

This item was submitted to Loughborough's Research Repository by the author. Items in Figshare are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

Book review: Joachim Wündisch, Towards a Right-Libertarian Welfare State

PLEASE CITE THE PUBLISHED VERSION

https://doi.org/10.1177/1478929916630914z

PUBLISHER

SAGE Publications

VERSION

AM (Accepted Manuscript)

PUBLISHER STATEMENT

This paper was accepted for publication in the journal Political Studies Review and the definitive published version is available at https://doi.org/10.1177/1478929916630914z. Users who receive access to an article through a repository are reminded that the article is protected by copyright and reuse is restricted to non-commercial and no derivative uses. Users may also download and save a local copy of an article accessed in an institutional repository for the user's personal reference. For permission to reuse an article, please follow our Process for Requesting Permission: https://uk.sagepub.com/en-gb/eur/process-for-requesting-permission

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

REPOSITORY RECORD

Milburn, Josh. 2016. "Book Review: Joachim Wündisch, *towards a Right-libertarian Welfare State*". Loughborough University. https://hdl.handle.net/2134/19434629.v1.

Towards a Right-Libertarian Welfare State by Joachim Wündisch. Munster: Mentis Verlag Gmbh, 2014. 181pp., £18.99 (p/b), ISBN 978-3897858442

Wündisch's book is split into four parts. Part I introduces libertarianism – most specifically, Robert Nozick's *Anarchy, State and Utopia*. Part II seeks to derive (something resembling) a welfare state from Nozick's normative principles. Part III offers solutions to the non-identity problems associated with compensating x for wrongs committed before x's conception. Part IV looks towards the implications of the book's argument.

It is in Part II that the book's most important contribution is to be found. There are two broad arguments: first, welfare may serve as a crime fighting tool. Wündisch argues A) in the minimal state, welfare may be more effective at fighting crime than retribution; and B) an inefficient state is, for Nozick, unjust. Therefore, the welfare state is required. Second, welfare may be demanded as an efficient form of compensation. Most interestingly, compensation for violations of Nozick's "Lockean proviso", which requires that A's holdings not leave B in a worse position than B would be in a world without any appropriation, may justify payments to those in dire need. It would be inefficient (so unjust) for the state to calculate which putative victims are actual victims, so it should ensure payment to them all. This would be funded by a small tax on property-holders.

Wündisch undoubtedly offers a highly valuable contribution to Nozick studies and libertarian theory. In some ways, the vision is radical: The Nozickian welfare state is cross-border (pp. 161-2), meaning it goes further than actually-existing welfare states. Perhaps, too, nonhuman animals could be included, but this is not mentioned.

Despite this, Wündisch does not offer an idyllic vision, and does not commit to it. The Nozickian state would be obliged to deny payments to the heavily disabled, as such persons would not have fared well in a world without appropriation. This is an "ugly reminder" of the role of this welfare state (p. 86). It is an open question whether Nozick's normative commitments could ever ground a desirable welfare state. Indeed, Nozick's own words on the subject, taken from his later work, are apt: "The point [of public expenditure] is not simply to accomplish the particular purpose ... but also to speak solemnly in everyone's name, in the name of society, about what it holds dear" (*The Examined Life*, p. 289). Surely, we do and should hold dear the survival of our co-citizens, regardless of how they would have fared in some counterfactual world.

Reviewed by Josh Milburn, School of Politics, International Studies and Philosophy, Queen's University Belfast. This is the unedited version of a review forthcoming in *Political Studies Review* 14 (2). Please refer to the journal for the final version.