Relevance of clear sky conditions for energy production and thermal offset in irradiance measurements (ISO 9060) but rare in UK.



Almost clear sky days, closest to:

- Diffuse fraction of 0.2
- Pearson coefficient r (against Perez's clear sky model) of 0.95
- Irradiance deviation of 5%

n1 2015-06-04 diffuse 0.261 Pearson Mn 99.13 SODA 99.13 mean dev Mn 52.87 SODA 37.39 daily dev Mn 5.73 SODA 1.47





Identification of an almost-clear sky day. Monitored data against calculation through Perez's model based on Linke Turbidity from Meteornorm and EU project SODA.

RESULTS

	Input data	Time resolution [s]	Percentage deviation [%]	Average expanded uncertainty [W/m²]
COM	datasheet-based	60	± 2.87	13.83
		3600	± 3.07	13.83
CREST	calibration-based	60	± 2.01	7.93
		3600	± 2.18	7.94
CREST	datasheet-based	60	± 3.51	13.84
		3600	± 3.79	13.84



Calculation of uncertainty for the 20 selected almost-clear sky days identified between the 3/6/2015 and 3/1/2016.




Effects of uncertainty on estimation of yearly performance (10/8/15-10/8/16) of a PV solar farm (7389 kWp) based on found deviations.

HOW (3): directional response and temperature dependency

Interpolated values (based on calibration certification) filled into the formulation of uncertainty from JCGM 100:2008.




Relative importance (datasheet-based formulation) [%]



Relative importance (calibration-based formulation) [%]

- Datalogger
- Calibration uncertainty
- Non-stability
- Non-linearity
- Temperature response
- Maintenance
- zero off-set a
- zero off-set b
- Directional response



Calibration-based formulation reduces relative importance of directional and temperature uncertainties

Relative importance:

$$\frac{u(i) \cdot c_i}{\sum_i \left(\frac{u(i) \cdot c_i}{u} \right)}$$

u : corrected standard uncertainty
 c : sensitivity coefficient

MAIN CONCLUSIONS

By applying a few calibration-based information, irradiance uncertainty is reduced by about 40%.



FUTURE STEPS

Future independent calibrations will better estimate uncertainty dependency on environmental parameters in irradiance measurements.



Loughborough University



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 721452.



CREST